

Dedication

This dissertation is dedicated to my family. My parents, Sangbae Son and Chuhi Hyun, have been a strong and steadfast support in my journey. They taught me the value of life and faithful love. Most of all, I cannot fully express in words for priceless love and encouragement that Chunsun Lee and Theresa Jiho Son brought in my life.

Acknowledgement

Virginia Agricultural Council Research Grant entitled ‘Development of A Multi-component Integrated Pest Management Strategy for Black Vine Weevil in Greenhouses’ partly funded this research. Sigma Xi Grants-in-Aid of Research Program (GIAR) and Graduate Research Development Project (GRDP) Program at Virginia Tech also provided partial funding.

The research in my dissertation could not have been done without assistance and support of many people. I would like to express the most sincere appreciation to my advisor, Dr. Edwin E. Lewis, for his encouragement and friendship during my graduate years. I also appreciate my advisory committee, Drs. Loke T. Kok, Shirley. Luckhart, Jeffrey R. Bloomquist, and Douglas G. Pfeiffer for all their guidance and valuable comments on my research. I am especially thankful to Dr. Luckhart, who provided an excellent opportunity and resource for molecular biology works.

I must offer special thanks to coworkers; Jonathan Deboe, Dr. Enrique Perez, and Courtney Culp for their companionship, research discussion and technical assistance. I am also indebted to Xing Zhang, Junghwa Lim, Michelle E. G. McClanan, Theresa Dellinger, Tina Peterson, and Matthew Lieber for research discussion.

I really appreciate the black vine weevil researchers, Drs. Richard S. Cowles (The Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station), Mike Redding (OH, UDSA-ARS), Paula Shrewsbury (University of Maryland), Daniel A. Herms (Ohio State University), James Fisher and Pete Gothro (Oregon State University) for weevil samples and supportive comments.

I also would like to thank Riverbend Nursery, Inc. for their donation of plants and thank Syngenta and Olympic Horticultural Products companies for their donation of test insecticides.

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Chapter 1	
Introduction and Literature Review	1
References	17
Chapter 2	
Modeling temperature-dependent development and survival of <i>Otiorhynchus sulcatus</i>	28
References	49
Chapter 3	
Temperature effects on reproductive life history of <i>Otiorhynchus sulcatus</i>	54
References	80
Chapter 4	
The prevalence of <i>Wolbachia</i> in populations of <i>Otiorhynchus sulcatus</i> and its impact on offspring production	86
References	110
Chapter 5	
Effects of imidacloprid and thiamethoxam on reproduction and survival of <i>Otiorhynchus sulcatus</i>	116
References	139
Chapter 6	
Summary	143
References	147

List of Tables

	<u>Page</u>
1.1 Coleopteran species with <i>Wolbachia</i> and phenotypes associated with infection	12
2.1 Developmental periods (mean \pm SEM) in days of <i>O. sulcatus</i> eggs, larvae, and pupae at constant temperatures	41
2.2 Parameter estimates (value \pm SEM) and coefficients of determination (r^2) to describe the temperature-dependent development rate $R(T)$, cumulative frequency distribution for development time variation $f(t)$, and temperature-dependent survival $S(T)$ of <i>O. sulcatus</i>	42
2.3 Parameter estimates (value \pm SEM) and coefficients of determination (r^2) of a linear model for <i>O. sulcatus</i>	43
3.1 Longevity, egg production, egg viability, and daily egg production (mean \pm SEM) of <i>O. sulcatus</i> females at constant temperatures	71
3.2 Parameter estimates for the rate of reproductive maturation (1/ preoviposition period) for <i>O. sulcatus</i>	72
3.3 Parameter estimates for temperature-dependent models of <i>O. sulcatus</i> reproduction	73
4.1 The prevalence of <i>Wolbachia</i> in <i>O. sulcatus</i> populations in the United States	101
5.1 Reproductive parameters for <i>O. sulcatus</i> females during 40 days of feeding on non-treated <i>Astilbe</i> foliage following 8 days feeding on foliage treated with different dosages of imidacloprid and thiamethoxam	129
5.2 Simple linear regression of mean longevity for naïve and nursery-collected <i>O. sulcatus</i> adults fed insecticide-free leaves during 40 days following 8 days of feeding on foliage treated with different dosages of imidacloprid and thiamethoxam	131
5.3 Toxicity of imidacloprid and thiamethoxam (AI ppm) to <i>O. sulcatus</i> first instars	132

