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ABSTRACT

A temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) study of CO and NH$_3$ adsorption on MnO(100) with complimentary density functional theory (DFT) simulations was conducted. TPD reveals a primary CO desorption signal at 130 K from MnO(100) in the low coverage limit giving an adsorption energy of $-35.6 \pm 2.1$ kJ/mol on terrace sites. PBE+U gives a more reasonable structural result than PBE, and the adsorption energy obtained by PBE+U and DFT-D3 Becke-Johnson gives excellent agreement with the experimentally obtained $\Delta E_{\text{ads}}$ for adsorption at Mn$^{2+}$ terrace sites. The analysis of NH$_3$-TPD traces revealed that adsorption energy on MnO(100) is coverage-dependent. At the low-coverage limit, the adsorption energy on terraces is $-58.7 \pm 1.0$ kJ/mol. A doser results in the formation of a transient NH$_3$ multilayers that appears in TPD at around 110K. For a terrace site, PBE+U predicts a more realistic surface adsorbate geometry than PBE does, with PBE+U with Tkatchenko-Scheffler method with iterative Hirshfeld partitioning (TSHP) provides the best prediction.

DFT simulations of the dehydrogenation elementary step of the ethyl and methyl fragments on $\alpha$-Cr$_2$O$_3$(10$\overline{1}$2) were also conducted to complement previous TPD studies of these subjects. On the nearly-stoichiometric surface of $\alpha$-Cr$_2$O$_3$(10$\overline{1}$2), CD$_3$ undergoes dehydrogenation to produce CD$_2$=CD$_2$ and CD$_4$. Previous TPD traces suggest that the $\alpha$-hydrogen $(\alpha$-H) elimination of methyl groups on $\alpha$-Cr$_2$O$_3$(10$\overline{1}$2) is the rate-limiting step, and has an activation barrier of $135 \pm 2$ kJ/mol. DFT simulations showed that PBE gives reasonable prediction of the adsorption sites for CH$_3$- fragments in accordance with XPS spectra, while PBE+U did not. Both PBE and PBE+U failed to predict the correct adsorption sites for CH$_2$= . When the simulation is set in accordance with the experimentally observed adsorption sites for the carbon species, PBE gives very accurate prediction on the reaction barrier when an adjacent I adatom is present, while PBE+U failed spectacularly. When the simulation is set in accordance with the DFT-predicted adsorption sites, PBE is still able to accurately predict the reaction barrier (<1% to 8.7% error) while PBE+U is less accurate. DFT is also used to complement the previous study of the $\beta$-H elimination an ethyl group on the $\alpha$-Cr$_2$O$_3$(10$\overline{1}$2) surface. The DFT simulation shows that absent surface Cl adatoms, PBE predicts an activation barrier of 92.6 kJ/mol, underpredicting the experimental activation barrier by 28.7%, while PBE+U predicts a barrier of 27.0 kJ/mol, under-predicting the experimental barrier by 79.2%. The addition of chlorine on the adjacent cation improved the prediction on barrier by PBE+U marginally, while worsened the prediction by PBE marginally.
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General Audience Abstract

Nowadays, density functional theory (DFT), a computational approach to chemistry has become increasingly more popular due to it being less computationally expensive than other traditional computational approaches. One major shortcoming of DFT is its inability to explain the electronic interactions within transition metal oxides, where the electronic configuration within one cation is intimately linked to those on adjacent cations. To address this, DFT+U, a variant of DFT, has been developed to better account for these special electronic interactions. However, not enough experimental comparisons have been established to verify the accuracy of DFT and DFT+U.

Our lab focuses on providing high quality experimental benchmarks that can be readily compared to by the DFT community. To establish the experimental benchmarks, we use a technique called temperature-programmed desorption (TPD), which focuses on measuring the rate at which gas molecules leave a sample surface populated with a predetermined amount of gas molecules as the temperature of the surface is raised at constant but slow temperature ramp rate. Through analysis of the results, the adsorption energy can be obtained for a desorption process, or an activation barrier if the desorption is the result of a surface reaction. Some simple calculations involving PBE, a popular functional used in the DFT community, and its variant PBE+U were conducted for comparison purposes. The transition metal oxide surfaces chosen in this study is MnO(100) and of α-Cr₂O₃(10\̅1₂), because they both possess the special electronic interactions between their own cations.

For adsorption studies, we determined adsorption energies of carbon monoxide (CO), and ammonia (NH₃) on MnO(100) single crystal surface. For CO, TPD study revealed that CO undergoes weak adsorption on the surface, with no dissociation of CO detected. PBE predicts an unreasonable surface adsorption geometry while PBE+U predicts a reasonable one. When coupled with a particular dispersion correction method named DFT-D3 Becke-Johnson, PBE+U predicts a very accurate adsorption energy of CO on MnO(100). TPD shows that NH₃ undergoes a stronger adsorption on MnO(100) with no dissociation of NH₃. Similarly, PBE+U predicted a more reasonable adsorption geometry while PBE did not. Coupled with a dispersion correction named Tkatchenko-Scheffler method with iterative Hirshfeld partitioning (TSHP), PBE+U provides an accurate prediction of adsorption energy. In comparison to previous experimental works based on TPD results, the simple decomposition reactions of an ethyl group and a methyl group were also studied on α-Cr₂O₃(10\̅1₂) surface using DFT. Overall, PBE gave better prediction on the activation barrier than PBE+U did in comparison to experimentally observed barriers.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Density functional theory and benchmarks for transition metal oxide surface chemistry

Density functional theory (DFT) [1-3] is widely used to provide insight into fundamental processes on surfaces such as adsorption and surface reactions. While it is widely applied, the applicability of DFT and related methods to highly correlated systems with unpaired d electrons like transition metal oxides remains problematic [4, 5]. For surface chemistry in particular, the lack of benchmark experimental data for adsorption and reaction systems on well-defined single crystal transition metal oxide surfaces continues to be an issue in determining the applicability of different DFT methods in transition metal oxide catalysis. A goal of this work is to provide these experimental benchmarks for a few systems.

The DFT + U [6] method employs a parameterized Hubbard-type on-site coulomb repulsion term that has found significant success in improving DFT predictions for highly correlated systems. However, the parameters are generally determined from bulk experimental properties (energies of formation, lattice parameters, bulk modulus, band gaps, cation magnetic moments, etc.), so the applicability of parameters determined from bulk properties to questions of surface phase stability and surface chemistry is “subject to some uncertainty” [7]. Nevertheless, this method has found wide-spread use in computational studies of transition metal oxide catalysis using +U parameters determined from bulk data. These benchmarks established here for a few initial systems may provide
guidance to the theoretical community that is actively working on modifications to DFT to handle such systems.

1.2 Choosing transition metal oxide surfaces and gas molecules

Considering the type of benchmark that need to be established, several factors should be considered when choosing surfaces for adsorption or reaction studies. First, the bulk of the transition metal oxide must have strongly correlated electronic structures due to the presence of unpaired d-electrons. Materials such as MnO, FeO, CoO, NiO [8], Cr₂O₃ [9] and V₂O₃ [10] satisfy this requirement. Further, a well-established procedure to achieve a clean, well-defined single crystal surface with mostly homogenous adsorption sites is necessary for direct comparison to periodic DFT slab calculations. Our lab is in possession of, and has demonstrated the ability to prepare clean and nearly-stoichiometric MnO(100) [11] and α-Cr₂O₃ (1012) and other single crystal oxide surfaces [12]. These materials have the additional benefit of having different d-electron counts, as Mn is 3d⁵ in MnO [13], while Cr is 3d³ in Cr₂O₃ [12]. Choosing these two surfaces for establishing adsorption and reaction benchmarks will serve as a starting point for providing the computational chemistry community with high-quality benchmarks on transition metal oxides with highly correlated electronic structures.

The molecules selected for benchmarking adsorption energy are carbon monoxide (CO) and ammonia (NH₃). CO is used because it is a simple, and widely-used probe for catalytic surfaces, including single crystal surfaces [14-22], while NH₃ is widely used as a probe of acidic sites on oxide surfaces [23-26]. These two small molecules typically undergo unactivated adsorption. This is an important property because the activation energy for desorption can be directly related to the adsorption enthalpy which can be
compared to DFT total energy calculations [27, 28]. For reaction systems, the halogenated hydrocarbon CH$_3$CH$_2$Cl and CH$_3$I are chosen because they have been demonstrated to undergo dissociative adsorption on various surface [29-37], breaking carbon-halogen bonds and providing an opportunity to study simple alkyl surface chemistry for methyl and ethyl surface intermediates.

1.3 DFT methods and functionals selected

It is also of interest to conduct some basic DFT calculations for comparing to the experimental results. The Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) [38], the most widely used functional is chosen to be used in all calculations for its popularity. The +U package [39] in addition to PBE is also used, as it purportedly is superior at handling systems with highly correlated electrons. For adsorption systems (found in chapter 2 and 3), geometric relaxation simulations of the adsorbed state and the free state (where gas molecules and surface are simulated separately) are done separately to determine the adsorption energy using both PBE and PBE+U. For reaction systems (found in chapter 4 and 5), geometric relaxation simulations of the initial state and the final state of the reactions are done first, followed by the climbing image nudged elastic band [40, 41] calculations to determine the minimum energy pathways and the transition states, also using PBE and PBE+U. The results can be readily compared to the experimental results.

1.4 Objective

Within this work, adsorption system studies that can found in chapter 2 and 3. the experimental adsorption energy benchmarks of CO and NH$_3$ on well-defined single-crystal MnO(100) surface are established using temperature-programmed desorption (TPD). Also
found with these benchmarks are calculations of the two adsorption systems using PBE and PBE+U. For reaction systems, we used PBE and PBE+U to calculate the activation barriers for $\alpha$-hydrogen elimination from methyl groups (chapter 4) and the $\beta$-hydrogen elimination of the ethyl groups (chapter 5), and the results are compared to previously established experimental activation barriers for the reactions in question.

In addition to the reported studies, attempts were also made to determine experimental adsorption energies of CO and NH$_3$ on $\alpha$-Cr$_2$O$_3$ (10$\bar{1}$2), but were not successful due to the surface being highly defective despite our best efforts at preparation. In addition, attempts were also made to study the $\alpha$- and $\beta$-hydrogen elimination on MnO(100), but these efforts were not successful due to a lack of dissociation of the reaction precursors under a wide range of thermal conditions. Efforts to promote carbon halogen bond cleavage via electron stimulation were also unsuccessful.
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Chapter 2

CO adsorption on MnO(100): Experimental benchmarks compared to DFT

2.1 Introduction

Density functional theory (DFT) provides a cost-effective way for addressing large-scale problems with first-principles calculations, however, the treatment of electron correlation remains a weakness of DFT [1-3]. This problem is severe for transition metal oxides with strongly correlated electronic structures [4, 5]. While progress has been made to address the problems [6-8], high-quality experimental benchmarks for adsorption and reaction on transition metal oxides remain scarce. Here we provide an adsorption energy benchmark for CO on MnO(100), a transition metal oxide with highly-correlated electronic structure [9, 10] and a simple rocksalt bulk structure [11].

Rocksalt is the simplest form of metal oxide in terms of the bulk structure [12], with the (100) surface being the most thermodynamically stable [13]. Known metal oxides with a rocksalt structure are MgO, CaO, TiO, VO, MnO, FeO, CoO, NiO, SrO, BaO, CdO, and EuO [12, 14, 15]. Among these materials, experimental studies for (100) surfaces are available on: MgO(100) [16-34], CaO(100) [35], MnO(100) [36, 37], FeO(100) [38], CoO(100) [39, 40], NiO(100) [18, 41-47], SrO(100) [48] and BaO(100) [49].

CO is a commonly used probe molecule for examining single crystal surfaces, however, with the exception of MgO(100) [17, 18, 21, 50, 51] and NiO(100) [18, 41-43, 52], detailed CO adsorption studies on single crystal metal oxide rocksalt (100) surfaces, experimental or computational, are scarce. To our knowledge, there are currently no

---

1 This material has been published, H. Chen, D.F. Cox, Surface Science, (2021) 121808. and is reproduced with permission.
reported experimental studies of CO adsorption on MnO(100). The existing experimental studies on rocksalt oxide (100) surfaces have shown that CO is weakly adsorbed on rocksalt oxide (100) surfaces. The adsorption energy in the low-coverage limit is about -13 kJ/mol on MgO(100) [18], -27 kJ/mol on NiO(100) [18], and -28 kJ/mol on CaO(100) [35]. Above the low-coverage limit, adsorbate lateral repulsion [53, 54] lowers the adsorption energy as coverage increases, as observed on MgO(100) [18], NiO(100) [18] and CaO(100) [35]. If the sample is dosed at temperatures lower than 30 K, the formation of a CO multilayer can also be observed [18].

Experimental studies have revealed that CO adsorbs C-down onto the metal center on rocksalt (100) surfaces, with varying degrees of tilt of the CO molecule. For example, a polarization infrared spectroscopy study shows that CO adsorbs C-down onto surface Mg$^{2+}$ cations on MgO(100) perpendicular to the surface plane [17]. On NiO(100), a photoelectron diffraction study shows that CO adsorbs C-down onto the metal center, with the Ni-C bond deviating 7 degrees from the surface normal, and the C-O bond by 12 degrees [55]. This tilt has been attributed to the interactions of unpaired d-electrons in the Ni$^{2+}$ cation and the 1π orbital of adsorbed CO [52].

While no experimental studies are available for CO adsorption on MnO(100), one molecular orbital study utilizing an atom superposition and electron delocalization (ASED) technique and cluster models of stoichiometric MnO (100) [56] determined a CO adsorption energy of about -161 kJ/mol, and a perpendicular CO adsorbate geometry with carbon end down on the five-fold-coordinated Mn$^{2+}$ site. The magnitude of this adsorption energy is large in comparison to those reported for other rocksalt oxide (100) surfaces [18, 35].
CO adsorption studies on MnO powders are also scarce, despite significant interest [57-61] in the use of manganese oxides powders (MnO₂, Mn₂O₃, Mn₃O₄) for the CO oxidation reaction [61, 62]. Among the manganese oxides, MnO shows less catalytic activity than other manganese oxides, resulting in less interests in MnO powders [62]. Despite this, a number of studies [63-65] have examined the interaction between CO molecules and Mn³⁺ and Mn²⁺ sites using infrared spectroscopy on oxidized and reduced MnOₓ powder, respectively. A small (10 cm⁻¹) drop in C-O stretching frequency from Mn³⁺-CO to Mn²⁺-CO, was observed by Angevaare et al. [64], Kantcheva et al. [63], and Craciun et al. [65], which suggests that CO adsorption onto Mn²⁺ may involve a slightly larger σ-bonding contribution compared Mn³⁺.

Included in this work is the use of temperature-programmed desorption to establish an adsorption energy benchmark for CO on single-crystal MnO(100) for comparison to computational results. Also included are DFT calculations for CO adsorption on terrace sites and two common defect sites: step edges, and oxygen vacancy point defects. The experimental benchmarks are particularly useful given the known problems for DFT simulations of systems with highly-correlated electronic system [5].

2.2 MnO(100)

MnO has a rocksalt structure [11] and is an insulator at room temperature [66] with a band gap of 3.6 – 3.8 eV [67, 68]. MnO(100) is used for this study because it is non-polar and thermodynamically stable [13, 69]. A ball model illustration of the ideal MnO(100) surface structure is shown in figure 2-1. Each Mn²⁺ cation and O²⁻ anion in the top atomic layer are five-fold-coordinated with one degree of coordinative unsaturation relative to the bulk. Each Mn²⁺ cation has a d⁵, high-spin electronic configuration [70]. As a result, MnO
Figure 2-1 Ball model illustration of the ideal stoichiometric MnO(100) surface. (a) Top view of one layer of the MnO(100) surface looking down the [100] surface normal. The lack spheres represent Mn$^{2+}$ cations, white spheres represent O$^{2-}$ cations. A unit cell on the surface of MnO(100) is labeled in black solid lines. The opposing magnetic moments related to (111) planes are illustrated with yellow arrows. (b) Cross-section view along the [001] direction. Adapted from Feng, Cox, (2016).
has a highly-correlated electronic structure. It is anti-ferromagnetic with an AFM-II ordering of the magnetic moments [71]. The arrangement of spin magnetic moments within a (100) plane is shown in figure 2-1 (a), with parallel spins along [011] [9, 71].

2.3 Methods

2.3.1 Experimental Methods

Temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) experiments were conducted in a stainless steel, ion-pumped, ultra-high vacuum system. The sample heating rate was limited to 2.5 K/sec because of the possibility of breakage due to thermal shock of our ceramic MnO(100) sample. The chamber contains an Inficon Quadrex 200 mass spectrometer for TPD experiments, and is equipped with a glass skimmer that covers the ionization zone. The chamber also contains a set of Princeton Research Instruments reverse view low-energy electron diffraction (LEED) optics. A Perkin-Elmer Phi 15-155 single-pass cylindrical mirror analyzer is used for Auger electron spectroscopy to examine surface cleanliness and composition. The chamber has a base pressure of 1×10^{-10} Torr.

The MnO(100) single crystal was purchased from SurfaceNet GmbH with an EPI polish and mounted on a tantalum sample holder connected to a manipulator via liquid-nitrogen-cooled (or LN2-cooled) copper rods. The sample temperature is measured by a type K thermocouple glued to the back of the sample with Aremco 569 ceramic cement through a hole in the tantalum sample holder. Before TPD experiments, the MnO(100) single-crystal surface is cleaned by bombardment with 2 keV Ar⁺ ions. The sample is then heated to 1000 K for 10 min to establish an ordered surface with a (1×1) LEED periodicity.
This procedure yields a clean, ordered, nearly-stoichiometric MnO(100) surface as per previous work [36]. Matheson carbon monoxide (99.997%) was used as received.

2.3.2 Obtaining adsorption energies from TPD

The experimental activation energy of desorption, $E_a$, can be readily determined from a Redhead analysis [72], and is related to the experimental internal energy of adsorption, $\Delta E_{ads}$, through the following:

$$\Delta H_{ads}^\circ = -(E_a + 0.5 \cdot R \cdot T_p)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$\Delta E_{ads} = \Delta H_{ads}^\circ + R \cdot T_p$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\Delta H_{ads}^\circ$ is the adsorption enthalpy, $T_p$ the desorption peak temperature determined from the TPD experiment, and $\Delta E_{ads}$ is the adsorption energy. The first equation is derived by assuming an equilibrium between adsorption and desorption rates and a temperature independent prefactor and activation energy for desorption [73]. The second equation accounts for the ideal gas $\Delta(PV)$ contribution to enthalpy [74].

2.3.3 Estimations of desorption prefactors for TPD

It is often assumed [18, 36, 72, 75-77] that a desorption prefactor, $v$, of $10^{13}$ sec$^{-1}$ yields an acceptable value of $E_a$ in a first-order Redhead analysis. In this work, we make use of an empirical entropic scaling relation for terraces [78] along with site-dependent corrections based on considerations from transition state theory for defect sites. Note that we are unable to use a variable heating rate method to determine the prefactor experimentally due to the limited heating rates available to avoid thermal fracture of the sample. For adsorption on flat terraces, Campbell and Sellers [78, 79] introduced a relationship for estimating the prefactor from an empirical linear scaling relation between
the gas-phase entropy of the adsorbate molecules and the adsorbate entropy. It should be noted this relationship is suitable for flat terrace sites, not for defect sites [78].

