# MOLECULAR MAPPING OF A SOYBEAN MOSAIC VIRUS (SMV) RESISTANCE GENE IN SOYBEAN (*Glycine max*)

By

Sesha Sai V. Kristipati

Thesis submitted to the faculty of the Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

in Crop and Soil Environmental Sciences

Dr. Glenn R. Buss, Co-chairman Dr. M. A. Saghai Maroof, Co-chairman Dr. Sue A. Tolin

July 1996 Blacksburg, Virginia Keywords: *Glycine max*, Soybean mosaic virus (SMV), *Rsv3* resistance, AFLP, RFLP Copyright 1996, Sesha Sai V. Kristipati

# MOLECULAR MAPPING OF A SOYBEAN MOSAIC VIRUS (SMV) RESISTANCE GENE IN SOYBEAN (*Glycine max*)

#### Sesha Sai V. Kristipati

### Abstract

Soybean mosaic virus (SMV) is the major virus disease reported all over the world in soybean. This disease causes reduction in the yield and quality of the soybean crop. Three independent genes Rsv1, Rsv3, and Rsv4, were found to provide host resistance in soybean. Rsv1 confers resistance to all but most virulent strains of SMV. Rsv1 has been mapped to soybean molecular linkage group (MLG) F by using molecular markers. The purpose of this study is to investigate the location of the Rsv3 gene on the soybean genomic map using molecular markers. The Rsv3 gene of soybean confers resistance to the most virulent strains (G5-G7) of SMV. In order to map the gene, an F<sub>2</sub> population was constructed from a cross between L29, an Rsv3 isoline of 'Williams', and 'Lee 68', a susceptible cultivar. Rsv3 genotypes of 183 F2 plants were determined by inoculating  $F_{2:3}$  progeny with the G7 strain of SMV. A preliminary survey of two parental lines, near isogenic lines (NILs), and bulk segregants with 136 restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) markers yielded 36 markers showing variation between the two parents. These polymorphic RFLP markers were unable to provide any indication of linkage to Rsv3. As an alternative strategy, amplified fragment length polymorphic (AFLP) marker analysis of the two parental lines, NILs and bulk segregants was performed using 64 primer combinations. Initial breakthrough came in the form of AFLP primer combination of Eco+AAC/Mse+CTG, which exhibited polymorphism between NILs, bulk segregants, and two parental lines. This AFLP marker was isolated and cloned to convert it into a RFLP clone to further investigate the linkage to Rsv3 by F2 segregation analysis. A mapping population constructed by crossing Glycine max x Glycine soja was employed in determining the location of the AFLP-derived RFLP clone on the soybean linkage map. This population has densely mapped molecular marker data that enabled determining the location of AFLP-derived RFLP clone ACR1 on soybean MLG B2 between the markers pA516 and pA519. This finding made it

easy to establish the linkage of markers pA519, pA516, and pA593 in L29 x Lee 68 population by  $F_2$  segregation analysis. The closest linked marker, pA519, was 0.9 cM away from *Rsv3*. Results of this study are useful in marker-based selection (MAS), pyramiding viral resistance genes, and in cloning the *Rsv3* gene.

## **DEDICATION**

I would like to dedicate this thesis to my beloved parents, my wife with whose support and sacrifice I reached at this point of journey and everyone who supported me along the way.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my indebtedness to my co-advisor Dr. Glenn R. Buss for his support, advice and encouragement throughout the course of this study. I extend my deep appreciation to my other co-advisor Dr. M. A. Saghai Maroof for his patience, inspiration, and guidance without which this research could not be fruitful. I am very grateful to Dr. S. A. Tolin for her suggestions and stimulating discussions during the course of this research. I once again thank all my committee members Drs. G. R. Buss, M. A. Saghai Maroof and S. A. Tolin for their promptness and thoroughness in correcting my thesis.

My sincere gratitude to Dr. Jeff Maughan for training and navigating me through this research work. I particularly acknowledge his assistance at crucial stages of my research, such as recording disease data, and isolating and cloning AFLP fragments. I also acknowledge the collaboration of Dr. Alec Hayes for his significant contribution through AFLP analysis. I enjoyed the association of some outstanding researchers; Dr. Yong Yu, Dr. Ruslan Biyashev, Dr. Pengyin Chen, and Dr. Guorong Ma. I would like to express my appreciation to them, for giving me valuable advice and cooperation during the course of my study.

## CONTENTS

| ABSTRACT   | ii   |
|--|------|
| DEDICATION   | iv   |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT  | V    |
| LIST OF TABLES   | vii  |
| LIST OF FIGURES  | viii |
| INTRODUCTION   | 1    |
| LITERATURE REVIEW  | 2    |
| Properties and molecular aspects of soybean mosaic virus (SMV) | 3    |
| Host resistance to SMV   | 4    |
| Molecular genetics of host-pathogen interactions               | 7    |
| MATERIALS AND METHODS  | 11   |
| Plant material   | 11   |
| SMV disease reaction   | 11   |
| Molecular mapping  |      |
| Statistical analysis   | 14   |
| RESULTS  | 15   |
| DISCUSSION   | 17   |
| REFERENCES   | 19   |

## LIST OF TABLES

 Table 1. The sources and numbers of RFLP molecular markers tested, detected polymorphism

 and used in segregation analysis

## LIST OF FIGURES

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Diseases caused by viruses are important from an economic standpoint. The use of resistant cultivars is the cheapest and most effective way of combating virus diseases (Walkey, 1991). The cost of growing a resistant cultivar is likely to be no greater than growing a susceptible one, and savings are made in the form of not having to take expensive preventive or control measures to keep the vector under control (Walkey, 1991) and in reduced yield losses.

Soybean mosaic virus (SMV) is the most commonly occurring virus disease on soybean plants. Control through developing host resistance is the only effective solution for this disease. In the majority of reported cases, a single dominant gene at the locus *Rsv1*, confers SMV resistance (Kiihl and Hartwig, 1979). Yu et al. (1994) reported mapping the *Rsv1* gene to the linkage group 'F' (USDA-ARS Soybean map) by using DNA-based markers. None of the reported alleles of *Rsv1* gives resistance to all the strains of SMV. Each allele gives resistance to some of the strains, while being necrotic or susceptible to other strains (Ma et al., 1995). Since *Rsv1* has been the most widely used SMV resistance gene in soybean breeding programs (Ma, 1996), a condition of genetic uniformity exists.

To achieve durable resistance, it is better to have different sources of resistance accumulated into a single line or cultivar, which is referred to as gene pyramiding. The first step in the process of gene pyramiding is to locate and characterize multiple sources of resistance. As a result of the search for additional sources of SMV resistance in soybean, *Rsv3* was discovered in 'L29' (Ma, 1996), a BC<sub>5</sub>-derived isoline of 'Williams' containing a resistance gene from 'Hardee' (Bernard et al. 1991). This gene is reported to be a single dominant gene at a locus independent of *Rsv1* and *Rsv2* (Ma, 1996). In contrast to most *Rsv1* alleles, *Rsv3* confers resistance to the higher strains of SMV (G5-G7), while being susceptible to lower strains (G1-G4).

Ma et al., (1995) reported yet another resistance gene, *Rsv4*, derived from PI 486355. It confers resistance to all seven strain groups of SMV. The segregation analysis of  $F_{2:3}$  population involving *Rsv4* did not show necrotic reaction (Ma et al., 1995).

Molecular markers are useful in marker-assisted selection in breeding for resistance as well as in pyramiding multiple sources of resistance. By tagging various sources of resistance with molecular markers, the ultimate objective of gene-pyramiding could be achieved relatively easily (Melchinger, 1989). The molecular markers can be utilized to select genotypes with multiple resistance genes from segregating populations or rapid transfer of resistance genes to otherwise agronomically superior cultivars by backcross breeding. A backcrossing program can also be accelerated by using marker-based selection for the genotype of the recurrent parent (Tanksley and Rick, 1980). Molecular mapping of a resistance gene is an initial step towards map-based cloning and isolation of the gene itself.

Once the gene is isolated, it could be rapidly transferred to susceptible cultivars by transgenic means. This process eventually helps in understanding the host-pathogen interaction. The objective of this study was to map the *Rsv3* gene in the population of L29 x Lee 68, using DNA-based molecular markers.