List of Figures

		<u>Page</u>
1.1	Geographical distribution of <i>O. sulcatus</i> : (A) Approximate global distribution (from Moorhouse et al., 1992a), (B) Distribution in North America (from Johnson & Lyon, 1991)	13
1.2	Plant damaged caused by <i>O. sulcatus</i> feeding in container-grown <i>Astilbe</i> : (A) leaf notch (arrow) by adult feeding on leaf margins, (B) control (left) and root damage (right) by larval feeding. Photo by Youngsoo Son	14
1.3	Approximate life cycle of <i>Otiorynchus sulcatus</i> and period of serious root damage in the field according to Moorhouse et al. (1992a)	15
1.4	Developmental stages of <i>O. sulcatus</i> : (A) Eggs (≈ 0.8 mm), (B1) Neonate larva (≈ 1 mm), (B2) Fully grown larva (≈ 8 mm), (C) Pupa (≈ 10 mm), (D1) Teneral adult, (D2) Adult (≈ 10 mm). Notice that viable eggs turn opaque brown but non-viable eggs remain white. Photo by Youngsoo Son	16
2.1	Temperature-dependent survival (%) model for <i>O. sulcatus</i> (A) eggs, (B) larvae, and (C) pupae. Extreme-value function fit to the observed (\bullet) and the data from Masaki and Ohto (1995) (\circ)	44
2.2	Temperature-dependent model of development rate (1/development time) for <i>O. sulcatus</i> (A) eggs, (B) larvae, and (C) pupae. The Logan model $R(T)$ fit to the data (\bullet)	45
2.3	Cumulative frequency (%) of stage emergence for <i>O. sulcatus</i> (A) eggs, (B) larvae, and (C) pupae. The Weibull function $f(t)$ fit to the cumulative frequency (\bullet) versus normalized age (time/mean development duration)	46
2.4	Simulated density curves of stage emergence (transition) for <i>O. sulcatus</i> in relation to temperature (T , $^{\circ}\text{C}$) and cohort age (t , days), given 1000 eggs as initial input value. (A) eggs, (B) larvae, and (C) pupae	48
3.1	Length of preoviposition period (\circ) and oviposition period (\bullet) (mean \pm SEM) for <i>O. sulcatus</i> adults under constant temperatures. Values followed by different characters differ significantly ($P < 0.05$, Student-Newman-Keuls Test)	74
3.2	Temperature-dependent rate (mean \pm SEM) of reproductive maturation (1/preoviposition period) of <i>O. sulcatus</i> : Observed data (\bullet) fitted to Briere model (solid line) and degree-day model (dotted line)	75
3.3	Temperature-dependent median longevity (A) and age-specific survivorship curve (B) of <i>O. sulcatus</i> adults in relation to normalized age (age/median longevity). Observed data (\bullet) fitted to linear regression model (solid line, A) and	76

	a sigmoid function (solid line, B)	
3.4	Temperature-dependent egg production (A) and egg viability (B) per female (mean \pm SEM): observed data (●) fitted to extreme value function (solid line)	77
3.5	Cumulative reproduction curve of <i>O. sulcatus</i> : (A) Age expressed as chronological time (days) post adult emergence. (B) Age expressed as normalized age (age/median longevity). Observed data (●) fitted to two-parameter Weibull function (solid line, B)	78
3.6	Predicted density curves of viable egg production of <i>O. sulcatus</i> adults in relation to adult age and temperature	79
4.1	Representative <i>wsp</i> gene amplified fragments (~ 600 bp) from <i>O. sulcatus</i> adults collected from VA (1), OR (2), CT (3), and OH (4). MW = molecular weight DNA standard in base-pairs (bp)	102
4.2	Consensus nucleotide sequence (lower case) and translated amino acid (upper case) sequence of <i>Wolbachia wsp</i> gene fragment amplified from <i>O. sulcatus</i>	103
4.3	Amount of leaf consumption (mean \pm SEM) of preovipositional <i>O. sulcatus</i> adults on leaves treated with antibiotics. Genta: gentamicin, Tetra: tetracycline	104
4.4	Days (mean \pm SEM) to reproductive maturation of preovipositional <i>O. sulcatus</i> adults when fed on leaves treated with antibiotics. Genta: gentamicin, Tetra: tetracycline	105
4.5	Survival of weevils during 10-week experimental period composed of 7 weeks feeding on non-treated leaves following 3 weeks feeding on leaves treated with antibiotics. Tetra: tetracycline, Genta: gentamicin	106
4.6	Weekly reproduction of <i>O. sulcatus</i> during 7 week feeding on non-treated leaves following 3 week antibiotics treatments: (A) total egg production, (B) viable egg production, and (C) egg hatch rate (%). Tetra: tetracycline, Genta: gentamicin	107
4.7	Correlation between number of melanized viable eggs and number of hatched eggs in weevils from all treatments	108
4.8	Weekly reproduction of <i>O. sulcatus</i> during weeks before treatment (WBT), weeks of tetracycline (2.5 ppm) treatment (WT), and weeks after treatment: (A) total egg production, (B) viable egg production. Tetra: tetracycline	109
5.1	Chemical structures of the chloronicotynyl insecticides, imidacloprid (A) and thiamethoxam (B)	133
5.2	Experimental arena where <i>O. sulcatus</i> adults were exposed to <i>Astilbe</i> leaves	134

treated with imidacloprid and thiamethoxam

- | | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| 5.3 | Effects of treatments with imidacloprid (Imi, 0.78 ppm) and thiamethoxam (Thia, 1.5 ppm) on egg production (mean \pm SEM) of <i>O. sulcatus</i> females that survived 7-day exposure of each insecticide. Means with same letters within observation time are not significantly different ($P < 0.05$) | 135 |
| 5.4 | Survivorship of <i>O. sulcatus</i> adults fed insecticide-free leaves during 6 weeks following 1-week feeding on leaves treated with imidacloprid (Imida, 0.78 ppm) and thiamethoxam (Thia, 1.5 ppm) | 136 |
| 5.5 | No significant effects of imidacloprid (A) and thiamethoxam (B) on egg mortality (mean \pm SEM) of <i>O. sulcatus</i> . Significant dosage-dependent mortality was not found in imidacloprid or thiamethoxam | 137 |
| 5.6 | Effects of imidacloprid (A) and thiamethoxam (B) on the percent mortality (mean \pm SEM) of the <i>O. sulcatus</i> first instar larvae. Means followed by the same letter do not differ significantly ($P > 0.05$, SNK test) | 138 |