

## **Text and Data Mining for Systematic Reviews – Investigating Trends to Update Collaboration Services**

**Archived in the VTechWorks Institutional Repository:**

<http://hdl.handle.net/10919/73042>

Virginia Pannabecker, M.A. (I.R.L.S.), M.A., AHIP Sr.  
Health Sciences Research Support Coordinator; Health, Life Sciences, and Scholarly  
Communication Librarian  
University Libraries, Virginia Tech

Presentation on September 27, 2016 at the *L<sup>3</sup>: Librarians, Leadership and Learning MLA Tri-Chapter Meeting*, Mid-Atlantic Chapter, the New York/New Jersey Chapter, and the Philadelphia Regional Chapter of the Medical Library Association.

### **Abstract:**

When discussing project planning for systematic reviews and meta-analyses with faculty and graduate students, librarians sometimes hear wistful inquiries about automated approaches. Systematic reviews (SRs) require management, analysis, and synthesis of large amounts of data, perhaps particularly those including numerous studies with qualitative text-based data. To investigate how text and data mining approaches might be used in SRs to increase project efficiency, the author conducted, and reports on the results of a literature review.

## **Reference List**

### **Recommended Readings**

[1-5]

### **Articles about the Use of Text or Data Mining in Systematic Reviews or Meta-Analyses**

[1-57]

### **Examples Systematic Review or Meta-Analysis Studies Using Text or Data Mining Techniques**

[58-81]

## Reference List

### Overview Readings

1. Paynter, R., et al., *EPC Methods: An Exploration of the Use of Text-Mining Software in Systematic Reviews*. 2016, Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US).
2. O'Mara-Eves, A., et al., *Using text mining for study identification in systematic reviews: a systematic review of current approaches*. *Systematic Reviews*, 2015. **4**: p. 5.
3. O'Mara-Eves, A., et al., *Erratum to: Using text mining for study identification in systematic reviews: a systematic review of current approaches*. *Systematic reviews*, 2015. **4**: p. 59.
4. Jonnalagadda, S.R., P. Goyal, and M.D. Huffman, *Automating data extraction in systematic reviews: a systematic review*. *Systematic reviews*, 2015. **4**: p. 78.
5. Lefebvre, C., et al., *Methodological developments in searching for studies for systematic reviews: past, present and future?* *Systematic reviews*, 2013. **2**: p. 78.

### Studies on Use of Text and Data Mining Methods for Systematic Reviews / Meta Analyses

6. Shemilt, I., et al., *Use of cost-effectiveness analysis to compare the efficiency of study identification methods in systematic reviews*. *Systematic reviews*, 2016. **5**(1): p. 140.
7. Olorisade, B.K., et al. *A critical analysis of studies that address the use of text mining for citation screening in systematic reviews*. 2016. Association for Computing Machinery.
8. Millard, L.A.C., P.A. Flach, and J.P.T. Higgins, *Machine learning to assist risk-of-bias assessments in systematic reviews*. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 2016. **45**(1): p. 266-277.
9. Howard, B.E., et al., *SWIFT-Review: a text-mining workbench for systematic review*. *Systematic reviews*, 2016. **5**: p. 87.
10. Howard, B.E., Jason Phillips, Kyle Miller, Arpit Tandon, Deepak Mav, Mihir R. Shah, Holmgren, Stephanie, Pelch, Katherine E., Walker, Vickie, Rooney, Andrew A., Macleod, Malcolm, Shah, Ruchir R., Thayer, Kristina *SWIFT-Review Manuscript Published in Systematic Reviews Journal*, in *Sciome*. 2016.
11. Hausner, E., et al., *Prospective comparison of search strategies for systematic reviews: an objective approach yielded higher sensitivity than a conceptual one*. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*, 2016.
12. Hashimoto, K., et al., *Topic detection using paragraph vectors to support active learning in systematic reviews*. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 2016. **62**: p. 59-65.
13. Vasques, X., et al., *Automatic target validation based on neuroscientific literature mining for tractography*. *Frontiers in neuroanatomy*, 2015. **9**: p. 66.
14. Timsina, P., O.F. El-Gayar, and J. Liu. *Leveraging advanced analytics techniques for medical systematic review update*. 2015. IEEE Computer Society.
15. Nepomuceno, V. *Decision support architecture for primary studies evaluation*. 2015. CEUR-WS.
16. Mo, Y., G. Kontonatsios, and S. Ananiadou, *Supporting systematic reviews using LDA-based document representations*. *Systematic reviews*, 2015. **4**: p. 172.