Transition state theory (TST) can also be used for estimating desorption prefactors for a small molecule like CO [80]. In this work, TST estimates of the variation in prefactor from terrace and defect sites are used as corrections to the empirical prefactor of Campbell and Sellers [81] for desorption from terraces through a simple scaling relationship:

\[
\nu_{defect} \approx \frac{\nu_{defect, TST}}{\nu_{terrace, TST}} \ast \nu_{terrace, S_0}
\]

(3)

where \(\nu_{terrace, S_0}\) is estimated using Campbell and Seller’s empirical entropic scaling relation, \(\nu_{defect, TST}\) and \(\nu_{terrace, TST}\) are desorption prefactors for a defect site and for a flat terrace site, respectively, estimated using transition state theory [80].

In transition state theory, prefactors are represented by equation 4:

\[
\nu_{TST} = \frac{q^\dagger}{q_{ads}} \ast \frac{k_BT}{h} \ast \kappa
\]

(4)

where \(q^\dagger\) is the partition function of the transition state, \(q_{ads}\) is the partition function of the adsorbed state, and \(\kappa\) is the transmission coefficient [80]. The transition state for desorption, regardless of the adsorption site, can be approximated as a “2-D gas” from a precursor adsorbed state that translates and rotates freely in a plane parallel to the surface [80]. Therefore, assuming the transmission coefficients are unity and the defect and terrace estimates are made at a constant temperature, the variation in the prefactor between defect and terrace sites is driven primarily by differences in the partition functions of the adsorbed species. Consequently, the prefactor at defect sites can be approximated by equation 5:

\[
\nu_{defect} \approx \frac{q_{ads, terrace}}{q_{ads, defect}} \ast \nu_{terrace, S_0}
\]

(5)
The partition functions for adsorbed states \( q_{\text{ads}} \) depends on the adsorption site. For a desorption event below 500 K for a simple molecule like CO, the electronic states for the molecules are mostly in the ground-state \( (q_{\text{elec}} = 1) \)[80], and ground state vibrational frequencies can be used for vibrational partition functions \( (q_{\text{vib}}) \). Compared to adsorption on a flat terrace where translational freedom is allowed in 2-dimensions, a molecule adsorbed onto a step edge is assumed to be free to move only along the step edge and behave like a 1-D gas [82] with the loss of 1 degree of translational freedom compared to the terrace. For a molecule adsorbed onto a point defect, the molecule can be assumed to be translationally “confined in all three dimensions” [81] where all translational degrees of freedom are lost. For a simple molecule adsorbed on the flat terrace site, on the step defect, and at an oxygen vacancy, the adsorbed state partition functions are given by:

\[
q_{\text{ads,terrace}} = q_{\text{trans}}^2 * q_{\text{vib}} * q_{\text{elec}} \\
q_{\text{ads,step}} = q_{\text{trans}} * q_{\text{vib}} * q_{\text{elec}} \\
q_{\text{ads,OV}} = q_{\text{vib}} * q_{\text{elec}}
\]

(6)  (7)  (8)

where \( q_{\text{trans}} \) is the 1-D translational partition function. Most estimates of prefactors for desorption from defects are several orders of magnitude larger than the prefactors for terraces. Campbell and coworkers [78, 82] have described increases as high as a factor of \( 10^4 \text{--} 10^5 \) for heavy metal atoms (Pb) with high desorption temperatures along a step edge based on their work on metal adatom desorption from Mo(100). For the lower desorption temperature range of 100 K to 500 K in this study and the smaller mass of CO, \( q_{\text{trans}} \) is of magnitude 20, leading to significantly smaller correction factors at defects in the present case. Assuming the loss of translational degrees of freedom are the greatest contributing factor to prefactor variations at defects, and that the vibrational partition functions vary
little from site to site, TST estimates of the ratio of \( \frac{\nu_{\text{step defect}, \text{TST}}}{\nu_{\text{terrace}, \text{TST}}} \) is of order 20, and the ratio of \( \frac{\nu_{\text{point defect}, \text{TST}}}{\nu_{\text{terrace}, \text{TST}}} \) is of order 400.

### 2.3.4 DFT Calculations

Density functional theory [1, 2] was used to examine the adsorption chemistry of CO on MnO(100). All of the simulations were performed using the projector-augmented-wave method [83, 84] within the Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package (VASP) [85-87]. The Perdue-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) [88] approximation was used to account for exchange and correlation via a standard generalized gradient approximation (GGA). For calculations on flat terraces, a stoichiometric 256 atom cell was used to provide a slab with a (4×4) surface cell and a thickness of 4 atomic layers along [100]. A 15 Å vacuum gap was introduced after optimization of the bulk structure. All 64 atoms in the bottom layer were frozen in their bulk positions for surface geometry optimization. A 1×3×3 k-point sampling based on the Monkhorst-Pack scheme [89] was used. For all calculations, the initial magnetic moments of Mn\(^{2+}\) cations were configured to follow the AFM-II antiferromagnetic arrangement in bulk MnO, verified by powder neutron diffraction [71] and also found to be the ground-state magnetic ordering for DFT within the GGA [90]. A cut-off energy of 400 eV was used for all calculations. For geometric relaxations, forces were minimized to less than 0.01 eV/Å. For dynamical matrix calculations [91, 92] used to estimate vibrational frequencies of adsorbate and free gas molecules, forces are less than 5×10\(^{-4}\) eV/Å.

The PBE+U using the method of Dudarev [93] was used to also provide another estimate of the adsorption energy because of the highly correlated electronic structure of MnO. A U-J value of 4.0 eV yields the best energy of formation for bulk MnO [90], and is
used in all calculations. In addition, a range of van der Waals (vdW) dispersion corrections were used, including the DFT-D2 method of Grimme [94], DFT-D3 method of Grimme [95], DFT-D3 Becke-Johnson [96], Tkatchenko-Scheffler method [97], Tkatchenko-Scheffler method with iterative Hirshfeld partitioning [98] and dDsC [99, 100].

Calculations involving a single-atomic step defect and an oxygen vacancy point defect were also conducted. The step defect was created by removing half of the atoms in the top atomic layer to produce a step that runs along [010]. An oxygen vacancy defect was created by removing one top-layer lattice oxygen atom. Both types of defective surfaces were geometry-optimized prior to the examination of CO adsorption.

The energies obtained in the simulations are used to determine the ground state adsorption energies. The ground state adsorption energy is defined as the difference between the internal energy of the adsorbed state \(E_{\text{ads}}\), where a molecule is adsorbed on the surface, and the internal energy of the initial state, where the internal energy of a gas molecule \(E_{\text{gas}}\) and the clean surface \(E_{\text{surface}}\) are calculated separately. In all cases, the surface energy is determined following geometric relaxation to find the minimum energy clean surface structure. Equation 9 below defines the adsorption energy, \(\Delta E_{\text{ads}}\) [78]. Zero point energy corrections, \(\Delta ZPE\), are determined from the vibrational frequencies within the harmonic oscillator approximation, and are obtained via a dynamical matrix calculations [91, 92] in VASP:

\[
\Delta E_{\text{ads}} = E_{\text{ads}} - (E_{\text{gas}} + E_{\text{surface}}) + \Delta ZPE
\]  

(9)

where the \(\Delta ZPE\) is calculated per equation 10 [101]:

\[
\Delta ZPE \approx \sum_i \frac{h v_i^{\text{ads}}}{2} - \sum_i \frac{h v_i^{\text{gas}}}{2}
\]  

(10)
where h is the Plank’s constant and $\nu_{\text{ads}}$ and $\nu_{\text{gas}}$ are the frequencies of the vibrational modes of the molecules in the adsorbed state and in the free-molecule initial state.

2.4 Results and Discussion

2.4.1. TPD experimental results

2.4.1.1. Experimental adsorption energy for CO on MnO(100)

For each TPD experiment, the sample was cooled to 85 K, followed by the dose, and then heated at 2.5 K/s up to a temperature of 770 K. Desorption signals were monitored by a mass spectrometer. TPD traces for CO desorption from MnO(100) following doses of 0.06 L (1 L $\equiv$ 1×10^{-6} Torr-sec), 0.08 L and 0.11 L are shown in figure 2-2 (a). At these low dosing levels, the MnO(100) surface is sparsely populated with CO molecules to minimize repulsive CO to CO (dipole-dipole) lateral interactions. A consistent peak desorption temperature at 130 K is observed in each TPD trace as the coverage varies, indicating a first-order desorption process at this temperature [72]. This main desorption feature increases in intensity with increasing dose. Trailing this main desorption feature is a gradually diminishing desorption tail that extends into the high-temperature range up to about 400 K. This trend is consistent among all three desorption traces.

Figure 2-2(b) shows TPD traces for higher initial coverages formed by doses up to about 30 L. The figure shows a decreasing desorption peak temperature from 130 K to 100 K due to lateral repulsive CO interactions [53, 54] as dose (and coverage) increases. Similar features are observed on other rocksalt (100) surfaces like CaO(100) [35], MgO(100) and NiO(100) [18]. Note that in figure 2-2(b), the desorption peaks at higher coverage begin in close proximity to the adsorption temperature of 85 K, well above the 30 K desorption temperature for multilayer CO. Hence, CO multilayers are not observed at large doses.
Figure 2-2 (a) The TPD plot of three low dosage of CO desorption from MnO(100). The exposure is 0.06 Langmuir, 0.08 Langmuir, and 0.11 Langmuir. (insert high coverage desorption data). (b) TPD spectra of the higher doses with their desorption peak temperatures labeled.
The desorption peak at 130 K is attributed to desorption from terrace sites and the high-temperature tail to desorption from surface defect sites. The activation energy of desorption from a first-order Redhead analysis [72] is 36.0 ± 1.7 kJ/mol using a desorption prefactor of 1.9×10^{14} sec^{-1} determined from Campbell and Sellers' empirical entropic scaling relations [78]. The corresponding experimental adsorption energy is -35.6 ± 2.1 kJ/mol. For comparison, an assumed desorption prefactor of 10^{13} sec^{-1} gives an activation energy of desorption from flat terraces of 32.9 ± 1.4 kJ/mol, and a corresponding adsorption energy of -32.4 ± 1.3 kJ/mol, with both values within the error of our measurements. The magnitude of the adsorption energy at flat terrace sites suggests primarily a non-bonded (physisorption) interaction between CO and MnO(100). It should be noted here that the experimentally obtained adsorption energy is much smaller than the -161 kJ/mol value determined from the ASED cluster model [56]. Activation energies of desorption of CO from other rocksalt oxide terrace sites are also small [78]. For example, on the NiO(100) surface, CO has an activation energy of desorption of 28.0 kJ/mol [18]. The activation energy for CO desorption from MgO(100) is even smaller at 20 kJ/mol in the low-coverage limit [21]. The appearance of a high-temperature tail is also observed on MgO(100), and is similarly attributed to desorption from surface defect sites [21].

2.4.1.2. Desorption order

The constant desorption peak temperature at low coverages indicates a first-order desorption process [102] near 130 K, but the high-temperature tail is not characteristic of a 1st-order desorption process with a constant activation energy. Using the method of Jong and Niemantsverdriet [102], an order plot for CO desorption from MnO(100) was generated (figure 2-3). The method consists of generating desorption isotherms by fitting
Figure 2-3 The aggregated order plot of CO desorption from MnO(100) surface, in the temperature range of 100 to 300 Kelvin, where the desorption rate signal was the most pronounced.
TPD data from different initial coverages to a linearized desorption rate equation (see Supplemental Material). The temperature range selected for this analysis corresponds to the temperature range where the desorption signal is the most pronounced, and covers not only the primary desorption peak temperature at 130 K, but also the high-temperature tail. As shown in figure 2-3, the order of desorption for CO from the MnO(100) surface is consistently near unity throughout the full temperature range.

2.4.1.3. Coverage vs. Dose

Figure 2-4 (a) shows the coverage vs. dose relationship, with coverage shown via a dual y-axis in both the integrated area obtained from TPD traces, and their corresponding CO upper limit coverage in monolayers (see discussion below). Initially, the coverage increases sharply with respect to the dose, but the rate of increase falls quickly as the dose increases beyond several Langmuirs. An expanded look at the 0 – 0.2 L dose range in figure 2-4 (b) indicates an initial proportionality in uptake vs dose. The coverage eventually rolls over with increasing dose, and plateaus for doses greater than about 20 L.

An upper limit estimate of the CO coverage as a function of dose can be made using the kinetic theory of gases [103] to estimate the number of adsorbate collisions with the surface for a given dose and assuming a unity sticking coefficient in the initial linear coverage growth region. Defining one monolayer as one CO molecule per surface Mn$^{2+}$ site leads to an upper limit estimate of CO coverage displayed on the right-hand vertical axis. At the dosing temperature of 85 K, MnO(100) has an upper limit CO coverage of about 0.4 monolayers. We note that for the highest doses shown in figure 2-3, desorption occurs immediately upon heating hence higher coverages might be possible at lower dosing.
Figure 2-4 (a) The upper limit estimation of CO coverage vs. dose plot on MnO(100). (b) The zoomed-in view at 0.0 – 0.2 L with a linear fit depicted in orange line.
temperatures. The plateauing is due to the repulsive dipole-dipole interactions between adsorbate CO molecules, which is also observed on the CaO(100) rocksalt surface [35].

2.4.1.4. Defect Density

Further TPD experiments were conducted to determine the desorption peak temperatures of CO from surface defect sites. For these additional experiments, the sample is dosed at 85 K, then heated slowly to 130 K and held until the chamber pressure goes through a maximum to remove the primary desorption feature attributed to CO desorption from terrace sites at 130 K. The sample is then cooled back to 85 K to begin a TPD run. Figure 2-5 shows the TPD trace that results from a 0.11 L CO dose, compared to one where the 130 K desorption feature attributed to terrace sites has been removed.

The resulting TPD trace shows a desorption feature with a maximum at 185 K that tails to higher temperatures up to about 300 K. This “defect” TPD trace is attributed to CO desorption from features other than flat terraces. The defect desorption feature at 185 K shows no variance in temperature with respect to initial coverages and can be attributed to a first-order desorption process. Figure 2-6 shows the equivalent defect “coverage” as a function of dose. The coverage rolls over as dose increases, plateauing at an equivalent coverage of about 8% of a monolayer of defect sites (i.e., approximately 20% of the saturation CO coverage.).

2.4.2. DFT simulations

To better understand the CO adsorption behavior from MnO(100), DFT simulations of CO on a terrace, step defect, and at an oxygen vacancy point defect were conducted using
Figure 2-5 The TPD plot of 0.11 L initial dose of a standard TPD (blue), and the TPD plot of a defect TPD of 0.11 L initial dose (orange).
Figure 2-6 The upper limit estimation of CO coverage vs. dose plot on MnO(100) surface defect sites.
PBE and PBE+U to calculate the adsorption energies. Also, various van der Waals corrections are examined to determine their impact on the adsorption energies.

2.4.2.1. DFT Simulations of CO on a MnO(100) terrace

For a simulated CO molecule on a MnO(100) terrace using the PBE functional (Figure 2-7a), an adsorption energy of -32.6 kJ/mol is obtained, compared to the experimental adsorption energy of -35.6 kJ/mol. Geometry optimization of the clean surface to find the minimum energy configuration yields a simple bulk-like termination with no surface reconstruction. The simulation gives a tilted CO that adsorbs carbon-down on a surface Mn cation, but also gives rise to a (2×2) surface reconstruction of the top atomic layer. Given that the low values of the experimental and computed adsorption energies are characteristic of a physisorption (non-bonded) interaction, it seems unlikely that the weak adsorption of CO should drive a surface reconstruction. Because of the insulating nature of MnO and the low (130 K) desorption temperature of CO from terrace sites, the structure cannot readily be examined experimentally with LEED. We note, however, that PBE simulations of Na adatoms on MnO(100) predict a similar reconstruction that is not observed experimentally [36]. Examining the adsorption process with PBE in the absence of a surface reconstruction (i.e., with the atoms in the MnO slab frozen in their clean surface positions) gives an adsorption energy of -26.5 kJ/mol with a CO geometry atop a Mn site and perpendicular to the surface plane (not shown).

Given the unpaired d electrons associated with the Mn cations in MnO, PBE+U simulations were employed to help account for the highly correlated electronic structure. The simulations yield an adsorption energy of -16.7 kJ/mol, lower than either simulation
Figure 2-7 (a) A top view of the surface reconstruction caused by the adsorption of a CO molecule on the MnO(100) surface using the PBE functional alone. Only the top layer of MnO(100) is shown. The (2×2) reconstruction pattern is shown with dashed lines. (b) A top view of the surface with CO adsorption using PBE+U as the method. A surface unit cell is labeled in dashed lines. No surface reconstruction is observed when PBE+U is used.
with PBE alone, and with a greater deviation from the experimental adsorption energy of -35.6 kJ/mol. The predicted structure is shown in Figure 2-7(b); no reconstruction of the top atomic layer is predicted with PBE+U. Hence, the +U simulation seems to give a more reasonable accounting of the structure but a poorer description of the energetics.

The adsorption geometry in figure 2-7(b) is shown in a side view in figure 2-8. CO adsorbs carbon-down onto Mn$^{2+}$ with a Mn-C bond length of 2.40 Å, and a CO bond length of 1.15 Å (compared to a free CO bond length of 1.21 Å). CO exhibits a tilt with the Mn-C bond deviating about 17 degrees from the surface normal, while the C-O bond deviates about 40 degrees from the surface normal. The Mn-C angle varies by ± 4° with various vdW corrections.

An experimental study of CO on NiO(100) reports a Ni-C bond deviating 7 degrees from the surface normal, and a C-O bond tilt of 12 degrees [55]. A computational study with DFT+U also reported the tilt of CO on NiO(100) [52]. In that case, CO adsorbs carbon-down onto Ni$^{2+}$, with DFT+U giving a Ni-C bond that tilts by about 5° away from the surface normal. The authors argued that the Ni-C bond tilting is attributed to an “increased exchange-splitting of the Ni-d states, which largely suppresses the 2π*-(d$_{zx}+d_{zy}$) interaction and enables a 1π-d$_{z^2}$ hybridization favoring the tilting geometry” as the result of the addition of +U [52]. We see a similar effects on MnO(100): when only PBE is used and the surface reconstruction is suppressed by freezing the substrate atoms in the slab, the Mn-C bond is parallel to the (100) surface normal, whereas in PBE+U the Mn-C bond deviates 17 degrees from the (100) surface normal. The deviation in our +U simulation can be explained by the same effect, given that 3d$^5$ and 3d$^8$ electronic configurations of Mn$^{2+}$...
Figure 2-8 The side view of the adsorbed CO on the MnO(100) surface with atoms labeled. The result is obtained using the PBE functional coupled with the +U and DFT-D3 Becke-Johnson. The forces are less than $1 \times 10^{-6}$ eV/Å. The C-Mn bond length varies by ± 0.1 Å using various vdW corrections. C-Mn bond tilts away from the surface normal by $17^\circ$ in figure 8(b).
and Ni$^{2+}$ both possess incompletely-filled $d_{z^2}$ orbitals that can hybridize with CO’s $1\pi$ orbital.

