High-frequency sensor data capture short-term variability in Fe and Mn cycling due to hypolimnetic oxygenation and seasonal dynamics in a drinking water reservoir
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**Abstract**

The biogeochemical cycles of iron (Fe) and manganese (Mn) in lakes and reservoirs have predictable seasonal trends, largely governed by stratification dynamics and redox conditions in the hypolimnion. However, short-term (i.e., sub-weekly) trends in Fe and Mn cycling are less well-understood, as most monitoring efforts focus on longer-term (i.e., monthly to yearly) time scales. The potential for elevated Fe and Mn to degrade water quality and impact ecosystem functioning, coupled with increasing evidence for high spatiotemporal variability in other biogeochemical cycles, necessitates a closer evaluation of the short-term Fe and Mn cycling dynamics in lakes and reservoirs. We adapted a UV-visible spectrophotometer coupled with a multiplexor pumping system and PLSR modeling to generate high spatiotemporal resolution predictions of Fe and Mn concentrations in a drinking water reservoir (Falling Creek Reservoir, Vinton, VA, USA) equipped with a hypolimnetic oxygenation (HOx) system. We quantified hourly Fe and Mn concentrations during two distinct transitional periods: reservoir turnover (Fall 2020) and initiation of the HOx system (Summer 2021). Our sensor system was able to successfully predict mean Fe and Mn concentrations as well as capture sub-weekly variability, ground-truthed by traditional grab sampling and laboratory analysis. During fall turnover, hypolimnetic Fe and Mn concentrations began to decrease more than two weeks before complete mixing of the reservoir occurred, with rapid equalization of epilimnetic and hypolimnetic Fe and Mn concentrations in less than 48 hours after full water column mixing. During the initiation of hypolimnetic oxygenation in Summer 2021, we observed that Fe and Mn were similarly affected by physical mixing in the hypolimnion, but displayed distinctly different responses to oxygenation, as indicated by
the rapid oxidation of soluble Fe but not soluble Mn. This study demonstrates that Fe and Mn concentrations are highly sensitive to shifting dissolved oxygen and stratification and that their dynamics can substantially change on hourly to daily time scales in response to these transitions.
General Audience Abstract

Iron and manganese are chemical elements that occur in many freshwater systems. Although they are naturally-occurring, high concentrations of iron and manganese can have negative effects on drinking water quality as well as the health of aquatic ecosystems. In temperate regions, iron and manganese can accumulate in the bottom waters of lakes and reservoirs during the summer months, but generally remain at low levels during the fall through spring. This seasonal cycle has been previously documented, but few studies have investigated the ways in which iron and manganese concentrations in a lake or reservoir change over shorter periods of time, such as hours or days. Recent advances in technology to measure chemical elements in the environment have allowed scientists to observe chemical fluctuations of other elements over relatively short time periods, which suggests that iron and manganese could potentially exhibit similar trends. In this study, we used an advanced sensor system to make hourly measurements of iron and manganese concentrations in a drinking water reservoir and observe how they changed during two time periods: in the fall of 2020, as the reservoir was transitioning from summer to winter, and in the summer of 2021, when oxygen was added to the bottom waters to improve water quality. Our observations indicate that iron and manganese concentrations in the reservoir waters were highly variable over short time scales and that they can change dramatically in as little as 24 hours, especially during transitional periods. We also successfully demonstrated the ability of our advanced sensor system to monitor these hourly changes, which could have many benefits for drinking water management and understanding metals cycling in freshwater systems.
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1.0 Introduction

Elevated levels of iron (Fe) and manganese (Mn) in lakes and reservoirs have negative consequences for ecosystem health and water quality. Increasing Fe concentrations have been linked to the long-term browning of lakes, which has numerous, significant ecological consequences (Kritzberg et al. 2020). Elevated Mn concentrations in drinking water can pose serious risks to human health, especially in children (Wasserman et al. 2006). Furthermore, high concentrations of both metals negatively affect the taste, odor, and appearance of water and can damage water supply infrastructure through corrosion and deposition (World Health Organization 2017). As a result, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has established secondary standards for Fe and Mn concentrations in drinking water of 0.3 and 0.05 mg/L, respectively (EPA 2021).

As Fe and Mn are redox-sensitive elements, their abundance in aquatic systems is largely influenced by dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations (Hem 1972, Davison 1993). The oxidation state of Fe and Mn in natural waters is dominated by two forms: insoluble, oxidized Fe(III) and Mn(IV), and soluble, reduced Fe(II) and Mn(II) (Davison 1993). In most aquatic systems under circumneutral pH, this oxidation state is determined by the redox conditions at a given point in space and time. Under oxic conditions, Fe and Mn are generally present as insoluble Fe(III) and Mn(IV) solids in rocks and sediments. However, the microbial reduction of Fe and Mn in anoxic sediments releases soluble Fe and Mn into sediment pore water. Thermal stratification in lakes and reservoirs can create anoxic conditions in the hypolimnion, facilitating the diffusion of soluble Fe and Mn into the water column (Lovely 1991). In such settings,

An increasingly used in situ approach for mitigating high Fe and Mn in drinking water reservoirs is hypolimnetic oxygenation (HOx), which creates oxic conditions in the hypolimnion and a thicker aerobic zone in bottom sediments (e.g., Beutel and Horne 1999, Bryant et al. 2011, Dent et al. 2014, Gantzer et al. 2009, Gerling et al. 2014). By increasing oxygen availability in the hypolimnion, HOx operation hinders the release of soluble Fe and Mn into sediment pore waters, slows upward diffusion into the water column, and promotes Fe and Mn oxidation and precipitation in the hypolimnion (Preece et al. 2019). HOx systems have been shown to effectively reduce soluble Fe and Mn in the hypolimnion of drinking water reservoirs (Gantzer et al. 2009, Bryant et al. 2011). However, removing soluble Mn from the water column requires more sustained oxygen inputs, due to its slower oxidation reaction kinetics (Bryant et al. 2011, Munger et al. 2016). To optimize water treatment using HOx systems, it is essential for drinking water managers to understand both the short-term (sub-weekly) and long-term (monthly to yearly) dynamics of Fe and Mn cycling in supply reservoirs.

Although Fe and Mn cycling in temperate lakes and reservoirs has predictable seasonal trends dictated by thermal stratification, there is a lack of research on short-term Fe and Mn dynamics. Quantifying short-term trends requires high-frequency data, which we define as having a temporal resolution of daily or shorter. To our knowledge, there is no standard definition for classifying data as ‘high-frequency’ or trends as ‘short-term.’ Thus, we developed operational definitions based on the contrast with traditional
monitoring frequencies in lakes and reservoirs, which are typically weekly or longer (e.g., Marcé et al. 2016). The paucity of previous research on Fe and Mn cycling at sub-weekly scales represents a key knowledge gap, given that biogeochemical process rates can fluctuate rapidly over hourly to daily time scales (McClain et al. 2003). Studies have identified diel signals in the cycles of numerous biogeochemical variables, including Fe and Mn, and many biological and chemical processes in aquatic environments operate on hourly to daily scales, often with significant impacts on nutrient cycling and ecosystem productivity (Istvánovics, Osztoics, & Honti 2004, Nimick, Gammons, & Parker 2011, Kurz et al. 2013). Additionally, episodic hydrologic events, which may be missed by traditional sampling methods, can have pronounced effects on biogeochemical cycling dynamics (e.g., Marcé et al. 2016, Coraggio et al. 2022).

