

Urban Spatial Structure and Household Travel Time

By

Mark H. Fina

Dissertation submitted to the Faculty of the
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for
the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in
Agricultural and Applied Economics

Leonard A. Shabman, Chairman
Anya McGuirk
Gregory S. Amacher
Kurt Stephenson
Bradford F. Mills

Dated this 21st day of January, 2000.
Blacksburg, Virginia.

Keywords: Urban Form, Transportation, Sprawl, Economics, Travel

Abstract

The sprawl of U.S. cities has attracted criticism from many sources in recent years. Among the greatest of the cited harms of sprawl is the alleged travel time burden that it imposes on its residents. Previous research has tested the relationship between the sprawl of business activity and travel times by examining only its effects on commuting times and has concluded that people do not choose housing and work locations to minimize commutes. This research takes a more comprehensive approach by analyzing the relationship between household travel times and sprawl by testing the relationship between access to economic centers and daily household travel time. The relatively minor increase in household travel times with decrease in access to economic centers found by the analysis shows that people logically reduce trips to centers when choosing housing locations with less access to centers. The ability of people to make these reductions in travel is clearly increased by the dispersal of activity from the central business district and other centers. Comparison of predicted household travel times with an estimated rent gradient show that the increase in housing prices with improved access to subcenters is far less than would be expected given the predicted household travel times, contradicting the relationship between household travel time and housing prices embodied in central place theory and its limited polycentric extensions. An analysis of joined trips suggests that households with less access to economic centers used joined trips, in which multiple destinations are visited on a single trip from the home, to reduce household travel. All of the results suggest that auto use enables households throughout the metropolitan area to reduce travel time. The car has greater flexibility and speed than other modes, particularly in areas of lower density. The travel time savings and flexibility that are provided by the dispersal of economic activity have allowed people to choose housing that they prefer at lower prices with little added transportation cost. Given these benefits we should carefully consider the manner and method we choose to reduce any negative externalities of sprawl and auto use.

Acknowledgements

First, a general thanks to everyone that made my time at Virginia Tech a pleasant and worthwhile experience. I am grateful to my friends, classmates, professors and the staff that supported me throughout my studies.

I am especially grateful to my committee, Leonard Shabman, Greg Amacher, Anya McGuirk, Kurt Stephenson and Brad Mills for their continual support of my work. It is inspirational to work with people who enjoy their work, yet, maintain a perspective on how it fits into their lives. Their candor and patience were extremely helpful to me in the past few years. I give special thanks to Leonard, my advisor, for his support, advice and encouragement. My education at Tech improved tenfold by his taking the time to share his insights into this and my other work.

The greatest thanks go to my family. First, to my father and mother who have always encouraged me in everything, no matter how much I frustrated them and myself in the process. Thanks to my sisters, brothers, aunts, uncles and cousins. You are too many to name here individually, but you can be certain that I have not forgotten the support you each have given me.

Thanks also to the organizations that have supported my research at Virginia Tech. Particularly, I would like to thank the EPA/NSF Water and Watersheds Program for supporting this work and the Washington Metropolitan Council of Government for sharing the data used in this analysis. I also would like to thank Vince Breneman and Ralph Heimlich of the Economic Research Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture for assistance with GIS.

Lastly, thanks to all of the friends who have supported me before and during my stay at Virginia Tech. Special thanks to my friend Leah Cuyno, who made my life in Blacksburg much happier.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	i
ABSTRACT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
<u>Chapter 1 – Introduction</u>	1-1
<i>Statement of the Problem</i>	1-1
<i>The Creation of Sprawling Urban Forms</i>	1-3
<i>Travel Time, Travel Costs and Settlement Forms</i>	1-3
<i>Auto Use and Joined Trips</i>	1-4
<i>Household Travel Times and the Rent Gradient</i>	1-5
<i>Conclusion</i>	1-5
<i>Objectives</i>	1-6
<i>Methods</i>	1-6
<u>Chapter 2 – Conceptual Framework</u>	2-1
<i>Urban Form and Central Place Theory</i>	2-1
<i>The Monocentric Urban Form</i>	2-1
<i>Transportation and the Monocentric Urban Form</i>	2-2
<i>The Breakdown of Monocentricity</i>	2-3
<i>The Limited Polycentric Form</i>	2-4
<i>Household Travel Time and the New Sprawling Urban Form</i>	2-5
<i>An Empirical Study of Household Travel Time under Central Place Theory</i>	2-7
<i>Previous Studies of Travel under Central Place Theory</i>	2-7
<i>A Broader Study of Household Travel</i>	2-8