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

Soybean mosaic virus (SMV) is a potyvirus that causes one of the most prevalent soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.] viral diseases in the world (Sinclair, 1982; Thottapilly and Rossel, 1987). The disease symptoms caused by SMV on soybean range from mild mosaic to lethal necrosis (Ross, 1969; Tu and Buzzell, 1987; and Chen et al, 1991; Kwon and Oh, 1980). The losses due to SMV are in the form of reduction in yield and deterioration of seed quality. Yield losses have been reported from all over the world, wherever soybean is grown (Sinclair, 1982; Thottappilly and Rossel, 1987). The yield losses due to SMV disease can be as high as 50% and deterioration of seed quality results in low price in the market (Demski et al., 1989). Disease epidemics and severe yield losses were reported from Asian countries; China, Korea, Indonesia, Japan, and the former Soviet Union (Irwin and Goodman, 1981).

The virus is seed borne and also transmitted by over 30 aphid species in a non-persistent manner (Demski et al., 1989; Abney et al., 1976). The pervasiveness of SMV is mainly attributed to its seed borne nature. The SMV disease is distributed to any part of the world, wherever the seed

from infested fields is transported (Sinclair, 1982). Infected soybean seed is the primary source of initial inoculum (Hill and Benner, 1980a) and is further spread by aphids.

Properties and molecular aspects of SMV

SMV belongs to the potyvirus group of viruses (Bos, 1972). According to the classification system proposed by Lindbo and Dougherty (1994), SMV is a member of the family *Potyviridae*, genus *Potyvirus*. The *Potyvirus* genus is the largest and economically the most important group of plant viruses, infecting a wide range of species (Mathews, 1991). *Physical properties*: SMV is a flexuous particle about 750 *nm* in length (Bos, 1972). The thermal inactivation point is reported to be 55-60 °C for 10 min. The dilution end-point is around  $10^{-3}$ . The infectivity in leaf sap commonly is 2-3 days at room temperature (Bos, 1972). Longevity of the virus *in vitro* is 14 to 15 days in plant sap stored at 4 °C (Galvez, 1963). The virus is most stable at pH 6.0 in expressed sap and loses infectivity at pH levels below 4 and above 9 (Galvez, 1963).

Molecular and genomic properties

SMV, as a species of the Potyvirus genus, consists of a single stranded RNA genome encapsidated in a coat protein with a single structural subunit. The molecular weight of the coat protein is 28,300 daltons (Hill and Benner, 1980a), whereas its ssRNA genome which comprises 5.3% of the virus particle, has a molecular weight of  $3.02 \times 10^6$  (Hill and Benner, 1980b). Jayaram et al. (1992) reported the complete nucleotide sequences of the two SMV strains, G2 and G7, which differ in their 5 prime region. The SMV genome measuring 9588 nucleotides long encodes for nine mature proteins and a genome linked VPg protein.

SMV induces three distinct reactions in soybean: resistance (symptomless), necrosis, and susceptible (mosaic). SMV has been classified into seven strain groups based on the reactions of 98 SMV isolates in a set of differential soybean cultivars (Cho and Goodman, 1979).

SMV is principally a seed-borne virus (Bos, 1972). Under adverse conditions, seed transmission could be as high as 30% or more (Koshimizu and Iizuka, 1963). Transmission through seed is influenced by factors such as time of infection, soybean genotype, and virus strain (Iizuka, 1973; Irwin and Goodman, 1981; Bowers and Goodman, 1979). SMV is also transmitted by over 30 aphid species in a non-persistent manner (Koshimizu and Iizuka, 1963; Abney et al., 1976; Irwin and Goodman, 1981; Maury, 1985; Demski et al., 1989).

SMV is readily transmitted by sap inoculation (Bos, 1972). Various methods of mechanical inoculations are available from studies conducted earlier; however, hand inoculation by rubbing the surface of leaves dusted with an abrasive by a pestle dipped in inoculum gave 100% infection and is an effective method (Cho and Goodman, 1979; Roane et al., 1983).

Maintenance of pure cultures of different virus strains can be achieved by desiccating infected tissue over calcium chloride and storage at 4 °C or preparing a liquid nitrogen powder and storage at -20 °C (Roane et al., 1983). Another method is simply freezing infected leaf tissue at -70 °C (Yong Yu, personal communication). SMV strains can be maintained in a pure form in soybean callus culture induced from infected leaf explants. Transfers of callus need to be made about every two months (Chen et al., 2003).

#### Host resistance to SMV

Resistance to SMV has been identified in various sources of soybean germplasm. In the majority of the sources studied, the resistance was conferred by a single dominant gene, *Rsv1* (Kiihl and Hartwig, 1979; Roane et al., 1983; Buzzell and Tu, 1984; Shigemori, 1988; Buss et al., 1989a; Chen et al., 1991).

The gene at the *Rsv1* locus and its allelic forms have been widely reported from different inheritance studies (Kiihl and Hartwig, 1979; Buzzell and Tu, 1984; Shigemori, 1988; Buss et al., 1989b; Chen et al., 1991), but no one allele of *Rsv1* gives resistance to all the strains of SMV. Each allele gives resistance to some of the strains of SMV, while being necrotic or susceptible to other strains (Cho and Goodman, 1979; Shigemori, 1988; Gai et al., 1989).

Kiihl and Hartwig (1979) found single genes conferring resistance to the strain SMV-1 in PI 96983 and Ogden. The dominant resistance gene found in PI 96983 was designated as *Rsv* (Kiihl and Hartwig, 1979). The symbol *rsv-t* was proposed for the resistance gene found in cv. Ogden, which was allelic to *Rsv* and showed resistance to SMV-1 strain, but susceptible to SMV-1-B. However, it was later shown to be dominant in cv. York allele and was redesignated *Rsv1-t* by Chen et al. (1991). In the classification system of Cho and Goodman (1979) the strains that were identified as SMV-1 and SMV-1-B were found to be similar to SMV-G2 and SMV-G3, respectively.

In addition to *Rsv1* and *Rsv1-t*, seven other resistance alleles have been identified at the *Rsv1* locus. *Rsv1-y*, *Rsv1-m*, and *Rsv1-k* have been identified in cvs. York, Marshall, and Kwanggyo (PI 406710), respectively (Chen et al., 1991), while *Rsv1-s* and *Rsv1-sk* are respectively present in PI 486355 (SS74185) and PI 483084 (Suweon 97) both developed at the Crop Experiment Station, Suweon, South Korea (Ma et al., 1995; Chen et al., 2002). The allele present in cv. Raiden (PI 360844) is *Rsv1-r* (Chen et al., 2001). An allele at the *Rsv1* locus in PI 507389 (cv. Tousan50 from Japan) has been tentatively designated *Rsv1-n*. This allele exhibits a severe necrotic reaction with SMV-G1 and is susceptible to the other strain groups (Ma et al., 2003). Cultivars Hill, Essex, and Lee 68, as well as numerous other cultivars, contain the susceptible allele *rsv1*.