Studies analyzing the efficacy of HOx systems have observed substantial changes in Fe and Mn concentrations in response to changes in DO concentrations (Dent et al. 2014, Munger et al. 2019). For example, Dent et al. (2014) found that total Fe and Mn concentrations decreased by 71% and 73%, respectively, after 8 hours of oxygenation of a previously anoxic reservoir hypolimnion. Conversely, Munger et al. (2019) found that Fe and Mn sediment fluxes into the water column were 1.4 and 4.5 times higher, respectively, two weeks after the onset of hypolimnetic anoxia in a reservoir. The dynamic behavior of Fe and Mn concentrations in response to both management and natural processes (e.g., seasonal thermal stratification) underscores the importance of quantifying these complex cycling dynamics, which could have substantial implications for drinking water management and water quality monitoring. To date, monitoring programs have been hindered by the coarse temporal frequency of
months to seasons necessitated by traditional manual sampling and laboratory analysis techniques.

Recent developments in sensor technology have enabled high-frequency collection of some water quality variables *in situ*, without the need for manual sampling and laboratory analysis (Porter et al. 2009, Rode et al. 2016, Kruse 2018). However, most high-frequency sensors are only capable of measuring a single variable at a time and typically have a low spatial resolution. Moreover, numerous water quality variables, including Fe and Mn, lack instrumentation capable of unattended, reagent-less, high-frequency measurement.

To circumvent the limitations of current sensor technology, spectrophotometers have been designed to measure water quality variables *in situ* at a high frequency using multi-wavelength absorbance patterns in the ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) spectrum. These sensors do not require chemical reagents and are capable of measuring multiple variables simultaneously. To date, UV-vis spectrophotometers have been successfully used to measure chemical variables that have a strong correlation with known peaks in their absorbance spectra, such as nitrate and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) (Etheridge et al. 2014, Sakamoto, Johnson, & Coletti 2009). Additionally, several studies have had success using them to measure concentrations of other biogeochemical variables without well-defined spectral peaks, such as Fe, total phosphorus (TP), soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP), and dissolved silica (Si) (Birgand et al. 2016, Etheridge et al. 2014, Vaughan et al. 2018). Although Fe and Mn are not known to have well-defined spectral peaks, they absorb and scatter light at wavelengths across the UV-vis spectrum and they can affect the absorbance of a water sample.
through complexation with organic molecules (Poulin et al. 2014, Weishaar et al. 2003, Xiao et al. 2013). Therefore, the covariance between the variable of interest (e.g., Fe or Mn) and the overall “color matrix” of the water (the combination of multiple light-sensitive proxies) can be detected in the UV-vis absorbance spectra and used to predict concentrations of the variable of interest with statistical algorithms (Birgand et al. 2016). Laboratory-measured concentrations from manually collected samples are subsequently used to develop predictive models that correlate known concentrations with absorbance spectra.

Numerous algorithms exist for calibrating UV-vis absorbance spectra to observed concentrations, but the most commonly employed method is partial least squares regression (PLSR) (DiFoggio 2000, Birgand et al. 2016, Vaughan et al. 2018). PLSR is well-suited for modeling relationships within data that have a large number of highly correlated explanatory variables and relatively few observations, such as multi-wavelength spectral measurements (Wold et al. 2001). Previous studies have used *in situ* spectrophotometers and PLSR models to predict water quality variables in a variety of environments, including streams, lakes, estuaries, and oceans, with varying levels of predictive accuracy (Sakamoto, Johnson, & Coletti 2009, Avagyan, Runkle, & Kutzbach 2014, Etheridge et al. 2014, Birgand et al. 2016, Vaughan et al. 2018). However, to the best of our knowledge, only one study (Birgand et al. 2016) has evaluated the potential of this method to observe the high-frequency dynamics of metals in lakes and reservoirs.

Because the application of this method is relatively new, only a few studies have attempted to quantify the uncertainty of water chemistry predictions made using PLSR
and spectrophotometric data (Bieroza & Heathwaite 2016, Vaughan et al. 2018). Uncertainty quantification is crucial for determining the accuracy and feasibility of these methods, especially in natural waters that have a complex chemical composition with an unknown relationship to the measured spectrophotometric color matrix (Bieroza & Heathwaite 2016; Rieger, Langergraber, & Siegrist 2006; Vaughan et al. 2018). Furthermore, the ability of a PLSR model to make accurate predictions is contingent upon how well the training data capture the variability in predicted concentrations, which in turn influences the generalizability of the model (Wold et al. 2001, DiFoggio 2000). In addition to these factors, photometric noise (i.e., random differences in spectral measurements) and spectral artifacts (e.g., instrument drift and fouling) can introduce error into model predictions (DiFoggio 2000).

Thus, \textit{in situ} spectrophotometer data coupled to PLSR modeling potentially offer useful insight on rapidly changing metals concentrations in reservoirs and lakes. However, because of strong thermal gradients with depth in lakes, a single spectrophotometer cannot capture metals concentrations that may also rapidly change with depth. Additionally, the cost of \textit{in situ} spectrophotometers ($8000-25000 USD as of 2022) prohibits the acquisition of many needed to characterize spatial dynamics as well. For this reason, Birgand et al. (2016) designed a multiplexed sequential sampling system to pump water from different depths at one site to one spectrophotometer used as a portable lab above the water’s surface. This system has proven to be able to characterize variable reservoir biogeochemical concentrations over both depth and time (Birgand et al., 2016).
Motivation and objectives

We used an in situ spectrophotometer coupled with a multiplexor pumping system and PLSR modeling to predict high-frequency Fe and Mn concentrations at multiple depths in a seasonally-stratified drinking water reservoir. We then used this approach to observe the short-term (sub-weekly) variability of Fe and Mn cycling during two distinct transitional periods: reservoir turnover in Fall 2020 and initiation of HOx operation in Summer 2021. The objectives of this study were to: 1) assess the accuracy and uncertainty associated with predictions of Fe and Mn concentrations using spectral absorbance data coupled with PLSR modeling, 2) identify whether Fe and Mn cycling dynamics exhibit temporal variability across depth gradients, and 3) quantify the effects of reservoir turnover and hypolimnetic oxygenation on Fe and Mn concentrations.

2.0 Methods

2.1 Study site

Field data were collected at Falling Creek Reservoir (FCR), a small (0.12 km$^2$, maximum depth = 9.3 m), dimictic reservoir located in Vinton, Virginia, USA (Figure 1, Gerling et al. 2014). FCR was constructed in 1898 and is managed as a drinking water reservoir by the Western Virginia Water Authority (WVWA) in Roanoke, VA. The summer stratified period at FCR typically lasts from May to October. FCR is located in a forested catchment with one primary inflow and several smaller tributaries. Due to the underlying geology, which consists of Fe- and Mn-rich rocks of the Blue Ridge and
Piedmont Provinces (Woodward, 1932), this region has elevated Fe and Mn concentrations in surface and groundwater (Chapman et al. 2013).

FCR contains a HOx system, which can be activated and deactivated to control DO concentrations in the hypolimnion without altering thermal stratification or water temperature (Figure 1, Gerling et al. 2014). The HOx system at FCR was activated from 29 June 2020 until 2 December 2020, when it was turned off for the winter period. It remained deactivated from 2 December 2020 until 11 June 2021, at which point it was turned back on and remained activated until the end of the study period on 21 June 2021.