Given that the +U simulation gives a more reasonable structure for this weak adsorption system but underpredicts the adsorption energy, a number of different van der Waals corrections were employed to examine their impact on the predicted adsorption energy. Table 2-1 gives the computed adsorption energy of CO on an MnO(100) terrace in the low coverage limit, with 1 CO per 4×4 surface unit cell. The vdW corrections increase the adsorption energy on average by about 50%, indicating the significant role dispersion forces play in adsorption on terraces. Among these results, PBE+U D3, D3 Becke-Johnson, and dDsC methods give adsorption energies that fall within the ±2.1 kJ/mol experimental error of the measurements. This agreement supports the assignment of the primary experimental desorption feature at 130 K in figure 2-2 (a) to desorption from terrace sites. Overall, the PBE functional with the +U package with a D3-Becke-Johnson dispersion correction provides an excellent prediction (-36.4 kJ/mol), compared to the adsorption energy (-35.6 kJ/mol) obtained experimentally.

Using the structurally and energetically-best case simulation (PBE+U with D3 Becke-Johnson) to examine CO on a Mn$^{2+}$ terrace site gives a stretching frequency of 2087 cm$^{-1}$ compared to a (predicted) gas phase C-O stretching frequency of 2126 cm$^{-1}$, a decrease of 39 cm$^{-1}$ upon adsorption. Experimentally, the C-O stretching frequency is 2143 cm$^{-1}$ in the gas phase [104] but experiences a blue shift of 47 cm$^{-1}$ upon adsorption onto (presumably) Mn$^{2+}$ sites on a reduced MnO$_x$ powder [65].

2.4.2.2. DFT simulation for CO adsorption on a step defect
The high-temperature tail in the experimental desorption signal is thought to be the result of desorption of CO from defects, so simulations of adsorption on two types of typical defects (steps and oxygen vacancies) has been examined with DFT. The adsorption of CO at a step edge was examined with the PBE+U with D3-Becke-Johnson vdW correction because this combination of methods results in a reasonable structure and an adsorption energy with the smallest deviation from experiment for terrace sites. The structure obtained from the simulation is shown below in figure 2-9. Mn cations and O anions are both four-fold coordinated at the step edge, with one additional degree of coordinative unsaturation compared to a terrace site. CO appears in a bridge-bonded configuration at the step edge on MnO(100). The Mn-C bond length is 2.22 Å, shorter than the Mn-C bond of 2.42 Å on the terrace site. The C-O bond length (oxygen from the step edge) is 1.42 Å, compared to a C-O length of 1.31 Å in a simulated carbonate. Viewing from the side (Figure 2-9 (b)), the CO molecule tilts about 45 degrees with respect to the upper and lower terrace. The predicted adsorption energy is -129.8 kJ/mol, approximately 4 times the calculated adsorption energy on the terrace. The lower (four-fold) coordination of Mn$^{2+}$ and O$^{2-}$ at the step site likely contribute to the rise in adsorption energy and the bridging configuration. A rise in adsorption energy due to under-coordination was also observed with a cluster model study of CO adsorption on MgO(100) [105].

2.4.2.3. DFT simulation of adsorbed CO at an oxygen vacancy

Figure 2-10 shows the predicted structure of CO adsorbed at an oxygen vacancy point defect, again using PBE+U with DFT-D3 Becke-Johnson vdW correction. The CO molecule adsorbs into the vacancy via C where each neighboring Mn$^{2+}$ at the vacancy is initially 4-fold coordinated in the top atomic layer and 5-fold coordinated in the second
Figure 2-9 The adsorption of CO at the step edge of MnO(100). Only top three layers of MnO(100) are shown. (a) front view (b) side view.
Figure 2-10 (a) CO adsorption at an oxygen vacancy point defect. Only the first layer of MnO(100) is shown. (b) The close-up view of the CO adsorption including second layer atoms with various distances between atoms labeled.
atomic layer. The adsorbed CO molecule tilts in the defect along the diagonal surface lattice direction. The C-O bond length of the adsorbed molecule is 1.32 Å, longer than the 1.15 Å predicted on the terrace. The average distance between carbon and an adjacent Mn atoms is 2.39 Å, shorter than the Mn-C bond length of 2.42 Å on the terrace. The adsorption energy in this case is -108.2 kJ/mol, much larger than found on a terrace site (-36.4 kJ/mol). The decrease in coordination number of the four top-layer Mn$^{2+}$ cations at the vacancy results in stronger binding to CO, and results in the increase in adsorption energy. The carbon atom in this case is 6-fold-coordinated (5 surrounding Mn$^{2+}$ cations and 1 oxygen from the CO molecule), compared to 2-fold-coordinated on a terrace site.

2.4.3. DFT prediction of desorption temperatures from defects

The DFT adsorption energies for CO at a step and oxygen vacancy are -129.8 kJ/mol and -108.2 kJ/mol (using PBE+U and D3-Becke Johnson), respectively, in the low coverage limit. Using equation 3, prefactors for CO desorption from these two sites are estimated as $2 \times 10^{17}$ s$^{-1}$ and $2 \times 10^{19}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively (see supplemental material). These large desorption prefactors stem from entropic gains from the confined adsorbed state at a defect compared to a terrace [81]. Based on these prefactors, the peak desorption temperatures for first-order desorption from these two defects are estimated to be 295 K at an oxygen vacancy and 385 K at a step. These estimates are shown in comparison to the experimental data in Figure 2-11.

As figure 2-11 shows, the peak desorption temperatures predicted by DFT for these two defect sites do not align with the observed 185 K surface defect desorption peak temperature observed experimentally. Several possibilities may explain the lack of agreement with the experimental data. It is possible that the experimental defects are not
Figure 2-11 The labeled peak desorption temperature for desorption of CO from flat terrace sites, surface defect sites, oxygen point defect vacancy (simulated), and from a step defect site (simulated).
of the simple step or O-vacancy type examined in sections 4.2.2 and 4.2.3. It is also possible that the computational methods do not accurately predict the defect adsorption energies. In particular, the U and J parameters used for the +U simulation (U = 5 eV, J = 1 eV) are optimized based on the bulk heat of formation [90]. They may provide a poor description of the energetics at significantly under-coordinated sites associated with the defects. It is noted that an investigation into an optimal U and J parameter set for surface Mn cations at defects was not conducted.

2.5 Conclusion

A study of CO adsorption on the MnO(100) surface was conducted using TPD and DFT. TPD reveals a primary CO desorption signal at 130 K from MnO(100) in the low coverage limit giving an adsorption energy of -35.6 ±2.1 kJ/mol on terrace sites. PBE+U gives a more reasonable structural result than PBE alone, and the adsorption energy obtained by PBE+U and DFT-D3 Becke-Johnson gives excellent agreement with the experimentally obtained ΔE_{ads} for adsorption at Mn^{2+} terrace sites. The van der Waals dispersion contribution is significant, and accounts for about 50% of the adsorption energy. These simulations suggest a tilted CO adsorption geometry on MnO(100) similar to experimental [55] and computational [52] reports for CO on NiO(100), another transition metal oxide with a highly-correlated electronic structure. PBE+U simulations were less successful in identifying a simple site associated with an experimentally observed desorption feature at 185 K attributed to surface defects.
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2.6 Tables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dose $L$</th>
<th>Coverage $ML$</th>
<th>Adsorption energy, $E_{ads}$ $kJ/mol$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TPD trials</td>
<td>0.06-0.11</td>
<td>0.023-0.042</td>
<td>-35.6 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE reconstructed (relaxed)</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td></td>
<td>-31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE no reconstruction (static)</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td></td>
<td>-26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE + U</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td></td>
<td>-16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE + U, D2 Grimme</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td></td>
<td>-31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE + U, D3 Grimme</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td></td>
<td>-33.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE + U, D3 Becke-Johnson</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td></td>
<td>-36.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE + U, TS</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td></td>
<td>-39.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE + U, TSHP</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td></td>
<td>-31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE + U, dDsC</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td></td>
<td>-33.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2-1 The comparison between experimentally and computationally obtained adsorption energies of CO on flat terrace sites of MnO(100). The coverages from the TPD experiments are calculated using methods discussed in 2.3, and subtracting the desorption accumulated from the desorption signal tail. The zero point energy corrections have been applied to the calculated adsorption energies. The coverages of 0.02, 0.03 and 0.04 monolayers that corresponds to dosages of 0.06, 0.08, and 0.11 are experimental coverages. All coverages associated with PBE are simulation coverages determined using 1 adsorbate molecule per 4×4 unit cell as basis as figure 2-7 illustrates, assuming only top-layer Mn$^{2+}$ are adsorption sites.
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2.8 Supplemental document for chapter 1

2.8.1. Prefactors for terrace sites using Campbell and Seller’s empirical entropic scaling relation.

The prefactor $v$ for adsorbates on flat terrace sites can be calculated using the empirical entropic scaling relation [1, 2]:

\[
v = \frac{k_B T}{h} \exp \left\{ 0.30 \frac{S_{gas}^o}{R} + 3.3 - \frac{1}{3} \left( 18.6 + \ln \left( \frac{m}{m_{Ar}} \right)^{1.5} \left( \frac{T}{298 \text{ K}} \right)^{2.5} \right) \right\}
\]

in which the variables are:

- $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant,
- $h$ is the Planck constant,
- $R$ is the ideal gas constant,
- $T$ is the temperature of the adsorbate gas molecule, the desorption peak temperature from TPD, 130 K for CO in the low coverage limit,
- $S_{gas}^o$ is the standard entropy of the gas molecule (CO) at measurement temperature $T$. At 130 K, $S_{gas}^o$ is approximately 20.9 R [3].
- $\frac{m}{m_{Ar}}$ is the ratio between the adsorbate mass, and the mass of argon, which for a CO adsorbate is $\frac{28}{40} = 0.7$.

which gives $v = 1.9 \times 10^{14} \text{ s}^{-1}$

2.8.2. Desorption temperature and prefactor estimates from DFT adsorption energies on defect sites
DFT estimates of adsorption energy and predictions for desorption temperatures from defect sites using the proposed scaling relationship in Equation 3,

\[ v_{\text{defect}} \approx \frac{v_{\text{defect,TST}}}{v_{\text{terrace,TST}}} \cdot v_{\text{terrace},S_o} \]

are related through three other equations and the temperature-dependent transition state expressions for the two TST prefactors. The first of these three additional equations is the first-order Redhead equation [4], the second equation is the relationship between activation energy for desorption and adsorption energy (combining equations 1 and 2 from section 2.2), and the third is the Campbell and Seller’s empirical entropic scaling relation for \( v_{\text{terrace},S_o} \):

b) \[ \frac{E_a}{RT_p^2} = \frac{v_{\text{defect}}}{\beta} \exp \left( - \frac{E_a}{RT_p} \right) \]

c) \[ \Delta E_{\text{ads}} = -E_a + 0.5 R T_p \]

d) \[ v_{\text{terrace},S_o} = \frac{k_B T}{h} \exp \left\{ 0.30 \frac{s_{\text{gas}}^o}{R} + 3.3 - \frac{1}{3} \left\{ 18.6 + \ln \left[ \left( \frac{m}{m_{\text{Ar}}} \right)^{1.5} \left( \frac{T}{298 K} \right)^{2.5} \right] \right\} \right\} \]

Solution requires a self-consistent determination of \( E_a, T_p, v_{\text{defect}}, v_{\text{terrace},S_o} \), and the two temperature-dependent TST prefactors associated with defects and terraces. This system of highly-nonlinear equations was solved numerically using the SciPy package [5] (Python code available upon request). Two methods based on different starting assumptions were examined for estimating the desorption temperatures.
2.8.2.1. Method 1: The experimentally observed desorption peak temperature from terrace sites in the low coverage limit (130 K) was used to estimate the prefactors for terrace sites \(\nu_{\text{terrace,TST}}\) and \(\nu_{\text{terrace,S_o}}\) leaving only the temperature dependence of \(\nu_{\text{defect,TST}}\) in eqtn. 3 for estimating \(E_a\), \(T_p\), and \(\nu_{\text{defect}}\) from equations a)-d) and the appropriate TST expressions for the different defects sites.

For step sites with the TST prefactors written in terms of the partition functions:

\[
\nu_{\text{step}} \approx \frac{k_B T_p}{\hbar} \frac{[q_{\text{trans}}(T_p)]^2 q_{\text{rot}}(T_p) q_{\text{vib}}(T_p)}{[q_{\text{trans}}(T_p)]^2 q_{\text{rot}}(130K) q_{\text{vib}}(130K)} \star \nu_{\text{terrace,S_o}}(130K)
\]

Note that all translational partition functions \(q_{\text{trans}}\) are 1-D partition functions, with only one degree of translational freedom attributed to step sites. \(\Delta E_{\text{ads}}\) is the adsorption energy for CO on the step defect obtained from DFT simulation using PBE +U, along with DFT-D3 Becke-Johnson vdW correction, corrected for zero-point energy using harmonic approximation based on calculated vibrational frequencies. The DFT value of \(\Delta E_{\text{ads}}\) for CO adsorption at a step defect is -129.8 kJ/mol.

For an oxygen vacancy point defect, the equation a is similar, but assumes zero degrees of translational freedom for the adsorbate at the point defect:

\[
\nu_{\text{OV}} \approx \frac{k_B T_p}{\hbar} \frac{[q_{\text{trans}}(T_p)]^2 q_{\text{rot}}(T_p) q_{\text{vib}}(T_p)}{[q_{\text{trans}}(130K)]^2 q_{\text{vib}}(130K)} \star \nu_{\text{terrace,S_o}}(130K)
\]
where $\Delta E_{\text{ads}}$ is now the zero-point corrected DFT adsorption energy for CO in an oxygen vacancy point defect, -108.2 kJ/mol.

2.8.2.2. Method 2: For the second method, no temperature was assumed for the prefactors for terrace sites, and all prefactors were determined self consistently along with $E_a$ and $T_p$. This route to a solution result in the complete cancellation of the transition state partition functions since the temperature used for all partition functions are identical. In addition to equations a)-d) the expressions for prefactors for step sites (one degree of translational freedom) and oxygen vacancy point defects (zero degrees of translational freedom) reduce to:

\[
\nu_{\text{step}} \approx \frac{[q_{\text{trans}}^{\text{terrace}}(T_p)]^2 q_{\text{vib}}^{\text{terrace}}(T_p)}{q_{\text{trans}}^{\text{step}}(T_p) q_{\text{vib}}^{\text{step}}(T_p)} \cdot \nu_{\text{terrace},S_o}(T_p)
\]

and

\[
\nu_{\text{OV}} \approx \frac{[q_{\text{trans}}^{\text{terrace}}(T_p)]^2 q_{\text{vib}}^{\text{terrace}}(T_p)}{q_{\text{vib}}^{\text{OV}}(T_p)} \cdot \nu_{\text{terrace},S_o}(T_p)
\]

For all cases, the temperature dependence of $S_{\text{gas}}^o$ in Campbell and Seller's correlation was calculated using Shomate equation [3].

2.8.2.3. Results and Comparisons

The two different methods for estimating the desorption temperature and prefactors from the DFT adsorption energies yield very similar results, both in terms of the predicted prefactors and the predicted desorption temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method 1</th>
<th>Method 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_{\text{step}}$</td>
<td>1.61×10^{17} sec(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_{\text{OV}}$</td>
<td>1.18×10^{19} sec(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_p$ (step)</td>
<td>386 K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.8.3. Order plots from desorption isotherms

Order plots are generated from desorption isotherms that yield the desorption order, \( n \), at a given temperature, \( T_n \), from a linearized form of the desorption rate equation.

\[
\ln(r) = \ln(k) + n\ln(\theta)
\]

where \( r \) is the desorption rate at some temperature \( T_n \), \( k \) is the desorption rate constant, and \( \theta \) is the coverage at \( T_n \). From a set of desorption traces at different initial coverages, the desorption rate and fractional coverage at a given temperature \( T_n \) are given by the intensity of the desorption signal at \( T_n \) and the area under the desorption trace for \( T \geq T_n \), respectively. The desorption order \( n \) for a given temperature \( T_n \) is given by the slope of a plot of \( \ln(r) \) vs \( \ln(\theta) \) extracted from traces at different initial coverages at \( T_n \). The analysis assumes the desorption rate constant is nominally independent of coverage at a given temperature. This analysis generally works best on the low-coverage side of the trace [6], above 130 K for our traces in figure 2-2, as shown by the sample linearized desorption isotherms in figure 2-12.
Figure 2-12 The desorption isotherms from sets of TPD traces at various temperatures. The slope of each plot is $n$, the desorption order at the given temperature.
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Chapter 3

NH₃ adsorption on MnO(100): Experimental benchmarks compared to DFT

3.1 Introduction

Applications of DFT to problems in surface chemistry and catalysis have become ubiquitous, however, calculations involving transition metal oxides with highly-correlated electronic structure remain problematic [1, 2]. Despite some progress [3-6], the lack of quality experimental benchmarks is still an issue. Here we provide a benchmark study of NH₃ on MnO(100), where MnO is a transition metal oxide with highly-correlated electronic structure [7]. NH₃ has long been one of the standard molecules for probing surface acidic sites for oxides. One common method of probing surface acidity with NH₃ is through temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) [8]. Ammonia TPD can differentiate between energetically-different adsorption sites [9], provide a measure of adsorption energy and the amount adsorbed [10]. It can probe both Lewis-acid sites [11], and Brønsted acid site [12]. This work seeks to provide an experimental benchmark for NH₃/MnO(100) using TPD, along with density functional theory [13, 14] (DFT) calculations for this system to compliment a previous study of CO/MnO(100) [15].

Experimental TPD studies of NH₃ on single-crystal oxides surfaces are still uncommon. A notable study in recent years was the chemisorption of NH₃ on SnO₂ (110) [11], which reveals that in-plane oxygen vacancies unexpectedly reduce the Lewis acidity of nearby cations. In a studying involving laser-induced thermal desorption (LITD), Arthur et al. [16] observed repulsive lateral interactions between the adsorbate NH₃ molecules on MgO(100) and determined that the activation energy of desorption is inversely proportional to the square root of the relative coverage. Another study of NH₃ adsorption
on anatase TiO$_2$ (101) [17] showed a first-order activation energy of desorption of 119 kJ/mol in the low-coverage limit, and of 27 kJ/mol at higher coverages, which indicates repulsive lateral interactions between the adsorbate molecules with increasing coverage. Studies of the NH$_3$/NiO(100) adsorption system [18] and NH$_3$/Ru(001) [19] also suggest that the adsorption of NH$_3$ exhibits repulsive lateral interactions, with the apparent activation energy of desorption decreasing as coverage increases. Beyond the adsorbate/surface interactions, molecular dipole-dipole attractive interactions can lead to multilayers of NH$_3$ at low temperatures, with reported multilayer desorption temperatures ranging from 100 to 160 K [20, 21] in ultra-high vacuum.

In the absence of surface protons, NH$_3$ probes surface Lewis acid sites, with a nitrogen-down adsorption geometry on planar metal single-crystal surfaces [19, 22, 23], and onto surface cation site for metal oxide surfaces [16-18]. On NiO(100), a study of local symmetry by scanned-energy mode photoelectron diffraction [24] revealed that NH$_3$ binds to the surface Ni$^{2+}$ sites through its lone pair electrons, but the Ni-N bond deviates from the surface normal by about 7 degrees.