Buzzell and Tu (1984) reported the presence of a dominant gene for resistance in the breeding line 'OX 670' which presumably derived its resistance from the 'Raiden' cultivar, and gave resistance to all strains of SMV. Their allelism tests showed the gene was not at the *Rsv1* locus and it was designated as *Rsv2*. However, 'Raiden' the reported source of *Rsv2*, recently has been shown to contain a resistance gene at the *Rsv1* locus rather than at an independent locus (Ma, 1996, Chen et al., 2001). Further analysis of the inheritance of resistance in OX670 by Gunduz et al. (2001) revealed that it actually contained two resistance genes, *Rsv1* and *Rsv3*. The *Rsv3* was derived from cv. Harosoy, but Buzzell and Tu (1984) were likely unaware of its existence. Tu and Buzzell (1987) observed a dominant gene expressing stem-tip necrosis to strains SMV-G1 and G4 in a breeding line 'OX 686', which was derived from Columbia. This gene was reported to be at a locus independent of Rsv1 and Rsv2 and it was assigned the symbol Rsv3 (Buzzell and Tu, 1989). Ma et al., (2002) found that Columbia is resistant to all known SMV strains G1–G7, except G4. Genetic studies indicate inheritance of resistance to SMV strains in Columbia is due to interactions of two resistance genes. These two genes were denoted as R3 and R4 in this article. R3 appears to be the same gene previously reported as Rsv3 in OX686, which was derived from Columbia. The R3 gene confers resistance to G7, but necrosis to G1. The other gene, R4, conditions resistance to G1 and G7 at the early seedling stage and then a delayed mild mosaic reaction (late susceptible) 3 weeks later. Plants carrying both the R3 and R4 genes were completely resistant to both G1 and G7, indicating that the two genes interact in a complementary fashion. Plants heterozygous for R3 or R4 exhibited systemic necrosis or late susceptibility, suggesting that the resistance is allele dosage dependent. The R4 gene appeared epistatic to R3 since it masked expression of necrosis associated with the response of R3. The complementary interaction of two resistance genes, as exhibited in Columbia, can be useful in development of soybean cultivars with multiple and durable resistance to SMV. An allele of this Rsv3 gene was reported in L29 (Ma, 1996, Buss et al., 1999) a BC<sub>5</sub> isoline of Williams, containing a resistance gene from Hardee (Bernard et al., 1991). It seems that the Rsv3 alleles in Columbia and Hardee are not identical since they respond differently to SMV strain groups G1 and G4.

Ma et al. (1995) reported that PI 486355 contained two resistance loci, one which is allelic to *Rsv1* and another one (*Rsv4*) is not allelic to either the *Rsv1* or *Rsv3* locus. Gunduz et al., (2004) subsequently found that the single dominant resistance gene in PI 88788 was allelic to the non-*Rsv1* gene in PI 486355 and both were nonallelic with *Rsv3* and *Rsv1*. The gene symbol *Rsv4* was tentatively assigned to the new locus. The *Rsv4* locus is a completely dominant gene, in contrast to *Rsv1* alleles. The *Rsv4* locus from PI 486355 shows resistance without necrosis in both the heterozygous and homozygous states. The resistant allele present in cv. Peking also was demonstrated to be at the *Rsv4* locus (Gunduz, 2000).

Molecular genetics of host-pathogen interactions

Plants defend themselves against pathogens such as viruses, bacteria, fungi, nematodes, insects and even other parasitic plants (Bent et al., 1994). Flor (1947) proposed a "gene-for-gene" model of plant and pathogen interaction based on studies of the fungal rust pathogen of flax, *Melampsora lini*. Pryor and Ellis (1993) explain "Plant defenses are often activated by specific interaction between the product of a disease resistance (R) gene in the plant and the product of a corresponding avirulence (*Avr*) gene in the pathogen". If either the plant or pathogen partner lacks a functional allele of the corresponding gene pair, then resistance is not triggered and the plant becomes diseased (Whitham et al., 1994).

With the advent of burgeoning molecular technology, understanding the interaction between plants and their pathogens has been made easier. Several achievements have been made in understanding and applying molecular genetics of plant disease resistance. On the application side, DNA-based molecular markers are used for locating disease resistance genes. The most commonly used molecular markers at present are: restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) (Botstein et al. 1980; Yu et al., 1994), random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers (Martin et al., 1991, Williams et al., 1990), simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers (Yu et al., 1994; Akkaya et al., 1992) and amplified fragment length polymorphic (AFLP) markers (Vos et al., 1995). There is a plethora of examples in the literature. There are a number of economically important disease resistance genes in soybean located by molecular markers to date.

Diers et al., (1992) reported linkage of RFLP markers to five of the six *Rps* loci (*Rps* 1-6) conferring resistance to the Phytophthora root and stem rot disease. Polzin et al. (1994) reported three economically important loci linked together on molecular linkage group (MLG) J (USDA-ARS Soybean map). They are Phytophthora root and stem rot resistance locus (*Rps2*), powdery mildew resistance gene (*Rmd*), and non-nodulation gene (*Rj2*). The *Rh*g4 locus conferring resistance to the soybean cyst nematode (SCN), which is tightly linked to the *I* locus, has been mapped to MLG A and two additional quantitative trait loci (QTLs) associated with SCN resistance were located within 3 cM of RFLP markers on molecular linkage groups G and M

(Webb et al., 1995). Vierling et al. (1996) reported loci associated with SCN resistance: a major locus A006 (MLG B) and three minor loci A567 (MLG S), A487 (MLG A), and A112 (MLG G).

Several soybean disease resistance genes were reported to be located on molecular linkage group (MLG) F forming a disease resistance gene cluster. This cluster of genes include *Rpv1* which confers resistance to peanut mottle virus (Roane et al. 1983), Rps3 which confers resistance to Phytophthora root rot (Diers et al. 1992), and *Rpg1* which confers resistance to bacterial blight (Ashfield et al. 1996). Tamulonis et al. (1997) reported mapping of a quantitative trait loci for resistance to javanese root-knot nematode to the MLG F. Resistance genes to corn ear-worm and Sclerotonia stem rot are also present in this chromosomal region (Rector et al. 1999; Arahana et al. 2001). Rsv1, a single dominant gene which confers resistance to G1-G6 strains of SMV disease has been mapped to the location of gene cluster at MLG F using RFLP and SSR markers (Yu et al., 1994). In order to understand the divergence and allelomorphic relationship of SMV resistant loci, Yu et al. (1996) investigated 67 diverse soybean cultivars, breeding lines, and plant introductions using one tightly linked DNA microsatellite and two RFLP markers. Based on marker variants it was found that *Rsv1* is the most likely source of SMV resistance in 38 resistant lines. According to Yu et al. (1996), the resistant locus in Raiden, was suggested as an allele of Rsv1 in disagreement with Buzzell and Tu (1984), who reported it as an independent allele at Rsv2. However, this finding supports the allelism tests of Ma (1996) which show Raiden to have an *Rsv1* allele.

Further characterization of plant disease resistance genes is found to encode NBS (N-terminal nucleotide-binding site) and LRR (C-terminal leucine-rich repeat) domains (Bent et al. 1994). These are the proteins involved in the process of defense signal transduction cascade. Conserved NBS-encoding sequences have been amplified from numerous plant species using degenerate PCR primers. Many of these resistance-gene candidate sequences have been shown to either map near or cosegregate with resistance-gene loci (Kanazin et al. 1996; Leister et al. 1996; Yu et al. 1996).

Yu et al. (1996) isolated two classes of NBS sequence, classes b and j, that map to the resistance gene cluster on MLG F. The full length NBS5 (class b) gene is highly homologous to the Toll–

Interleukin-1 cytoplasmic receptor (TIR) subclass of NBS–LRR disease-resistance genes and maps to a single locus on MLG F (Hayes et al. 2000a). Conversely, NBS61 (class j) resembles a second subclass of NBS–LRR disease resistance genes lacking a TIR domain (non-TIR) in the transcribed product. This NBS sequence is one member of a high-copy NBS–LRR gene family mapping to MLG F (Yu et al. 1996). R14, another member of this gene family, was isolated using a modified AFLP approach (Hayes and Saghai Maroof 2000). Twelve non-TIR–NBS class j members from the *Rsv1* resistant line, PI 96983, have been characterized and map to a single locus (or several tightly linked loci) flanking the *Rsv1* gene (Jeong et al. 2001). Some of these sequences may encode portions of functional resistance-gene products.

Gore et al. (2002) further studied resistance gene cluster at MLG F by developing a high resolution mapping employing over 20 RFLP, RAPD and microsatellite markers to map 38 loci covering 6.8-cM region. The main purpose of this study was to understand the disease reaction of potyviruses *Rsv1* and *Rpv1* in soybean. This study demonstrates that *Rsv1* and *Rpv1* are tightly linked at a distance of 1.1 cM. This study also provides support to the hypothesis that several tightly linked genes recognize and respond to SMV infection and that these genes work in concert.

Determination of the molecular map location of the non-*Rsv1* resistant allele reported in Columbia, *Rsv3* (Buzzell and Tu, 1989), is the objective of the current study. Hayes et al. (2000b) reported mapping of SMV resistance loci *Rsv4* to soybean MLG D1b. The *Rsv4* locus confers resistance to all the known strain groups of SMV (Ma et al, 1995). It has been reported that the *Rsv4* locus is flanked by the microsatellite markers, Satt542 at 4.7 cM and Satt558 at 7.8 cM (Hayes et al, 2000b).