FCR is equipped with sensors that continuously monitor the physical, chemical, and meteorological conditions at the reservoir’s deepest spot, which was the primary sampling location in this study (Figure 1). DO sensor data was collected using a YSI EXO2 (Yellow Springs, OH) deployed at 1.6m and two In-Situ RDO-PRO-X sensors (Fort Collins, CO) at 5m and 9m (Carey et al. 2022b). Ten-minute resolution temperature measurements were collected by \textit{in situ} sensors deployed every meter from the surface to the reservoir sediments (Carey et al. 2022b). To quantify the intensity of reservoir thermal stratification during each deployment, we calculated Schmidt stability (J m$^{-2}$, Idso 1973) using temperature measurements and bathymetric data from FCR (Carey et al. 2022c) as inputs to the R package \textit{rLakeAnalyzer} (Winslow et al. 2019). Meteorological variables were measured by a research-grade Campbell Scientific meteorological station deployed on the dam of FCR (Carey et al. 2022a).
2.2 High-Frequency Monitoring System

We monitored high-frequency light absorbance at multiple depths in FCR using a s::can Spectrolyser UV-Visible spectrophotometer (s::can Messtechnik GmbH, Vienna, Austria). This spectrophotometer was coupled with a multiplexor pumping system (‘MUX’ from MultiplexÔ, LLC; for technical details on the multiplexor pumping system and the sensor setup, refer to Birgand et al. 2016 and Figures S1-S3). The MUX pumps water samples from multiple depths into a flow-through cuvette where the UV-vis absorbance spectra of the sample are measured by the spectrophotometer. The system used in our study collected measurements of light absorbance every 2.5 nm wavelengths from 200 nm to 732.5 nm (optical path length of 10 mm) approximately at an hourly time step for six monitoring depths in the reservoir.

The MUX system was used to collect high-frequency data during two time periods: reservoir turnover (“Turnover Deployment”) and the initiation of HOx operation (“Oxygen On Deployment”). The Turnover Deployment captured the natural oxygenation and mixing processes that occurred during reservoir turnover and lasted from 16 October to 9 November 2020. In this study, fall turnover was defined as the first time when the temperature differential between 1 m and 8 m depths in the reservoir was <1 °C after summer stratification (following McClure et al. 2018), which occurred on 2 November 2020. During this time period the HOx system was on, so the hypolimnion was oxic before turnover, but the reservoir was thermally stratified. The Oxygen On Deployment was conducted between 26 May and 21 June 2021; during that time the HOx system was initiated on 11 June 2021 at 11:00 EDT. This deployment captured the engineered oxygenation and mixing processes resulting from the initiation of HOx
operation. The reservoir was thermally stratified and the hypolimnion was anoxic (DO < 1 mg/L) prior to HOx operation and thus, while HOx operation added oxygen to the hypolimnion, we observed a limited increase in DO concentrations due to high chemical oxygen demand. The HOx system induced internal mixing within the hypolimnion, but the overall thermal stratification of the reservoir was not affected.

We took multiple steps to limit the influence of fouling on the internal components of the MUX system, due to precipitation of Fe in contact with oxygen in the measuring cuvette. Between each pump cycle, deionized water was flushed through the system. At the end of each pump sequence (one sample from each depth), dilute hydrochloric acid (5%) was automatically pumped through the system and allowed to sit in the flow-through cuvette for approximately 2 minutes. We also collected a reference measurement in air at the end of each cycle, which was useful in determining the extent of fouling. Despite these efforts, some fouling was still evident during certain time periods (see Figures S4-5). Fouling was most pronounced in the lower wavelengths (200-250 nm; see Figures S6-7) and therefore we removed values for wavelengths less than 250 nm before fitting PLSR models.

2.3 Sampling Methods

As part of an ongoing monitoring program, we sample total and soluble Fe and Mn weekly throughout the stratified period. For this study, water samples were collected at the reservoir outtake depths of 0.1, 1.6, 3.8 m (epilimnion), 5.0 m (metalimnion), 6.2, 8.0, and 9.0 m (hypolimnion) using a 4-L Van Dorn sampler, thereby matching the MUX sampling depths. Samples for soluble Fe and Mn were syringe-filtered using 0.45 µm
nylon filters. Both total and soluble metals samples were preserved with trace metal grade nitric acid to pH < 2. Samples were analyzed using Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICPMS). Minimum reporting levels were 0.005 mg/L (Fe) and 0.0001 mg/L (Mn). The dataset, including methods for sample collection and analysis, can be found in Schreiber et al. (2022).

To assess short-term variability in metals concentrations and to calibrate and validate PLSR models, additional samples were collected every 2-4 hours during two 24-hour campaigns. The first campaign occurred on 16-17 October 2020 (n=7; Figure S8); the second campaign occurred on 10-11 June 2021 (n=8; Figure S9). All sampling data (weekly and high frequency) were used to calibrate PLSR models.

2.4 Predicting Fe and Mn concentrations from optical measurements using PLSR

We used PLSR to compute predictions of total and soluble Fe and Mn concentrations based on the correlation between absorbance spectra and sampling data. Data analysis and QA/QC was performed in the R programming environment (R.v.4.2.1). Model building was conducted using the pls package (Mevik et al. 2020; R Core Team 2022), as described in Appendices A-B.

Separate PLSR models were developed for each variable (total Fe, soluble Fe, total Mn, and soluble Mn) and deployment. Based on the distinctly different chemical and biological characteristics between layers of the reservoir (i.e., epilimnion and hypolimnion), we found that the best fit was obtained when we used different models for the two layers. In stratified reservoirs such as FCR, Fe and Mn concentrations are much
higher in the hypolimnion than in the epilimnion. Therefore, we had an epilimnion model which included data from 0.1, 1.6, and 3.8 m and a hypolimnion model which included data from 6.2, 8.0, and 9.0 m (Table 1). Although we also collected data from 5.0 m, we did not include them in our analyses since this is at the transition between the two layers (metalimnion; see McClure et al. 2018) and thus not applicable to either layer. We developed separate models for the Turnover Deployment and the Oxygen On Deployment. In the end, we had four separate models for each of the four variables (total and soluble Fe and Mn), resulting in 16 different models.

To assess the uncertainty of the predictions made using PLSR, we calculated nonparametric bootstrap predictive intervals following methods described by Denham (1997) and reported in Appendix C. Model skill was assessed using the coefficient of determination ($R^2$) from the linear regression between predicted and observed values, as well as the root mean squared error of prediction (RMSEP) for each model (following Wold et al. 2001 and Mevik et al. 2020).

All observational data, including the spectrophotometer data, are published in the Environmental Data Initiative repository (Carey et al. 2022a, Carey et al. 2022b, Carey et al. 2022c, Schreiber et al. 2022, and Hammond et al. 2022). All code used to analyze the spectrophotometer data with PLSR and generate the figures is available in the Zenodo repository (Hammond 2022).
3.0 Results

3.1 Routine Fe and Mn sampling trends

Weekly sampling at FCR showed levels of Fe and Mn in exceedance of the EPA standards during the 2020 and 2021 stratified periods, with maximum total Fe and Mn concentrations of 18.5 mg/L and 2.2 mg/L, respectively (Figure 2). Hypolimnetic concentrations of both metals generally increased throughout the summer stratified period of each year, until reservoir fall turnover (Figure 2). Following reservoir turnover, concentrations of both metals remained low (< 1 mg/L) until the following spring. HOx activation from 11 June until 2 December 2020 resulted in substantially lower hypolimnetic total Fe but not total Mn concentrations (Figure 2).

3.2 PLSR Model Performance

A comparison of skill metrics among the 16 models revealed that PLSR performed best for models calibrated with higher Fe and Mn concentrations that exhibited a larger standard deviation (Tables 1, S1; Figure S10). Model skill was also sensitive to the number of components included in each model. For the Turnover Deployment, the number of components included in the PLSR models ranged from 3-5 (9-14% of n). For the Oxygen On Deployment, 4 components were used for all PLSR models (8-9% of n) (Table 1). Sample size was negatively correlated with $R^2$, but positively correlated with RMSEP (Figure S10).