To accompany the experimental studies, computational tools can be used to gain a deeper understanding of NH$_3$ adsorption onto well-defined metal oxide surfaces. For rocksalt (100) surfaces, the NH$_3$/MgO(100) system is the most studied [25-32] and is analogous structurally to to NH$_3$/MnO(100) system since MgO and MnO both have the rocksalt structure [27, 33]. Computational NH$_3$/MgO(100) adsorption studies agree on the general adsorption geometry being nitrogen down at a Mg cation, however, they reach different conclusions regarding the molecular axial tilt. A density-functional study [32] indicates a non-bonded, physisorption interaction with the molecular axis being vertical. A
semi-empirical potential energy surface study concurs with this conclusion [26]. A Car-Parrinello simulation predicts an axial tilt of 5-22 degrees away from the surface normal [27]. The adsorption geometry, including a possible axial tilt of the molecule, is a point of interest in investigating NH$_3$/MnO(100) adsorption system.

This work makes use of TPD to establish an adsorption energy benchmark for NH$_3$ on single-crystal MnO(100) for comparison to computational results. Mn$^{2+}$ cations like those found on the MnO(100) surface are typically classified as hard acids [34], making NH$_3$ an ideal candidate as a probe. Also included are DFT calculations for NH$_3$ adsorption on terrace sites and two common defect sites: step edges, and oxygen vacancy point defects.

### 3.2 MnO(100)

MnO has a rocksalt structure [33] and is an insulator at room temperature [35] with a bandgap of 3.6 – 3.8 eV [36, 37]. MnO(100) is non-polar and thermodynamically stable [38, 39]. A ball model illustration of the ideal MnO(100) surface structure is shown in figure 3-1. Each Mn$^{2+}$ cation and O$^{2-}$ anion in the top atomic layer are five-fold-coordinated with one degree of coordinative unsaturation relative to the bulk. Each Mn$^{2+}$ cation has a d$^5$, high-spin electronic configuration [40]. As a result, MnO has a highly correlated electronic structure. It is anti-ferromagnetic with an AFM-II ordering of the magnetic moments [7]. The arrangement of spin magnetic moments within a (100) plane is shown in figure 3-1 (a), with parallel spins along [011] [7, 41].

### 3.3 Methods

#### 3.3.1. Experimental Methods
Figure 3-1 Ball model illustration of the ideal stoichiometric MnO(100) surface. (a) Top view of one layer of the MnO(100) surface looking down the [100] surface normal. The black spheres represent Mn$^{2+}$ cations, white spheres represent O$^{2-}$ cations. A unit cell on the surface of MnO(100) is labeled in black solid lines. The opposing magnetic moments related to (111) planes are illustrated with yellow arrows. (b) Cross-section view along the [001] direction. Adapted from Feng, Cox, (2016).
Temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) experiments were conducted in a stainless steel, ion-pumped, ultra-high vacuum system. The sample heating rate was limited to 2.5 K/sec because of the possibility of breakage due to thermal shock of our ceramic MnO(100) sample. The MnO(100) sample is dosed with ammonia after cooling to 85 K, with small exposures done with a variable leak valve, and larger exposures with a doser that provides an estimated enhancement factor of 3.3 [42]. The chamber contains an Inficon Quadrex 200 mass spectrometer for TPD experiments and is equipped with a glass skimmer that covers the ionization zone. The Matheson NH$_3$ (99.99%) gas is used as received. All doses have been corrected for ion gauge sensitivity, which was taken as 1.3 [43]. The chamber also contains a set of Princeton Research Instruments reverse view low-energy electron diffraction (LEED) optics. A Perkin-Elmer Phi 15-155 single-pass cylindrical mirror analyzer is used for Auger electron spectroscopy to examine surface cleanliness and composition. The chamber has a base pressure of 1×10$^{-10}$ Torr.

The MnO(100) single crystal was purchased from SurfaceNet GmbH with an EPI polish and mounted on a tantalum sample holder connected to a manipulator via liquid-nitrogen-cooled copper rods. The sample temperature is measured by a type K thermocouple glued to the back of the sample with Aremco 569 ceramic cement through a hole in the tantalum sample holder. Before TPD experiments, the MnO(100) single-crystal surface is cleaned by bombardment with 2 keV Ar$^+$ ions, then heated to 1000 K for 10 min to establish an ordered surface with a (1×1) LEED periodicity. LEED observations are made at a temperature of 500 K where there is sufficient conductivity to prevent surface charging. This procedure yields a clean, ordered, nearly-stoichiometric MnO(100) surface as per previous work [44].
3.3.1.1. Obtaining adsorption energies from TPD

The activation energy for desorption, $E_a$, can be determined from TPD data via a Redhead analysis [45]. The experimental adsorption energy, $\Delta E_{ads}$, can then be determined following equation 1 and 2:

$$\Delta H_{ads}^° = -(E_a + 0.5R T_p)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$\Delta E_{ads} = \Delta H_{ads}^° + R T_p$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\Delta H_{ads}^°$ is the adsorption enthalpy, $T_p$ the desorption peak temperature determined from the TPD experiment, and $\Delta E_{ads}$ is the adsorption energy. The first equation is derived by assuming equilibrium between adsorption and desorption rates and a temperature-independent prefactor and activation energy for desorption [46]. The second equation accounts for the ideal gas $\Delta(PV)$ contribution to enthalpy [47].

3.3.2. Computational methods

All simulations were performed using the projector-augmented-wave method [48, 49] within the Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package (VASP) [50-52]. The Perdue-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) [53] approximation was used to account for exchange and correlation via a standard generalized gradient approximation (GGA). All DFT simulations were performed using a MnO cell that consists of 4-layers, 256 atom in accordance of our previous computational study [15]. In each simulation, one NH$_3$ molecule is placed on a (4×4) surface cell and geometry-optimized. This approach gives an equivalent coverage of 0.03 ML, with one ML defined as one NH$_3$ molecule per surface Mn$^{2+}$ site. $+U$ simulations using the method of Dudarev [54] were used to also provide another estimate of the adsorption energy because of the highly correlated electronic structure of MnO. A U-J value
of 4.0 eV yields the best energy of formation for bulk MnO [55], and is used in all +U calculations. In addition, a range of van der Waals (vdW) dispersion corrections [56-62] were used.

Calculations involving a single-atomic step defect and an oxygen vacancy point defect were also conducted. The step defect was created by removing half of the atoms in the top atomic layer to produce a step that runs along [010]. An oxygen vacancy defect was created by removing one top-layer lattice oxygen from the (4×4) surface unit cell. Both types of defective surfaces were geometry-optimized prior to the examination of NH₃ adsorption.

The ground state adsorption energy is defined as the difference between the internal energy of the adsorbed state \( E_{ads} \), where a molecule is adsorbed on the surface, and the internal energy of the initial state, where the internal energy of a gas molecule \( E_{gas} \) and the clean, geometry-optimized surface \( E_{surface} \) are calculated separately. Equation 3 below defines the adsorption energy, \( \Delta E_{ads} \) [63]. Zero-point energy corrections, \( \Delta ZPE \), have been included for all calculations, and are determined from the vibrational frequencies within the harmonic oscillator approximation that are obtained via a dynamical matrix calculations [64, 65] in VASP:

\[
\Delta E_{ads} = E_{ads} - \left( E_{gas} + E_{surface} \right) + \Delta ZPE
\]  

(3)

where the \( \Delta ZPE \) is calculated per equation 4 [66]:

\[
\Delta ZPE \approx \sum_i \frac{\hbar \nu_{i}^{ads}}{2} - \sum_i \frac{\hbar \nu_{i}^{gas}}{2}
\]  

(4)

where \( \hbar \) is the Plank’s constant and \( \nu_{i}^{ads} \) and \( \nu_{i}^{gas} \) are the frequencies of the vibrational modes of the molecules in the adsorbed state and in the free-molecule initial state.
3.4 Results

3.4.1. Experimental Results

3.4.1.1. TPD Results

TPD traces for NH$_3$ desorption from MnO(100) in the low dose range were accomplished by back filling the chamber with a variable leak valve, and are shown in figure 3-2. At the smallest dose of 0.02 L (figure 3-2 (a), 1 L = 1×10$^{-6}$ Torr·sec), a small, symmetric desorption feature appears at 330 K. As the doses increase to 0.06 L and 0.08 L, the desorption feature grows and broadens to lower temperatures, where a second distinct desorption feature is first observed at 235 K. This second desorption feature further shifts down in temperature but merges with the higher temperature feature at higher coverages. A larger dose of 0.12 L sees the desorption feature shifting down in temperature to about 210 K, and at 0.56 L to about 170 K (figure 3-2 (b)). For doses from 0.06 L to 0.56 L as shown in figure 3-2 (b), a high-temperature desorption tail extends to about 500 K. For doses larger than 0.6 L with a leak valve, a desorption feature from the sample holder (not shown) appears at around 300 K, necessitating the use of a doser for larger exposures. Additionally, primary mass numbers for possible dissociation products, such as hydrazine, nitrogen and hydrogen, were monitored during the desorption process. No signs of these decomposition products were detected.

Figure 3-3 shows the desorption order versus temperature of NH$_3$ desorption from MnO(100) in the temperature range between 200 K and 500 K. The plot indicates that the desorption order, with some variations, is close to one. This order plot is determined using isotherms at various temperatures that are derived using TPD traces from figure 3-2 (b), a
Figure 3-2 TPD traces of NH$_3$ desorption from MnO(100). The exposures range from 0.02 to 0.56 L. (a) smaller doses. (b) all doses.
Figure 3-3 The aggregated order plot of NH$_3$ desorption from MnO(100) surface, in the temperature range of 200 to 500 Kelvin.
method that was also used in our previous work [15] (see supplemental materials for a more complete description). In general however, symmetric desorption peaks with a downward shift in peak temperatures with increasing coverages indicate second-order desorption [67, 68]. The desorption traces in figure 3-2 (b) show the downward shift in peaks, albeit with a tail to higher temperatures. This shift is also observed with \( \text{NH}_3 \) on rutile \( \text{TiO}_2(110)-1\times1 \) [20], on anatase-\( \text{TiO}_2(101) \) [17], and also on \( \text{MgO}(100) \) where a similar tail to higher temperatures is observed [16]. This long tail is likely due to the difficulty of pumping \( \text{NH}_3 \) as the molecule has strong interaction with the chamber wall. In all three cases, the order of the desorption traces was determined to be first-order, with the downward shift in desorption temperature attributed to a coverage-dependent activation energy of desorption [16, 17, 20]. The observations of first-order desorption kinetics of \( \text{NH}_3 \) on other surfaces, and the indication of predominantly first-order desorption from the order plot both suggest the first-order kinetics. The desorption of \( \text{NH}_3 \) from \( \text{MnO}(100) \) is therefore attributed to a first-order desorption process with a coverage dependent activation energy of desorption. The axial alignment of the adsorbate \( \text{NH}_3 \) molecules gives rise to the repulsive dipole-dipole lateral interactions [63], which is the likely cause for the coverage-dependency.

The symmetric desorption feature at 330 K for a 0.02 L dose is likely the result of desorption from surface defects, with the broadening of the features for 0.06 and 0.08 L due to the presence of more than one adsorption site, with the weakest binding at about 235 K and the strongest binding at around 330 K. The 235 K peak is attributed to desorption from surface terrace sites, and the 330 K desorption from defect sites. The
dominant low-temperature features for doses above 0.08 L are also attributed to desorption from terraces.

Having established the first-order nature of the desorption, the experimental activation energy of desorption, \(E_a\), can be readily determined from the first-order Redhead analysis [45]. Due to the possibility of a thermal shock, the use of a variable heating rate method in TPD for experimentally determining the desorption prefactor (\(\nu\)), cannot be applied. Alternatively, \(\nu\) is commonly assigned a value of \(1 \times 10^{13}\) sec\(^{-1}\) in ammonia TPD studies on various single-crystal metal oxide surfaces [16, 17, 20, 69]. An alternative approach using transition state theory [63, 70], while taking into account the entropic difference between gas phase and adsorbate phase NH\(_3\). An entropic difference of 5.7R was determined experimentally in the low-coverage regime for the NH\(_3\)/MgO(100) smoke adsorption system [71], and is reasonably applicable here. This leads to an approximate prefactor value of \(5.5 \times 10^{12}\) sec\(^{-1}\). Using this prefactor, and a desorption temperature of 235 K ±5 K at the low-coverage limit from the terrace sites, an activation energy of desorption, \(E_a\), of \(59.7 \pm 1.0\) kJ/mol is determined, and a corresponding adsorption energy, \(\Delta E_{\text{ads}}\), of \(-58.7 \pm 1.0\) kJ/mol is determined for the low-coverage limit of terrace sites. The 1.0 kJ/mol margins of error arise from the propagation of errors including a ± 5 K temperature measurement error and the variations in the prefactor from \(5.5 \times 10^{12}\) sec\(^{-1}\) to \(1.0 \times 10^{13}\) sec\(^{-1}\). The commonly-used prefactor of \(1.0 \times 10^{13}\) sec\(^{-1}\) leads to similar values of \(E_a\) (60.8 kJ/mol ± 1.0 kJ/mol), and an adsorption energy of \(-59.9\) kJ/mol ± 1.0 kJ/mol.

It is assumed in this work that desorption is first-order at the defect, and thus the first-order Redhead analysis [45] can still be used. The desorption prefactors are generally larger at defects sites compared to those at terrace sites due to the loss of translational
degrees of freedom [63]. A molecule adsorbed at a step edge is unlikely to move freely beyond the step, and can be considered a 1-D gas with the loss of 1 degree of translational freedom compared to their counterpart at a terrace [72]. For an adsorbate molecule localized at a point defect, all translational motion is lost [73]. Adhering to this principle and utilizing methods of calculations outlined in our previous work [15], we estimate that the desorption prefactor should be $8.14 \times 10^{13}$ sec$^{-1}$ for NH$_3$ at a step edge, and $1.64 \times 10^{15}$ sec$^{-1}$ at an oxygen vacancy point defect, which are 15 and 300 times the prefactor at the terrace site, respectively.

### 3.4.1.2 TPD Results for higher doses

Figure 3-4 shows three TPD traces of NH$_3$ for higher exposures using a doser. The doser delivers higher effective pressures at the sample surface and minimizes the uptake on the sample holder. For the 1.5 L and 2.9 L exposures shown in figure 3-4, a temperature ramp of 2.5 K/sec was initiated immediately after the dose. For the 3.0 L exposure, the sample was allowed to sit in the vacuum for 3.5 minutes after the dose before initiation of the temperature ramp. For the 1.5 L trace, two distinct desorption peaks can be seen. A low-temperature desorption feature appears at 110 K, and a high temperature desorption feature at 155 K. From 1.5 to 2.9 L, the low-temperature peak increases in size while shifting upward to 115 K (typical for multi-layer desorption with zero-order kinetics [74]), while the other low-temperature desorption feature at 155 K appears to saturate. After substantial elapsed time in vacuum prior to the TPD, the third trace for a 3.0L dose closely follows the desorption feature at 155 K of the previous two traces, while showing only a minor shoulder near 115 K.
Figure 3-4 The TPD traces for larger NH₃ doses on MnO(100). The dosages are 2.9, 1.5, and 3.0 L. The 3.0 L trace experienced a 3.5-minute time delay before the temperature ramp. Lower desorption temperature feature is attributed to multilayer desorption. A higher, and saturated desorption temperature feature is attributed to desorption from the first layer terrace site desorption. The 3.0 L trace with time delay represents the saturation coverage of NH₃ on MnO(100).
The twin desorption features in figure 3-4 are also seen on anatase-TiO$_2$(101) [17], on TiO$_2$(110) [20], on graphite [75], and on Ag(111) [74]. On Ag(111), a multilayer desorption temperature of 104 K is reported [74], and on graphite, a multilayer desorption temperature of 94 K is reported [75]. These findings suggest that the desorption feature at 115 K observed in figure 3-4 can be attributed to multilayer desorption. The higher temperature desorption peak at 155 K is due to the desorption from surface terrace sites at saturation coverage. Without the higher effective pressure at the sample when using the doser, the multilayer desorption feature largely disappears under vacuum as demonstrated by the 3.0 L dose with a 3.5 min time-delay. The remaining trace of the 3.0 L dose represents a stable first-layer on the surface at the dosing temperature of 85 K, and is regarded as the saturation coverage of NH$_3$ in the first layer on MnO(100).

3.4.1.3 Coverage vs. dose

Figure 3-5 shows a plot of coverage vs. dose for the TPD traces shown in figure 3-2 (b), with integrated areas shown on the left axis and corresponding upper limit estimates of NH$_3$ coverage in monolayers (ML) on the right axis. An upper limit estimate of NH$_3$ coverage as a function of the dose was made using the kinetic theory of gases [76] to estimate the number of NH$_3$ collisions with the surface for a given dose, and assuming the sticking coefficient is unity at low coverage, where one ML is defined as one NH$_3$ molecule per surface Mn$^{2+}$ site on a terrace. Also labeled in the dashed line in figure 3-5 is the saturation coverage of the NH$_3$ on MnO(100), which corresponds to 0.32 ML and is derived from the integrated saturation coverage from the 3.0 L dose (figure 3-4). In the low dose regime, a unity sticking coefficient for NH$_3$ is reported for MgO (100) at 130 K [16], therefore the
Figure 3-5 The coverage vs. dose plot of NH₃ on MnO(100), using background-dosing. The coverage is shown in two types of y-axis. The linear growth of coverage with respect to dose implies that the sticking coefficient is unity. The saturation coverage achieved using doser is shown in the dashed line.
assumption of an initial sticking coefficient of unity on MnO(100) at 85 K seems reasonable.

We believe that the maximum coverage at surface defects is achieved near 0.08 L, as evidenced by the disappearing prominence of the defect desorption feature at 330 K peak at higher doses. Additionally, a scaling factor of 2.8 applied to the 0.02 L trace accounts for the majority of the 0.08 L desorption trace with the exception of the portion of the signal near 235 K. While the low signal-to-noise ratio of the original traces gives rise to a very noisy difference trace (not shown), the results suggest an equivalent “defect coverage” on the order of about 0.04 ML.

Similar saturation coverages of NH$_3$ for the first-layer are reported in the literature. For example, on Pt(111), a saturation coverage of 0.25 NH$_3$ per Pt surface atom is observed [77]. On Ni(111) surface, a saturation coverage of 0.14 NH$_3$ per Ni surface atoms [78] is observed. These values indicate that the adsorbate dipole-dipole NH$_3$ repulsion is substantial. The saturation coverage of 0.32 ML observed on MnO(100) is higher than these two observed on metal surfaces. It is suggested that this can be explained by the presence of lattice oxygens on the surface, which spaces out the cation adsorption sites and subsequently lowers the repulsions between NH$_3$ molecules on adjacent cation sites.