Plant breeders can directly employ molecular markers closely linked to the disease resistance genes in their breeding programs. Marker-based selection in disease resistance breeding saves a stupendous amount of time in transferring the genes to susceptible cultivars. Further steps can be taken in the direction of isolating the disease resistance genes and transferring them to susceptible plants with superior agronomic traits.

Importance and objective of the experiment

*Rsv1* gene has been mapped by using molecular markers. Efforts are under way to construct a high-resolution map in the vicinity of *Rsv1* to assist in map based cloning (Yu et al, 1994). Since the *Rsv1* gene confers resistance to only some strains of SMV, there is a need for identification of new sources of resistance in order to achieve complete and durable resistance to the SMV disease. In contrast with *Rsv1* and its alleles, *Rsv3* has been reported to be resistant to higher strains of SMV (G5-G7), while being susceptible to lower strains (G1-G4) (Ma, 1995). An allele of *Rsv3* was reported in L29 (Ma, 1996) a BC<sub>5</sub>-derived isoline of Williams, containing a resistance gene from 'Hardee' (Dr. R. L. Bernard - Personal communication). The objectives of this study were to locate the *Rsv3* gene to a MLG in the population of L29 X Lee 68, using DNA-based molecular markers.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Plant materials

Seeds of L29, a backcross-derived isoline of Williams carrying the *Rsv3* resistance gene from Hardee, were obtained from Dr. R. L. Bernard at the University of Illinois (Urbana Champaign, IL). A cross was made between L29 and the susceptible cultivar Lee 68 to investigate the inheritance of resistance (Ma, 1996). The  $F_1$  plants were raised in the greenhouse. The  $F_2$  plants were grown to yield  $F_{2:3}$  seeds at the Virginia Crop Improvement Association's Foundation Seed Farm at Mt. Holly, Virginia (Ma, 1996).  $F_{2:3}$  seeds harvested from  $F_2$  plants were provided by Dr. G. Ma for this study. In total, 183  $F_{2:3}$  lines were evaluated from this mapping population.

#### SMV disease reaction

The virus-reaction genotype of each  $F_2$  plant from the L29 X Lee 68 cross was determined by inoculating  $F_{2:3}$  plants with SMV strain G7 (supplied by Dr. S. A. Tolin at Virginia Tech) in the greenhouse. The choice of conducting the experiment in the greenhouse rather than in the field was to prevent the risk of spreading the virulent SMV-G7 strain into the environment. Twenty seeds from each  $F_{2:3}$  line were planted in six-inch plastic pots containing a 1:1 mixture of top soil and commercial potting soil. A set of six SMV strain differentials, 'PI 96983', 'York', 'Ogden', 'Hardee', 'Lee 68', and 'L29' were included as checks in the experiment. The inoculum was maintained on the susceptible cultivar York, which is resistant to G1 and susceptible to G7, thus eliminating the possibility of contamination with G1. Inoculations were performed approximately 10 days after planting when the unifoliolate leaves were fully expanded (Hunst and Tolin et al., 1982). Local necrotic symptoms appeared on inoculated leaves. One to two weeks after inoculation, mosaic symptoms were fully developed on newly formed trifoliate leaves. Plants were scored for disease reaction at two weeks and again at four weeks after inoculation. Reactions were recorded as either resistant (symptomless) or susceptible (mosaic).

#### Molecular Mapping

Soybean leaf tissue was used for DNA extraction. Soybean leaf tissue collection and extraction of DNA from freeze-dried tissue was done according to the procedures described previously by Saghai Maroof et al., (1984). Parental lines L29 and Lee 68, as well as Williams and Hardee, the recurrent and donor parents for L29, respectively, were screened with molecular markers to detect polymorphism. Resistant and susceptible pools for bulked segregant analysis (Michelmore et al., 1991) consisted of DNA from 15 homozygous resistant and 15 homozygous susceptible F<sub>2</sub> plants. About 10 µg DNA was taken from each source plant for the purpose of bulking. DNA extracted from mapping populations of F<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>3</sub> generations were used in this study. Two sets of DNA samples were prepared for segregation analysis. Leaf tissue samples from 90 F<sub>2</sub> plants were used to constitute one set. Later the second set with an increased population size of 183 DNA samples was extracted from leaf material harvested from F<sub>3</sub> plants. Each sample in the second set was prepared by bulking at least 15 segregating F<sub>3</sub> plants, which represents their F<sub>2</sub> genotype. For RFLP analysis, DNA digestion and hybridization were carried out essentially as described previously (Yu et al., 1994). The restriction enzymes BamHI, DraI, EcoRI, EcoRV, and HindIII were initially used. For the clones that did not detect polymorphism with those enzymes, Bcll, Taql, and Xbal were employed to obtain polymorphism. Eight micrograms of DNA from each sample were digested with a restriction enzyme for 24 hours. Digested DNA fragments were separated on 0.8% agarose gels according to standard electrophoresis procedures. Separated DNA fragments were then transferred to nylon membrane by Southern blotting. These filters containing DNA samples were used in genotyping by RFLP markers. In order to identify genomic clones located near Rsv3, clones from three different sources were examined: i) Publicly available RFLP soybean genomic DNA probes from the Iowa State University/USDA soybean genomic library; ii) Soybean NBS probes (Yu et al., 1996); iii) A few cowpea and mung bean genomic clones (obtained from Dr. N. Young, Univ. of Minnesota).

Genomic DNA inserts were prepared either by excision of the insert by restriction digestion of vectors or by amplification via PCR. The inserts were radioactively labeled with <sup>32</sup>P-dCTP by random priming procedure (Feinberg and Vogelstein, 1983). The labeled probes were

introduced to Southern blot membranes containing digested and separated DNA fragments. After hybridization, membranes were rinsed in 2x SSC, 0.5 % SDS at room temperature, and washed in 0.5x SSC, 0.1% SDS for 20 min at 65 °C before autoradiography. Filters were wrapped in plastic sheets (Cling wrap) and exposed to X-ray film at -70 °C for 3-7 days.

In pursuit of mapping Rsv3, AFLP marker (Vos et al., 1995) analysis of near-isogenic lines Williams and Hardee, and bulk segregants was also conducted with the collaboration of Dr. P. J. Maughan, and Dr. Hayes. AFLP is a PCR-based molecular marker that allows screening of large numbers of loci in a short period of time (Vos et al., 1995). AFLP analysis was carried out following the protocols as described previously (Vos et al., 1995; Maughan et al., 1996). As Hayes (1998) described, genomic DNA is double digested with a four- and six-cutter restriction enzyme. Specific nucleotide adaptors are then ligated to the restriction ends by DNA ligase. A subset of the generated fragments is amplified using adaptor specific primers that contain three selective nucleotides at the 3' end of the primer. The six-cutter-specific primer is end-labeled using  $\gamma^{32}$ P-ATP and polynucleotide kinase. DNA was digested with restriction enzymes EcoRI and MseI followed by ligation with specific adaptors for +1 and +3 amplification. AFLP products were visualized by means of a <sup>32</sup>P-end labeled Eco primer and electrophoresis through a 7 M urea, 6% (w/v) polyacrylamide gel for 3 hours at 45 Watts. AFLP fragments of interest were cloned into a plasmid according to the methods of Upender et al. (1995) and Hayes and Saghai Maroof (2000). In brief, a polymorphic AFLP band eluted from a gel slice was reamplified with specific +3 primers, by cold PCR. Product of the reamplification was resolved on an agarose gel and visualized by ethidium bromide staining to estimate the fragment size. This fragment was then cloned into the pCNTR shuttle vector (5prime-3prime, Boulder, CO) or cloned into the pCR2.1-TOPO vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) following the manufacturers' protocols. The plasmid insert was then used as a probe for RFLP analysis.

