

Acknowledgments

First, I would like to express my appreciation to my advisory committee members, Dr. L.T. Kok, Dr. D.G. Pfeiffer, and my chair, Dr. J.C. Bergh. Their effort in revision of my thesis and guidance throughout my tenure was invaluable. I am very grateful to Dr. Kok for allowing me to teach the laboratory portion of his Insect Pest Management course and for giving me the freedom to run it as I saw fit. I feel very fortunate to have had Dr. Pfeiffer as my major on-campus advisor. He was always there to answer my questions and no matter how silly my question was he never made me feel stupid for asking. I deeply appreciate that he treated me with respect, never talked down to me, and always worked with me to improve rather than criticize what I did wrong. I want to acknowledge Dr. Bergh for obtaining the support for this project, so that I could pursue a graduate degree, for his enthusiasm for Entomology, and indelible guidance. Many thanks go to Jean Engelman, Meredith Cassell, Heidi Clark, and Matt Moore for their assistance with data collection. I would like to thank Glen Davis for his assistance with SEM preparation and photography, Jennifer Sycalik for providing the 100% ethanol used in the SEM preparation, and the USDA-ARS, Appalachian Fruit Research Station, Kearneysville, WV USA for use of the SEM. I thank Dr. F.C. Thompson for syrphid fly identification, H. Brumback for use of his commercial orchard, and Dr. M.W. Brown for valuable discussions on woolly apple aphid. I am very thankful for Dr. F. Gilbert's tireless assistance with my literature review. I am also grateful to Dr. T. Mack for his review of my statistical analyses. I also want to express my gratitude to P. Baugher and B. Macintosh for donating nursery trees. This project was supported in part by a grant from Virginia Agricultural Research Program. Last, but not least, I could not have

completed my graduate education without the continuing support, love, and friendship from the faculty, staff, and students in the Entomology department.

Table of Contents

Abstract: Inaugural studies of the life history and predator/prey associations of <i>Heringia calcarata</i> (Loew) (Diptera: Syrphidae), a specialist predator of the woolly apple aphid, <i>Eriosoma lanigerum</i> (Hausmann) (Homoptera: Eriosomatidae)	ii
Acknowledgments	iv
Chapter 1: Review of the Literature	
Aphid pests of apple in the mid-Atlantic region	
Pest status and damage	1
Biology and life history	6
Chemical control	8
Cultural control	9
Biological control	
<i>Aphelinus mali</i>	10
Predators	12
Aphidophagous syrphid flies	12
Chapter 2: Life History of <i>Heringia calcarata</i> (Loew) (Diptera: Syrphidae)	
Introduction	18
Materials and Methods	
Life history studies	
Egg morphology	19
Larval, puparial, and adult morphology	19
Egg developmental period	20
Larval and pupal developmental period	20
Adult longevity	21
Mating	22
Larval voracity	22
Seasonal phenology	22
Water pan traps	22
Sticky traps	23
Emergence traps	24
Sentinel trees	26
Syrphid egg and larval abundance in relation to woolly apple aphid density	27
Statistical analysis	28
Results	
Life history studies	
Egg morphology	28
Larval, puparial, and adult morphology	32
Egg, larval, pupal developmental duration, and adult longevity	34

Mating	35
Larval voracity	35
Seasonal phenology	
Water pan traps	36
Sticky traps	37
Emergence traps	39
Sentinel trees	39
Syrphid egg and larval abundance in relation to woolly apple aphid density	40
Discussion	44
Chapter 3: Specialization of <i>Heringia calcarata</i> (Loew) (Diptera: Syrphidae) on woolly apple aphid (Homoptera: Eriosomatidae)	
Introduction	50
Materials and Methods	
Insects	51
No-choice feeding study	51
Choice-test feeding study	52
Distribution of hover fly eggs	52
Statistical analysis	53
Results	
No-choice feeding study	53
Choice-test feeding study	54
Distribution of hover fly eggs	54
Discussion	57
Chapter 4: Summary and Discussion	61
References Cited	65
Vita	82