3.4.2. Simulation Results

To gain better insight into the adsorption behavior of NH$_3$ on a MnO(100) for comparison to the experimental benchmarks, DFT simulations of NH$_3$ on a terrace, and on two common defects (step defect, and oxygen vacancy point defect) are simulated using PBE and PBE+U to gain insight into the adsorption geometry and calculate adsorption
energies. Various van der Waals corrections are also studied to determine their impact on the adsorption energy.

3.4.2.1. PBE simulations of NH₃ on a MnO(100) terrace

Simulations for NH₃ on a MnO(100) terrace using the PBE functional (Figure 3-6 a) yield an adsorption energy, ΔE_{ads}, of -49.5 kJ/mol for an equivalent coverage of 0.03 ML (1 adsorbate molecule per (4×4) surface cell). The simulation gives a tilted NH₃ that adsorbs N-down on a surface Mn cation, but also gives rise to a (2×2) surface reconstruction of the top atomic layer. With the addition of van der Waals corrections, the reconstruction persists. Because of the insulating nature of MnO and the low temperature (235 K) onset of desorption from terrace sites, the structure cannot be examined experimentally with LEED. We note that PBE simulations of Na adatoms on MnO(100) [44] and of CO adsorption on MnO(100) [15] predict a similar reconstruction. In the case of Na adatoms, no reconstruction is observed experimentally [44]. In the case of CO, it was argued that the adsorption is weak and unlikely to drive a surface reconstruction. Similarly, it is believed that the strength of the NH₃ surface interaction is not sufficient to drive a surface reconstruction (with an adsorption energy of -61.9 ± 1.0 kJ/mol).

3.4.2.2. PBE+U simulations of NH₃ on a MnO(100) terrace

More simulations was performed using PBE+U along with van der Waals corrections. The +U package is used for the strongly correlated electronic structure of MnO [41, 55]. NH₃ adsorbs nitrogen-down at a surface Mn²⁺ site, in a slightly tilted geometry of 5 degrees from the surface normal (figure 3-6b, 3-7a and 3-7b). The predicted
Figure 3-6 (a) A top view of the surface reconstruction caused by the adsorption of a NH$_3$ molecule on the MnO(100) surface using the PBE functional alone. Only the top layer of MnO(100) is shown. The (2×2) reconstruction pattern is shown with dashed lines. (b) A top view of the surface with NH$_3$ adsorption using PBE+U as the method. A surface unit cell is labeled in dashed lines. No surface reconstruction is present when PBE+U is used.
Figure 3-7 The NH₃ adsorbing onto MnO(100) terrace sites, with side (a) and top (b) viewing angles. Types of atoms are labeled in (b).
Mn-N bond is 2.28 Å, H-N bonds have a length of 1.02 Å (identical to a N-H bond length of a free molecule). No surface reconstruction appears with simulations using PBE+U. A pre-dissociated NH\textsubscript{3} (NH\textsubscript{2}- and H- on adjacent cations and anions, respectively) recombines to adsorbed NH\textsubscript{3} in the simulation, demonstrating the expected propensity to molecular rather than dissociative adsorption. Using PBE+U without vdW corrections gives an adsorption energy, Δ\textsubscript{E\text{ads}}, of -41.4 kJ/mol. The simulations with vdW corrections do not predict significant differences in the adsorption geometry, but the Δ\textsubscript{E\text{ads}} vary in the low coverage limit as shown in table 3-1, where 1 NH\textsubscript{3} per 4×4 surface unit cell is simulated. Among all the approaches, PBE+U with a vdW correction based on the Tkatchenko-Scheffler method with iterative Hirshfeld partitioning predicts an Δ\textsubscript{E\text{ads}} (-58.9 kJ/mol) that falls within the experimental error for the measured Δ\textsubscript{E\text{ads}} (-58.7 ± 1.0 kJ/mol).

### 3.4.2.3. DFT Simulations of NH\textsubscript{3} on a step edge defect

In section 3.1, NH\textsubscript{3} desorption from a defect site was identified with a desorption feature at 330 K. For comparison, PBE+U simulations are used to examine two types of common defects (steps and oxygen vacancies). The structure of a NH\textsubscript{3} at a step defect is shown in figure 3-8. NH\textsubscript{3} adsorbs molecularly onto an Mn\textsuperscript{2+} cation on the step edge, with one hydrogen aligned toward an adjacent O\textsuperscript{2-} on the step. The effects of the hydrogen bond are clearly present as the attracted hydrogen has a slightly lengthened N-H bond (1.06 Å, compared to a free NH\textsubscript{3} N-H bond 1.02 Å). The Mn-N bond is 2.17 Å. The O-H bond length is 1.79 Å (in comparison, H\textsubscript{2}O dissociates at the step edge as predicted by PBE+U). Figure 3-8 also shows that the adsorbate NH\textsubscript{3} introduced structural distortions at the adsorption site, lengthening the Mn-O distance at the adsorption site from 2.28 Angstroms to 3.66
Figure 3-8 The NH$_3$ adsorbing onto MnO(100) step defect, from two viewing angles.
Angstroms. PBE+U predicts an adsorption energy of NH$_3$ at a step defect site to be -140.7 kJ/mol. Using this value, the prefactor for step sites, and the method described in our previous work [15], an estimated desorption temperature for NH$_3$ from the simulated step edge is found to be 505 K.

3.4.2.4. DFT Simulations of NH$_3$ at an oxygen vacancy

Simulations were used to investigate NH$_3$ adsorption at an oxygen vacancy point defect with PBE+U. Initially, one lattice oxygen on the top layer of MnO(100) is removed from the cell, and a NH$_3$ molecule replaces the missing oxygen, N-down. As the surface geometry relaxes, the adsorbate molecule moves to the side, and eventually adsorbs on a cation adjacent to the vacancy as shown in figure 3-9. Compared to adsorption on a terrace site, the molecular bond length varied little. The Mn-N bond deviates more from the surface normal (14° compared to 5° of deviation at a terrace site). The adsorption energy for NH$_3$ near an oxygen vacancy point defect is -67.5 kJ/mol, and the predicted desorption temperature for NH$_3$ from the simulated oxygen vacancy is at 230 K.

3.4.2.5. Discussion of simulation results

For simulations of NH$_3$ on terrace sites, PBE+U with the Tkatchenko-Scheffler method with iterative Hirshfeld partitioning (hereby abbreviated as PBE+U with TSHP) yields an $\Delta E_{ads}$ value (-58.9 kJ/mol) that falls within the experimental error. It is notable that, even though PBE+U with TSHP gives the best prediction in the case of NH$_3$ adsorption on the terrace, our previous work on CO/MnO(100) benchmark study [15] determined that PBE+U with D3 Becke-Johnson gives the most accurate $\Delta E_{ads}$ instead. The difference between D3 Becke-Johnson, and TSHP in accounting for vdW dispersion contribution,
Figure 3-9 The NH$_3$ adsorbing onto MnO(100) a cation, next to the oxygen vacancy point defect, from two viewing angles.
despite the common origin of D2 method of Grimme [56], is the way the dispersion coefficients are calculated, which scale the dispersion energies. For D3 Becke-Johnson, the dispersion coefficients depend on the coordination number [58], whereas for TSHP, the coefficients depend on charge density at a given atom [60]. As demonstrated, the approach on vDW corrections by focusing on the charge density (PBE+U with TSHP) is superior to the approach by focusing on coordination number (PBE+U with D3 Becke-Johnson) in the case of NH$_3$/MnO(100) adsorption system.

A scanned-energy mode photoelectron diffraction experiment [24] revealed that NH$_3$ adsorbs onto the structurally-similar NiO(100) surface in a tilted-geometry, deviating 7 ± 6° from the surface normal similar to NH$_3$ on MnO(100). The likely cause for the tilt is the hydrogen bond attraction between the hydrogen and an adjacent lattice oxygen [79]. The PBE+U predict a similar tilt on MnO(100).

In contrast to the good predictions on the adsorption of NH$_3$ on MnO(100) terrace sites, the simulation did not adequately explain the experimental observations for NH$_3$ desorption from the surface defect sites. The simulation results suggest that NH$_3$ from a step edge would lead to a desorption temperature at about 500 K, and NH$_3$ from an oxygen vacancy point defect at about 230 K. Neither temperature aligns with the experimentally observed desorption temperature of 330 K from surface defect sites. It is possible that the nature of the defects is neither of a step edge nor of an oxygen vacancy point defect. Another possibility is that the methods do not adequately describe the adsorption chemistry at the defect site. Similarly, our previous work with CO on MnO(100) also fails to explain the desorption from surface defect sites via simulating CO adsorption at the step defect and oxygen vacancy defect [15]. In both our previous work and in this work, the
DFT-predicted desorption temperature at the step edge were higher than the experimentally observed desorption temperature from the surface defect. For adsorption at an oxygen vacancy defect, DFT over-predicted the desorption temperature for the surface defect site in the case of CO [15], while under-predicting in the case of NH₃.

3.5. Conclusion

We conducted a TPD study of NH₃ adsorption on MnO(100) with complimentary DFT simulations. NH₃ TPD and its subsequent analysis revealed that adsorption energy on MnO(100) is coverage-dependent, and can be divided into adsorption at surface terrace sites, and at surface defect sites. In the low-coverage limit, the adsorption energy on terraces is -58.7± 1.0 kJ/mol. A higher effective pressure for NH₃ introduced by a doser results in the formation of a transient NH₃ multilayers. The result also revealed that the saturation coverage of the first layer is 0.32 ML.

The PBE functional alone predicts an unreasonable reconstruction at the surface upon NH₃ adsorption. For a terrace site, PBE+U predicts a more realistic surface adsorbate geometry with NH₃ adsorbing N-down at a surface cation in slightly tilted geometry, which is also observed experimentally on other single-crystal rocksalt surfaces. PBE+U with Tkatchenko-Scheffler method with iterative Hirshfeld partitioning provides the best prediction on the adsorption energy. The simulations of NH₃ at a step site and oxygen vacancy did not adequately represent the experimental features attributed to desorption from defects.
3.6 Tables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coverage</th>
<th>Adsorption energy, $E_{ads}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ML</td>
<td>kJ/mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPD trials (terrace sites)</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE + U</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE + U, D2 Grimme</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE + U, D3 Grimme</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE + U, D3 Becke-Johnson</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE + U, TS</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE + U, TSHP</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE + U, dDsC</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3-1 The comparison between experimentally and computationally obtained adsorption energies of NH$_3$ on flat terrace sites of MnO(100) at the low-coverage limit. The coverages from the TPD experiments are calculated using methods discussed in 3.4.1.3. The zero-point energy corrections have been applied to the calculated adsorption energies. All coverages associated with PBE are simulation coverages determined using 1 adsorbate molecule per 4x4 unit cell as basis as figure 3-6 illustrates, assuming only top-layer Mn$^{2+}$ are adsorption sites.
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Chapter 4

Reaction Chemistry of CH$_3$I on $\alpha$-Cr$_2$O$_3$(10 $\bar{1}$2)$^2$

4.1 Introduction

The dehydrogenation of methane has garnered a lot of research interests in the catalysis field as it allows for the utilization of abundant natural gas to mass-produce various other hydrocarbons. However, the initial dehydrogenation step of methane is slow and it occurs at a much slower rate than the desorption of CH$_4$ under vacuum [1-3]. Halogenated hydrocarbons are helpful as they can readily undergo dissociative adsorption, breaking carbon-halogen bonds, even at low temperatures [4-8], which bypasses the slow initial dehydrogenation of CH$_4$ and gives access to surface methyl groups for reaction studies. One good candidate is CH$_3$I [5]. UHV is a particularly good environment for studying the decomposition reaction pathways because x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) can potentially identify both the surface binding sites and the elementary surface reaction steps. Within this study is the experimental examination of the decomposition of methyl groups on $\alpha$-Cr$_2$O$_3$(10 $\bar{1}$2) surface using TPD and synchrotron-based XPS, along with the computational examination of the reaction process.

Methyl fragments readily undergo various reactions on metal catalysts. A simple dehydrogenation of the methyl groups to methylene can lead to the production of ethylene (CH$_2$CH$_2$) as reported on Cu(110) [9, 10] and Pd(100) [11] through methylene coupling, or on Cu(100) [12] and on Ag(111) [13] through methylene insertion into a methyl group,

---

$^2$ TPD data from the PhD dissertation of C.M. Byrd, Reaction chemistry of C$_1$ hydrocarbon fragments and oxygenates on Cr$_2$O$_3$(10 $\bar{1}$2), Chemical Engineering, Virginia Tech, 2003. XPS data from M.A. Minton and D. F. Cox, unpublished
followed by beta-hydrogen elimination. It has been reported that further dehydrogenation is possible to form acetylene (C$_2$H$_2$) on Ni(111) and Al(111) surfaces [14, 15]. If methylene is formed on an oxygenated metal surface, oxygenated hydrocarbons may be synthesized. On oxygen-covered Rh(111) [16] and Pt(111) [17], which have been shown by DFT to have similar bonding with adsorbed oxygen [18], formaldehyde (CH$_2$O) can be formed by introducing methylene fragments. Further oxidation of the methylene to CO, CO$_2$, and water is also possible on metal surfaces, such as Pd(100), Pt(100), Ru(111), and Ru(001) [11, 17, 19, 20].

Methyl fragments can also lead to a range of products on metal-oxide surfaces. For example, CO, CO$_2$, CH$_3$OH, and CH$_2$O can be formed on V$_2$O$_5$ through a methoxide intermediate [21]. Similar reaction products can be produced on TiO$_2$(110) [22]. The reaction of methyl groups was also investigated on α-Cr$_2$O$_3$(0001), where methyl fragments undergo dehydrogenation, and form ethylene either through direct coupling of methylene or through methylene insertion into a surface methyl group to form an ethyl group, followed by β-hydride elimination to produce ethylene [5].

The reaction mechanism involving methylene on the (10$ar{1}$2) surface were also studied by Byrd [23] using TPD, revealing that after the dissociative adsorption of CH$_2$I$_2$, producing CH$_2$= on the surface, reaction products CH$_2$=CH$_2$ and CH$_4$ are formed. The reaction-limited desorption of CH$_2$=CH$_2$, which is the result of the surface diffusion and coupling of the CH$_2$= groups, is observed at 390 K during TPD[23]. Synchrotron XPS clearly identifies the binding site of CH$_2$= to be surface cations on the (10$ar{1}$2) surface [24].

4.2 Cr$_2$O$_3$(10$ar{1}$2)
\(\alpha\text{-Cr}_2\text{O}_3\) has the corundum structure and is an insulator with a band gap of 3.4 eV [25, 26]. The crystal structure is predicated on “a hexagonal close packed array of \(O^{2-}\) ions, with two-thirds of the interstitial octahedral sites filled by \(Cr^{3+}\) ions” [27]. The bulk electronic structure is antiferromagnetic, with ferromagnetic \(Cr^{3+}\) sheets having alternating net spins in the direction perpendicular to the \((10\overline{1}2)\) surface [28].

A previous study characterizing \(\alpha\text{-Cr}_2\text{O}_3(10\overline{1}2)\) [29] using XPS, Auger electron spectroscopy (AES), and low-energy electron diffraction (LEED) established that after ion bombardment followed by annealing in ultra-high vacuum at 900 K, a nearly-stoichiometric \((1\times1)\) surface can be prepared that is characteristic of a simple termination of the bulk structure. The ideal surface is illustrated in figure 4-1. The \((10\overline{1}2)\) surface has a rectangular periodicity \((a:b = 0.94)\) [29] and is the most thermodynamically stable of all the low-index surfaces [30]. Previous work by Tasker [31] suggest that a stable surface is terminated with a repeating unit of layers that is both neutral in dipole moments and in charge. For \(\alpha\text{-Cr}_2\text{O}_3(10\overline{1}2)\), the repeat unit perpendicular to the surface is arranged as \([O^{2-}, Cr^{3+}, O^{2-}, Cr^{3+}, O^{2-}]\). The \(O^{2-}\) anions in the top atomic layer are three-fold coordinated, while the second layer \(Cr^{3+}\) cations five-fold coordinated, resulting in one degree of coordinative unsaturation with respect to the bulk [32]. As figure 4-1 (b) shows, the top layer anions appear in zig-zag rows in the \([02\overline{2}1]\) direction, separated by troughs exposing fully-coordinated lattice oxygens in the third atomic layer.

### 4.3 Methods

#### 4.3.1. Experimental methods
Figure 4-1 A ball model representation of the $\alpha$-Cr$_2$O$_3$ (10\overline{1}2). Figure (a) is the top view, showing the (10\overline{1}2) surface parallel to the plane of the page. Surface periodicity is illustrated with a surface unit cell drawn in yellow dashed line. a:b = 0.94. Figure (b) shows a slanted view of one stoichiometric repeating layer. Small, black spheres represent Cr$^{3+}$ cations, large, light spheres represent O$^{2-}$ anions. Figure adapted with permission from Brooks, et al. (2009).
All TPD experiments were carried out in an ultra-high vacuum chamber with an ion pump that maintains a base pressure of $1 \times 10^{-10}$ torr. The chamber includes a Physical Electronics model 15-555 single-pass CMA for Auger electron spectroscopy, and an Inficon Quadrex 200 mass spectrometer for TPD. The chamber is also equipped with Princeton Research Instruments model RVL 8-120 reverse-view LEED optics. AES is used to establish the cleanliness of the surface, and to examine the relative amount of the post-reaction halogen residuals on the surface. LEED was used to confirm the (1×1) periodicity characteristic of a simple termination of the bulk. The sample preparation procedure includes 2000 eV argon ion bombardment, followed by a period of annealing at 900 K which produces a nearly-stoichiometric surface [29].

Iodomethane (CH$_3$I, Aldrich, 99.5% and CD$_3$I, Aldrich, 99.5%) was purified by flash distillation. All doses are corrected for ion gauge sensitivity, and mass spectrometer sensitivity factors were determined experimentally. A glass skimmer on the mass spectrometer is used to minimize signal collection from non-sample surfaces. A linear temperature ramp of 2.5 K/s is used for TPD. The heating rate is limited to this low value as the sample is ceramic and susceptible to thermal shock. The relative amounts of products were determined through the comparison of the integrated areas of the TPD traces.

Soft x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra were obtained at the U12a beamline at the National Synchrotron Light Source, Brookhaven National Laboratory. Photoemission spectra were collected at an instrumental resolution of 0.5 eV, and all spectra are referenced to a Cr 2p$_{3/2}$ binding energy of 576.9 eV [29, 33]. Compensation for

---

3 An ion gauge sensitivities of 9.48 used for methyl iodide was calculated using a correlation by S. George reported in R. L. Brainard and R. J. Madix, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 111 (1989) 3826. Mass spectrometer sensitivity factors for ethylene (m/z=27 and 28), formaldehyde (m/z=30), methane (m/z=16), hydrogen (m/z=2), water (m/z=18), formic acid (m/z=46), carbon dioxide (m/z=44), CH$_3$I (m/z=142) and CD$_3$I (m/z=145) were 0.64, 1.04, 0.64, 1.53, 1.91, 0.56, 0.057, 1.22, 0.045 and 0.16, respectively.
The orientation of the $\alpha$-Cr$_2$O$_3$ crystal was set to within 1° of the (1012) surface using Laue back-reflection. It was then polished to a final mirror finish with 0.25 μm diamond paste. In both chambers, the sample was held in place through mechanical clamps onto a tantalum stage. The stage was fastened to LN$_2$-cooled copper electrical conductors to serve as the heating platform for the sample. To allow for temperature measurements, a type K thermocouple was attached to the back of the sample through the hole in the tantalum support using Aremco #569 ceramic cement.