Turnover Deployment models explained a high proportion of the variability in total and soluble Fe and Mn concentrations, excluding hypolimnetic soluble Fe which had a
poor model fit ($R^2 = 0.06$), due to extremely low concentrations (median = 0.02 mg/L) during this time period (Table 1; Figure 3). In comparison, Oxygen On Deployment models explained a lower proportion of the variability in total and soluble Fe and Mn concentrations, despite having larger sample sizes for calibration (Table 1). In particular, PLSR model performance for total and soluble Mn was notably lower for the Oxygen On Deployment than for the Turnover Deployment (Tables 1, S1). PLSR model performance also varied between the hypolimnion and epilimnion. For most models, the epilimnetic PLSR model had a higher $R^2$ value than the corresponding hypolimnetic PLSR model (Table 1).

In most cases, PLSR predictions were within the range of concentration values in the calibration dataset (Figures 3, S11-12), but they did not capture some of the high-magnitude fluctuations in the sampling data. Analysis of the Fe and Mn time series (Figures 4D-E and 5D-E) and calibration (Figures S11-12) suggests that inaccuracy in the models was largely attributed to high calibration error for observations far from the mean concentration of the calibration data (i.e., outliers). Additionally, when predicting variables with relatively low concentrations (< 1 mg/L), especially with the epilimnion models, some predictions were in the negative range (Figures 4D-E; 5D-E).

3.3 Reservoir Turnover Deployment

3.3.1 Water Temperature, Stratification, and DO Concentrations

DO concentrations, water temperature, and Schmidt stability varied considerably over the course of the Turnover Deployment (Figures 4A-C). Prior to turnover, DO
concentrations were strongly stratified by depth and exhibited large sub-daily fluctuations in the epilimnion and metalimnion (Figure 4C). Hypolimnetic DO concentrations were stable around 3 mg/L during the pre-turnover period, due to the HOx system operation (Figure 4C). A sharp temperature gradient (4-7°C) between the epilimnion and hypolimnion existed until approximately 3 days prior to turnover (Figure 4B). However, the water temperature profile equalized periodically between the metalimnion and hypolimnion prior to turnover, indicating ephemeral periods of mixing between those layers (Figure 4B). Starting on 29 October 2020, the temperature gradient decreased progressively until the full water column temperature profile equalized on 2 November 2020, meeting our criteria for turnover.

3.3.2 Predicted Fe and Mn Concentrations

Reservoir turnover had substantial impacts on Fe and Mn concentrations. At the beginning of the deployment (16 October 2020), 17 days prior to turnover, both Fe and Mn displayed large differences in concentration between the epilimnion and hypolimnion (Figures 4D-E). The average total Fe and total Mn concentrations across all hypolimnetic depths (6.2, 8.0, and 9.0 m) were 3.73 mg/L and 1.48 mg/L; across all epilimnetic depths (0.1, 1.6, and 3.8 m) they were 0.41 mg/L and 0.14 mg/L, respectively (Figures 4D-E). Substantial changes in epilimnetic concentrations were not observed until 24 hours prior to turnover. Within that 24-hour period, average epilimnetic total Fe and total Mn increased by 70% (0.61 to 1.04 mg/L) and 66% (0.29 to 0.48 mg/L), respectively.

In contrast to the epilimnion, we observed declining total Fe and Mn concentrations in the hypolimnion prior to turnover (Figures 4D-E). Between 16 October
and 2 November 2020, hypolimnetic total Fe and total Mn concentrations declined at a rate of 0.13 and 0.11 mg/L/d, respectively. However, there were also periods of fluctuations in total Fe and total Mn concentrations by as much as 1 mg/L/d (Figure 4D-E). In the 24 hours prior to turnover, average hypolimnetic total Fe and total Mn decreased by 45% (2.09 to 1.14 mg/L) and 32% (0.82 to 0.55 mg/L), respectively.

A concentration gradient between the epilimnion and hypolimnion remained for total Fe and total Mn until full reservoir turnover on 2 November 2020. After turnover, water temperature and DO rapidly equalized across the full water column, coinciding with the rapid equalization of total Fe and Mn concentrations across the water column (Figures 4D-E). Total Fe and Mn concentrations were lower and less variable than during the pre-turnover period (Figures 4D-E). The reservoir remained well-mixed for 2 days, but then shifting thermal gradients led to a temporary re-stratification that began on 02 November 2020 and lasted until the end of the deployment on 09 November 2020 (Figures 4A-B). The re-stratification of the reservoir was also evident in total Fe and total Mn concentrations (Figures 4D-E).

3.4 Oxygen On Deployment

3.4.1 Water Temperature, Stratification, and DO Concentrations

DO concentrations, water temperature, and Schmidt stability differed considerably between the two deployments (Figures 5A-C). At the start of the Oxygen On deployment (26 May 2021), 16 days prior to HOx activation, epilimnetic DO concentrations were high (5-15 mg/L) and exhibited a consistent decline throughout the
deployment due to warm air temperatures (Figure S14). Metalimnetic and hypolimnetic DO concentrations were both approximately 0 mg/L throughout the deployment. The water temperature profile shows distinctly stratified layers in the reservoir prior to HOx operation, with a sharp temperature gradient throughout the epilimnion for the entire deployment and a slight temperature gradient in the hypolimnion (Figure 5B). Immediately following HOx activation on 11 June 2021, the water temperature profile equalized across layers below 6m depth, indicating mixing within the hypolimnion due to HOx activation (Figure 5B). The water temperature profile in the epilimnion was unaffected by HOx operation. Metalimnetic and hypolimnetic DO concentrations did not increase above 0 mg/L in the few days after activation of the HOx system. This is attributed to chemical oxygen demand in the hypolimnion resulting from high concentrations of reduced solutes (e.g., Fe(II) and Mn(II)).

3.4.2 Predicted Fe and Mn Concentrations

At the beginning of the deployment, the highest concentrations of total Fe and Mn were at the lowest depth (9m) and concentrations decreased upwards in the water column, with a sharp decrease between the hypolimnion and epilimnion (Figures 5D-E). In the first 24 hours of the deployment, total Fe and Mn concentrations averaged across all epilimnetic depths were 0.43 and 0.03 mg/L, respectively, while across the hypolimnetic depths they were 2.71 and 0.54 mg/L, respectively. Prior to HOx operation, both total Fe and Mn in the hypolimnion exhibited large, sub-daily fluctuations which resulted in concentration changes of up to 1.62 mg/L/hr and 0.19 mg/L/hr, respectively (Figures 5D-E). These sub-daily fluctuations were most pronounced at the lowest depth.
The spatial and temporal cycling dynamics of Fe and Mn were significantly affected by hypolimnetic oxygenation. Prior to activation of the HOx system on 11 June 2021, epilimnetic total Fe and Mn concentrations remained constant (sd = 0.07 mg/L and 0.004 mg/L, respectively) and low (maximum concentrations = 0.63 mg/L and 0.05 mg/L, respectively). Hypolimnetic total Fe and Mn concentrations during this period were much more variable (sd = 1.85 mg/L and 0.19 mg/L, respectively) and higher (maximum concentrations = 7.90 mg/L and 1.08 mg/L, respectively). Shortly after HOx activation, total Fe and Mn concentrations equalized contemporaneously with the equalization of water temperature across the hypolimnetic depths, indicating that this layer of the reservoir was well-mixed with respect to Fe and Mn (Figures 5B, 5D-E). In contrast, differences in total Fe and Mn concentrations across the epilimnetic depths increased slightly after activation of the HOx system.

Approximately 6 hours after turning on the HOx system, total Fe and Mn at 9m depth declined by approximately 2.5 mg/L and 0.25 mg/L, respectively (Figures 5D-E). Concentrations of total Fe and Mn at all hypolimnetic depths subsequently increased over the next 24 hours, before eventually stabilizing over the following 24 hours at concentrations of 1.5-3.5 mg/L and 0.5-0.75 mg/L, respectively. For the remainder of the deployment, total Fe and Mn concentrations remained equal across all hypolimnetic depths and exhibited less variability (Figures 5D-E).