17. Mergel, G.D., M.S. Silveira, and T.S. Da Silva. *A method to support search string building in systematic literature reviews through visual text mining*. 2015. Association for Computing Machinery.
18. Hausner, E., et al., *Development of search strategies for systematic reviews: validation showed the noninferiority of the objective approach*. Journal of clinical epidemiology, 2015. **68**(2): p. 191-199.
19. Cohen, A.M., et al., *Automated confidence ranked classification of randomized controlled trial articles: an aid to evidence-based medicine*. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association : JAMIA, 2015. **22**(3): p. 707-717.
20. Blake, C. and A. Lucic, *Automatic endpoint detection to support the systematic review process*. Journal of Biomedical Informatics, 2015. **56**: p. 42-56.
21. Wang, Z., et al., *Dual computer monitors to increase efficiency of conducting systematic reviews*. Journal of clinical epidemiology, 2014. **67**(12): p. 1353-1357.
22. Timsina, P., O. El-Gayar, and N. Nawar. *Leveraging advanced analytics to generate dynamic medical systematic reviews*. 2014. Association for Information Systems.
23. Thompson, J., J. Davis, and L. Mazerolle, *A systematic method for search term selection in systematic reviews*. Research synthesis methods, 2014. **5**(2): p. 87-97.
24. Petersen, H., et al., *Increased workload for systematic review literature searches of diagnostic tests compared with treatments: challenges and opportunities*. JMIR medical informatics, 2014. **2**(1): p. e11.
25. Miwa, M., et al., *Reducing systematic review workload through certainty-based screening*. Journal of Biomedical Informatics, 2014. **51**: p. 242-253.
26. Marshall, I.J., J. Kuiper, and B.C. Wallace. *Automating risk of bias assessment for clinical trials*. 2014. Association for Computing Machinery, Inc.
27. Mahood, Q., D. Van Eerd, and E. Irvin, *Searching for grey literature for systematic reviews: challenges and benefits*. Research synthesis methods, 2014. **5**(3): p. 221-234.
28. Garcia Adeva, J.J., et al., *Automatic text classification to support systematic reviews in medicine*. Expert Systems with Applications, 2014. **41**(4 PART 1): p. 1498-1508.
29. Felizardo, K.R., et al. *A visual analysis approach to update systematic reviews*. 2014. Association for Computing Machinery.
30. Elliott, J.H., et al., *Living Systematic Reviews: An Emerging Opportunity to Narrow the Evidence-Practice Gap*. PLOS Med, 2014. **11**(2): p. e1001603.
31. Baxter, S.K., et al., *Using logic model methods in systematic review synthesis: describing complex pathways in referral management interventions*. BMC medical research methodology, 2014. **14**: p. 62.
32. Aref, A. and T. Tran. *Using ensemble of Bayesian classifying algorithms for medical systematic reviews*. 2014. Springer Verlag.
33. Wallace, B.C., et al., *Modernizing the systematic review process to inform comparative effectiveness: tools and methods*. Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research, 2013. **2**(3): p. 273-282.
34. Tsafnat, G., et al., *The automation of systematic reviews*. BMJ (Clinical research ed.), 2013. **346**: p. f139.
35. Stansfield, C., J. Thomas, and J. Kavanagh, *'Clustering' documents automatically to support scoping reviews of research: a case study*. Research synthesis methods, 2013. **4**(3): p. 230-241.

