## REFERENCE LIST

- Airasian, P. W. (1987a). The consequences of high school graduation testing programs. NASSP Bulletin, 71 (496), 54-67.
- Airasian, P. W. (1987b). State mandated testing and educational reform: Context and consequences. American Journal of Education, 95, 393-412.
- Airasian, P. W. (1988). Symbolic validation: The case of state-mandated, high-stakes testing. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 10 (4), 301-313.
- Airasian, P. W. (1993). Policy-driven assessment or assessment driven policy? <u>Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development, 26</u> (1), 22-30.
- Alexander, F. (1993). National standards: A new conventional wisdom. <u>Educational</u> <u>Leadership</u>. February, 1993, pp. 9-10.
- Allington, R. L., & McGill-Franzen, A. (1992a). Does high-stakes testing improve school effectiveness? <u>ERS Spectrum</u>, 10 (2), 3-12.
- Allington, R. L. & McGill-Franzen, A. (1992b). Unintended effects of educational reform in New York. <u>Educational Policy</u>, 6 (4), 397-414.
- Archer, E. L., & Dresden, J. H. (1987). A new kind of dropout, The effect of minimum competency testing on high school graduation in Texas. <u>Education and Urban Society</u>, 19 (3), 269-278.
- Arons, S. (1997). <u>Short route to chaos</u>. Amherst, MA: University of Massachusetts Press.
- Ary, D., Jacobs. L.C., & Razavieh, A. (1996). <u>Introduction to research in education</u>. New York: Harcourt Brace.
- Berliner, D. C. (1995). <u>The manufactured crisis: Myths, fraud, and the attack on America=s public schools</u>. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Biddle, B. J. (1997). Foolishness, dangerous nonsense, and real correlates of state differences in achievement. <u>Phi Delta Kappan</u>, September, 9-13.
- Blumberg, A. (1974). <u>Supervisors and teachers, a private cold war</u>. Berkeley, CA: McCutchan.
- Bracey, G. W. (1991). Why can=t they be like we were? Phi Delta Kappan, October, 104-117.

- Bracey G. (1995). <u>Final exam.</u> A study of the perpetual scrutiny of American education. Bloomington, IN: Technos Press.
- Callahan, R. (1962). <u>Education and the cult of efficiency.</u> Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Cameron, J. A., (1997). <u>Neither excellence nor equity: A case study of reform, reproduction, and resistance at an urban elementary school</u>. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Binghamton University, State University of New York.
- Clifford, L. S. (1995). <u>The impact of state-mandated student performance assessment on</u> instructional practices. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Louisville.
- Clune, W. H. (1993). The best path to systemic educational policy: Standard/centralized or differentiated/decentralized? <u>Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis (15)</u> 3, 233-254.
- Comenius, J. A. (1632). <u>The great didactic</u>. Reissued 1967. New York: Russell and Russell.
- Corbett, H. D., & Wilson, B. L. (1991). <u>Testing, reform, and rebellion.</u> Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Corporation.
- Cronbach, L. (1951). Coefficient Alpha and the Internal Structure of Tests. Psychometrika (16) 3, 297-334.
- Cross, L. H. (1999). Are Virginia=s public schools failing? <u>Virginia Issues and Answers</u>, 6 (1), 2-6.
- Danielson, M.L. (1999). <u>How principals perceive and respond to a high-stakes accountability measure.</u> Unpublished doctoral dissertation: The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.
- Darling-Hammond, L., & Wise, A. E. (1985). Beyond standardization: State standards and school improvement. <u>The Elementary School Journal</u>, 85, 315-336.
- Darling-Hammond, L. (1991). The implications of testing policy for quality and equity. Phi Delta Kappan, 73 (3), 220-225.
- Darling-Hammond, L. (1994a). Performance-based assessment and educational equity. <u>Harvard Educational Review, 64</u> (1), 5-29.
- Darling-Hammond, L. (1994b). National standards and assessments: Will they improve education? American Journal of Education, 102, 478-510.