Once the single AFLP marker showing polymorphism among bulk segregants and NILs has been detected, this AFLP fragment was excised from the dried polyacrylamide gel and DNA was eluted by Dr. P. J. Maughan according to the procedure described by Madhvi et al (1995). This eluted AFLP fragment was re-amplified using specific + 3 primers, by cold PCR. Products of the re-amplification were resolved on an agarose gel and visualized by ethidium bromide to

estimate the fragment size. This fragment was cloned into PUC Plasmid vector system. Upon sequencing, the fragment size was determined as 79 bp (Dr. P. J. Maughan, personal communication). This was used as RFLP clone to further investigate the segreagation analysis of the  $F_2$  population.

## Statistical Analysis

The segregation ratios for SMV disease reaction and molecular marker data from screening the  $F_2$  population were tested for goodness of fit to a 1:2:1 genotypic ratio by Linkage-1, a Pascal computer program developed by Suiter et al. (1989). The most probable order and map distances were determined by multiple linkage analysis using the computer program MapMaker 3.0b (Lander et al., 1987) at log likelihood 3.0, with a maximum Haldane distance of 50 cM.

### RESULTS

RFLP probes from three different sources were employed to detect polymorphism between parental lines, NILs, and bulked segregants (Table 1). A preliminary survey with 136 RFLP markers gave 36 polymorphic markers with at least one restriction enzyme used. However, this initial screening did not detect any differentiation between sets of either NILs (Williams vs. L29) or bulked segregants (resistant vs. susceptible). Out of 36 polymorphic markers, 17 were used to collect segregation data from 183 F<sub>2</sub> genotypes.

At this stage AFLP marker analysis of parental lines, bulk segregants and NILs was conducted using 64 primer combinations. This analysis yielded an AFLP marker exhibiting consistent polymorphism between parental lines, bulk segregants, as well as NILs, with a primer combination of Eco+AAC/Mse+CTG. An AFLP fragment of approximately 80 base pairs (bp) was converted to an RFLP probe named ACR1. The initial linkage analysis of this ACR1 probe using 90 F<sub>2</sub> lines indicated tight linkage to the *Rsv3* gene. Even though this probe is linked to the gene as indicated by disease response data, its genomic position was unknown, because of its origin from random AFLP primer analysis. The genomic location of this linked AFLP fragmentbased probe was determined by mapping it in an F<sub>2</sub> population of V71-370 X PI 407.162 containing 167 mapped RFLPs (a cross between *Glycine max* and *G soja*) (Maughan et al., 1995). This AFLP-based RFLP probe ACR1 was mapped to MLG B2 (USDA-ARS map), between the markers pA516 and pA519a. This step was necessitated, because available marker data were inadequate in the original population of L29 x Lee 68 to determine the linkage group of AFLP marker linked to the gene.

In addition to the original 136, ten RFLP markers known to be from MLG B2 in the vicinity of predicted *Rsv3* region were screened for polymorphism between parental lines, bulk segregants, as well as NILs. Five of them were polymorphic between parental lines, bulk segregants and isolines Williams and L29. The probes, namely pA516, pA519, pA593, pB124 and pB153 appeared to be linked to *Rsv3*, from this analysis. Data were collected from 183 F<sub>2:3</sub> DNA samples for the three markers pA519, pA516, and pA593 known to be located adjacent to the

AFLP-based probe ACR1 from an earlier analysis in a different population (V71-370 x PI 407.162). After examining the relative positions of these markers to the AFLP marker linked to the gene, the other two probes pB124 and pB153 were excluded from segregation analysis because they are located at farther distances (> 20 cM) from the gene compared to others based on previously published genomic maps of this region. All markers linked to the *Rsv3* gene segregated codominantly, and gave good fits to the 1:2:1 ratio (Table 2). Only those markers linked to the *Rsv3* gene are presented in Tables 2 and 3. The linkage map (Figure 1) constructed based on the results of multiple linkage analysis using Mapmaker 3.0b showed that three RFLP markers pA519, pA516, pA593 and AFLP based marker ACR1 were tightly linked to the *Rsv3* gene, at distances of 0.9 cM, 1.8 cM, 3.7 cM, and 3.7 cM respectively. These markers exhibited distinct polymorphic bands as shown in Figure 2.

In this study, a total of 146 RFLP markers from three different sources were screened (Table 1). Out of the 146 probes tested, 41 were polymorphic between the parental lines, with at least one of the enzymes used. Out of the 41 polymorphic markers found, segregation data were collected only from 21 markers. Once the linkage group of *Rsv3* was discovered by mapping the linked AFLP marker, the remaining 20 polymorphic markers, which are known to be from different linkage groups (from published maps), were excluded from this study.

#### DISCUSSION

This study reports the mapping of *Rsv3* gene conferring resistance to *Soybean mosaic virus* to MLG B2. The other two reported independent SMV resistance genes *Rsv1* and *Rsv4* have been mapped to MLG F (Yu et al., 1994) and MLG D1b (Hayes et al., 2000b), respectively. In order to achieve durable resistance it is desirable to have different sources of resistance accumulated into a single line or cultivar. By tagging various sources of resistance with molecular markers the ultimate objective of gene-pyramiding could be achieved relatively easily (Melchinger, 1989). Since *Rsv1* has been the most widely used SMV resistance gene in soybean breeding programs (Ma, 1996) a condition of genetic uniformity exists. In addition, *Rsv1* confers resistance only to some strains of SMV while being susceptible or necrotic to others (Chen et al., 1994; Ma, 1996). The *Rsv3* gene is particularly interesting in the efforts for pyramiding SMV resistance genes, because it confers resistance to the more virulent strain groups, G5 to G7. Accumulation of numerous resistance genes can be complicated, because it will be difficult to distinguish the presence of multiple genes, unless they can be identified by different reactions to different disease strains. Use of molecular markers enables combining dirferent sources of SMV resistance.

Search for *Rsv3* was hastened by the use of NILs and bulked segregants in combination with the use of AFLP markers. NILs show DNA polymorphism only in those regions of the chromosome for which they were selected (Young et al. 1988; Michelmore et al. 1991; Martin et al., 1991; Yu et al. 1994), whereas bulk segregants will show random segregation at all loci except the gene of interest and closely linked markers. NILs Williams and L29 were produced as a result of backcross breeding, whereas bulk segregants are constituted based on the phenotypic reaction of the plants to virus inoculation. Sets of NILs and bulked segregants were subjected to screening for polymorphism using RFLP probes. A preliminary survey for linked markers using 136 RFLPs could not produce fruitful results. As an alternative AFLP marker analysis was attempted, these are a new generation of high throughput markers (Vos et al., 1995). Meksem et al. (1995) observed 100-200 AFLP loci in a single experiment, in contrast with RFLP markers, which can detect only one or two loci. The initial linkage to the *Rsv3* gene was observed by AFLP analysis. An AFLP primer combination of Eco+AAC/Mse+CTG exhibited polymorphism

between parental lines, bulks, as well as NILs, suggesting the putative linkage to the *Rsv3* gene. Majority of the AFLP markers are dominant markers like RAPDs (Meksem et al., 1995). Therefore, to enhance the utility of linked AFLP marker it was converted into codominant RFLP probe. Once the location of AFLP-based marker was determined, further search for the RFLP markers associated with the gene was made easy. The linkage group information obtained by mapping AFLP marker helped in finding the other RFLP markers linked to the gene. The linkage to *Rsv3* with RFLP markers, pA519, pA516, pA593 and with an AFLP based marker was indicated by polymorphism between NILs, Williams and L29, as well as between susceptible and resistant bulks. The linkage was further corroborated by F<sub>2</sub> segregation analysis with the same probes. The genomic distances among the MLG B2 markers are approximately similar to the previously published results. Two markers, pA516, and pA593, were mapped on top of each other. This is in agreement with the soybean genomic map published by Shoemaker and Olson (1993). The closest marker, pA519, being located at 0.9 cM distance away from the gene, exhibits very tight linkage to *Rsv3* (Figure 1).

The clustering of disease resistance genes has been reported in many plants (for a review, see Michelmore and Meyers, 1998). In soybean, a cluster of several closely linked resistance genes occurs in the vicinity of the SMV resistance locus, *Rsv1*. Genes for resistance to peanut mottle virus (*Rpv*) (Roane et al. 1983), *Phytophthora* (*Rps3*) (Diers et al. 1992) and Javanese root-knot nematode (Tamulonis et al. 1997) have been mapped to linkage group F (USDA-ARS map). The chromosomal region in the proximity of *Rsv3* appears to contain a cluster of disease resistance genes. The presence of *Rps4* and *Rps5*, conferring resistance to some races of *Phytophthora sojae* root and stem rot disease (Diers et al. 1992), as well as significant quantitative associations with resistance to two races of soybean cyst nematode, have been reported (Qiu et al., 1999) indicating the possibility of another resistance gene cluster.