4.3.2. Computational methods

Simulations were performed using the projector-augmented-wave method [34, 35] in the Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package (VASP)[36-38]. PBE functionals which utilize a standard generalized gradient approximation (GGA), were used to treat the exchange and correlation in the electronic system [39]. An 80-atom slab, which consists of two stoichiometric units along [1012], is used, exposing a (2×2) surface mesh. A vacuum gap of 15 Å is used to separate the slabs. For all calculations, the k-point sampling was generated using a Monkhorst-Pack scheme [40]. A 3×1×3 mesh was used for all calculations. The atoms in the lower repeat unit were fixed in their bulk positions, while the atoms in the upper five atomic layers were free to move during the geometric relaxation. For geometric relaxations, forces were required to be less than 0.01 eV/Å upon convergence. The initial magnetic moments are set per the known antiferromagnetic ordering in $\alpha$-Cr$_2$O$_3$[28]. In DFT, this ordering is also the ground-state magnetic ordering [41]. The minimum energy
pathway (MEP) for methyl dehydrogenation was investigated using the climbing-image nudged elastic band method (CI-NEB) [42-44]. The reaction prefactors are calculated by dividing the product of all the normal frequencies for the initial state by that of the transition state, excluding the one imaginary frequency [45]. The zero-point energy correction for each activation barrier is calculated by assuming the harmonic oscillator approximation [46], which is done by first acquiring the difference between the sum of the real frequencies of the transition state and the sum of all frequencies of the initial states, and then multiplying by one half of the Planck’s constant [45]. For frequency calculations, the forces are less than 0.0005 eV/Å, and are done using a mass of 2 atomic mass unit (amu) for hydrogen in accordance with the experimental use of deuterated reactants.

The prediction of electronic properties of strongly-correlated transition metal oxide such as α-Cr₂O₃ is widely-known to be problematic for DFT [41]. One approach to address this problem that has found significant use in the literature is the inclusion of an on-site Coulomb repulsion, i.e., the +U method [47, 48]. A set of parameter values (U = 5.0 and J = 1.0) are used to strike a balance between the bulk structural properties and the electronic properties, although this does not guarantee optimal results for surface properties [41]. The DFT+U method used was developed by Dudarev et al. [48].

### 4.4 Results and discussion

The reaction of CD₃I on the α-Cr₂O₃(10̅12) surface leads to the production of two major gas-phase, carbon-containing products, CD₄ and CD₂=CD₂, in addition to residual surface iodine adatoms. Several m/z signals were monitored simultaneously during the TPD experiment, and then compared to the established mass spectrometer fragmentation
patterns for the identification of the supposed product molecules. For the reactant CD$_3$I, a m/z signal of 142 was used to track the desorption of the dosed CD$_3$I molecule. m/z = 32, 30, and 28 were used for track CD$_2$=CD$_2$, and m/z = 20 and 18 for CD$_4$. Contributions from the reactant CD$_3$I in the product signals were eliminated by subtracting the m/z=142 signal, using the established fragmentation pattern of the reactant CD$_3$I. Other possible products were excluded by examining the m/z values in the range between 2-200 on the mass spectrometer. No production of CD$_3$-CD$_3$, CO, CO$_2$, or gas phase I$_2$ was observed. The absence of the oxygenated species, such as CO and CO$_2$, confirms the non-reducibility of the surface, as previous characterization work has shown [29].

4.4.1. Thermal Desorption on Cr$_2$O$_3$(10$ar{1}$2) surface

Figure 4-2 shows the desorption traces after a small dose of 0.1 L (1 L $\equiv$ 1×10$^{-6}$ Torr·sec) of CD$_3$I on a clean, freshly prepared, nearly-stoichiometric $\alpha$-Cr$_2$O$_3$(10$ar{1}$2) surface at 100 K. The small dose size of 0.1 L was intentionally selected not only to minimize the potential effect of the adsorbed iodine on the reaction, but also to reduce the contribution of the fragmentation signal from unreacted CD$_3$I during the TPD experiments.

Desorption products CD$_4$, CD$_2$=CD$_2$, and D$_2$ are detected in a high temperature envelope extending from about 400 to 600 K. The primary desorption feature of CD$_4$ is asymmetric, peaks at 518 K and tails to the lower temperature side. A small desorption feature of CD$_4$ is also seen at 120 K, and is due to the desorption of physisorbed methane from the uptake of background CD$_4$ formed in the ion pump. The desorption feature for CD$_2$=CD$_2$ is symmetric, and peaks at 518 K. The desorption feature of D$_2$ peaks at a slightly higher temperature of 540 K and is also asymmetric that tails to lower temperatures with a small shoulder at 420 K, and. The desorption of the molecular reactant CD$_3$I is seen at 300
Figure 4-2 The temperature-programmed desorption traces for a 0.1 L CD$_3$I on the nearly-stoichiometric surface.
K. The selectivity on the C₁ basis is 57±5% for CD₄ and 43±5% for CD₂=CD₂. Separate TPD experiment shows that multilayer iodomethane desorption occurs at 140 K for large doses (not shown). Other TPD experiment with varying initial coverages of CD₃I shows that the 518 K peak of the CD₄ desorption feature is invariant with coverage. Post-reaction AES could not determine the amount of residual iodine on the surface, as the I AES signal overlaps with Cr LMM feature from the substrate. The presence of residual iodine is observed in the XPS and is discussed below.

The distinct asymmetry of the desorption feature for CD₄ with a peak at 518 K and the invariance of the peak desorption temperature with coverage are both indicative of a first-order process [49] Taking into account that dosed molecular D₂ and CD₂=CD₂ are observed to desorb from the stoichiometric surface at near 285 K in separate TPD experiments [23], we conclude that the desorption features for D₂, CD₂=CD₂, and CD₄ that peak above 500 K are all surface reaction limited. Desorption of all three products fall within the same temperature envelope which indicates they originate from a common surface intermediate with the same rate-limit step. Further, because the diffusion and coupling of surface methylene groups are observed with a desorption peak temperature of 390 K [23], lower than the that of the products observed in figure 4-2, the rate-limiting step for ethylene formation is not the surface diffusion and coupling of methylene. Given the product slate, the observed chemistry is readily described by the first-order dehydrogenation of surface methyl groups as the rate-limiting elementary reaction step. In the first step (1), the surface methyl group undergoes α-hydrogen elimination in the rate-limiting step (RLS). This is followed by the coupling of the two migratory methylene groups
to form $\text{CD}_2=\text{CD}_2$ (2). Alternatively, the cleaved hydrogen can combine with remaining methyl groups to recombinatively form $\text{CD}_4$ gas (3).

$$\text{-CD}_3 \text{ (ads)} \rightarrow \text{=CD}_2 \text{ (ads)} + \text{-D} \text{ (ads)} \quad \text{(RLS)} \quad (1)$$

$$2 \cdot \text{-CD}_2 \text{ (ads)} \rightarrow \text{CD}_2=\text{CD}_2 \text{ (gas)} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{-D} \text{ (ads)} + \text{-CD}_3 \text{ (ads)} \rightarrow \text{CD}_4 \text{ (g)} \quad (3)$$

The desorption feature at 518 K translates to an activation barrier of $135 \pm 2$ kJ/mol for the rate-limiting step using a Redhead first-order analysis [49] and assuming a reaction prefactor of $1 \times 10^{13}$ sec$^{-1}$. The errors arise from an accuracy of $\pm 5$ K in the experimental temperature measurements. The lack of production of $\text{CD}_3$-$\text{CD}_3$ indicates that the coupling of methyl groups does not occur. This observation implies that the surface mobility of $\text{-CD}_3$ is poor, and that the reaction barrier for the migration and coupling of $\text{-CD}_3$ is higher than that for the dehydrogenation of $\text{-CD}_3$ groups. Also implied is that the formation of $\text{CD}_4$ on the surface is the likely result of surface migration of $\text{-D}$ to couple with $\text{-CD}_3$ groups, and not vice versa.

Figure 4-3 shows the integrated areas for various desorption species with consecutive 0.1 L $\text{CD}_3$I dose. As the cumulative (total) dose of $\text{CD}_3$I increases, a dramatic decrease in the integrated areas for the reaction products is observed. The products drop off rapidly for cumulative doses up to 0.5 L. $\text{CD}_3$I desorption steadily increases by about 3.4 times the initial amount as the surface deactivates. The “total” amount in figure 4-3 is the summation of integrated desorption signal for all hydrocarbon species on a C$_1$ basis. The deactivation of the surface (as indicated by a drop in product formation) is due to the accumulation of the iodine on the surface, as its deposition is a result of the dissociation of methyl iodide (see XPS below). The decrease in the total carbon evolved as gas phase
Figure 4-3 Integrated area of the desorption traces for consecutive 0.1 L CD₃I doses on the nearly-stoichiometric surface. The total is the sum of all carbon species on a C₁ basis.
products with consecutive dose indicates a decrease in the methyl iodide sticking
coefficient as I coverage increases.

Figure 4-4 shows the change in selectivity for CD$_4$ and CD$_2$=CD$_2$ on a C$_1$ basis with
consecutive 0.1 L CD$_3$I doses. The selectivity for CD$_4$ increases from 57% to 80% as
cumulative doses (and consequently, iodine coverage) increases. In comparison, the
production of CD$_2$=CD$_2$ is reduced to 20%. The phenomenon of the decreasing reactivity
and a shift to higher selectivity for CD$_4$ suggests that the while iodine deposition tends to
deactivate the surface, it more effectively hinders the diffusion of the methylene groups
than the diffusion of surface deuterium, resulting in higher selectivity to CD$_4$ for higher
cumulative doses.

Similar reaction energetics and mechanism have been rep
ported on the Cr$_2$O$_3$(0001)
surface, where the rate-limiting step of α-hydrogen elimination is also identified [5]. On the
(0001) surface, the rate-limiting methyl dehydrogenation process peaks at a temperature
of 490 K for an activation barrier of 129 kJ/mol [5] (which is slightly less than 135 kJ/mol)
and indicative of a lack of structure sensitivity in the activation energy. For product
selectivity, the selectivity for CD$_2$=CD$_2$ over CD$_4$ decreases with increasing cumulative
doses increases on the (1012) surface, but remains relatively constant on (0001) surface
[5].

4.4.2. Synchrotron XPS

Figure 4-5 shows C 1s synchrotron photoemission results (hv = 350 eV) for a
nearly-stoichiometric surface after a 30 L dose of CH$_3$I. To obtain the XPS spectrum, the
sample is dosed at 120 K, then annealed at the respective temperature for 60 seconds, and
allowed to

Figure 4-4 Selectivity of desorption products on a C$_1$ basis for consecutive 0.1 L CD$_3$I doses on the nearly-stoichiometric surface.
Figure 4-5 Synchrotron XPS photoemission of the C 1s spectra after a saturation dose of CH₃I and then annealing at various temperatures. 120 K is the dosing temperature. Temperatures shown in the legend for each spectrum is the annealing temperature applied before the XPS spectrum is collected. The 286.5 eV signal is attributed to C 1s signal on oxygen site, and the 284.2 eV signal is attributed to C 1s signal at metal site.
cool to 120 K before collecting photoemission spectra. The TPD experiments have shown that dosing 30 L of CH₃I at 120 K results in multilayer coverage, and thus provides a spectrum with characteristics of molecular methyl iodide.

Shown as a black line is the spectrum collected directly after the sample is dosed with 30 L of iodomethane at 120 K. This spectrum shows a primary feature with a binding energy of 285.6 eV. As the annealing temperature is raised to 140 K, the multilayer desorbs and the intensity of the primary feature attenuates, while showing a small shoulder to the low binding energy side. When the annealing temperature is raised further to 200 K, the primary feature at 285.6 eV splits into two separate and smaller features at 286.5 eV and 284.2 eV. At the annealing temperature of 300 K (the desorption peak temperature for molecular CH₃I in figure 4-2), the two features persist. At 700 K (a temperature above all product peaks in TPD experiments), the 286.5 eV feature disappears, leaving only a broad feature around 284 eV.

The primary feature at 285.6 eV at lower temperatures is attributed to the iodized C 1s signal of the molecular CH₃I. This assignment is supported by the similar observations of binding energy in the literature: at 285.0 eV for molecular CH₃I on Cr₂O₃(0001) [5], and 285.6 eV for CD₃I on TiO₂(110) [50]. The attenuation of the primary signal at 285.6 eV and the splitting into two features at 200 K suggest that the multilayer has desorbed, revealing two different adsorption configurations of methyl on the surface, following C-I bond scission (see iodine XPS discussion below). The 286.5 feature is attributed to the binding of methyl fragments at a surface oxygen site, as binding energies above 286.0 eV are usually associated with methoxy (CH₃-O-) groups [51, 52]. In comparison, the 284.2 eV feature is assigned to the cation-bound methyl groups [53]. Increasing the annealing temperature to
300 K removes all molecular species (figure 4-2), and the two peaks remain largely unchanged (to 286.5 eV and 284.4 eV), indicating that surface methyl is stable in this temperature range at both binding sites. This is also affirmed by our finding from the TPD experiments, as the dehydrogenation of methyl groups occurs at higher temperatures. At an annealing temperature of 700 K, the 286.5 eV peak feature disappears, and a broad low binding energy feature remains, indicating that some trace residual carbon is left at the surface cation sites.

Figure 4-6 shows the spectra of I 3d$_{5/2}$ using a photon energy of 750 eV. A feature at 621.4 eV is observed following adsorption at 120 K, characteristic of molecular methyl iodide with an intact C-I bond, along with a small shoulder at 619.9 eV. As the annealing temperature is raised to 140 K, the primary feature decreases in intensity, and a feature at 619.5 eV becomes more apparent. As the annealing temperature increases to 200 K, the molecular feature at 621.4 eV is nearly completely removed, while the 619.5 eV peak remains.

In figure 4-6, the primary peak of 621.4 eV at 120 K is assigned to the I d$_{5/2}$ signal from the molecular CH$_3$I with an intact C-I bond. This assignment is consistent with reported binding energies at around 620 eV [5, 54-56]. The peak with lower binding energy (619.6 eV) is characteristic of a metal iodide [5, 56], and indicates the residual surface I binds at cation sites and poisons the surface reaction. From the I 3d$_{5/2}$ feature, it is clear that C-I bond breaking is nearly complete by 200 K, leaving I adatoms and methyl intermediates on the surface.

4.5 Computational results and discussion
Figure 4-6 Synchrotron XPS photoemission ($h\nu = 750$ eV) of the I 3d spectra after a 30L dose of CH$_3$I and then annealing applied at various temperatures. 120 K is the dosing temperature. Temperatures shown in the legend for each spectrum is the annealing temperature applied before the XPS spectrum is collected.
DFT is used to examine both the binding sites of the different surface intermediates for comparison to the experimental XPS results, and to examine the energetics of the rate-limiting, elementary, methyl dehydrogenation ($\alpha$-hydrogen elimination) step observed in TPD. DFT (PBE) and DFT+U (PBE+U) is used and compared in all cases. Additionally, the energetics of the rate-limiting elementary surface reaction step has been examined in the presence and in the absence of I adatoms. TPD experiments show that the activation barrier for the reaction is insensitive to the surface coverage of iodine adatoms, therefore the reaction of isolated methyl fragments in the absence of iodine has been considered as a base case. However, it is noted that the use of methyl iodide as a reactant precludes an iodine-free experimental situation, since the dissociative adsorption of methyl iodide is expected to yield a methyl group and an iodine adatom on neighboring sites. Hence, both situations (with and without I) have been examined to provide insight into the role of surface iodine adatoms in the simulations.

4.5.1. DFT-predicted binding sites for methyl and methylene intermediates

Geometry optimization of the CH$_3$- and CH$_2$= were done on various sites in the 80-atom unit cell of (10$\overline{1}$2) surface using PBE and PBE+U to explore the binding sites of these intermediates. The PBE simulations show stable minima for CH$_3$- binding at both cation and anion sites, with binding at surface cations being the most stable, but only by a small energy difference of 0.2 eV. The PBE+U simulation shows that CH$_3$- binding is more stable at a surface anion than a cation site by 1.0 eV.
In comparison to the experimental results, PBE provides the more reasonable prediction because the small energy difference between binding at cation and anion sites is consistent with the experimental observation of both sites by XPS. The difference of 0.2 eV predicted by PBE is small enough to reasonably explain the co-existence of CH$_3^-$ at both sites. In contrast, PBE+U predicts a stronger adsorption of CH$_3^-$ at an anion site by 1.0 eV, which would likely lead to methyl species bound primarily at anion sites (methoxy species), which is inconsistent with the XPS observations.

Simulations of methylene (CH$_2=$) binding, both with PBE and PBE+U, predicts a minimum energy sp$^3$ binding configuration of CH$_2=$ bridging between a surface cation and a coordinately-unsaturated nearest-neighbor anion (see below) rather than the expected sp$^2$ configuration for a cation-bound methylene seen experimentally in XPS. PBE slightly favors the bridged sp$^3$ configuration (by 0.6 eV), while it is strongly favored by PBE+U by 1.7 eV. Since XPS gives a clear indication that CH$_2=$ binds at surface cations on the (10$\bar{1}$2) surface, both PBE and PBE+U predicted the wrong binding configuration for methylene fragments on the surface.

Considering the disagreement between the XPS results and the DFT predictions regarding the binding configurations of CH$_3^-$ and CH$_2=$, we separate our results and discussion on DFT reaction energetics into two parts. Section 4.5.2 examines the DFT predictions for reaction energetics using the experimentally determined binding sites as a basis for the simulation, while section 4.5.3 and 4.5.4 feature the DFT reaction energetics using the binding sites predicted by PBE and PBE+U, respectively.
4.5.2. DFT reaction energetics with experimentally observed binding sites for the methyl and methylene intermediates

The dehydrogenation of methyl groups in accordance with the experimentally observed binding sites for the carbon-containing intermediates was examined using the climbing-image nudged elastic band (cNEB) method [42-44, 57] for the case with no I adatoms. The minimum energy pathways (MEPs) for the dehydrogenation reaction and the transition state were determined using the cNEB implementation in VASP after geometrically optimizing a cation-bound CH$_3$- on the 80-atom slab for the initial state, and a cation-bound CH$_2$= with a hydrogen adatom on the adjacent anion as the final state in accordance with the experimental XPS results. The initial and final states are illustrated in Figure 4-7. Note that the initial state binding of methyl is consistent with the minimum energy configuration predicted by PBE, and matches the experimental binding site. The final state binding site for methylene, while consistent with experiment is not the minimum energy binding configuration in PBE. The geometries of the same basic initial and final states used for PBE+U are shown in figure 4-8. Note that neither the methyl or methylene binding sites in figure 4-8 are the minimum energy configurations for PBE+U, although these sites are used to mimic the binding sites determined experimentally. No noticeable geometric differences are observed between the PBE and PBE+U initial and final states using the experimentally determined binding sites.