- Davison, M., Koeppen, L, Kwak, N., Schleisman, J., and Wu, Y. (2001). <u>A few weeks of summer: Post-summer school achievement among state-funded students who do not initially pass Minnesota=s high school graduation test.</u> Department of Educational Policy and Administration Department of Educational Psychology, Office of Educational Accountability, College of Education and Human Development: University of Minnesota.
  - Dillman, D. A. (1978). Mail and telephone surveys. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Dorn, S. (1998). The political legacy of school accountability systems. <u>Education Policy Analysis Archives</u>, 6 (1), 1-31.
- Drury, H. (1922). <u>Scientific management: A history and criticism.</u> New York: AMS Press.
- Fish, J. (1988). <u>Responses to mandated standardized testing</u>. Unpublished doctoral dissertation: University of California, Los Angeles.
- Fraenkel, J. R., & Wallen, N.E. (1993). <u>How to design and evaluate research in</u> education. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- French, D. (1998). The state's role in shaping a progressive vision of public education. Phi Delta Kappan. November, 185-194.
- Fries, R.K. (1998). <u>The inclusion and exclusion of students with disabilities in state and national testing programs: A policy study with a focus on Illinois</u>. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.
- Frymier, J. & Gansneder, B. (1989). The Phi Delta Kappa study of students at risk. Phi Delta Kappan, October, 142-146.
- Gilmore, J., Virginia as the Leader of a Dynamic New Age. <u>State of the Commonwealth Address</u>, January 12, 2000, *http://www.thedigitaldominion.com/press/speech/state2000.htm*.
  - Goldhammer, R. (1969). Clinical supervision. New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.
- Goffman, E. (1959). <u>The presentation of self in everyday life.</u> Garden City, NY: Doubleday.
- Gottfredson, G.D. (1986). You get what you measure, you get what you don=t: Higher standards, higher test scores, more retention in grade (Report No. 29). Baltimore: Center for Research on Elementary and Middle Schools, Johns Hopkins University.
  - Herman, J. L., & Abedi, J. (1994). Assessing the effects of standardized testing on

- schools. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 54 (2), 471-482.
- Herman, J., Dreyfus, J., & Golan, S. (1990). <u>The effects of testing on teaching and learning</u>. Center for Research and Evaluation, Standards, and Student Testing, University of California at Los Angeles.
  - Howell, D. C., (1987). Statistical methods for psychology. Boston: Duxbury Press.
- International Reading Association (1999). High stakes assessments in reading. <u>The Reading Teacher</u>, 53 (3), 257-264.
- Janis, I. (1971). Groupthink: The desperate drive for consensus at any cost. In J. M. Shafritz & J. S. Ott (Eds.), <u>Classics of organization theory</u>, Fourth Edition (pp. 183-191). New York, NY: Harcourt Brace College Publishers.
- Jorgenson, O. (1999). Arizona AIMS for success: graduation standards put learningBand diplomasBon the line. <u>The Clearing House</u>, September/October, 23-24.
- Justus, L. (2001). <u>Factors that Contribute to Enrollment in Band Programs</u>, in press. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA.
- Keshish, E. (1996). <u>School system response to mandatory state assessment testing in four low socio-economic status districts in New Jersey.</u> Unpublished doctoral dissertation: Rutgers University.
- Koretz, D. D., Barron, S., Mitchell, K, & Stecher, B. (1996). <u>Perceived effects of the Kentucky instructional results information system (KIRIS)</u>. Santa Monica, CA: RAND.
- Kortez, D. (1988). Arriving in lake wobegon. Are standardized tests exaggerating achievement and distorting instruction? <u>American Educator</u>, 12 (2), 8-15; 46-52.
- Krejcie, R.V., & Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. Educational and Psychological Measurements, 30, 607-610.
- LINC Resources Inc. (January, 1989). <u>Report on education research</u>. LINC Notes. Columbus, OH: LINC Resources, Inc.
  - Lincoln, Y. S. & Guba, E. G. (1985). Naturalistic inquiry. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Loughrin, P. (1998). <u>Multiple indicators of the Arizona student assessment program as measures of instructional effectiveness</u>. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Arizona State University.
  - Lucio, W., & McNeil, J.(1962). Supervision: A synthesis of thought and action. New

