

NEUROPATHOLOGIC EFFECTS OF PHENYLMETHYLSULFONYL FLUORIDE  
(PMSF)-INDUCED PROMOTION AND PROTECTION IN ORGANOPHOSPHORUS  
ESTER-INDUCED DELAYED NEUROPATHY(OPIDN) IN HENS.

By

Christiane Massicotte

Thesis submitted to the Faculty of the Virginia Polytechnic institute & State  
University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

Veterinary Medical Sciences

APPROVED

---

Bernard S. Jortner, Chairman

---

Marion F. Ehrich

---

Karen D. Inzana

March 1998  
Blacksburg, Virginia

Keywords: Toxicology, Organophosphate, Neuropathy, Promotion

## ABSTRACT

### NEUROPATHOLOGIC EFFECTS OF PHENYLMETHYLSULFONYL FLUORIDE (PMSF)-INDUCED PROMOTION AND PROTECTION IN ORGANOPHOSPHORUS ESTER-INDUCED DELAYED NEUROPATHY(OPIDN) IN HENS.

By: Christiane Massicotte

Committee Chairman, Dr. Bernard S. Jortner  
Veterinary Medical Sciences

The serine/cysteine protease inhibitor phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) has been used both to promote and to protect against neuropathic events of organophosphorus-induced delayed neuropathy (OPIDN) in hens (Lotti *et al.*, 1991; Veronesi *et al.*, 1985; Pope and Padilla, 1990; Pope *et al.*, 1993). This study expands upon this work by correlating clinical and neuropathological findings in these modifications of OPIDN. To provide appropriate models of OPIDN, single phenyl saligenin phosphate (PSP) dosages of 0.5, 1.0, or 2.5 mg/kg were administered to adult hens. PMSF (90 mg/kg) was given either 4 hours after or 12 hours prior to PSP administration. Clinical signs and pathologic changes in the biventer cervicis nerve (El-Fawal *et al.*, 1988) were monitored. PSP alone, 2.5 mg/kg, elicited severe OPIDN (terminal clinical score  $7.5 \pm 1.0$  [0-8 scale]; neuropathology score  $2.7 \pm 0.3$  [0-4 scale, based on myelinated fiber degeneration]). PMSF given 12 hours prior to PSP gave complete protection (clinical and neuropathology scores of 0;  $p < 0.0001$ ). Signs and lesions of OPIDN were absent following 0.5 mg/kg PSP alone, but PMSF given 4 hours after PSP potentiated its neurotoxic effects (clinical score  $4.0 \pm 0.0$ ; neuropathology score  $3.5 \pm 0.3$ ;  $p < 0.0001$ ). At the time of sacrifice, there was a correlation ( $r = 0.61$ ) between the clinical score on the last day of observation and the neuropathology scores ( $p < 0.0001$ ). This study demonstrates that the intensity of peripheral nerve myelinated fiber degeneration correlates with clinical deficits in PMSF-induced potentiation and protection in OPIDN.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to dedicate this work to my parents and immediate family members, with whom I have been blessed. Without their unconditional love, understanding and support, I would not have completed this program and become the person I am. They taught me to persevere and never give up. For these reasons, I thank them infinitely.

I would like to sincerely acknowledge the generous assistance provided by the following technicians: Linda Correll, Ginny Viers, Kristel Fuhrman, Kathy Lowe, and Sandy Perkins. These persons participated actively in this project, sharing their experience, knowledge and skills, which were very important in my scientific development. Without their tremendous help, this study would not have been possible. I would like to thank Rehana Durrani since her time and patience allowed me to include additional and important data to my research. I would also like to thank all members of the reprographic team, including Don Massey, Terry Lawrence, Jerry Baber, and Havah Dinnel for their generous assistance regarding the Figures in this work, and also for all the reprographic material they allowed me to use.

I would like to particularly thank the members of my committee, Dr. Bernard S. Jortner, Dr. Marion F. Ehrich, and Dr. Karen D. Inzana, whom were greatly supportive of my work throughout my graduate program. Dr. Jortner's willingness to teach me patiently the art of pathology was above and beyond the call of duty. I could always rely on his kindness and understanding. He always put my interests as a graduate student above his own, making time to answer all my questions thoroughly, and to help me in all aspects of

my graduate studies. The tremendous amount of knowledge I acquired during this program reflect the importance he attributes to each of his graduate students. Dr. Ehrich showed me the true meaning of friendship. The energy and enthusiasm she displays towards her work and the work of her graduate students were my source of motivation. Her constant encouragement gave me the strength to persevere. She taught me how to see the positive aspects in everything and everyone. Her generosity and kindness have no limits.

I also would like to acknowledge the financial support I had to perform my research. This work was partially supported by USDA Hatch funds. Additional funding including a graduate stipend, was provided by Virginia - Maryland Regional College of Veterinary Medicine.