3.5 Predicted Fe and Mn Soluble-to-Total Ratios

The ratio of predicted soluble to total Fe (SFe:TFe) and Mn (SMn:TMn) was calculated to assess redox transformations. We observed distinct changes in these
ratios over the course of both deployments, most notably in the hypolimnion (Figure 6). During the Turnover Deployment, the hypolimnion was maintained at oxic conditions pre-turnover (due to HOx) and post-turnover (due to mixing). As expected, hypolimnnetic SFe:TFe was approximately 0 during this entire deployment, indicating that all Fe in the hypolimnion was in the particulate fraction (soluble Fe + particulate Fe = total Fe). In contrast, hypolimnnetic SMn:TMn was approximately 1 at the beginning of the deployment, indicating that all Mn was in the soluble fraction. However, in the week prior to turnover, hypolimnnetic SMn:TMn oscillated between 0.5 and 1. Following turnover, SMn:TMn was greater than 0.75 and remained high until the end of the deployment.

At the beginning of the Oxygen On deployment, SFe:TFe differed greatly with depth in the hypolimnion, with ratios greater than 0.8 at 9m depth and ratios close to 0 at 6.2m and 8m depths (Figures 6C-D). Between the beginning of the deployment and HOx activation on 11 June 2021, the SFe:TFe at 6.2m and 8m increased continuously to approximately the same level as 9m (Figures 6C-D). Just before the initiation of HOx operation, the SFe:TFe at all hypolimnion depths was > 0.75, indicating that most of the Fe in the hypolimnion was in the soluble fraction. However, immediately after turning the HOx system on, the SFe:TFe in the hypolimnion decreased steadily. In the 48-hour period after HOx activation, the SFe:TFe in the hypolimnion declined to less than 0.25 and remained low until the end of the experimental period (Figure 6C-D), indicating oxidation processes. In contrast to Fe, SMn:TMn in the hypolimnion was > 0.90 for the entire deployment. We did not observe a significant effect of HOx operation on SMn:TMn (0.99 pre-HOx, 0.97 post-HOx).
4.0 Discussion

4.1 PLSR modeling of high frequency absorbance spectra can predict Fe and Mn concentrations

Using UV-visible absorbance spectra and PLSR modeling, we made hourly predictions of Fe and Mn concentrations at 6 depths in our study reservoir. Our results indicate that this method can successfully predict Fe and Mn concentrations based on their covariation with UV-vis absorbance spectra, despite the paucity of clearly-defined absorbance peaks for these elements. PLSR models were able to explain a high proportion of the variability in the sampling data (Table 1) and predictions agreed with expected Fe and Mn cycling dynamics. For example, the rapid decline in SFe:TFe following the onset of HOx operation (Figure 6C) matches expectations based on the rapid oxidation kinetics of Fe(II) in the presence of oxygen (Davison & Seed 1983); previous studies have also demonstrated substantial decreases in soluble Fe following short periods of HOx (Dent et al. 2014, Munger et al. 2016, Krueger et al. 2020). Based on model skill metrics (i.e., R² and RMSEP) and visual inspection of the predicted time series, accurate predictions of Fe and Mn concentrations using this method are influenced by numerous factors, including: the range and variance of concentrations in the calibration dataset, the sample size used for calibration, the number of outliers in the calibration dataset, the number of components in the PLSR model, and the inherent predictability of each variable at a particular site (i.e., the strength of correlation with the UV-vis absorbance spectra).

Our results suggest that our methodology may be most appropriate for measuring elevated concentrations of Fe and Mn (> 0.1 mg/L). This result agrees with
Vaughan et al. (2018), who suggested that the application of this method to predict riverine total phosphorus (TP) concentrations was best for sites with elevated TP (>0.1 mg/L) concentrations. In our study, PLSR models fit to data with lower concentrations of Fe and Mn (< 0.1 mg/L) generally did not perform well. For example, soluble Fe during the Turnover Deployment had median concentrations of 0.06 mg/L and 0.02 mg/L in the epilimnion and hypolimnion, respectively (Figure 3 and Table S1). Accordingly, the PLSR models for soluble Fe had the lowest $R^2$ (epilimnion: 0.74; hypolimnion: 0.06) and highest RMSEP relative to median calibration concentration out of any model for the Turnover Deployment (Tables 1, S1).

Our PLSR models were also sensitive to the range and variance of sampling data used for calibration. Preliminary model testing revealed that PLSR models were hindered by the distinct water chemistry between epilimnetic and hypolimnetic depths (Fe and Mn mean differences >1.3 mg/L and 0.8 mg/L, respectively; see Figure 3) and therefore models were generated separately for each reservoir layer. This conforms with findings of previous studies using in situ UV-vis spectrophotometers and PLSR in waterbodies, which all achieved higher accuracy with site-specific models (Avagyan, Runkle, & Kutzbach 2014, Vaughan et al. 2018, Etheridge et al. 2014). However, when comparing pairs of PLSR models (i.e., the same variable + depth combination) between the two deployments, the models fit to data with a higher standard deviation had higher $R^2$ values, with the sole exception of hypolimnetic total Fe (Tables 1 and S1). These results suggest that there is a tradeoff between capturing the maximum variability in observed concentrations and the limitations imposed by the degree of covariation between the UV-vis absorbance spectra and the variable of interest (also observed by
Avagyan, Runkle, & Kutzbach 2014 and Allen 2021). To achieve an accurate predictive model, grouping data based on the spatial and temporal context of measurement achieved a better fitting model while still maximizing the variability captured in the calibration data.

Birgand et al. (2016) used a similar approach for making predictions of soluble Fe concentrations in FCR after the activation of a HOx system. They obtained a slightly better model fit, indicated by an $R^2$ value of 0.94, compared to our $R^2$ values of 0.79 and 0.75 (epilimnion and hypolimnion, respectively) for the Oxygen On Deployment. We used calibration sample sizes of 48 and 45 (epilimnion and hypolimnion, respectively) while Birgand et al. (2016) used 27. However, they used 5 components in their PLSR model, whereas we used 4 components. Thus, the higher $R^2$ value for their model may be attributed to a higher ratio of components to sample size (18%) compared to our study (8-9%).

4.2 Fe and Mn Concentrations Change Gradually in Response to Weakening Stratification and Rapidly in Response to Full Turnover

Trends in predicted Fe and Mn concentrations shed light on the changes that occurred in the reservoir before and after turnover. Hypolimnetic concentrations of Fe and Mn began declining 17 and 9 days prior to turnover, respectively, and shorter periods of more rapid concentration fluctuations were superimposed upon these patterns of decline (Figures 4D-E). Combined, these results suggest that turnover, at least in our study reservoir, is not a discrete event, but rather a process occurring over an extended time period. McMahon (1969) measured a similar decrease in soluble Fe
using daily samples for nine days across spring mixing in a dimictic lake; soluble Fe concentrations decreased by more than one order of magnitude 5 days prior to full circulation. McMahon (1969) did not offer any interpretation of this phenomenon, simply stating that the changes in soluble Fe were concurrent with vernal circulation. Similar trends have also been observed in other parameters of biogeochemical relevance. For example, Kankaala et al. (2007) found that the majority of CH$_4$ in the hypolimnion of a lake was microbially oxidized at the oxycline boundary during a month-long period of weakening stratification before complete mixing occurred, resulting in lower effluxes of CH$_4$ to the atmosphere during turnover.