#### REFERENCES

- Abney, T. S., J. O. Sillings, T. L. Richards, and D. B. Boersma. 1976. Aphids and other insects as vectors of soybean mosaic virus. J. Econ. Entomol. 69:254-256.
- Akkaya, M. S., A. A. Bhagwat, and P. B. Cregan. 1992. Length polymorphisms of simple sequence repeat DNA in soybean. Genetics 132:1131-1139.
- Ashfield, T., J. R. Danzer, D. Held, K. Clayton, P. Keim, M. A. Saghai Maroof, D. M. Webb, and R. W. Innes. 1996. *Rpg1*, a soybean gene effective against races of bacterial blight, maps to a cluster of previously identified disease resistance genes. Theor. Appl. Genet. 96:1013–1021.
- Arahana, V. S., G. L. Graef, J. E. Specht and J. R. Steadman. 2001. Identification of QTLs for *Sclerotinia* stem rot resistance in soybean using SSR markers. Crop Sci. 41:180–188.
- Bent, A. F., B. N. Kunkel, D. Dahlbeck, K. L. Brown, R. Schmidt, J. Giraudat, J. Leung, B. J. Staskawicz. 1994. *RPS2* of *Arabidopsis thaliana*: a leucine-rich repeat class of plant disease resistance genes. Science 265:1856-1860.
- Bernard, R. L., R. L. Nelson, and C. R. Cremeens. 1991. USDA soybean genetic collection: isoline collection. Soybean Genet. Newsl. 18:27-57.
- Bos, L. 1972. Soybean mosaic virus. C.M.I./A.A.B. Descriptions of plant viruses. 93:1-4.
- Botstein, D., R. L. White, M. Skolnick, and R. W. Davis. 1980. Construction of a genetic linkage map in man using restriction fragment length polymorphisms. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 32:314-331.
- Bowers, G. R., and R. M. Goodman. 1979. Soybean mosaic virus: infection of soybean seed parts and seed transmission. Phytopathology 69:569-572.

- Buss, G. R., C. W. Roane, and S. A. Tolin. 1985. Breeding for resistance to viruses in soybeans. p.433-438. *In*: Shibles, R. (ed.) Proc. World Soybean Research Conference III. Westview Press, Boulder and London.
- Buss, G. R., R. L. Bernard, and P. Chen. 1989a. Comparative performance of soybean mosaic virus resistant and susceptible isolines. p. 76 *In*: Agronomy Abstract.
- Buss, G. R., C. W. Roane, S. A. Tolin, and P. Chen. 1989b. Inheritance of reaction to soybean mosaic virus in two soybean cultivars. Crop Sci. 29:1439-1441.
- Buss, G. R., G. Ma, S. Kristipati, P. Chen, and S. A. Tolin. 1999. A new allele at the *Rsv3* locus for resistance to soybean mosaic virus. p. 490. *In* H.E. Kauffman (ed.) Proc. World Soybean Res. Conf., Chicago. 4–7 Aug. 1999. VI. Superior Printing, Champaign, IL.
- Buzzell, R. I., and J. C. Tu. 1984. Inheritance of soybean resistance to soybean mosaic virus. J. Hered. 75:82.
- Buzzell, R. I., and J. C. Tu. 1989. Inheritance of soybean stem-tip necrosis reaction to soybean mosaic virus. J. Hered. 80:400-401.
- Chen, P., G. R. Buss, S. A. Tolin, and R. Veilleux. 2003. A tissue culture method for the preservation of Soybean mosaic virus strains. J. Plant, Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture 74(2):185-192, 2003.
- Chen, P., G. R. Buss, C.W. Roane, and S. A. Tolin. 1991. Allelism among genes for resistance to soybean mosaic virus in strain differential soybean cultivars. Crop Sci. 31:305–309.
- Chen, P., G. R. Buss, C. W. Roane, and S. A. Tolin. 1994. Inheritance in soybean of resistant and necrotic reactions to soybean mosaic virus strains. Crop Sci. 31:414–422.

- Chen, P., G. Ma, G. R. Buss, I. Gunduz, C. W. Roane, and S. A. Tolin. 2001. Inheritance and allelism tests of Raiden soybean for resistance to soybean mosaic virus. J. Hered. 92:51– 55.
- Chen, P., G. Ma, S. A. Tolin, I. Gunduz, and M. Cicek. 2002. Identification of a valuable gene in Suweon 97 for resistance to all strains of soybean mosaic virus. Crop Sci. 42:333–337.
- Cho, E. K., and R. M. Goodman. 1979. Strains of soybean mosaic virus: classification based on virulence in resistant soybean cultivars. Phytopathology 69:467-470.
- Demski, J. W., C. W. Kuhn, and J. B. Sinclair. 1989. Viral diseases *In*: J. B. Sinclair and P. A. Backman (eds) Compendium of soybean diseases, 3rd ed., APS Press, St. Paul. pp 50-51.
- Diers, B. W., L. Mansur, J. Imsande, and R. C. Shoemaker. 1992. Mapping *Phytophthora* resistance loci in soybean with restriction fragment length polymorphism markers. Crop Sci. 32:377-383.
- Flor, A. H. 1947. Inheritance of reaction to rust in flax. Journal of Agricultural Research. 74:241-262.
- Gai, J., Y. Hu, Z. Cui, H. Zhi, W. Hu, and Z. Ren. 1989. An evaluation of resistance of soybean germplasm to strains of soybean mosaic virus. Soybean Science (China) 8:323-330.
- Galvez, G. E. 1963. Host range, purification, and electron microscopy of soybean mosaic virus. Phytopathology 53:388-393.
- Goodman, R. M., G. R. Bowers, and E. H. Paschal. 1979. Identification of soybean germplasm lines and cultivars with low incidence of soybean mosaic virus transmission through seed. Crop Sci. 19:264-267.

- Gore, M. A., A. J. Hayes, S. C. Jeong, Y. G. Yue, G. R. Buss, and M. A. Sagahi Maroof. 2002. Mapping tightly linked genes controlling potyvirus infection at the *Rsv1* and *Rpv1* region in soybean. Genome 45:592-599.
- Gunduz, I. 2000. Genetic analysis of soybean mosaic virus resistance in soybean. Ph.D. Diss. Virginia Polytechnic Inst. and State Univ., Blacksburg.
- Gunduz, I., G. R. Buss, G. Ma, P. Chen, and S. A. Tolin. 2001. Genetic analysis of resistance to soybean mosaic virus in OX670 and Harosoy soybean. Crop Sci. 41:1785–1791.
- Gunduz, I., G. R. Buss, P. Chen, and S. A. Tolin. 2002. Characterization of SMV resistance genes in Tousan140 and Hourei soybean. Crop Sci. 42:90–95.
- Gunduz, I., G. R. Buss, P. Chen, and S. A. Tolin. 2004. Genetic and phenotypic analysis of Soybean mosaic virus resistance in PI 88788 soybean. Phytopathology 94:687-692.
- Hayes. A. J. 1998. Characterization of the soybean genome in regions surrounding two loci for resistance to soybean mosaic virus. PhD dissertation, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA.
- Hayes, A. J., G. Ma, G. R. Buss, and M. A. Saghai Maroof. 2000b. Molecular marker mapping of *Rsv4*, a gene conferring resistance to all known strains of soybean mosaic virus. Crop Sci. 40:1434-1437.
- Hayes, A. J., and M. A. Saghai Maroof. 2000. Targeted resistance gene mapping in soybean using modified AFLPs. Theor. Appl. Genet. 100:1279–1283.
- Hayes, A. J., Y. G. Yue, M. A. Saghai Maroof. 2000a. Expression of two soybean resistance gene candidates shows divergence of paralogous single-copy genes. Theor. Appl. Genet. 101:789–795. Hered. 74:289–291.