- York: McGraw-Hill.
- Madaus, G. F. (1985). Test scores as administrative mechanisms in educational policy. Phi Delta Kappan, 66, 611-617.
- Madaus, G. F. (1988). The distortion of teaching and testing: High-stakes testing and instruction. <u>Peabody Journal of Education</u>, 65 (3), 29-46.
- Madaus, G. F. (1991). The effects of important tests on students. <u>Phi Delta Kappan</u>, November, 1991, 226-231.
- Madaus, G.F., & Kellaghan, T. (1993). Testing as a mechanism of public policy: A brief history and description. <u>Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development, 26</u> (1), 6-10.
- Massell, D., Kirst, M., & Hoppe, M. (1997). Persistence and change: Standards-based reform in nine states. <u>CPRE Research Report Series, Report No. 37</u>. Washington, DC: National Library of Education, U.S. Department of Education.
- Matthews, J., & Benning, V. (2000, Sept. 11). VA voters negative on SOLs. Poll shows 51% in survey believe state tests don=t work. The Washington Post, p.B1.
- Maykut, P., & Morehouse, R. (1994). <u>Beginning qualitative research.</u> Philadelphia: Falmer Press.
- McDonnell, L.M., McLaughlin, M.J., & Morison, P. (Eds.). (1997). <u>Educating one and all: Students with disabilities and standards-based reform</u>. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- McGill-Franzen, A., & Allington, R.L. (1993). Flunk >em or get them classified. The contamination of primary grade accountability data. <u>Educational Researcher</u>, 22 (1), 19-22.
- McNeil, L. (2000). Creating new inequalities, contradictions of reform. <u>Phi Delta Kappan</u>, <u>81</u> (10), 729-734.
- Miles, M. B. & Huberman, A. M. (1994). <u>Qualitative data analysis (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.)</u>. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Moore, K. (2000). <u>State-Mandated Educational Assessments: Survey of Parents' Perceptions</u>. Sylvan Learning Centers.
- National Commission on Excellence in Education (NCEE). (1983). <u>A nation at risk:</u> <u>Imperative for education reform.</u> Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

- Natriello, G., & Pallas, A. (1999). The development and impact of high-stakes testing. The Harvard University Civil Rights Project (pp.1-14).
- http://www.law.harvard.edu/groups/.../testing98/drafts/natriello99.html.
- Neil, M. (1997). <u>Testing our children: A report card on state assessment systems.</u> Cambridge, MA: Fairtest.
- New, J. C. (1995). <u>Minimum competency testing: Effects on teaching and learning</u>. Unpublished masters thesis, The Union Institute.
- Newman, F. W., King, M. B., & Rigdon, M. (1997). Accountability and school performance: Implications from restructuring schools. <u>Harvard Educational Review</u>, 67 (1), 41-74.
- Noll, P. (1999). <u>From school to work: An examination of the effectiveness of the Minnesota graduation standards</u>. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Minnesota.
- Oakes, J. (1989). What educational indicators? The case for assessing the school context. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis (11) 2, 181-199.
- Pedroza, B. A. (1997). <u>Consequences of high-stakes testing on historically disenfranchised students: Analysis of student outcomes</u>. Unpublished doctoral dissertation: University of Texas at Austin.
  - Pipho, C. (1999). High stakes accountability. Phi Delta Kappan, March, 485-486.
- Popham, W. J. (1987). The merits of measurement-driven instruction. <u>Phi Delta Kappan</u>, <u>68</u>, 679-682.
- Ravitch, D. (1993). Launching a revolution in standards and assessments. <u>Phi Delta Kappan, 74</u> (10), 767-772.
- Ravitch, D. (1995). <u>National standards in American education</u>. Washington, DC: Brookings.
- Reigeluth, C. M., (1997). Educational standards: To standardize or to customize learning? Phi Delta Kappan, November, 202-206.
- Resnick, L. (1992-93). Standards, assessment, and educational quality. <u>Stanford Law & Policy Review</u>, Winter, 53-59.
- Richards, C. E. (1988). A typology of educational monitoring systems. <u>Educational</u> <u>Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 10</u> (2), 106-116.