List of Figures

Fig. 1. Woolly apple aphid (10.2x).	2
Fig. 2. Arboreal colonies of woolly apple aphid.	3
Fig. 3. Stem splitting and early defoliation.	3
Fig. 4. Apple roots with galls induced by woolly apple aphid feeding on a young tree.	5
Fig. 5. Field cages used to grow potted apple trees infested with woolly apple aphid.	21
Fig. 6A. Yellow water pan trap deployed in 2001.	23
Fig. 6B. Yellow Solo trap deployed in 2001.	23
Fig. 6C. Yellow funnel trap deployed in 2001.	23
Fig. 7. Sticky trap used in 2002.	24
Fig. 8. Emergence trap used in 2002.	26
Fig. 9. Sentinel tree used in 2003 (arrows point to woolly apple aphid colonies).	27
Fig. 10A. Electron micrograph of <i>H. calcarata</i> eggs.	29
Fig. 10B. Digital photograph (3.5x) of <i>H. calcarata</i> eggs.	29
Fig. 11A. Electron micrograph of <i>E. americanus</i> eggs.	30
Fig. 11B. Digital photograph (3.5x) of <i>E. americanus</i> eggs.	30
Fig. 12A. Electron micrograph of <i>S. rectus</i> eggs.	31
Fig. 12B. Digital photograph (4x) of <i>S. rectus</i> eggs.	31
Fig. 13. <i>H. calcarata</i> 2nd instar (4.5x).	32
Fig. 14. <i>H. calcarata</i> 3rd instar before pupariation (5.9x).	32
Fig. 15. <i>H. calcarata</i> puparium (5.9x).	33
Fig. 16A. Holoptic eyes of adult male <i>H. calcarata</i> (8.2x).	34

Fig. 16B. Dichoptic eyes of adult female <i>H. calcarata</i> (8.2x).	34
Fig. 17. Spurs on hind trochanters of adult male <i>H. calcarata</i> (64x) (arrow points to spur).	34
Fig. 18. Mean (SEM) number of woolly apple aphid consumed daily by <i>H. calcarata</i> larvae until gut voidance.	36
Fig. 19. <i>H. calcarata</i>, <i>E. americanus</i>, and <i>S. rectus</i> egg distribution from sentinel trees at the AHS AREC.	40
Fig. 20A. Mean number of woolly apple aphid colonies per branch and <i>H. calcarata</i> per colony at the Barley Road orchard.	42
Fig. 20B. Mean number of woolly apple aphid colonies per branch and <i>H. calcarata</i> per colony at the AHS AREC orchard.	42
Fig. 21A. Relationship between the mean number of <i>H. calcarata</i>/colony and the mean number of woolly apple aphid colonies at the AHS AREC orchard in 2002.	43
Fig. 21B. Relationship between the mean number of <i>H. calcarata</i>/colony and the mean number of woolly apple aphid colonies at the Barley Road orchard in 2002.	43
Fig. 22A. Distribution of syrphid eggs collected weekly from 25 woolly apple aphid, rosy apple aphid, and spirea aphid colonies between 14 May and 6 August, 20 May and 2 July, and 10 June and 16 July 2002, respectively at the AHS AREC orchard. Percentages at the AHS AREC are based on 110, 108, and 27 syrphid eggs collected in woolly apple aphid, rosy apple aphid, and spirea aphid colonies, respectively.	56
Fig. 22B. Distribution of syrphid eggs collected weekly from 25 woolly apple aphid, rosy apple aphid, and spirea aphid colonies between 14 May and 6 August, 20 May and 2 July, and 10 June and 16 July 2002, respectively at the Barley Road orchard. Percentages at the Barley Road orchard are based on 146, 62, and 27 syrphid eggs collected in woolly apple aphid, rosy apple aphid, and spirea aphid colonies, respectively.	56

List of Tables

Table 1. Relative effectiveness of chemicals for apple aphid control.	9
Table 2. Pipizine syrphids reported from aphid species.	16
Table 3. Developmental period of <i>H. calcarata</i> life stages.	35
Table 4. Total number of syrphid species captured in water pan traps at two orchards and yellow and white sticky traps at three orchards.	38
Table 5. Aphid consumption by <i>H. calcarata</i> larvae in pairwise comparisons over 48 h.	55