36. Kitchenham, B. and P. Brereton, *A systematic review of systematic review process research in software engineering*. Information and software technology, 2013. **55**(12): p. 2049-2075.
37. Felizardo, K.R., E.F. Barbosa, and J.C. Maldonado. *A visual approach to validate the selection review of primary studies in systematic reviews: A replication study*. 2013. Knowledge Systems Institute Graduate School.
38. Fabbri, S., et al., *Using Information Visualization and Text Mining to Facilitate the Conduction of Systematic Literature Reviews*, in *Enterprise Information Systems, Iceis 2012*, J. Cordeiro, L.A. Maciaszek, and J. Filipe, Editors. 2013. p. 243-256.
39. Wallace, B.C., et al., *Toward modernizing the systematic review pipeline in genetics: efficient updating via data mining*. Genetics in medicine, 2012. **14**(7): p. 663-669.
40. Felizardo, K.R., et al., *A visual analysis approach to validate the selection review of primary studies in systematic reviews*. Information and Software Technology, 2012. **54**(10): p. 1079-1091.
41. Fabbri, S., et al. *Managing Literature reviews information through visualization*. 2012. International Conference on Enterprise Information.
42. Bekhuis, T. and D. Demner-Fushman, *Screening nonrandomized studies for medical systematic reviews: a comparative study of classifiers*. Artificial intelligence in medicine, 2012. **55**(3): p. 197-207.
43. Tomassetti, F., et al. *Linked data approach for selection process automation in systematic reviews*. 2011. Institution of Engineering and Technology.
44. Thomas, J., J. McNaught, and S. Ananiadou, *Applications of text mining within systematic reviews*. Research synthesis methods, 2011. **2**(1): p. 1-14.
45. Frunza, O., et al., *Exploiting the systematic review protocol for classification of medical abstracts*. Artificial intelligence in medicine, 2011. **51**(1): p. 17-25.
46. Felizardo, K.R., et al. *Using visual text mining to support the study selection activity in systematic literature reviews*. 2011. IEEE.
47. Wallace, B.C., et al., *Semi-automated screening of biomedical citations for systematic reviews*. BMC bioinformatics, 2010. **11**(1): p. 1.
48. Wallace, B.C., et al. *Active learning for biomedical citation screening*. 2010. Association for Computing Machinery.
49. Cohen, A.M., et al. *Evidence-based medicine, the essential role of systematic reviews, and the need for automated text mining tools*. 2010. Association for Computing Machinery.
50. Boudin, F., J.-Y. Nie, and M. Dawes. *Deriving a test collection for clinical information retrieval from systematic reviews*. 2010. Association for Computing Machinery.
51. Razavi, A.H., et al. *Parameterized contrast in second order soft co-occurrences: A novel text representation technique in text mining and knowledge extraction*. 2009. IEEE Computer Society.
52. Karimi, S., et al. *The challenge of high recall in biomedical systematic search*. 2009. Association for Computing Machinery.
53. Cohen, A.M., K. Ambert, and M. McDonagh, *Cross-topic learning for work prioritization in systematic review creation and update*. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 2009. **16**(5): p. 690-704.
54. Ananiadou, S., et al., *Supporting systematic reviews using text mining*. Social Science Computer Review, 2009.
55. Yang, J.J., A.M. Cohen, and M.S. McDonagh, *SYRIAC: The systematic review information automated collection system a data warehouse for facilitating*

- automated biomedical text classification*. AMIA ... Annual Symposium proceedings / AMIA Symposium. AMIA Symposium, 2008: p. 825-829.
56. Malheiros, V., et al. *A visual text mining approach for systematic reviews*. 2007. Inst. of Elec. and Elec. Eng. Computer Society.
  57. Cohen, A.M., et al., *Reducing workload in systematic review preparation using automated citation classification*. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 2006. **13**(2): p. 206-219.