<i>The New Urban Form, Auto Travel and Joined Trip</i>	2-9
<i>Household Travel Time and the Rent Gradient</i>	2-10
<i>Conclusion</i>	2-11
<u>Chapter 3 – Study Area – The Washington, D.C. Metropolitan Area</u>	3-1
<i>The Washington, D.C. Metropolitan Area</i>	3-1
<i>Center Identification</i>	3-4
<i>Area Demographics</i>	3-15
<i>The Transportation System</i>	3-19
<i>Conclusion</i>	3-22
<u>Chapter 4 – Theoretical Model</u>	4-1
<u>Chapter 5 – The Empirical Model of Household TravelTime</u>	5-1
<i>The Data</i>	5-1
<i>Center Accessibility</i>	5-1
<i>Household Characteristics</i>	5-3
<i>Neighborhood Characteristics</i>	5-3
<i>The Empirical Model</i>	5-4
<i>Variables</i>	5-5
<i>Center Accessibility</i>	5-7
<i>Household Characteristics</i>	5-7
<i>Neighborhood Characteristics</i>	5-9
<i>The Models</i>	5-11
<u>Chapter 6 – Results of the Analysis of Household Travel Time</u>	6-1
<i>Models without Neighborhood Characteristics</i>	6-1
<i>Center Accessibility</i>	6-4
<u>The City Model</u>	6-4
<u>The Suburban Model</u>	6-6
<u>The Outlying Areas Model</u>	6-18
<i>Household Characteristics</i>	6-20

<i>Models with Neighborhood Characteristics</i>	6-24
<i>Conclusion</i>	6-28
<u>Chapter 7 – Joined Trips</u>	7-1
<i>The Theory</i>	7-2
<i>The Data</i>	7-3
<i>The Empirical Model</i>	7-4
<i>The Results</i>	7-5
<u>Chapter 8 – The Rent Gradient</u>	8-1
<i>The Theory</i>	8-1
<i>The Data</i>	8-2
<i>The Empirical Model</i>	8-2
<i>The Results</i>	8-3
<i>The Rent Gradient and Household Travel Times</i>	8-9
<u>Chapter 9 – Implications</u>	9-1
<i>Introduction</i>	9-1
<i>The Effects of Centers on Household Travel Time</i>	9-4
<i>The Dispersion of Activity Beyond Subcenters and Household Travel Time</i>	9-7
<i>The Relationship Between Joined Trips and Travel Time to Centers</i>	9-8
<i>The Relationship Between the Rent Gradient and Household Travel Times</i>	9-8
<i>The Use of Automobiles and Household Travel Time</i>	9-11
<i>Demographics, the Distribution of Subcenters and Travel Times</i>	9-12
<i>Conclusion</i>	9-13

<u>Chapter 10 – Conclusion Policy Recommendations and Future Research Needs</u>	10-1
<i>The Sprawl of Economic Activity, Housing Prices and the Household Travel Times</i> ...	10-1
<i>Auto Use and Household Travel Time</i>	10-2
<i>Need for Further Research</i>	10-4
<u>Summary of the Conclusions</u>	10-5
REFERENCES	R-1
<i>Appendix 5A - Tests of Misspecification of the Household Travel Time Model</i>	5A-1
<i>Appendix 5B - Tests of Neighborhood Effects Models</i>	5B-1
<i>Appendix 5C - Summary Statistics for Models of Household Travel Time</i>	5C-1
<i>Appendix 7A - Frequency Tables for Stops on the Way to Work and the Way Home from Work</i>	7A-1
<i>Appendix 7B - Tests of Overdispersion</i>	7B-1
<i>Appendix 8A – Summary Statistics for the Rent Gradient Model</i>	8A-1
<i>Appendix 8B –Tests of Misspecification for the Rent Gradient Model</i>	8B-1