Predicted Fe and Mn concentration data can be compared to other time series data to infer mechanisms behind the declining Fe and Mn concentrations prior to turnover. Based on trends in Schmidt stability and water temperature (Figures 4A-B), reservoir stratification was weakening for a 9-day period prior to full turnover, in response to daily and hourly shifts in meteorological conditions, including air temperature and wind speed (Figure S13). Mixing between the hypolimnion and metalimnion, as indicated by the homogenization of water temperature between these layers, occurred periodically throughout the deployment, with an increasing frequency as turnover approached (Figures 4A-B, S15). These ephemeral periods of mixing between the hypolimnion and metalimnion likely led to exchange of Fe and Mn between layers, which suggests that hydrodynamic processes occurring on hourly to daily time scales may have a substantial influence of Fe and Mn cycling. However, without Fe and Mn concentration data at a high spatiotemporal resolution, these patterns would not be observed.
The flexibility of using a multiplexor-spectrophotometer system with a customized prediction algorithm (e.g., site-specific PLSR models) allows for the quantification of high-resolution elemental stoichiometry by making predictions of both the soluble and total fractions of Fe and Mn. During the Turnover Deployment, Fe was predominantly composed of the total fraction, whereas Mn was largely composed of the soluble fraction until approximately one week before turnover, at which time the SMn:TMn ratio began to decline (Figure 6B). This coincided with the onset of declining total Mn concentrations that continued until turnover, excluding a 2-day period from 28 October to 30 October 2020 when total Mn concentrations temporarily increased (Figure 4E). The shift to declining SMn:TMn and total Mn concentrations also coincided with increased frequency of mixing between the metalimnion and hypolimnion and declining stratification intensity (Figures 4A-B and 6B). These trends suggest that declining total Mn concentrations in the pre-turnover period were the result of increased oxidation of Mn(II), perhaps due to the exposure of Mn(II) in the hypolimnion to Mn-oxidizing microbes that inhabit the metalimnion, as demonstrated by a previous study at FCR showing that the presence of Mn-oxidizing microorganisms can substantially increase Mn oxidation rates (Munger et al. 2016).

4.3 Hypolimnetic Oxygenation Causes Rapid Oxidation of Fe, but not Mn

The MUX-spectrophotometer system enabled us to observe Fe and Mn concentration changes in response to hypolimnetic oxygenation at an unprecedented spatiotemporal resolution. Fe and Mn concentrations in the hypolimnion both spiked in the 48 hours following oxygenation, then declined (Figures 5D-E). However, Fe
concentrations decreased to levels lower than they were prior to oxygenation, especially at the lowest depth, whereas Mn concentrations declined to approximately the same levels prior to oxygenation (Figures 5D-E). These results indicate that the HOx system effectively physically mixed the hypolimnion with respect to both metals, as total Fe and total Mn concentrations quickly converged across hypolimnetic depths after turning on the HOx system (Figures 5D-E). The physical mixing induced by the HOx system appeared to affect Fe and Mn similarly, suggesting that the spike in total Fe and Mn immediately following HOx activation was a result of increased mixing and/or entrainment of particulates in the hypolimnion due to stirring of the bottom sediments.

The convergence of Fe and Mn concentrations across hypolimnetic depths has previously been observed in response to HOx activation (Gerling et al. 2014), but results from this study reveal that this can occur in less than 24 hours and may subsequently be followed by an ephemeral spike in total Fe and Mn concentrations. Concentrations of total Fe and Mn displayed much greater short-term variability prior to HOx activation than they did post-activation. This was especially pronounced at the lowest depth (9 m) where concentrations fluctuated significantly over a period of less than 24 hours (Figures 5D-E). Given that the SFe:TFe ratio in the upper and middle hypolimnion (6.2m and 8m) steadily increased during the pre-HOx period (Figure 6C), likely due to diffusion of soluble Fe out of the lower hypolimnion, the rapid fluctuations in total Fe in the lower hypolimnion may have been attributed to shifting diffusion gradients. However, similar patterns in short-term variability were observed in Fe and Mn, despite the fact that Mn was predominantly in the soluble phase for the entire
deployment, suggesting that diffusion of soluble Mn out of the lower hypolimnion was not responsible for the pre-HOx rapid fluctuations observed at 9 m.

The change in redox conditions caused by adding DO to the hypolimnion had a much more pronounced effect on Fe than Mn, as has been observed in other studies (e.g., Gantzer et al. 2009). The contrasting responses of Fe and Mn to oxygenation can be seen most clearly in the resulting changes in soluble:total ratios (Figure 6). The SFe:TFe ratio in the hypolimnion exhibited a nearly constant linear decline in the 48 hours post-oxygenation and remained below 0.25 for the remainder of the deployment. This indicates that soluble Fe in the water column was rapidly oxidized by the HOx system, even though there was no measurable increase in hypolimnetic DO. This is further supported by the fact that the mean hypolimnetic total Fe concentration was consistently lower after HOx operation began than it was previously. The observed trends in SFe:TFe ratios agree with previous research on the effects of HOx systems on Fe in lakes and reservoirs. For example, Dent et al. (2014) found that SFe:TFe declined to 0.58 after 8 hours of hypolimnetic oxygenation. In our study, it took approximately twice as long (16 hours) for SFe:TFe to reach 0.58. However, the Fe concentrations in Dent et al. (2014) were lower (0.17 - 2.88 mg/L) than those in our study (0.31 - 7.42 mg/L).

In contrast to Fe, the SMn:TMn ratio in the hypolimnion displayed only a very slight response (approximately 2% decrease) to HOx activation, demonstrating that hypolimnetic oxygenation did not result in significant oxidation of Mn on the time scale of our deployment. Our results agree with those from Dent et al. (2014), who found that Mn was still 100% in the soluble phase 8 hours after oxygenation. Furthermore,
previous studies at FCR have also showed that soluble Mn does not respond significantly to oxygenation alone and that other factors, such as microbially-mediated oxidation, reservoir pH (range 6.4 - 7.1 observed in the hypolimnion during our study) and dilution from physical mixing, are more important variables impacting hypolimnetic soluble Mn than oxygenation (Munger et al. 2016, Krueger et al. 2020).

4.4 Study Limitations

The MUX pumping system enabled us to monitor multiple depths simultaneously, which is invaluable for investigating biogeochemical processes in spatially heterogeneous systems such as thermally-stratified reservoirs. However, there are several limitations to be improved upon in future research. In our reservoir, the cuvette fitted on the spectrophotometer experienced fouling, likely due to Fe and Mn in the hypolimnion that oxidized and precipitated on the cuvette walls upon exposure to oxygen. Despite our efforts to limit fouling (see Methods), there was still a fouling signal detected in several periods of our time series (Figures S4-5). PLSR models provided a remarkably good numerical correction for this fouling signal, indicating that the collection of additional calibration samples obtained at regular intervals between servicing dates may yield lower uncertainties in future deployments. We also found that truncating the UV-vis absorbance spectra used for calibration to only include wavelengths greater than 250 nm substantially improved the model skill and diminished spikes in the time series of predictions that corresponded to periods of heavy fouling (Figures S6-7).

Our results captured sub-weekly patterns in Fe and Mn dynamics in FCR, but the PLSR-predicted time series of Fe and Mn concentrations was not able to adequately
capture some of the high-magnitude, sub-daily fluctuations that were observed in the sampling data (Figures 4 and 6). This is likely due to varying PLSR model skill, which is related to the sample size and distribution of data used for calibration, the number of PLSR model components, and the inherent predictability of each variable. Therefore, it follows that the strength of correlation between the UV-vis absorbance spectra and Fe/Mn concentrations plays a strong role in determining the limits to the temporal resolution. This relationship can be refined through the methodological suggestions outlined above, but ultimately depends upon the spectral properties of the study system.

5.0 Conclusions

Results from this study demonstrate that coupling a spectrophotometer with a pumping system enabled unprecedented high-frequency monitoring of Fe and Mn at multiple depths in our study reservoir, providing a unique ability to observe hour-resolution biogeochemical dynamics in a freshwater ecosystem. Our findings underscore the importance of implementing robust and consistent methodologies for obtaining calibration concentrations, choosing the number of components in PLSR models, and quantifying the uncertainty around predictions.