Figure 4-9 (a) to (d) shows the geometries of the transition state determined by PBE and PBE+U, using the initial and final states shown in figure 4-7 and figure 4-8. The corresponding minimum energy pathways (MEPs) are shown in figure 4-9 (e) and (f) for PBE and PBE+U, respectively. As figures 4-9 (a) and (c) show, PBE predicts that in the
Figure 4-7 The images of initial state (a and c) viewed in two direction, and final state (b and d) viewed in two directions of the α-hydrogen elimination step of CH$_3^-$ on (10$ar{1}$2) surface, as predicted by PBE functional using experimentally observed adsorption sites for carbon species. (a) and (b) are in top view while (c) and (d) are in a slanted view of the surface. Chromium cations are in light blue, oxygen atoms are in red, carbon atom is in grey, hydrogen in green. Surface directions are also labeled.
Figure 4-8 The images of initial state (a and c) viewed in two direction, and final state (b and d) viewed in two directions of the α-hydrogen elimination step of CH$_3$- on (1012) surface, as predicted by PBE+U functional using experimentally observed adsorption sites for carbon species. (a) and (b) are in top view while (c) and (d) are in a slanted view of the surface. Chromium cations are in light blue, oxygen atoms are in red, carbon atom is in grey, hydrogen in green. Surface directions are also labeled.
Figure 4-9 The transition states of the $\alpha$-hydrogen elimination step of CH$_3$- on (10\bar{1}2) surface as predicted by PBE (a and c) and PBE+U (b and d), respectively. Subplots a and b are in top view, while c and d are in a slanted view. The minimum energy pathway (MEP) of the reaction, as predicted by PBE and PBE+U, are shown in e and f, respectively. Activation barriers ($\Delta E^\ddagger$), reaction prefactor $v$, and reaction energies are labeled.
transition state the cleaved hydrogen is closer to the adjacent oxygen than to the $\alpha$ carbon with a nearly sp$^2$ hybridization for carbon at the transition state. Figure 4-9 (b) and (d) show the transition state of the $\alpha$-hydrogen elimination of CH$_3$ as predicted by PBE+U. No obvious geometric differences are observed between PBE and PBE+U transition states. The MEPs associated with the reactions (figure 4-9 (e) and (f)) show that PBE predicts an activation barrier of 1.52 eV (149.1 kJ/mol) with an endothermic reaction energy of 1.02 eV (98.4 kJ/mol), while PBE+U predicts an MEP with an endothermic reaction of 43.7 kJ/mol and an activation barrier of 97.4 kJ/mol. Frequency analysis for the reaction step using PBE and PBE+U gives one imaginary frequency in each case as expected for the transition state. Prefactors determined from the PBE and PBE+U normal frequencies are $1.3 \times 10^{12}$ sec$^{-1}$ and $1.4 \times 10^{13}$ sec$^{-1}$, respectively. Zero-point energy corrections are also calculated based on the obtained frequencies. The post-correction activation barriers as predicted by PBE and PBE+U become 139.3 kJ/mol and 86.3 kJ/mol. The predicted energies are compared in table 4-1 along with the experimental activation barrier.

In the absence of iodine adatoms and using and using the fragment binding sites determined experimentally, PBE accurately predicts the reaction barrier post-correction, deviating only 3% from the experimental value, while PBE+U underestimates by 36%. PBE is undoubtedly the success story, beating the expectation that PBE+U is supposed to perform better than PBE since $+U$ offers better treatment of the highly-correlated electronic structure. The prefactors determined by PBE and PBE+U are both within one order of magnitude from the assumed value of $1 \times 10^{13}$ sec$^{-1}$ used to determine the experimental activation barrier for CH$_3$- dehydrogenation, and affirms the validity of the assumption.
Figure 4-10 shows the initial and final states for \( \alpha \)-hydrogen elimination from a methyl group with an adjacent I adatom present as simulated by PBE. PBE predicts binding of the I adatom on a cation site as observed experimentally, with methyl and methylene in the initial and final state, respectively, showing little difference in geometry to that in Figure 4-8 in the absence of I. PBE+U predicts a molecular \( \text{CH}_3\text{I} \) adsorbate with at least a 2 eV barrier to dissociation as the rate limiting step, so the simulation of dehydrogenation of \( \text{CH}_3^- \) in the presence of I using experimental binding sites via PBE+U is not shown.

Figure 4-11 (a) and (b) shows the geometries of the transition state from two viewing angles as determined by PBE using the initial and final states shown in figure 4-10. The corresponding minimum energy pathways (MEPs) are shown in figure 4-11 (c) for PBE. With an iodine adatom at a cation center adjacent to the methyl binding site, PBE predicts a transition state geometry similar to that observed in the absence of I. For the PBE case, the MEP in figure 4-11 (c) is similar in shape to the PBE case without iodine. The reaction barrier decreases from 149.1 kJ/mol to 135.2 kJ/mol, and the endothermic reaction energy decreases from 98.4 kJ/mol to 47.6 kJ/mol. The prefactors for this case is \( 2.3 \times 10^{12} \text{ sec}^{-1} \).
Figure 4-10 The images of initial state (a and c) viewed in two direction, and final state (b and d) viewed in two directions of the α-hydrogen elimination step of CH$_3^-$ on (10̅12) surface with I present on the adjacent cation, as predicted by PBE functional using experimentally observed adsorption sites for carbon species. (a) and (b) are in top view while (c) and (d) are in a slanted view of the surface. Chromium cations are in light blue, oxygen atoms are in red, carbon atom is in grey, hydrogen in green. Surface directions are also labeled.
Figure 4-11 The transition states of the α-hydrogen elimination step of CH$_3^-$ on (10$ar{1}$2) surface as predicted by PBE (a and b). Subplot a is in top view, while b is in a slanted view. The minimum energy pathway (MEP) of the reaction, as predicted by PBE, is shown in c. Activation barriers ($\Delta E^\ddagger$), reaction prefactor $v$, and reaction energies are labeled.
The introduction of an iodine adatom has an observable impact on the reaction energetics in the case of PBE. Post-correction, the simulated reaction barrier for $\alpha$-hydrogen elimination is 125.5 kJ/mol with I present, and deviates -7% from the experimental reaction barrier, which is a slightly worse result than the 3% error with I absent. The large barrier of C-I bond-breaking (at least 2eV) for the molecular CH$_3$I as predicted by PBE+U suggests that this method predicts the wrong rate limiting step. Therefore it is reasonable to ignore the reaction geometries of the dehydrogenation of CH$_3$- in the presence of I and the associated MEP as predicted by PBE+U. While PBE+U is often considered a preferred method for highly correlated transition metal oxide systems, it fails dramatically in this specific case compared to PBE. We again note that in all of the cases considered so far, the initial and final states for the reaction simulations have been based on the experimentally-determined binding sites for methyl and methylene intermediates, not the minimum energy configurations predicted by the simulations alone.

4.5.3. PBE reaction energetics with simulated minimum-energy binding configurations for methyl and methylene intermediates

In section 4.5.3, all the simulations were based on experimentally-determined cation binding sites for the methyl and methylene intermediates. However, as described in section 4.5.1, both PBE and PBE+U predict a minimum energy bridging configuration for methylene between an adjacent surface cation and anion, while PBE+U predicts a strong preference for methyl binding to O anion sites. Since computational studies are often undertaken without any available experimental benchmarks, it seems prudent also to carry out the simulations using minimum energy binding sites for the initial and final states estimated without regard to the experimental findings. This section considers only the case
for PBE simulations, with the following section, 4.5.4, considers the case for PBE+U simulations.

Figure 4-12 shows the initial and final states for the dehydrogenation of a methyl group as predicted by PBE using the simulated minimum-energy binding configurations for methyl and methylene species. In the initial state CH$_3$ binds at a surface cation. In the final state, the methylene is in the bridged binding configuration between a cation and a nearest neighbor O anion giving an sp$^3$-like carbon center. The addition of an I adatom on the adjacent cation does not result in observable differences in the geometry of the methyl (initial state) or methylene (final state), and thus are not shown here.

Figure 4-13 shows the geometry of the transition state as predicted by PBE. Similar to the structures in figure 4-9 (a) and (c), PBE predicts that in the transition state the H atom to undergo C-H bond cleavage is closer to the adjacent oxygen than the $\alpha$ carbon. The remaining CH$_2$= appears to be in a nearly sp$^2$ hybridization at the transition state. The addition of an I adatom on the adjacent cation did not result in discernable geometric differences, and thus is not shown here. Figure 4-13 (c) shows the MEP for the simulation without an I adatom which gives an activation barrier of 146.4 kJ/mol with an endothermic reaction energy of 92.1 kJ/mol. Figure 4-13 (d) shows the MEP for the reaction with an I adatom is present on the adjacent cation. The activation barrier is slightly lower, 134.9 kJ/mol in the presence of I. More noticeable, the addition of iodine changes the reaction from endothermic to slightly exothermic, with a reaction energy of -8.1 kJ/mol. Post-correction, PBE predicts the activation barriers to be 136.2 and 124.7 kJ/mol for the I-
Figure 4-12 The images of the initial, and final states from cNEB calculations of the α-hydrogen elimination step of CH$_3^-$ on (10\(\bar{1}2\)) surface, using PBE-predicted adsorption configurations. Computation functional used for cNEB is PBE.
Figure 4-13 The reaction coordinates of the α-hydrogen elimination of a methyl group to form a methylene and a hydrogen on α-Cr₂O₃ (10⃑2), using PBE-predicted adsorption configurations for methyl and methylene. Iodine adatom is absent in the first MEP but is present in the second. Reaction barriers ($\Delta E^\ddagger$) and reaction energies are labeled.
absent and I-present cases. The prefactors are $7.2 \times 10^{12}$ sec$^{-1}$, and $7.4 \times 10^{12}$ sec$^{-1}$, respectively. The reaction barriers are also listed in table 4-2 for comparison purposes.

Even though the final states for methylene predicted here do not match the experimental observations, the ability for methylene to alternate between sp$^2$ and sp$^3$ as it moves across the surface has been demonstrated: a previous DFT study showed that methylene undergoes a “thermally-driven” rehybridization between sp$^2$ and sp$^3$ as it moves across Cr$_2$O$_3$(0001) surface to combine into ethylene molecules [4]. Recall also that methylene migration and recombination is proposed in our reaction mechanism (step 2), and the methylene sp$^3$ hybridization between an anion and cation shown here would appear along the reaction coordinate for methylene diffusion.

Comparing the simulation results obtained here using simulated and experimentally determined binding configurations for the methylene intermediate, the PBE activation barriers (139.3 kJ/mol by PBE using experimental binding sites, and 136.2 kJ/mol by PBE using PBE-predicted binding sites), are very similar despite the differences in the final states because of the similarity in the initial state and transition state. When an iodine adatom is present on the adjacent cation, the reaction barrier decreases by a 11.5 kJ/mol to 124.7 kJ/mol, deviating about 7% from the experimentally determined reaction barrier, which is within chemical accuracy. Overall, these simulations demonstrate that PBE provides an accurate estimate of the activation barrier with the inclusion of an I adatom, and is insensitive to minor changes in binding of the methylene intermediate in the final state.

4.5.4. PBE+U reaction energetics with simulated minimum-energy binding configurations for methyl and methylene intermediates
The simulations reported in section 4.5.3 were repeated with PBE+U using the predicted minimum energy binding configurations for methyl and methylene intermediates. Figure 4-14 shows the initial and final states for the dehydrogenation of the methyl as predicted by PBE+U from the top and the slanted viewing angles. In the initial state, the CH$_3^-$ is bound at a surface oxygen. In the final state, the methylene takes a bridging conformation between adjacent cations and surface oxygen anions, resulting in an sp$^3$ hybridization. When an I adatom is introduced on an adjacent cation, no significant geometric differences are observed in the geometries of the initial and final states configurations for methyl and methylene, therefore the initial and final state geometries with I present are not shown.

Figure 4-15 (a) and (b) show the transition state as predicted by PBE+U in accordance with the initial and final states as shown in figure 4-14. At the transition state, the leaving hydrogen atom is closer to the adjacent oxygen than to the α carbon. The carbon center appears to be in sp$^3$-like hybridization at the transition state on top of the surface oxygen. When I adatom is introduced, no significant changes are observed to the reacting species in the transition state, therefore the transition state with an I adatom is not shown. The MEP from figure 4-15 (c) is generated in the absence of iodine, and shows that PBE predicts a reaction barrier of 166.3 kJ/mol with an endothermic reaction energy of 68.0 kJ/mol. Figure 4-15 (d) shows the addition of an iodine adatom on the adjacent cation increases the predicted reaction barrier increases to 188.1 kJ/mol while decreasing the endothermic reaction energy to 59.4 kJ/mol (table 2) Post-correction, the activation barriers are 157.5 kJ/mol as predicted by PBE+U without I present, and 178.0 kJ/mol with I present.
Figure 4-14: The images of the initial, and final states from cNEB calculations of the α-hydrogen elimination step of CH$_3$- on (1012) surface, using PBE+U-predicted adsorption configurations. Computation functional used for cNEB is PBE+U.
The reaction coordinates of the α-hydrogen elimination of a methyl group to form a methylene and a hydrogen on α-Cr₂O₃ (10̅12), using PBE+U-predicted adsorption configurations for methyl and methylene. Iodine adatom is absent in the first MEP but is present in the second. Reaction barriers (ΔEᵣ) and reaction energies are labeled.
Compared to the PBE simulations, the performance of PBE+U is again inferior in this case. Absent the iodine adatom, PBE+U gives a less accurate reaction barrier than PBE: 17% higher than the experimental value for PBE+U compared to 1% higher for PBE (table 2). When an I adatom is present, PBE+U becomes even less accurate as the error increases to about 32%, while the barrier for PBE increases to within 7% of the experimental barrier.

4.6 Conclusion

On the nearly-stoichiometric surface of α-Cr$_2$O$_3$(10$ar{1}$2), the reaction chemistry of CH$_3$I is similar to that on the metals, where CH$_3$I dissociates upon adsorption, breaking into an iodine adatom and a surface methyl fragment. TPD experiments indicate that a first-order methyl dehydrogenation (α-hydrogen elimination) reaction with an activation barrier of 135 ± 2 kJ/mol is the rate-limiting elementary step in a reaction sequence that produces ethylene, methane and H$_2$ as gas phase products. Synchrotron XPS shows that the surface CH$_3$- groups resulting from C-I bond scission bind at both surface cation and anion sites, while iodine adatoms bind at Cr cation sites. Previous work on the reaction of diiodomethane, CH$_2$I$_2$ confirms that ethylene is formed through the surface diffusion and coupling of methylene, =CH$_2$, fragments [23] which preferentially bind at surface cation sites [24].

DFT simulations showed that PBE gives a reasonable prediction of the binding sites for CH$_3$- fragments, while PBE+U does not. Both PBE and PBE+U fail to predict the correct binding sites for =CH$_2$ fragments. For simulations of the rate-limiting α-hydrogen elimination reaction from CH$_3$- run in accordance with the experimentally-observed
binding configurations for the carbon species, PBE gives a very accurate prediction on the reaction barrier when an adjacent I adatom is absent, deviating 3% from the experimental activation barrier. PBE+U gives a poor estimate for the activation barrier (nearly 40% too low) in the absence of an I adatom, but fails spectacularly in simulations including iodine by predicting the initial C-I bond breaking as the rate-limiting step.

When simulations are run in accordance with the DFT minimum-energy binding configurations, PBE is still able to accurately predict the reaction barrier (1% to 7% error) because of the similarity in the initial state and transition state which are insensitive to the product methylene binding configuration in the final state. PBE+U gives a more reasonable estimate in this case as well, but with a significantly higher error in comparison to the experimental results (17 to 32% error) than seen with PBE. The greater success for PBE over PBE+U for this system is unexpected given the general assumption that is prevalent in the literature that +U simulations are more appropriate for systems with highly correlated electronic structures. We note however, this conclusion has only been shown to apply in this narrowly-tailored reaction system, where U and J parameters were optimized for the bulk properties.
4.7. Tables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>+U</th>
<th>Prefactors sec⁻¹</th>
<th>Reaction energy</th>
<th>Barrier</th>
<th>Barrier + dZPE</th>
<th>% diff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TPD exp</td>
<td>1.0 × 10^{13}</td>
<td>135 ± 2</td>
<td>135 ± 2</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE</td>
<td>1.3 × 10^{12}</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>149.1</td>
<td>139.3</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE</td>
<td>I-present</td>
<td>2.3 × 10^{12}</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>135.2</td>
<td>125.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE+U</td>
<td>U-J=4eV</td>
<td>1.4 × 10^{13}</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>86.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4-1 The predicted prefactors, the thermodynamics, the reaction barriers of the dehydrogenation of methyl groups on α-Cr₂O₃(1012), using experimentally-determined adsorption configurations for the carbon species. +U method is Dudarev.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>+U</th>
<th>Prefactors sec⁻¹</th>
<th>Reaction energy</th>
<th>Barrier</th>
<th>Barrier + dZPE</th>
<th>% diff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TPD exp</td>
<td>1.0 × 10^{13}</td>
<td>135 ± 2</td>
<td>135 ± 2</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE</td>
<td>7.2 × 10^{12}</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>146.4</td>
<td>136.2</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE</td>
<td>I-present</td>
<td>7.4 × 10^{12}</td>
<td>-8.1</td>
<td>134.9</td>
<td>124.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE+U</td>
<td>U-J=4eV</td>
<td>1.0 × 10^{13}</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>166.3</td>
<td>157.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE+U</td>
<td>I-present U-J=4eV</td>
<td>9.3 × 10^{12}</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>188.1</td>
<td>178.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4-2 The predicted thermodynamics, the reaction barriers of the dehydrogenation of methyl groups on α-Cr₂O₃(1012), using the adsorption configurations as predicted by DFT for the carbon species. +U method is Dudarev.
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Chapter 5

DFT Study of Reaction Chemistry of CH$_3$CH$_2$Cl on α-Cr$_2$O$_3$(10 1 2)  

5.1 Introduction

The manipulations of the hydrocarbons to produce more commercially lucrative chemicals have always enjoyed ample research interest [1-9] due to the abundance of petrochemicals. The dehydrogenation of the ethane for example, produces a commercially desirable ethylene, and is done in large-scale through steam cracking [10]. However, a catalytic route to ethane dehydrogenation that can lower the temperature (and hence energy costs) and give higher selectivity to ethylene is desirable.

Most ultra-high vacuum (UHV) surface science studies of catalytic ethane dehydrogenation chemistry bypass the initial C-H bond breaking event since ethane desorbs at temperatures lower than those required for C-H bond activation. One method for forming surface alkyl species at low temperature in UHV is through the dissociative adsorption of alkyl halides. The weak C-X (X = halogen) bonds cleave at low temperatures leaving a halogen adatom and a surface alkyl that can be studied with traditional surface science techniques. For example, Jenks and Bent demonstrated that β-hydride elimination occurs on Cu(110), Cu(111) and Cu(100) surfaces [11]. In another example, Solymosi et al. [12] demonstrated that the illumination of adsorbed ethyl iodide can result in ethyl fragments that could undergo the same dehydrogenation on Rh(111). The reaction has even been demonstrated on a non-metallic Si(100) surface [13].