LIST OF TABLES

<i>Table 3.1 Economic Centers</i>	3-8
<i>Table 3.2 Metropolitan Washington Centers and their Locations Relative to the Central Business District</i>	3-14
<i>Table 5.1 Center Accessibility Data</i>	4-2
<i>Table 5.2 Household Characteristics Data</i>	5-3
<i>Table 4.3 Neighborhood Characteristics Data</i>	5-4
<i>Table 6.1 Models without neighborhood effects</i>	6-2
<i>Table 6.2 Location of Metropolitan Washington Subcenters Relative to the Central Business District</i>	6-11
<i>Table 6.3 Models with neighborhood effects</i>	6-25
<i>Table 7.1 Data Sources and a Description of Data for the Joined Trips Models</i>	7-3
<i>Table 7.2. Models of stops on the way to and from work</i>	7-5
<i>Table 8.1 Data Sources and a Description of the Data Used to Estimate the Rent Gradient</i>	8-2
<i>Table 8.2 The Estimated Rent Gradient</i>	8-4
<i>Table 5A.1 Tests of Normality of the Household Travel Model</i>	5A-1
<i>Table 5A.2 Tests of Misspecification of the Household Travel Model</i>	5A-3
<i>Table 7B.1 Results of Tests of Overdispersion</i>	7B-1
<i>Table 8B.1 Tests of Normality for the Rent Gradient Model</i>	8B-1
<i>Table 8B.2 Tests of Misspecification for the Rent Gradient Model</i>	8B2

LIST OF FIGURES

<i>Figure 3A. Washington D.C. Metropolitan Area Census Tracts by State Jurisdiction</i>	3-3
<i>Figure 3B. Subcenters Identified Using Thresholds of 7 Employees per Acre in Each Zone and Total Employment of 10,000</i>	3-9
<i>Figure 3C. Subcenters Identified Using Thresholds of 20 Employees per Acre in Each Zone and Total Employment of 20,000</i>	3-10
<i>Figure 3D. Subcenters Identified Using Thresholds of 17 Employees per Acre in Each Zone and Total Employment of 17,500</i>	3-11
<i>Figure 3E. Median Household Income by Transportation Analysis Zone</i>	3-17
<i>Figure 3F. Percent Nonwhite Minority by Transportation Analysis Zone</i>	3-18
<i>Figure 3G. The Washington, D.C. Metro Rail System</i>	3-20
<i>Figure 3H. Major Roads in the Washington, D.C. Metropolitan Area</i>	3-21
<i>Figure 6A. Household travel time as a function of access to the central business district for the city model without neighborhood characteristics</i>	6-5
<i>Figure 6B. Household travel time as a function of access to the central business</i>	6-8
<i>Figures 6C. Household travel time as a function of access to the nearest subcenter for the suburban model without neighborhood characteristics</i>	6-9
<i>Figure 6D. Subcenters and Transportation Analysis Zones in the Metropolitan Washington, D.C Area</i>	6-11
<i>Figure 6E. Predicted household travel times from the suburban model (View 1)</i>	6-13

Figure 6F. Predicted household travel times from the suburban model (View 2)	6-14
Figure 6G. Predicted household travel times from the suburban model (View 3)	6-15
Figure 6H. Predicted household travel times from the outlying areas model	6-19
Figure 8A. Predicted House Prices (View 1)	8-6
Figure 8B. Predicted House Prices (View 2)	8-7
Figure 8C. Predicted House Prices (View 3)	8-8
Figure 8D. Predicted Household Travel Times and Housing Prices as a Function of Travel Time (in hours) to the Central Business District and a Subcenter	8-10