

Quantifying Long-term Hydrologic and NPS Pollutant Response in an Urbanizing Watershed

Mark Dougherty

Dissertation submitted to the faculty of
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Civil Engineering

Randel L. Dymond, Chair
Thomas J. Grizzard, Jr.
Adil N. Godrej
Carl E. Zipper
John Randolph

May 2004

Blacksburg, Virginia

Keywords: Watershed analysis, GIS, LULC, Hydrologic Response,
NPS Pollutant Flux, Regression Modeling

Copyright 2004, Mark Dougherty

Quantifying Long-term Hydrologic and NPS Pollutant Response in an Urbanizing Watershed

Mark Dougherty

(Abstract)

Long-term hydrologic and nonpoint source (NPS) pollutant response is quantified for four headwater basins of the Occoquan River in northern Virginia using 24 years of observed rainfall, basin discharge, water chemistry, and derived land use/land cover (LULC) data. Long-term summaries document that several hydrologic and NPS pollutant delivery characteristics in the urbanizing Cub Run basin are significantly different from adjacent non-urban basins. Higher annual NPS fluxes of total suspended solids (TSS), phosphorus (P), and nitrogen (N) in Cub Run are identified with periods of increased soil disturbance from urban land development and significantly increased storm volumes resulting from higher mean impervious cover. Long-term summaries of nutrient flux are consistent with literature documenting increased ratios of particulate to soluble nutrients with increased discharge. Storm fluxes of NPS particulate P, soluble P, particulate N, and soluble N make up 92, 67, 89, and 50 percent, respectively, of total NPS nutrient fluxes from all headwater basins, with between 88 and 98 percent of mean annual TSS fluxes delivered by storm flow. Higher sediment and nutrient fluxes observed in Cub Run basin during the summer and fall growing season after 1983 demonstrate the impact of replacing vegetated cover with urban impervious surface (IS).

Annual regression models indicate that mean IS above 10 percent and precipitation are significantly associated with total basin discharge ($r^2=0.65$). The positive association of annual storm soluble phosphorus flux with cumulative mean IS suggests the need for continued evaluation of urban NPS soluble phosphorus strategies. Urban soil disturbance is indicated by measuring the annual change in mean IS (delta IS). Regression models show that urban soil disturbance is a significant source of TSS flux in all seasons. Long-term total soluble phosphorus and nitrogen fluxes are significantly and positively associated with precipitation, delta IS, and agricultural land use ($r^2=0.50$ and 0.58 , respectively). The significant impact of urbanization on hydrologic and NPS pollutant flux, especially during the growing season, is a major finding of this study.

Acknowledgments

All praise is due to Allah, the Creator of all that is seen and unseen. I thank Allah and praise Him and give Him Glory as He Alone deserves. He has provided for me a loving family, dedicated friends, and sincere colleagues whose judgment I trust. He, Allah, has brought me along a path of knowledge; and I am grateful.

This dissertation stands upon the work of many others, and is founded on the work of dedicated staff at the Occoquan Watershed Monitoring Laboratory (OWML) in Manassas, Virginia. I offer special thanks to Mr. Harry Post for providing data and answers to my innumerable requests regarding the massive OWML water quality database that he has helped create and manage. Spatial data necessary for the land use/land cover characterizations used in this study were provided by Mr. Normand Goulet of the Northern Virginia Regional Commission, Dr. Scott Goetz and Ms. Claire Jantz, formerly of the Mid-Atlantic Regional Earth Science Applications Center, and numerous staff at the Fairfax and Loudoun County GIS departments. I also acknowledge the financial support of the Virginia Water Resources Research Center and the Charles E. Via, Jr. Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering, who made my PhD studies a reality. Special credit goes to Dr. Christine Anderson-Cooke and Ms. Brooke Marshall of the Virginia Tech Statistical Consulting Center for their invaluable assistance, as well as Ms. Shannon Phillips of Virginia Tech's New Media Center for her timely help showing me how to put this document together.