In contrast, there are few studies of this reaction on metal oxide single crystal surfaces. Previous work by Brooks et al. [14] has experimentally examined the reaction of
β-hydrogen elimination from the ethyl groups on α-Cr$_2$O$_3$(10$\bar{1}$2) UHV. The dissociative adsorption of the CH$_3$-CH$_2$-Cl on α-Cr$_2$O$_3$(10$\bar{1}$2) produces cation-bound ethyl groups and cation-bound chlorine adatoms as clearly evidenced by synchrotron XPS [14]. Temperature-programmed-desorption (TPD) experiments by Brooks et al. give CH$_2$=CH$_2$, H$_2$, and CH$_3$-CH$_3$ as reaction products, with first-order β-hydrogen elimination from of the ethyl group identified as the rate-limiting elementary surface reaction step:

$$\text{-CH}_2\text{-CH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_2=\text{CH}_2 + \text{-H} \quad (1)$$

An activation barrier of 31 kcal/mol (130 kJ/mol) was reported [14], assuming a prefactor of $1\times10^{13}$ sec$^{-1}$. It was also observed that the activation barrier shows no sensitivity to the coverage of chlorine adatoms, although the activity decreases with increasing Cl coverage due to site blocking of surface cations by Cl adatoms [14].

This work seeks to complement the experimental study of the β-hydrogen elimination from ethyl groups on α-Cr$_2$O$_3$(10$\bar{1}$2) surface by providing DFT simulations of the rate-limiting step in question. The PBE [15] functional is used, and the +U approach [16, 17] is also examined as it is thought to be particular useful for transition metal oxides with highly-correlated electronic structures, such as Cr$_2$O$_3$ [18].

5.2 Cr$_2$O$_3$(10$\bar{1}$2)

α-Cr$_2$O$_3$ has the corundum structure and is an insulator with a band gap of 3.4 eV [19, 20]. The crystal structure is predicated on “a hexagonal close packed array of O$^{2-}$ ions, with two-thirds of the interstitial octahedral sites filled by Cr$^{3+}$ ions” [21]. α-Cr$_2$O$_3$ is also anti-ferromagnetic, with ferromagnetic Cr$^{3+}$ sheets having alternating spins in the direction perpendicular to the (10$\bar{1}$2) surface [18].
A previous study characterizing $\alpha$-Cr$_2$O$_3$(10$\bar{1}$2) [22] using x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), Auger electron spectroscopy (AES), and low-energy electron diffraction (LEED) established that after ion bombardment followed by annealing in ultrahigh vacuum at 900 K, a nearly-stoichiometric (1×1) surface can be prepared. This (1×1) surface is illustrated in figure 5-1. The (10$\bar{1}$2) surface has a rectangular periodicity (a:b = 0.94) [22] and is the most thermodynamically stable of all the low-index surfaces [23]. Previous work by Tasker [24] has shown that for metal oxides, a stable surface termination is expected with a repeating unit of layers that is both neutral in dipole moments and in charge. For $\alpha$-Cr$_2$O$_3$(10$\bar{1}$2), this leads to a repeat unit of five atomic layers arranged as [O$^-$, Cr$^{3+}$, O$^-$, Cr$^{3+}$, O$^-$] along [10$\bar{1}$2]. The O$^-$ anions at the top atomic layer are three-fold coordinated, while the second atomic layer Cr$^{3+}$ cations are five-fold coordinated, resulting in one degree of coordinative unsaturation for each with respect to the bulk [25]. As figure 5-1 (a) shows, the top atomic layer oxygens appear as zig-zag rows in the [02$\bar{2}$] direction. Between these zig-zagging rows of oxygen are troughs exposing third atomic layer, fully-coordinated oxygen anions.

5.3 Methods

Calculations were performed using the method of projector-augmented-wave [26, 27] within the Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package (VASP)[28-30]. The PBE (Perdue-Burke-Ernzerhof) functional, which utilizes a standard generalized gradient approximation (GGA) was used to treat the exchange and correlation in the electronic system [15]. An 80-atom slab consisting of two stoichiometric [O$^-$, Cr$^{3+}$, O$^-$, Cr$^{3+}$, O$^-$] repeat units along the [10$\bar{1}$2] direction is used, exposing a (2×2) surface mesh. A vacuum gap of 15 Å is used to generate the slab geometry. For all calculations, the k-point sampling was generated with a
Figure 5-1 Ball model representation of the ideal α-Cr₂O₃ (10̅2) surface. (a) Top view, showing the (10̅2) surface parallel to the plane of the page. Surface periodicity is illustrated with a surface unit cell drawn in yellow dashed line. (b) shows a slanted view of one stoichiometric repeating layer. Small, black spheres represent Cr³⁺ cations, large, light spheres represent O²⁻ anions. Figure adapted with permission from Brooks, et al. (2009).
Monkhorst-Pack scheme [31] using a 3×1×3 mesh for structure and energy calculations. The atoms in the lower repeat unit have their positions fixed, while the atoms in the top five atomic layers were free to move. The initial magnetic moments are set per the known antiferromagnetic ordering in α-Cr₂O₃ [18, 32-34]. In DFT, this ordering is also the ground-state magnetic ordering [35]. The minimum energy pathways (MEPs) for ethyl dehydrogenation were investigated using the climbing-image nudged elastic band method (cNEB) [32-34, 36]. A cut-off energy of 400 eV is used for all calculations. For all geometry-optimized structures, the forces are less than 0.01 eV/Å for individual atoms.

Reaction prefactors are calculated from the normal frequencies of the initial state and transition state via harmonic transition state theory, excluding the one imaginary frequency[37]. The zero-point energy (ZPE) correction for each activation barrier is calculated within the harmonic oscillator approximation [37, 38]. For frequency calculations, the forces are less than 0.0005 eV/Å.

The prediction of electronic properties of a highly-correlated transition metal oxide such as α-Cr₂O₃ is widely-known to be problematic for DFT [35]. The problem reportedly can be reportedly addressed by including an on-site Coulomb repulsion (DFT+U) [16, 17]. A set of U = 5.0 and J = 1.0 parameters are used as suggested previously for striking a balance between the bulk structural properties and the electronic properties, although this does not guarantee optimal results for surface properties [35]. The DFT+U method used was developed by Dudarev et al. [16].

5.4 Results and discussions
DFT is used to examine both the binding sites of the different surface intermediates for comparison to the experimental XPS results, and to examine the energetics of the rate-limiting, elementary ethyl dehydrogenation (β-hydrogen elimination) step observed in TPD. DFT (PBE) and DFT+U (PBE+U) is used and compared. Additionally, the energetics of the rate-limiting elementary surface reaction step has been examined in the presence and in the absence of Cl adatoms. TPD experiments show that the activation barrier for the reaction is insensitive to the surface coverage of chlorine adatoms, therefore the reaction of isolated ethyl fragments in the absence of chlorine has been considered as a base case. However, it is noted that the use of ethyl chloride as a reactant precludes a chlorine-free experimental situation, since the dissociative adsorption of ethyl chloride is expected to yield an ethyl group and a chlorine adatom on neighboring sites. Hence, both situations (with and without Cl) have been examined to provide insight into the role of surface chlorine adatoms in the simulations.

5.4.1. DFT-predicted reaction coordinates and energetics

Geometry optimizations for PBE and PBE+U were completed for a cation-bound ethyl group (initial state), and for a cation-bound ethylene molecule with one H adatom on the adjacent anion (final state) on the 80-atom cell representing the (10\(\bar{1}2\)) surface in VASP in accordance with the experimentally determined binding sites for the intermediate species [14]. This is followed by the determination of the minimum energy pathway (MEP) and the transition state for ethyl dehydrogenation using the cNEB method implemented within VASP.
Figure 5-2 shows the initial and final states for β-hydrogen elimination from an ethyl group bound on a surface Cr\(^{3+}\) cation (initial state) and an ethylene molecule adsorbed at the same cation with a hydrogen adatom on an adjacent surface cation (final state) as predicted by PBE. As figure 5-2 (c) shows, the ethyl group is bound at the vacant cation coordination site in the initial state. In the final state, the leaving hydrogen binds to the adjacent oxygen anion, while the ethylene molecule is π-bonded parallel to the surface atop the cation.

Figure 5-3 (a) and (b) shows the transition state geometry for β-hydrogen elimination for the ethyl group as predicted by PBE using the cNEB based on the initial and final states shown in figure 5-2. Also included in figure 5-3 is the associated minimum energy pathway (MEP) for the reaction predicted by PBE in figure 5-3 (c). As figure 5-3 shows, in the transition state the β-hydrogen approaches the adjacent lattice oxygen, while the β-carbon appears to be in transition from a sp\(^3\) hybridization to sp\(^2\). The MEPs show that PBE predicts an activation barrier of 0.96 eV (92.6 kJ/mol), and a reaction that is endothermic by 27.0 kJ/mol. PBE predict a prefactor of \(9.3\times10^{12}\) sec\(^{-1}\) for the dehydrogenation reaction. These results are listed in table 5-1. Addition of the ZPE corrections gives final values for the activation barrier to be 77.9 kJ/mol for PBE.

Figure 5-4 shows the initial and final states for β-hydrogen elimination from an ethyl group bound on a surface Cr\(^{3+}\) cation (initial state) as predicted by PBE+U. As figure 5-4 (c) shows, the ethyl group is bound at the vacant cation coordination site in the initial state. In the final state (5-4 (b) and (d)), the leaving hydrogen binds to the oxygen anion in the second coordination sphere of the cation binding site, while the ethylene molecule is
Figure 5-2 Initial state (a and c) and final state (b and d) for β-hydrogen elimination from CH$_3$CH$_2$- on the (10$ar{1}$2) surface, as predicted using the PBE functional. Chromium cations are in light blue, oxygen atoms are in red, carbon atom is in grey, hydrogen in green.
Figure 5-3 The images of the transition state of the dehydrogenation of the ethyl group as determined using the cNEB method with the PBE functional. Minimum energy pathways (MEP) of the dehydrogenation based on PBE (c). Cr cations are in light blue, O anions are in red, C atom is in grey, H in green.
Figure 5-4 Initial state (a and c) and final state (b and d) for $\beta$-hydrogen elimination from $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2^-$ on the (10\overline{1}2) surface, as predicted using the PBE+U. Chromium cations are in light blue, oxygen atoms are in red, carbon atom is in grey, hydrogen in green.
\( \pi \)-bonded atop the cation.

Figure 5-5 (a) and (b) shows the transition state geometry for \( \beta \)-hydrogen elimination for the ethyl group as predicted by PBE+U using the cNEB based on the initial and final states shown in figure 5-4. Also included in figure 5-5 is the associated minimum energy pathway (MEP) for the reaction predicted by PBE+U. As figure 5-5 shows, in the transition state the \( \beta \)-hydrogen approaches a lattice oxygen in the second coordination sphere, while the \( \beta \)-carbon appears to be in transition from a sp\(^3\) hybridization to sp\(^2\). The MEPs show that PBE predicts an activation barrier of 31.0 kJ/mol, and a reaction that is exothermic by 72.5 kJ/mol. PBE+U predict a prefactor of \(7.8 \times 10^{11}\) sec\(^{-1}\) for the dehydrogenation reaction. These results are listed in table 5-1. Addition of the ZPE corrections gives final values for the activation barrier to be 25.9 kJ/mol for PBE.

Without chlorine present, PBE and PBE+U both substantially underestimate the experimental activation barrier (130 kJ/mol) for \( \beta \)-hydrogen elimination, with PBE under-predicting by 40%, and PBE+U by a dramatic 80%. Additionally, the two methods predict opposite reaction thermodynamics, endothermic for PBE and exothermic for PBE+U.

These cNEB calculations are repeated with initial and final states of ethyl dehydrogenation (\( \beta \)-hydrogen elimination), shown in figure 5-6, with a Cl adatom present on the adjacent cation across the trough using PBE. The Cl is placed on the cation adjacent to the ethyl fragment as it should provide a more realistic representation of the dissociation of the \( \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl} \) molecule. Only PBE geometries are shown here because PBE+U incorrectly predicts an activation barrier of dissociation of at least 1.8 eV for C-Cl bond breaking of ethyl-chloride as the rate-limiting step, and therefore PBE+U-based
Figure 5-5 The images of the transition state of the dehydrogenation of the ethyl group as determined using the cNEB method with the PBE+U. Minimum energy pathways (MEP) of the dehydrogenation based on PBE+U (c). Cr cations are in light blue, O anions are in red, C atom is in grey, H in green.

ΔE° = 31.0 kJ/mol
ν = 7.8 × 10¹¹ s⁻¹

Reaction energy = -72.5 kJ/mol
Figure 5-6 Initial state (a and c), and final state (b and d) for $\beta$-hydrogen elimination from CH$_3$CH$_2^-$ on (1012) surface with Cl present on the adjacent cation. Images are from predictions using PBE functional. Chromium cations are in light blue, oxygen atoms are in red, carbon atom is in grey, hydrogen in green, chlorine in yellow.
cNEB calculations for the dehydrogenation of the ethyl group with Cl present are not discussed here.

The PBE transition state is shown in figure 5-7 (a) and (b). The MEPs predicted by PBE is shown in figure 5-7(c). In the presence of a chlorine adatom, PBE predicts an activation barrier of 93.3 kJ/mol, and the reaction to be exothermic with a heat of reaction of -77.1 kJ/mol. When a surface chlorine adatom is present, PBE gives prefactors of $1.7 \times 10^{13}$ sec$^{-1}$. These results are also listed in table 5-1. Following ZPE corrections, the activation barriers are 78.7 kJ/mol, underpredicting the experimental activation energy by 39% in a slight improvement over the previous Cl-absent PBE prediction.

In the case of PBE, the presence of chlorine results in a slight 0.8 kJ/mol increase in activation barrier, but gives a change in the reaction thermodynamics from endothermic to exothermic. This indicates a direct influence of the chlorine adatom in the dehydrogenation process. Overall, PBE simulations performs better than PBE+U simulations for predicting the activation barrier of the β-hydrogen elimination an ethyl groups on the α-Cr$_2$O$_3$(10̅12) surface, since PBE+U incorrectly predicts the C-Cl bond-breaking to be the rate-limiting step, although all the simulations significantly underestimate the activation barrier.

5.5 Conclusion

A computational study of β-hydrogen elimination from an ethyl group on the surface of α-Cr$_2$O$_3$(10̅12) was completed using DFT for comparison to previous experimental work [14]. The simulations show that in the absence of surface chlorine adatoms, PBE predicts a reaction energy of 77.9 kJ/mol, underpredicting the experimental activation barrier by
Figure 5-7 Images of the transition state for $\beta$-hydrogen elimination from an ethyl group with a surface Cl present. PBE+U gives similar geometries. Minimum energy pathways for the reaction are shown for PBE (c). Cr cations are in light blue, O anions are in red, C atom is in grey, H in green, and Cl in light yellow.
40%. When a chlorine adatom is present, the predicted activation barrier slightly improves. PBE+U drastically underestimates the activation barrier by 80% or more when Cl is absent. When Cl is present, PBE+U incorrectly predicts the C-Cl bond-breaking to be the rate-limiting step. Given the success in of PBE in predicting the barrier for \( \alpha \)-hydrogen elimination from surface methyl species, the lack of success with \( \beta \)-hydrogen elimination from surface ethyl species is unexpected. Additionally, the observation of a better performance of PBE vs PBE+U is the opposite of general expectations in the literature for this substrate and reaction system where U and J parameters are optimized for the bulk.
## 5.6 Tables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>+U</th>
<th>Prefactors</th>
<th>Reaction energy</th>
<th>Barrier</th>
<th>Barrier + dZPE</th>
<th>% diff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TPD exp</td>
<td>sec⁻¹</td>
<td>1.0×10¹³</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE</td>
<td>9.3×10¹²</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>-40%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE</td>
<td>Cl⁻</td>
<td>1.7×10¹³</td>
<td>-77.1</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>-39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE+U</td>
<td>U-J=4eV</td>
<td>7.8×10¹¹</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>-80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5-1 The predicted prefactors, thermodynamics and activation barriers for dehydrogenation of ethyl groups on α-Cr₂O₃(1012).
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Chapter 6
Conclusions and recommended future work

6.1 Conclusions

In this study, the adsorption of CO and NH\textsubscript{3} on MnO(100) has been studied experimentally using temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) and computationally using density functional theory (DFT). Additionally, density functional theory was used to simulate α-hydrogen elimination from methyl groups, and β-hydrogen elimination from ethyl groups on α-Cr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}(10\overline{1}2) following previous experimental work. This study is done in an effort establish quality experimental benchmarks of adsorption and reaction systems on transition metal oxide with highly-correlated electronic structures, while providing comparative results of DFT calculations.

6.1.1. CO and NH\textsubscript{3} adsorption on MnO(100)

TPD results show that CO undergoes physisorption on MnO(100) surface, with a desorption peak temperature of CO from surface terrace sites at 130 K in the low coverage limit. For calculations, PBE is insufficient to adequately describing the surface geometry upon adsorption of CO on MnO(100), and +U package is essential to address this issue. PBE+U underpredicts the adsorption energy by about 50%. However, with the van der Waals (vdW) correction packages applied, the predicted adsorption energies are in excellent agreement with the experimental results. PBE+U with DFT-D3 method of Becke-Johnson gives the best prediction on adsorption energy.

NH\textsubscript{3} undergoes coverage-dependent adsorption on the MnO(100) surface. In the low-coverage limit, the desorption peak temperature of NH\textsubscript{3} on MnO(100) is 235 K. Similar
to the scenario for CO, the +U is essential for a reasonable prediction of the surface geometry following adsorption. At the low-coverage limit, PBE+U with Tkatchenko-Scheffler method with iterative Hirshfeld partitioning gives the best prediction on the adsorption energy.

While the DFT+U simulations are capable of predicting the adsorption energies to within experimental error when a particular van der Waals correction is applied, it is not clear which vdW correction should be used without the comparison to experimental data.

6.1.2. α-hydrogen elimination from CH3- and β-hydrogen elimination from CH3CH2- on α-Cr2O3(10̅1̅2)

For both α-hydrogen elimination from CH3- and β-hydrogen elimination from CH3CH2-on α-Cr2O3(10̅1̅2) PBE provides the better predictions of the activation barrier than does PBE+U. Additionally, PBE+U gives unreasonable prediction on the reaction in some cases. For α-hydrogen elimination from CH3-, the prediction by PBE in the presence of an iodine on the adjacent cation provides the best prediction of the activation barrier, while for β-hydrogen elimination from CH3CH2-, the prediction by PBE in the absence of a Cl provides the best prediction on the activation barrier. Overall, the PBE prediction for α-hydrogen elimination from CH3- is better than that for β-hydrogen elimination.

Prevalent in the literature is the applications of +U package in addressing the strong correlations between the unpaired d-electrons in the transition metal oxide [1, 2]. Our work has demonstrated this is not always the optimal strategy in the case of surface chemistry.
6.2 Recommendations for Future Work

Future works can be done on completing the CO and NH\textsubscript{3} adsorption studies on \(\alpha\text{-Cr}_2\text{O}_3(10\bar{1}2)\) surface using DFT, after the issue of surface defect is addressed. The obtained experimental adsorption energies can be readily compared to computational results. Additionally, more transition metal oxide with highly-correlated electronic structures, such as \(\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3\) can be used for developing adsorption and reaction benchmarks.
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