### **Examples of Systematic Review / Meta-Analysis Studies Using Text or Data Mining Methods**

58. Uttley, L., et al., *Building the Evidence Base of Blood-Based Biomarkers for Early Detection of Cancer: A Rapid Systematic Mapping Review*. EBioMedicine, 2016. **10**: p. 164-173.
59. O'Connor, S., et al., *Understanding factors affecting patient and public engagement and recruitment to digital health interventions: a systematic review of qualitative studies*. BMC medical informatics and decision making, 2016. **16**(1): p. 120.
60. Chavalarias, D., et al., *Evolution of Reporting P Values in the Biomedical Literature, 1990-2015*. JAMA: Journal of the American Medical Association, 2016. **315**(11): p. 1141-1148.
61. Abbe, A., et al., *Text mining applications in psychiatry: a systematic literature review*. International journal of methods in psychiatric research, 2016. **25**(2): p. 86-100.
62. Qazi, A., J. Quigley, and A. Dickson. *Supply Chain Risk Management: Systematic literature review and a conceptual framework for capturing interdependencies between risks*. 2015. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc.
63. Mwachui, M.A., et al., *Environmental and Behavioural Determinants of Leptospirosis Transmission: A Systematic Review*. PLoS neglected tropical diseases, 2015. **9**(9): p. e0003843.
64. Kim, R.B., et al., *State of the field: An informatics-based systematic review of the SOD1-G93A amyotrophic lateral sclerosis transgenic mouse model*. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis & frontotemporal degeneration, 2015. **17**(1-2): p. 1-14.
65. Holman, L., et al., *Evidence of Experimental Bias in the Life Sciences: Why We Need Blind Data Recording*. PLoS biology, 2015. **13**(7): p. e1002190.
66. Dubey, A.K., U. Gupta, and S. Jain, *Breast cancer statistics and prediction methodology: a systematic review and analysis*. Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention : APJCP, 2015. **16**(10): p. 4237-4245.
67. Cochran, G., et al., *Defining Nonmedical Use of Prescription Opioids Within Health Care Claims: A Systematic Review*. Substance Abuse, 2015. **36**(2): p. 192-202.
68. Braithwaite, J., *Bridging gaps to promote networked care between teams and groups in health delivery systems: a systematic review of non-health literature*. BMJ open, 2015. **5**(9): p. e006567.
69. Mytton, J., et al., *Facilitators and barriers to engagement in parenting programs: a qualitative systematic review*. Health education & behavior : the official publication of the Society for Public Health Education, 2014. **41**(2): p. 127-137.
70. May, B.H., et al., *The Systematic Assessment of Traditional Evidence from the Premodern Chinese Medical Literature: A Text-Mining Approach*. Journal of Alternative & Complementary Medicine, 2014. **20**(12): p. 937-942.

71. Karystianis, G., I. Buchan, and G. Nenadic, *Mining characteristics of epidemiological studies from Medline: a case study in obesity*. Journal of biomedical semantics, 2014. **5**: p. 22.
72. Al-Razgan, M., A.S. Al-Khalifa, and H.S. Al-Khalifa. *Educational Data Mining: A systematic review of the published literature 2006-2013*. 2014. Springer Verlag.
73. Shemilt, I., et al., *Economic instruments for population diet and physical activity behaviour change: a systematic scoping review*. PloS one, 2013. **8**(9): p. e75070.
74. Zil, E.H., M. Bano, and N. Ikram. *Software process improvement: A systematic literature review*. 2012. IEEE Computer Society.
75. Keupp, M.M., M. Palmié, and O. Gassmann, *The strategic management of innovation: A systematic review and paths for future research*. International Journal of Management Reviews, 2012. **14**(4): p. 367-390.
76. Sun, H., C.E. Keefer, and D.O. Scott, *Systematic and pairwise analysis of the effects of aromatic halogenation and trifluoromethyl substitution on human liver microsomal clearance*. Drug metabolism letters, 2011. **5**(4): p. 232-242.
77. Oertelt-Prigione, S., et al., *Stroke and myocardial infarction: a comparative systematic evaluation of gender-specific analysis, funding and authorship patterns in cardiovascular research*. Cerebrovascular diseases (Basel, Switzerland), 2011. **31**(4): p. 373-381.
78. Marinov, M., et al., *Data-mining technologies for diabetes: a systematic review*. Journal of diabetes science and technology, 2011. **5**(6): p. 1549-1556.
79. Abba, M.C., et al., *Breast cancer biomarker discovery in the functional genomic age: a systematic review of 42 gene expression signatures*. Biomarker insights, 2010. **5**: p. 103-118.
80. Moffet, H.H., *Sham acupuncture may be as efficacious as true acupuncture: a systematic review of clinical trials*. The Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine, 2009. **15**(3): p. 213-216.
81. Hauben, M. and J.K. Aronson, *Defining 'signal' and its subtypes in pharmacovigilance based on a systematic review of previous definitions*. Drug safety, 2009. **32**(2): p. 99-110.