Finally, I would like to sincerely thank my piano teacher, Monique Pomerleau, who always believed in me and my talent. Always reaching for perfection, the love she has for her students, and her complete devotion to music will always be my major source of inspiration, no matter which path I choose to follow in my life.

Dear God,

Give me the faith to accept the things I can not change,  
The strength to change the things I can,  
And the wisdom to know the difference.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract.....	ii
Acknowledgments .....	iii
List of tables .....	viii
List of graphs.....	ix
List of figures.....	x
Abbreviations.....	xi

## I. Introduction..... 1

## II. Literature review

### A. Axonopathy and neurons

1. Synthetic activities of perikaryal and dendritic structures .....	2
2. Axonal structures .....	4
3. Mechanisms of axonal transportation .....	6
4. Physiology of the neuron.....	8
5. Axonal injuries and Wallerian degeneration .....	11
6. Toxic axonal injuries .....	13

### B. Organophosphorus compounds

1. General considerations.....	15
2. Chemical structures.....	16
3. Chemical classification related to toxicological effects of OP .....	19
4. Cyclic phenyl saligenin phosphate (PSP).....	21

### C. Effect of organophosphorus compounds on acetylcholinesterase activity .....24

### D. Organophosphate - induced delayed neuropathy (OPIDN).....26

1. Outbreaks of organophosphorus induced delayed neuropathy (OPIDN) in humans and animals .....	26
2. Effect of organophosphorus compounds on neurotoxic esterase (NTE) .....	27

3. Clinical manifestations associated with organophosphorus induced delayed neuropathy (OPIDN) .....	32
4. Neuropathologic effects of organophosphorus compounds	
a. Light microscopic lesions of OPIDN .....	34
b. Electron microscopic studies in OPIDN .....	38
5. Protection and promotion of OPIDN by phenylmethylsulfonyl floride (PMSF) .....	40
6. Other modifiers of OPIDN.	
a. Steroids .....	45
b. Calcium-channel blockers .....	47

### **III. Experimental design and methods**

A. Experimental animals and study design .....	51
B. PSP synthesis and dosages .....	54
C. PMSF use and dosages .....	54
D. Evaluation and scoring of clinical signs .....	55
E. Neuropathologic evaluation .....	56
1. Euthanasia and tissue collection .....	56
2. Tissue processing for microscopy .....	57
3. Determination of lesion intensity (score scale) .....	59
F. NTE activities .....	60
1. Tissue collection .....	60
2. Determination of neurotoxic esterase activity .....	61
G. Statistical methods .....	62

### **VI. Experimental results**

A. Neurotoxic esterase (NTE) inhibition in brain and spinal cord .....	63
B. Clinical scores	
1. Control and PMSF (90 mg/kg) groups .....	67
2. Effect of PSP administration (0.5; 1.0; 2.5 mg/kg) on clinical signs .....	68
3. Protection - PMSF 90 mg/kg followed by PSP 2.5 mg/kg .....	69
4. Potentiation - PSP 0.5 mg/kg or PSP 1.0 mg/kg followed by PMSF 90 mg/kg .....	70

C. Qualitative morphologic evaluation	
1. Light microscopy of peripheral nerve in cross sections .....	83
2. Light microscopy of teased fibers.....	90
3. Electron microscopy evaluation .....	93
D. Neuropathy lesion scores	
1. Nine days post-dosing.....	98
2. Fifteen days post-dosing .....	102
<b>V. Discussion</b>	
A. Significance of neurotoxic esterase inhibition.....	108
B. Clinical signs and morphologic changes in OPIDN.....	112
<b>VI. Conclusions.....</b>	<b>120</b>
<b>VII. References .....</b>	<b>122</b>
<b>VIII. Appendix.....</b>	<b>153</b>
<b>IX. Vita.....</b>	<b>166</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Animals, group distribution, and schedule of sacrifice.....	53
2. % of NTE inhibition 24 hours post-dosing.....	64
3. Clinical scores vs day post-dosing (PSP 2.5 mg/kg).....	71
4. Clinical scores vs day post-dosing (PSP 1.0 mg/kg).....	72
5. Clinical scores vs day post-dosing (PSP 0.5 mg/kg).....	73
6. Clinical scores vs day post-dosing (PMSF 90 mg/kg) .....	74
7. Clinical scores vs day post-dosing (negative controls).....	75
8. Clinical scores vs day post-dosing (protection).....	76
9. Clinical scores vs day post-dosing (promotion - PSP 1.0 mg/kg).....	77
10. Clinical scores vs day post-dosing (promotion - PSP 0.5 mg/kg) .....	78
11. Pathological vs clinical scores at day 9 post-dosing (cross section).....	100
12. Pathological vs clinical scores at day 9 post-dosing (teased fibers).....	101
13. Pathological vs clinical scores at day 15 post-dosing (cross section).....	104
14. Pathological vs clinical scores at day 15 post-dosing (teased fibers).....	105