- Rogers, N., Paredes, V., & Mangino, E. (1991, April). High stakes minimum skills tests: Is their use increasing achievement? Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Chicago. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 336 422).
- Rose, L. C., & Gallup, A. M. (2000). The 32nd annual Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup poll of the public=s attitudes toward the public schools. Phi Delta Kappan, September, 41-56.
- Rotberg, I. C. (1998). Interpretation of international test score comparisons. <u>Science</u>, 280, 1030-1031.
- Rothman, R. (1995). <u>Measuring up, Standards, assessment, and school reform</u>. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
  - Sacks, P. (1999). Standardized minds. Cambridge, MA: Perseus Books.
- Schleisman, J. (2000). <u>Back to the basics: An investigation of school-and district-level responses for students who do not initially meet Minnesota=s basic standards for high school graduation.</u> Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Minnesota.
- Schmidt, P. (2000, January 21). Colleges prepare for the fallout from state testing policies. The Chronicle of Higher Education, http://chronicle.com/weekly/v46/i20/20a02601. htm.
- Shanker, A. (1994). School reform: Making standards count. <u>American Educator.</u> Fall, 12-27.
- Shepard, L.A. (1991). Will national test scores improve student learning? <u>Phi Delta Kappan, 73</u> (3), 232-238.
- Shepard, L. (1992). <u>The influence of standardized tests on early childhood curriculum, teachers, and children: Yearbook in early childhood education</u>. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Smith, M. (1991). Standards panel report for the national council on educational standards and testing meeting on October 21, 1991.
- Smith, M. L., Edelsky, C., Draper, K., Rottenberg, C., & Cherland, M. (1987). <u>The role of testing in elementary schools</u>. Center for Research on Educational Standards and Tests and the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, Department of Education, University of Colorado, Los Angeles and Arizona State University.
- Smith, M. L., & Rottenberg, C. (1991). Unintended consequences of external testing in elementary schools. <u>Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice</u>, 10, 7-11.

- SPSS, Inc. (1999). SPSS base 10.0 applications guide. Chicago: SPSS, Inc.
- Strang, E. W. (1981). <u>Remedial services for students who fail minimum competency tests.</u> Durham, NC: Educational Policy Development Center. (ERIC Document Reproduction Services No. Ed 210 303)
- Supovitz, J., & Brennan, R. (1997). Mirror, mirror on the wall, which is the fairest test of all? An examination of the equitability of portfolio assessment relative to standardized tests. Harvard Educational Review, 67 (3), 472-502.
- Timberlake, D. (2000). Review of the correlation between pass rates on the standards of learning tests and free lunch eligibility rates. Report to the Virginia House Appropriations Subcommittee on Elementary and Secondary Education, 1-24.
- United States Department of Education (1991). <u>America 2000: An education strategy</u>. Washington, DC: Department of Education.
- Virginia Department of Education. <u>Regulations establishing standards for accrediting public schools in Virginia</u> (July 28, 2000), *8VAC 20-131-10 et seq*.
- Virginians give SOL tests low grades. (2000, September 12). <u>The Bristol Herald Courier</u>, p. 1A.
- Walker, J., & Levine, D. (April, 1988). <u>The inherent impact of non-promoted students on reading scores in a big city elementary school</u>. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association.
- Waters, T., Burger, D., & Burger, S. (1995). Moving up before moving on. <u>Educational</u> Leadership, 52 (6), 35-40.
- Wilkins, J.L. (1999). Demographic opportunities and school achievement. <u>Journal of Research in Education</u>, 9 (1), 12-19.
- Wolcott, H. (1990). <u>Writing Up Qualitative Research.</u> Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.
- Young, T. (1996). <u>A study of the perceptions of Tennessee teachers, principals, superintendents, legislators, and department of education representatives regarding the impact of the Tennessee value-added assessment system.</u> Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Alabama.