The high-spatiotemporal resolution predictions provide novel insights into Fe and Mn cycling dynamics that could improve aquatic monitoring programs and reservoir management practices. First, we demonstrated that Fe and Mn concentrations can fluctuate significantly on time scales much shorter than those employed by most traditional monitoring programs. For example, sub-daily fluctuations of total Fe and Mn during the Oxygen On Deployment resulted in concentration changes of up to 1.62
mg/L/hr and 0.19 mg/L/hr, respectively. Considering that the secondary drinking water standards for Fe and Mn are 0.3 and 0.05 mg/L, respectively, sub-daily concentration changes of this magnitude are critical for water quality management. Second, we observed an increase in total hypolimnetic Fe and Mn in response to the re-stratification of our study reservoir two days after turnover, which contradicts the common assumption that metals concentrations equalize and remain consistently low during the mixed period following turnover. Last, our results offer new insights on the rapid response of Fe to hypolimnetic oxygenation; within hours of activating the system, the soluble to total Fe ratio indicated oxidation of Fe, even though there was no measurable increase in DO. This study emphasizes the power of high spatiotemporal resolution data for improving our understanding of biogeochemical cycles by unveiling previously-unobserved processes altering Fe and Mn cycling.
Figure 1. Bathymetric map of Falling Creek Reservoir, Vinton, VA, USA (37.302913°N, -79.837070°W) depicting the primary sampling location (star icon) and hypolimnetic oxygenation (HOx) system. The HOx system consists of outlet piping with a distribution header (black line) and an oxygen contact chamber (shed icon).
Figure 2. A) total Fe and B) total Mn concentrations in FCR from 2020 until 2021. Total Fe and Mn concentrations are derived from manual samples that are collected approximately weekly during the summer stratified period each year. The HOx system was activated on 29 June 2020 and 11 June 2021 (solid black vertical lines) and deactivated on 2 December 2020 and 6 December 2021 (dashed black vertical lines). Values are linearly interpolated for plotting purposes. Inverted triangles at the top of the panel indicate sampling times.
Figure 3: Sampling data used to calibrate PLSR models for the Reservoir Turnover Deployment (n = 69 for total and soluble Fe, 71 for total Mn, and 70 for soluble Mn) and the Oxygen On Deployment (n = 93 for all variables). Outliers (determined by the Monte Carlo predictive error distribution) are not included. Note that the y-axes vary among panels.
Table 1. Summary of PLSR model statistics. n = number of observations. Tot. = total, Sol. = soluble. Epi = epilimnion, Hypo = hypolimnion. The hypolimnetic soluble Fe PLSR models from the Turnover Deployment had a poor model fit due to the extremely low concentration range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Turnover Deployment</th>
<th>Oxygen On Deployment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>RMSEP (mg/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot. Fe (Epi)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot. Fe (Hypo)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sol. Fe (Epi)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sol. Fe (Hypo)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot. Mn (Epi)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot. Mn (Hypo)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sol. Mn (Epi)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sol. Mn (Hypo)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4. Time series plots of A) Schmidt stability, B) water temperature, C) dissolved oxygen, D) predicted total Fe concentrations (lines) with observed values (dots) and 90% predictive intervals (shaded areas), and E) predicted total Mn concentrations (lines) with observed values (dots) and 90% predictive intervals (shaded areas) during the Reservoir Turnover Deployment. The dashed vertical line on 2 November 2020 represents reservoir turnover, defined by the first time point at which the temperature differential between 1m and 8m was less than 1 degree Celsius. Colors of lines (PLSR predictions) and dots (samples) are shown on the color scale to the right. Note that the reservoir temporarily re-stratified after 2 November 2020. Time series plots for soluble Fe and Mn are shown in Figure S16.
Figure 5. Time series plot of A) Schmidt stability, B) water temperature, C) dissolved oxygen, D) predicted total Fe concentrations (lines) with observed values (dots) and 90% predictive intervals (shaded area), and E) predicted total Mn concentrations (lines) with observed values (dots) and 90% predictive intervals (shaded areas) during the Oxygen On Deployment. The dashed vertical line represents the time that the HOx was turned on. Time series plots for soluble Fe and Mn are shown in Figure S17. Note that the MUX was not collecting data from 11:00 EDT 31 May 2021 until 14:30 EDT 4 June 2021 due to technical issues. Gaps in DO and water temperature data are due to sensor malfunction and/or maintenance.
Figure 6: A) the ratio of predicted soluble Fe to total Fe and B) the ratio of predicted soluble Mn to total Mn in the hypolimnion during the Turnover deployment; C) the ratio of predicted soluble Fe to total Fe and D) the ratio of predicted soluble Mn to total Mn in the hypolimnion during the Oxygen On Deployment. Values were smoothed with a 10-hr moving average to remove noise. The dashed vertical lines represent the times when
reservoir turnover occurred in panels A-B and when the HOx system was turned on in panels C-D. PLSR predictions that had negative values were set to zero when calculating ratios and any ratio value that was greater than 1 was set to 1. Note that the MUX was not collecting data from 11:00 EDT 31 May 2021 until 14:30 EDT 4 June 2021 due to technical issues.
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8.0 Appendix

A. Choosing the appropriate number of components for PLSR models

The \textit{pls} package has a built-in function for determining the number of model components based on the root mean squared error of prediction (RMSEP) using 10-fold cross validation (Mevik et al. 2020). The algorithm randomly chooses a subset of the data to be withheld for validation, while fitting the model to the rest of the data. This is repeated across 10 random segments and the RMSEP is computed for each component. RMSEP for each component is plotted against the number of components, and the number of components included in the final PLSR model is determined based on this curve. Generally, as the number of components increases, the RMSEP curve decreases sharply until reaching an inflection point where it plateaus (Figure S18). To avoid overfitting, we chose the number of components equal to this inflection point plus or minus one, or the global minimum of the curve, whichever resulted in the lowest number of components (following Etheridge et al. 2014, Birgand et al. 2016, and Mevik et al. 2020). Furthermore, it has been suggested that the number of components should not exceed 10\% of the total number of observations used to calibrate the model (Mevik et al. 2020). We followed this rule to the greatest extent possible. In some cases, the number of components slightly exceeded the 10\% requirement, but this only occurred when including an additional component would substantially decrease the RMSEP determined by 10-fold cross validation, while also improving the variance explained by the model. Given the sensitivity of the PLSR method, our approach was to optimize the tradeoff between model fit and predictive accuracy. Once the number of components was determined, the model was fit to the observational data and predictions were made
using the high-frequency absorbance measurements. In some cases, PLSR predicted slightly negative concentration values. For the purposes of this analysis, we considered negative values to have a value of 0 mg/L for all variables, unless stated otherwise.

**B. Outlier detection for PLSR calibration data**

Calibration datasets were assessed for potential outliers using an ensemble approach implemented in the R package `enpls` (Xiao et al. 2019). For each model, an ensemble of 50 PLSR sub-models was generated using Monte Carlo resampling and the empirical predictive error distribution for each sample was used to identify outliers. Samples with a mean predictive error greater than 3 standard deviations from the mean predictive error for all samples or with a standard deviation greater than 3 times the mean standard deviation of all samples were considered potential outliers (Cao et al. 2017). However, we took a conservative approach and did not eliminate all samples that met this criteria, but rather only removed samples that were identified not only as outliers by the aforementioned method but also led to a deterioration of model fit, showed signs of sampling/analytical error, or were collected during times of cuvette fouling.