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1. Wallerian degeneration of a neuron.....	12
2. Structural classification of organophosphorus compounds.....	18
3. Proposed pathway for hydroxylation of TOTP .....	22
4. Proposed pathway for cyclization of TOTP.....	23
5. Structural comparison of inhibitors of NTE .....	31
6. Steps in the interaction of NTE and OP inhibitors (aging).....	31
7. % of NTE inhibition 24 hours post-dosing (brain) .....	65
8. % of NTE inhibition 24 hours post-dosing (spinal cord) .....	66
9. Mean clinical scores vs day post-dosing (PSP 2.5 mg/kg) .....	71
10. Mean clinical scores vs day post-dosing (PSP 1.0 mg/kg).....	72
11. Mean clinical scores vs day post-dosing (PSP 0.5 mg/kg).....	73
12. Mean clinical scores vs day post-dosing (PMSF 90 mg/kg) .....	74
13. Mean clinical scores vs day post-dosing (negative controls).....	75
14. Mean clinical scores vs day post-dosing (protection) .....	76
15. Mean clinical scores vs day post-dosing (promotion - PSP 1.0 mg/kg).....	77
16. Mean clinical scores vs day post-dosing (Promotion - PSP 0.5 mg/kg).....	78
17. Comparison between clinical scores (PSP alone) .....	79
18. Protection.....	80
19. Promotion .....	81
20. Comparison between mean clinical scores and promoted groups .....	82

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
21. Cross section of the biventer cervicis nerve (negative control) .....	85
22. Cross section of the biventer cervicis nerve from PSP 1.0 mg/kg .....	86
23. Cross section of the biventer cervicis nerve from a positive control .....	87
24. Cross section of the biventer cervicis nerve with promotion.....	88
25. Cross section of the biventer cervicis nerve from a positive control (collagen).....	89
26. Teased nerve fiber from a negative control (50X).....	91
27. Teased nerve fiber with promotion of OPIDN (50X).....	91
28. Teased nerve fiber with promotion of OPIDN (250X) .....	92
29. Teased nerve fiber from a positive control (250X).....	92
30. Cross section of myelinated fiber in a promoted group.....	94
31. Cross section of myelinated fiber in a promoted group.....	95
32. Cross section of myelinated fiber in a promoted group.....	96
33. Cross section of myelinated fiber in a promoted group.....	97
34. Cross sectional mean neuropathological scores at day 9 post-dosing.....	100
35. Pathological vs clinical scores (teased fibers).....	101
36. Pathological vs clinical scores at 15 day post-dosing (cross section).....	104
37. Pathological vs clinical scores at day 15 post-dosing (teased fibers).....	105
38. Correlation between the pathological and clinical scores in cross section .....	106
39. Correlation between the pathological and clinical scores with teased fibers.....	107

## ABBREVIATIONS

**ACh:** Acetylcholine  
**AChE:** Acetylcholinesterase  
**ANOVA:** Analysis of variance  
**ATPase:** Adenosine triphosphatase  
**CR:** Cross section  
**BPAU:** Parabromophenylacetylurea  
**CS:** Clinical score  
**DFP:** Diisopropyl-fluorophosphate  
**DNA:** Deoxyribonucleotide acid  
**EPN:** O'-ethyl-O-p-nitrophenyl phenylphosphonothioate  
**Epot:** Equilibrium potential  
**EPSP:** Excitatory post - synaptic potential  
**GLM:** General linear models  
**I:** Immersion fixation  
**IPSP:** Inhibitory post - synaptic potential  
**KD:** Kilodalton  
**MANOVA:** Multivariate analysis of variance  
**MAP:** Microtubule - associate protein  
**mRNA:** Messenger ribonucleic acid  
**N:** Nitrogen  
**NAD:** Neuroaxonal dystrophy  
**NTE:** Neurotoxic esterase or neuropathy target esterase  
**NTF:** Nerve fiber teasing technique  
**O:** Oxygen  
**OCD:** Ornithine decarboxylase  
**OP:** Organophosphorus  
**OPIDN:** Organophosphorus-induced delayed neuropathy  
**P:** Phosphorous  
**pf:** perfusion fixation  
**p:** statistical probability  
**PMSF:** Phenyl methane sulfonyl fluoride  
**Pscore:** Pathological score  
**Pscoret:** Pathological score with teased fibers  
**PSP:** Phenyl saligenin phosphate  
**rRNA:** Ribosomal ribonucleic acid  
**S:** Sulfur  
**SER:** Smooth endoplasmic reticulum  
**Stat:** Statistic  
**Stdev or SD:** Standard deviation  
**TOCP:** Tri-o-cresyl phosphate  
**TOTP:** Tri-o-tolyl phosphate  
**TPP:** Tri-phenyl phosphite  
**US EPA:** United States Environmental Protection Agency  
**VP :** Voltage potential  
**% nor F:** Percentage of normal fibers  
**% deg F:** Percentage of degenerated fibers