I have been very fortunate to have an advisory committee that has helped me obtain more than just an advanced degree. The depth of investigation reached in this dissertation is thanks to those of my committee who encouraged me to excel. In this regard, I particularly thank Dr. Carl Zipper for his positive criticism and kind concern. I am most grateful to Dr. Randel Dymond, my major advisor, for his constant logistic and personal support throughout my doctoral studies. Tremendous thanks also to Drs. Thomas Grizzard, Adil Godrej, and John Randolph, who provided the kind of balance that comes only from concerned colleagues and friends. It is fair to state that I could not have produced this document without the input, help, and advice of each committee member.

Table of Contents

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgments	iii
Table of Contents	iv
Acronyms and Abbreviations.....	vii
List of Figures	viii
List of Tables	ix
1. Introduction.....	1
1.1. Background.....	2
1.2. Research Goals and Objectives.....	5
1.3. Scope and Limitations.....	6
1.4. Outline.....	7
1.5. Literature Review.....	8
Previous Studies in the Occoquan Region	8
Spatial Analysis of Watersheds	13
Modeling Hydrologic and NPS Pollutant Response.....	21
2. Evaluation of Impervious Surface Estimates in a Rapidly Urbanizing Watershed	42
2.1. Introduction.....	43
Study Area	44
Data Sets	45
2.2. Methods.....	52
Land Use Classification	52
Map Data Preparation	53
Image Data Preparation.....	54
Comparisons and Statistical Analyses	55
2.3. Results.....	55
Photo-interpreted versus Satellite-interpreted IS Estimates	56
IS Estimates versus Validation Data.....	57
Fairfax County Subset.....	60
2.4. Discussion	63
2.5. Conclusions.....	65
2.6. References.....	67

3. Quantifying Long-term Hydrologic Response in an Urbanizing Basin.....	70
3.1. Introduction.....	71
Objective of the Study	72
Study Area	73
3.2. Methods.....	74
Basin Characteristics.....	74
Basin Hydrology	75
Statistical Approach	77
3.3. Results.....	77
Population Density and LULC Summaries.....	77
Hydrologic Summaries	80
3.4. Discussion.....	84
3.5. Conclusions.....	87
3.6. References.....	88
4. Quantifying Long-term NPS Pollutant Flux in an Urbanizing Basin	92
4.1. Introduction.....	93
Objectives of Study.....	94
Study Area	94
4.2. Methods.....	96
Basin Characteristics.....	96
Hydrologic Data.....	96
NPS Constituent Data	96
Statistical Approach	98
4.3. Results.....	99
Population Density and LULC Summaries.....	99
Hydrologic Summaries	100
NPS Pollutant Summaries.....	101
4.4. Discussion	110
4.5. Conclusions.....	115
4.6. References.....	117
5. Empirical Modeling of Hydrologic and NPS Pollutant Flux in an Urbanizing Basin	121
5.1. Introduction.....	122
Objectives of Study.....	123
Study Area Description.....	123
5.2. Methods.....	126
Data Collection	126
Stepwise Regression	128
5.3. Results.....	130

	LULC Data.....	130
	Hydrologic Response.....	131
	NPS Pollutant Flux Response.....	133
5.4.	Discussion.....	136
	Hydrologic Response.....	136
	NPS Pollutant Flux Response.....	137
	Limited Use of Models for Prediction.....	141
5.5.	Conclusions.....	143
5.6.	References.....	145
6.	Conclusions and Recommendations.....	148
6.1.	Contributions.....	156
6.2.	Future Research.....	157
	Potential Immediate Projects.....	157
	Potential Long-term Projects.....	159
7.	Complete Reference List.....	160
	Appendix.....	187
	Vita.....	273