**C. Calculating bootstrap predictive intervals for PLSR**

To assess the uncertainty of the predictions made using PLSR, we calculated nonparametric bootstrap predictive intervals following methods described by Denham (1997). To summarize, a model was first fit to the available observational data (Y) and absorbance spectra (X) using the predetermined number of components. This model
was used to make predictions (E). Then, the residuals of the original model were randomly sampled and added to Y and E to obtain a new set of values for the dependent variable, denoted here as Y’, and new predictions, denoted here as E’. A new model was fit to X and Y’, and again used to make new predictions (Ê’). The prediction error was then calculated based on E’ - Ê’. This process was repeated 1000 times to obtain the bootstrapped error distribution (G). We then calculated the 0.05 and 0.95 quantiles of G, which represented the 90% predictive intervals around the predictions from the original model (Denham 1997).

**D. Fe and Mn samples for PLSR calibration**

After removing outliers, the calibration datasets for the Oxygen On Deployment had approximately 30% more observations than for the Turnover Deployment (Figure 3, Table 1). Median concentrations were substantially higher in the hypolimnion than the epilimnion during both deployments, but they were approximately equal for all hypolimnetic depths during the Turnover Deployment, whereas they increased substantially with increasing depth in the hypolimnion for the Oxygen On Deployment (Figure 3). The median and standard deviation of Mn concentrations were higher during the Turnover Deployment, whereas the median and standard deviation of Fe concentrations was higher during the Oxygen On Deployment (Figure 3 and Table S1).
Figure S1. Photo of the MUX high-frequency sensor setup.

Figure S2. Photo of field spectrophotometer setup.
Figure S3. Photo of flow-through cuvette.
Figure S4. Turnover Deployment plot of UV-vis absorbance spectra (wavelengths subset to every 100nm) separated by depth (m). The ‘air’ plot represents the reference measurement collected at the end of each pump sequence when the flow-through cuvette was empty. Black dotted vertical lines represent times when the flow-through cuvette for the MUX system was cleaned.
Figure S5. Oxygen On Deployment plot of UV-vis absorbance spectra (wavelengths subset to every 100nm) separated by depth (m). The ‘air’ plot represents the reference measurement collected at the end of each pump sequence when the flow-through cuvette was empty and ‘acid_r’ represents the measurements collected when the flow-through cuvette was filled with 5% HCl solution. Black dotted vertical lines represent times when the flow-through cuvette for the MUX system was cleaned.
Figure S6. Turnover Deployment plot of PLSR-predicted total Fe and Mn at 9m, showing the effect of removing lower wavelengths to correct for fouling. The blue dashed vertical line on 2 November 2020 represents reservoir turnover, defined by the first time point at which the temperature differential between 1m and 8m was less than 1 degree Celsius. Black dotted vertical lines represent times when the flow-through cuvette for the MUX system was cleaned.
Figure S7. Oxygen On Deployment plot of PLSR-predicted total Fe and Mn at 9m, showing the effect of removing lower wavelengths to correct for fouling. The blue dashed vertical line on 11 June 2021 represents the time that the HOx was turned on. Black dotted vertical lines represent times when the flow-through cuvette for the MUX system was cleaned.
Figure S8. Time series plots of manually-collected sample data for a.) total Fe concentration, b.) soluble Fe concentration, c.) total Mn concentration, and d.) soluble Mn concentration during a 24-hour period between 10/16/2020 and 10/17/2020. Note that the y-axis scales differ between the total Fe and soluble Fe plots. The HOx system was on during this time.
Figure S9. Time series plots of manually-collected sample data for a.) total Fe concentration, b.) soluble Fe concentration, c.) total Mn concentration, and d.) soluble Mn concentration during a 24-hour period between 06/10/2021 and 06/11/2021. The dashed vertical line indicates the time when the HOx system was turned on.
Figure S10. Correlation matrix assessing drivers of PLSR model performance. Each grid represents a simple linear regression between two variables. “Cal_range” is the range of calibration concentrations, “cal_med” is the median value of the calibration concentrations, “cal_sd” is the standard deviation of calibration concentrations, “comps” is the number of PLSR components, “R2” is the R2, “RMSEP_med” is the RMSEP divided by the median concentration, and “n” is the sample size.
Figure S11. Turnover Deployment calibration plots comparing measured vs. PLSR-predicted concentrations (mg/L) of A.) epilimnetic total Fe, B.) epilimnetic total Mn, C.) epilimnetic soluble Fe, D.) epilimnetic soluble Mn, E.) hypolimnetic total Fe, F.) hypolimnetic total Mn, G.) hypolimnetic soluble Fe, and H.) hypolimnetic soluble Mn.

The solid line represents the linear regression line of best fit.
Figure S12. Oxygen On Deployment calibration plots comparing measured vs. PLSR-predicted concentrations (mg/L) of A.) epilimnetic total Fe, B.) epilimnetic total Mn, C.) epilimnetic soluble Fe, D.) epilimnetic soluble Mn, E.) hypolimnetic total Fe, F.) hypolimnetic total Mn, G.) hypolimnetic soluble Fe, and H.) hypolimnetic soluble Mn. The solid line represents the linear regression line of best fit.
Figure S13. Meteorological data collected at FCR during the Turnover Deployment: A) shortwave radiation, B) air temperature, C) wind speed, and D) rain. The dashed vertical line on 2 November 2020 represents reservoir turnover, defined by the first time point at which the temperature differential between 1m and 8m was less than 1 degree Celsius.
Figure S14. Meteorological data collected at FCR during the Oxygen On Deployment:
A) shortwave radiation, B) air temperature, C) wind speed, and D) rain. The dashed vertical line on 11 June 2021 represents the time that the HOx was turned on.
Figure S15. Depth below surface of the top (blue line) and bottom (red line) of the metalimnion during the Turnover Deployment. Values were smoothed using a 6hr moving average. The black vertical line on 2 November 2020 represents reservoir turnover, defined by the first time point at which the temperature differential between 1m and 8m was less than 1 degree Celsius.
Figure S16. Time series plots of A) Schmidt stability, B) water temperature, C) dissolved oxygen, D) predicted soluble Fe concentrations (lines) with observed values (dots) and 90% predictive intervals (shaded areas), and E) predicted soluble Mn concentrations (lines) with observed values (dots) and 90% predictive intervals (shaded areas) during the Turnover Deployment. The dashed vertical line on 2 November 2020 represents reservoir turnover, defined by the first time point at which the temperature differential between 1m and 8m was less than 1 degree Celsius. Colors of lines (PLSR predictions) and dots (samples) are shown on the color scale to the right. Note that the reservoir temporarily re-stratified after 2 November 2020.
Figure S17. Time series plots of A) Schmidt stability, B) water temperature, C) dissolved oxygen, D) predicted soluble Fe concentrations (lines) with observed values (dots) and 90% predictive intervals (shaded areas), and E) predicted soluble Mn concentrations (lines) with observed values (dots) and 90% predictive intervals (shaded areas) during the Oxygen On Deployment. The dashed vertical line represents the time that the HOx was turned on. Note that the MUX was not collecting data from 11:00 EDT 31 May 2021 until 14:30 EDT 4 June 2021 due to technical issues. Gaps in DO and water temperature data are due to sensor malfunction and/or maintenance.

Figure S18. Example RMSEP plot used for determining the number of components included in a PLSR model for total Fe. In this example, 5 components were used in the PLSR model, as this was equal to the inflection point of the curve plus 1.
Table S1. Summary statistics comparing grab samples and PLSR predictions. All concentrations in mg/L. Tot. = total, Sol. = soluble. Epi = epilimnion, Hypo = hypolimnion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Turnover Deployment</th>
<th>Oxygen On Deployment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grab Sample Median</td>
<td>PLSR Predictions Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot. Fe (Epi)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot. Fe (Hypo)</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sol. Fe (Epi)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sol. Fe (Hypo)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot. Mn (Epi)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot. Mn (Hypo)</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sol. Mn (Epi)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sol. Mn (Hypo)</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>