- Hill, J. H., and H. I. Benner. 1980a. Properties of soybean mosaic virus and its isolated protein. Phytopathology 97:272-281.
- Hill, J. H., and H. I. Benner. 1980b. Properties of soybean mosaic virus ribonuclic acid. Phytopathology 70:236-239.
- Hunst, P. L. and S. A. Tolin. 1982. Isolation and comparison of two strains of soybean mosaic virus. Phytopathology 72:710-713.
- Iizuka, N. 1973. Seed transmission of viruses by soybeans. Bulletin, Tohoku Nat. Agric. Stn. 46:131-141.
- Irwin, M. E., and R. M. Goodman. 1981. Ecology and control of soybean mosaic virus p.181 220. *In*: Maramorsch, K., and K. F. Harris (eds.) Plant diseases and vectors: ecology and epidemiology. Academic Press, New York.
- Jayaram, C., J. H. Hill, and W. A. Miller. 1992. Complete nucleotide sequences of two soybean mosaic virus strains differentiated by response of soybean containing the *Rsv* resistance gene. J. Gen. Virol. 73:2067-2077.
- Jeong, S. C., A. J. Hayes, R. M. Biyashev, and M. A. Saghai Maroof. 2001. Diversity and evolution of a non-TIR–NBS sequence family that clusters to a chromosomal "hotspot" for disease resistance genes in soybean. Theor. Appl. Genet. 103:406–414.
- Kanazin, V., L. F. Marek, and R. C. Shoemaker. 1996. Resistance gene analogs are conserved and clustered in soybean. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 93:11 746–11 750.
- Kiihl, R. A. S., and E. E. Hartwig. 1979. Inheritance of reaction to soybean mosaic virus in soybeans. Crop Sci. 19:372-375.

- Koshimizu, S., and T. Iizuka. 1963. Studies on soybean virus diseases in Japan. Tohoku National Agricultural Exp. Stn. 27:1-104.
- Kwon, C. W., J. W. Oh. 1980. Resistance to a necrotic strain of soybean mosaic virus in soybeans. Crop Sci. 20:403-404.
- Lander, E. S., P. Green, J. Abrahamson, A. Barlow, M. J. Daly, S. E. Lincoln, L. Newburg. 1987. MAPMAKER: An interactive computer package for constructing primary genetic linkage maps of experimental and natural populations. Genomics 1:174-181.
- Leister, D., A. Ballvora, F. Salamini, and C. Gebhardt. 1996. A PCR-based approach for isolating pathogen resistance genes from potato with potential for wide application in plants. Nat. Genet. 14:421–429.
- Lindbo, J. A., and W. G. Dougherty. 1994. Potyviruses. p.1148-1153. *In*: Webster, R.G., Granoff, A. (eds.) Encyclopaedia of Virology. 3. Academic Press, London.
- Ma, G. 1996. Genetic analysis of soybean reactions to soybean mosaic virus. Ph.D. Dissertation, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA.
- Ma, G., P. Chen, G. R. Buss, and S. A. Tolin. 1995. Genetic characteristics of two genes for resistance to soybean mosaic virus in PI 486355 soybean. Theor. Appl. Genet. 91:907-914.
- Ma, G., P. Chen, G. R. Buss, and S. A. Tolin. 2002. Complementary action of two genes in Columbia soybean for resistance to soybean mosaic virus. J. Heredity 93:179-184.
- Ma, G., P. Chen, G. R. Buss, and S. A. Tolin. 2003. Genetic study of a lethal necrosis to Soybean mosaic virus in PI507389. J. Heredity 94:205-211.
- Madhvi, U., Lakshmi Raj, and M. Weir. 1995. Rapid method for the elution and analysis of PCR products separated on high resolution acrylamide gels. BioTechniques 18,1:33-34.

- Martin G. B., J. Williams, and S. D. Tanksley. 1991. Rapid identification of markers linked to *Pseuodomonas* resistance gene in tomato by using random primers and near-isogenic lines. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 88:2336-2340.
- Mathews, R. E. F. 1991. Plant Virology. 3rd edition. Academic Press, New York.
- Maughan, P. J., M. A. Saghai Maroof, G. R. Buss, and G. M. Huestis. 1996. Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) in soybean: specific diversity, inheritance, and nearisogenic line analysis. Theor. Appl. Genet. 93:392-401.
- Maury, Y. 1985. Soybean mosaic virus. p.507-514. *In:* Shilbles, R. (ed.) Proc. of world soybean research conference III. Westview Press, Boulder and London.
- Meksem, K., D. Leister, J. Peleman, M. Zabeau, F. Salamini, and C. Gebhardt. 1995. A highresolution map of the vicinity of the *R1* locus on chromosome V of potato based on RFLP and AFLP markers. Mol. Gen. Genet. 249:74-81.
- Melchinger, A. E. 1989. Use of molecular markers in breeding for oligogenic disease resistance. Plant Breeding 104:1-19.
- Michelmore, R. W., I. Pran, and R. V. Kesseli. 1991. Identification of markers linked to disease resistance genes by bulked segregatnt analysis: a rapid method to detect markers in specific genomic regions using segregating populations. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 88:9828-9832.
- Michelmore, R. W., and B. C. Meyers. 1998. Clusters of resistance genes in plants evolve by divergent selection and a birth-and-death process. Genome Res. 8:1113–1130.
- Polzin, K. M., D. G. Lohnes, C. D. Nickel, R. C. Shoemaker. 1994. Integration of Rps2, Rmd, and Rj2 into linkage group J of the soybean molecular map. J. Hered. 85:300-303.

- Prayor, T., and J. Ellis. 1993. The genetic complexity of fungal resistant genes in plants. Adv. Plant Pathol. 10:281-305.
- Qiu, B. X., P. R. Arelli, and D. A. Sleper. 1999. RFLP markers associated with soybean cyst nematode resistance and seed composition in a 'Peking', 'Essex' population. Theor. Appl. Genet. 98:356–364.
- Rector, B. G., J. N. All, W. A. Parrott, and H. R. Boerma. 1999. Quantitative trait loci for antixenosis resistance to corn earworm in soybean. Crop Sci. 39:531–538.
- Roane, C. W., S. A. Tolin, and G. R. Buss. 1983. Inheritance of reaction to two viruses in the soybean cross 'York x Lee 68'. J. Hered. 74:289-291.
- Ross, J. P. 1969a. Effect of time and sequence of inoculation of soybeans with soybean mosaic bean pod mottle viruses on yields and seed characters. Phytopathology 59:1404-1408.
- Saghai Maroof, M. A., K. M. Soliman, R. A. Joregensen, and R. W. Allard. 1984. Ribosomal DNA spacer-length polymorphisms in barley: Mendelian inheritence, chromosomal location, and population dynamics. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81:8014-8018.
- Shigemori, I. 1988. Inheritence of resistance to soybean mosaic virus (SMV) C-strain in soybeans. Jpn. J. Breed. 38:346-356.
- Shoemaker, R. C., and T. C. Olson. 1993. Molecular linkage map of soybean. *In*: Genetics maps, 6th edn. book 6, plants. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York, pp 131-138.
- Sinclair, J. B. 1982. Compendium of soybean diseases. The American Phytopathology Society, St. Paul, Minn. 104pp.