Acronyms and Abbreviations

ConvTill	Conventional tillage agriculture
Delta IS	Delta impervious surface
IS	Impervious surface
LULC	Land use / land cover
MinTill	Minimum tillage agriculture
NADP	National Atmospheric Deposition Program
NASS	National Agricultural Statistics Service
NVRC	Northern Virginia Regional Commission
OWML	Occoquan Watershed Monitoring Laboratory
OX_N	Oxidized nitrogen
PartN	Particulate nitrogen
PartP	Particulate phosphorus
Past	Livestock / pasture land
RESAC	Regional Earth Science Applications Center
TN	Total nitrogen
TP	Total phosphorus
TSN	Total soluble nitrogen
TSP	Total soluble phosphorus
TSS	Total suspended solids

List of Figures

Figure 1-1. Relief map of Occoquan watershed and four headwater study basins, Virginia, USA.	4
Figure 1-2. Relief map: Occoquan watershed, northern Virginia, USA.....	5
Figure 1-3. Land use time series, Cub Run headwater basin, 1980-1990.	11
Figure 1-4. Interactive model of integration (from Gao, 2002).....	14
Figure 1-5. Water balance in a watershed (adapted from Bedient and Huber, 1992).....	23
Figure 2-1. Occoquan basin map showing location of Cub Run study area.....	45
Figure 2-2. Georeferenced 14-class land use map of Cub Run watershed.	46
Figure 2-3. a) Cub Run watershed land use classification showing 20.78 km ² subset of Fairfax County; b) Difference grid (30 m) showing 7-class aerial IS estimate minus planimetric reference data. Areas where aerial IS estimates overpredict are lighter.	47
Figure 2-4. Reference vs. satellite-derived IS grids (20.78 km ² subset of Fairfax Co.)...	51
Figure 2-5. a) Per pixel regression analysis (entire basin, N=141,297 cells); b) Density plots showing derived IS estimates and planimetric reference data (entire basin, N=141,297 cells).	59
Figure 2-6. Density plots.....	60
Figure 2-7. Difference grids:.....	62
Figure 3-1. Occoquan River watershed study area, northern Virginia, USA.	73
Figure 3-2. Cub Run land use, as a percent of total, 1980-2000.....	78
Figure 3-3. Annual storm and nonstorm discharge as a function of annual precipitation, four Occoquan headwater basins, 1979-2002.	82
Figure 3-4. Seasonal storm discharge as a function of precipitation, 1979-2002.....	84
Figure 3-5. Annual time series of unit storm discharge, 1979-2002, mm.	85
Figure 4-1. Occoquan River watershed study area, northern Virginia, USA.	95
Figure 4-2. Ratio of mean annual particulate P/soluble P concentration (storm and nonstorm) as a function of precipitation, 1979-2002.....	105
Figure 4-3. Mean seasonal TSS flux, Occoquan headwater basins, 1979-2002, kg/ha..	107
Figure 4-4. Particulate to soluble nitrogen ratios, by season, Occoquan headwater basins, 1979-2002 (storm and nonstorm partitions).	109
Figure 4-5. Particulate to soluble phosphorus ratios, by season, Occoquan headwater basins, 1979-2002 (storm and nonstorm partitions).	110
Figure 4-6. Annual time series of TSS flux, 1981-2002, kg/ha/yr.	112
Figure 5-1. Occoquan River watershed study area, northern Virginia, USA.	124
Figure 5-2. Impervious surface and agricultural land use, percent of total basin land area, Occoquan headwater basins, 1980 to 2002.....	131
Figure 5-3. Annual discharge, Occoquan headwater basins, 1979-2002, mm.	142
Figure 6-1. Area-weighted phosphorus and nitrogen loads, 1979-2002, percent of total.	152

List of Tables

Table 1-1. Load sources and retention/conversion in Occoquan reservoir, 1983-1997.	3
Table 1-2. Annual hydrologic balance for selected watersheds, mm/yr.....	28
Table 1-3. Comparisons of selected annual TSS flux studies.....	29
Table 1-4. Selected land use and phosphorus studies.	37
Table 2-1. Impervious surface classifications of Cub Run watershed.....	53
Table 2-2. Cub Run watershed land use summaries, 1990-2000.....	56
Table 2-3. Mean impervious cover estimates vs. 1997 planimetric reference data.	56
Table 2-4. Photo-interpreted vs. satellite-interpreted impervious cover estimates.....	57
Table 2-5. Impervious cover estimates vs. 1997 planimetric reference data.....	58
Table 2-6. Impervious cover estimates vs. 1997 planimetric reference data, subset.....	61
Table 2-7. Expected range of impervious percent, by land use class.	63
Table 3-1. Physical attributes of Occoquan headwater basins.....	74
Table 3-2. Land use percentages, Occoquan headwater basins, 1980, 1990, and 2000. ..	78
Table 3-3. Mean impervious surface, %, Occoquan headwater basins, 1980-2000.	79
Table 3-4. Precipitation during 24-year study, Occoquan basin, mm/period.	80
Table 3-5. Mean and median annual unit discharge, 1979-2002, mm.....	81
Table 3-6. Mean and median annual unit storm discharge, by era,	82
Table 3-7. Mean seasonal stream discharge means \pm 1 SD and medians, 1979-2002, Occoquan headwater basins, mm.....	83
Table 4-1. Physical attributes of Occoquan headwater basins.....	95
Table 4-2. Land use percentages, Occoquan headwater basins, 1980, 1990, and 2000.	100
Table 4-3. Mean impervious surface percent, Occoquan headwater basins, 1980-2000.....	100
Table 4-4. Precipitation during study period, 1979-2002, Occoquan basin, mm/period.....	101
Table 4-5. Mean seasonal stream discharge \pm 1SD and medians, 1979-2002, Occoquan headwater basins, mm.....	101
Table 4-6. Mean annual NPS pollutant concentrations, nonstorm and storm flow, 1979- 2002, mg/L.....	102
Table 4-7. Mean annual total NPS pollutant fluxes, 1979-2002, kg/ha.....	102
Table 4-8. Mean \pm 1SD and median storm TSS concentration by era, 1979-2002, Occoquan headwater basins, mg/L.....	103
Table 4-9. Percent of total phosphorus and nitrogen in soluble phase during storm and nonstorm discharge, 1979-2002, Occoquan headwater basins.	104
Table 4-10. Mean annual particulate/soluble nutrient concentration ratios.....	105
Table 4-11. Mean \pm 1SD and median total TSS flux from Occoquan headwater basins, kg/ha/time period, 1979-2002.....	107
Table 4-12. Mean and median storm TSS concentration by season, 1979-2002, Occoquan headwater basins, mg/L.	108
Table 5-1. Physical attributes of Occoquan headwater basins.....	125
Table 5-2. Land use percentages, Occoquan headwater basins, 1980, 1990, and 2000.	125
Table 5-3. Mean impervious surface, %, Occoquan headwater basins, 1980-2000.	126
Table 5-4. Annual hydrologic regression models.	132
Table 5-5. Annual and seasonal flow responses significantly associated with precipitation, impervious surface, and/or conventional tillage, $p < 0.05$	132
Table 5-6. Annual NPS pollutant flux impervious surface (IS) regression models.....	133

Table 5-7. Annual NPS pollutant flux delta impervious surface (delta IS) regression models.....	135
Table 5-8. NPS pollutant flux responses positively associated with delta IS (urban soil disturbance), $p < 0.10$	135
Table 5-9. NPS pollutant flux responses positively associated with agricultural tillage, $p < 0.10$	136
Table 5-10. NPS pollutant flux responses dominated only by precipitation, $p < 0.05$	136
Table 5-11. Selected regression equations, Occoquan headwater basins, 1979-2002....	142
Table 6-1. Variables tested in stepwise multivariate linear regression.....	154