- Suiter, K. A., J. F. Wendel, and J. S. Case. 1983. Linnkage-1: A Pascal computer program for the detection and analysis of genetic linkage. J. Hered. 74:203-204.
- Tamulonis, J. P., B. M. Luzzi, R. S. Hussey, W. A. Parrott, and H. R. Boerma. 1997. DNA markers associated with resistance to javanese root-knot nematode in soybean. Crop Sci. 37:783–788.
- Tanksley, S. D., M. W. Ganal, J. P. Prince, M. C. de Vicente, M. W. Bonierbale, P. Broun, T. M. Fulton, J. J. Giovannoni, S. Grandillo, G. B. Messeguer, J. C. Miller, A. H. Paterson, O. Pineda, M. S. Roder, R. A. Wing, W. Wu, and N. D. Young. 1992. High-density molecular linkage maps of the tomato and potato genomes. Genetics 132:1141-1160.
- Tanksley, S. D., and C. M. Rick. 1980. Isozymic gene linkage map of tomato: applications in genetics and breeding. Theor. Appl. Genet. 57:161-170.
- Thottappilly, G., and H. W. Rossel. 1987. Viruses affecting soybean. p.53-68. *In*: Soybeans for the tropics: research, production and utilization. Singh, S. R., K. O. Rachie, and K. E. Dashiell (eds.) John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, U. K.
- Tu, J. C., and R. I. Buzzell. 1987. Stem-tip necrosis: a hypersensitive, temperature dependent, dominant gene reaction of soybean to infection by soybean mosaic virus. Can. J. Plant Sci. 67:661-665.
- Upender, M., L. Raj, and M. Weir. 1995. Rapid method for the elution and analysis of PCR products separated on high resolution polyacrylamide gels. BioTechniques 18:33–34.
- Vos, P., R. Hogers, M. Bleeker, M. Reijans, T. Van der Lee, M. Hornes, A. Frijters, J. Pot, J. Peleman, M. Kuiper, and M. Zabeau. 1995. AFLP: a new technique for DNA fingerprinting. Nucleic Acids Res. 23:4407-4414.
- Walkey, D. G. A. 1991. Applied Plant Virology. Second Edition, Chapman and Hall, London. 338 p.

- Webb, D. M., B. M. Baltazar, A. P. Rao-Arelli, J. Schupp, K. Clayton, P. Keim, and W. D. Beavis. 1995. Genetic mapping of soybean cyst nematode race-3 resistance loci in the soybean PI 437.654. Theor. Appl. Genet. 91:574-581.
- Whitham, S., S. P. Dinesh-Kumar, D. Choi, R. Hehl, C. Corr, and B. Baker. 1994. The product of the tobacco mosaic virus resistance gene *N*: similarity to Toll and Inter leukin-1 receptor. Cell 78:1101-1115.
- Williams, J. G. K., A. R. Kubelik, K. J. Livak, J. A. Rafalski, and S. V. Tingey. 1990. DNA polymorphisms amplified by arbitrary primers are useful as genetic markers. Nucleic Acids Res. 18:6531-6535.
- Young, N. D., D. Zamir, M. W. Ganal and S. D. Tanksley. 1988. Use of isogeneic lines and simultaneous probing to identify DNA markers tightly linked to the *Tm-2a* gene in tomato. Genetics 120:579-585.
- Yu, Y. G., G. R. Buss, and M. A. Saghai Maroof. 1996. Isolation of a superfamily of candidate disease-resistance genes in soybean based on a conserved nucleotide-binding site. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 93:11751-11756.
- Yu, Y. G., M. A. Saghai Maroof, and G. R. Buss. 1995. Divergence and allelomorphic relationship of a soybean virus resistance gene based on tightly linked DNA microsatellite and RFLP markers. Theor. Appl. Genet. 92:64-69.
- Yu, Y. G., M. A. Saghai Maroof, G. R. Buss, P. J. Maughan, and S. A. Tolin. 1994. RFLP and microsatellite mapping of a gene for soybean mosaic virus resistance. Phytopathology 84:60-64.

| Marker source                                    | Number of<br>markers tested | Number of markers<br>polymorphic between<br>L29 and Lee 68 | Number of polymorphic<br>markers mapped in F <sub>2</sub> |
|--|-----------------------------|--|---|
| Soybean genomic library (ISU)                    | 97                          | 28   | 16  |
| N-terminal nucleotide-binding site (NBS) markers | 23                          | 8  | 5   |
| Cowpea and mung bean genomic library             | 26                          | 5  | 0   |
| Total  | 146                         | 41   | 21  |

**TABLE 1:** The sources and numbers of RFLP molecular markers tested, detected polymorphism and used in segregation analysis.

**TABLE 2:** Segregation analysis of disease response to Soybean mosaic virus (SMV) strain G7 conditioned by the resistance gene*Rsv3*, and RFLP markers linked to it, in an  $F_{2:3}$  population from L29 X Lee 68.

| Loci  | <b>No. of F</b> <sub>2:3</sub> | No. of I | F <sub>2</sub> lines obs | erved for | $\chi^2$ | Probability |
|-------|--------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|----------|-------------|
|       | plants                         | AA*      | AB                       | BB        | 1:2:1    |             |
| Rsv3  | 185                            | 54       | 93                       | 38        | 2.77     | 0.25        |
| ACR1  | 80                             | 26       | 39                       | 15        | 4.19     | 0.12        |
| pA519 | 172                            | 49       | 88                       | 35        | 2.04     | 0.36        |
| pA516 | 174                            | 48       | 90                       | 36        | 4.39     | 0.11        |
| pA593 | 174                            | 48       | 90                       | 36        | 4.39     | 0.11        |

• Genotype: AA = L29, resistant to SMV G7, AB =segregating, BB = Lee 68, susceptible to SMV G7.

**Table 3:** Summary of marker genotypes of  $F_{2:3}$  plants grouped according to plant disease reaction classes for response to Soybean mosaic virus strain G7.

|         | Number of F <sub>2</sub> lines |          |    |           |    |           |    |    |          |        |         |
|---------|--------------------------------|----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|----|----------|--------|---------|
| Markers | R                              | esv3 Rsv | ,3 | Rsv3 rsv3 |    | rsv3 rsv3 |    |    | $\chi^2$ | Р      |         |
|         | AA                             | AB       | BB | AA        | AB | BB        | AA | AB | BB       |        |         |
| ACR1    | 24                             | 2        | 0  | 0         | 39 | 0         | 0  | 2  | 13       | 136.52 | < 0.001 |
| pA 519  | 46                             | 2        | 1  | 0         | 88 | 0         | 0  | 1  | 34       | 320.53 | < 0.001 |
| pA 516  | 43                             | 5        | 0  | 5         | 84 | 1         | 0  | 4  | 32       | 265.17 | < 0.001 |
| pA 593  | 43                             | 5        | 0  | 5         | 84 | 1         | 0  | 4  | 32       | 265.17 | < 0.001 |

• Genotype: AA = L29, resistant to SMV G7, AB =segregating, BB = Lee 68, susceptible to SMV G7.

• Plant disease reactions denoted as Rsv3 Rsv3: resistant, Rsv3 rsv3: segregating  $F_2$  lines, rsv3 rsv3: susceptible.



**Figure 1.** Genetic map of the soybean molecular linkage group B2 surrounding the Soybean mosaic virus resistance gene, *Rsv3*. Markers were mapped in segregating population constructed by crossing L29 (*Rsv3*) X Lee 68 (*rsv3*).



**Figure 2.** A marker linked to *Rsv3*, exhibiting clear polymorphism among parents (L29 X Lee 68), resistant and susceptible bulk segregants (B-S; B-R = bulk segregant susceptible and resistant, respectively), and near-isolines Williams and Hardee (carrying the *Rsv3* resistance gene).

## Vita

## Sesha Sai V. Kristipati

Department of Crop and Soil Environmental Sciences Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University Blacksburg, VA 24060 Email address: skristip@vt.edu

## Personal History: Born in Nellore, India on March 12, 1970

## **Education:**

- 1. Visvodaya Boys High School, Kavali, Andhara Pradesh, India. May.1980-June 1984.
- 2. Jawahar Bharati College, Kavali, Andhara Pradesh, India. August.1984-June 1986.
- 3. B.S., Agriculture, Andhra Pradesh Agrl. Univ., Tirupathi, India. August 1987-September 1992.

## **Professional Experience:**

*Employer:* Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University *Type of Employment:* Graduate Research Assistantship. *Inclusive dates:* January 1994-July 1995.

*Employer:* Systems & Computer Technology Corp., Malvern, P.A. *Type of Employment:* Programmer/Analyst. *Inclusive dates:* June 1997-August 1999.

*Employer:* Oracle Corp., Reston, V.A. *Type of Employment:* Senior Consultant. *Inclusive dates:* September 1999-May 2001.

*Employer:* PricewaterhouseCoopers Consulting (PwCC), Arlington, VA. *Type of Employment:* ERP Consultant. *Inclusive dates:* May 2001-September 2002.

*Employer:* IBM Corp., Fairfax, V.A. *Type of Employment:* Advisory IT Architect/Specialist. *Inclusive dates:* October 2002 to May 2004.