MEMORANDUM

DATE: December 6, 2012

TO: Katherine S Cennamo, Amber Diane Evans

FROM: Virginia Tech Institutional Review Board (FWA00000572, expires May 31, 2014)

PROTOCOL TITLE: Relationship of Self-Efficacy to the Stages of Concern in the Adoption of an Innovation in Higher Education

IRB NUMBER: 12-992

Effective December 5, 2012, the Virginia Tech Institution Review Board (IRB) Chair, David M Moore, approved the New Application request for the above-mentioned research protocol.

This approval provides permission to begin the human subject activities outlined in the IRB-approved protocol and supporting documents.

Plans to deviate from the approved protocol and/or supporting documents must be submitted to the IRB as an amendment request and approved by the IRB prior to the implementation of any changes, regardless of how minor, except where necessary to eliminate apparent immediate hazards to the subjects. Report within 5 business days to the IRB any injuries or other unanticipated or adverse events involving risks or harms to human research subjects or others.

All investigators (listed above) are required to comply with the researcher requirements outlined at:

http://www.irb.vt.edu/pages/responsibilities.htm

(Please review responsibilities before the commencement of your research.)

PROTOCOL INFORMATION:

Approved As: Expedited, under 45 CFR 46.110 category(ies) 7
Protocol Approval Date: December 5, 2012
Protocol Expiration Date: December 4, 2013
Continuing Review Due Date*: November 20, 2013

*Date a Continuing Review application is due to the IRB office if human subject activities covered under this protocol, including data analysis, are to continue beyond the Protocol Expiration Date.

FEDERALLY FUNDED RESEARCH REQUIREMENTS:

Per federal regulations, 45 CFR 46.103(f), the IRB is required to compare all federally funded grant proposals/work statements to the IRB protocol(s) which cover the human research activities included in the proposal / work statement before funds are released. Note that this requirement does not apply to Exempt and Interim IRB protocols, or grants for which VT is not the primary awardee.

The table on the following page indicates whether grant proposals are related to this IRB protocol, and which of the listed proposals, if any, have been compared to this IRB protocol, if required.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date*</th>
<th>OSP Number</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Grant Comparison Conducted?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Date this proposal number was compared, assessed as not requiring comparison, or comparison information was revised.

If this IRB protocol is to cover any other grant proposals, please contact the IRB office (irbadmin@vt.edu) immediately.
Hi Amber,

Congratulations on your continued progress toward the completion of your dissertation. You are certainly more than welcome to use my survey in your research; however, please note the genesis of some portions of it reside with researchers other than myself. My dissertation describes those lineages in detail.

I look forward to reading the results of your study. Keep in touch.

Eddie

C. Edward Watson, Ph.D.
Director
Center for Teaching and Learning
University of Georgia
Instructional Plaza North
Athens, GA 30606
Phone: 706-542-0539
FAX: 706-542-6587
Web: http://www.ctl.uga.edu/

Co-Executive Editor
International Journal of ePortfolio (IJJeP)
http://www.theijep.com/

Executive Editor
International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education (IJTLHE)
http://www.isetl.org/ijtlhe/
Virginia Tech ETD Fair Use Analysis Results

This is not a replacement for professional legal advice but an effort to assist you in making a sound decision.

Name: Amber Diane Marcu


Based on the information you provided:

Factor 1

Your consideration of the purpose and character of your use of the copyright work weighs: in favor of fair use

Factor 2

Your consideration of the nature of the copyrighted work you used weighs: in favor of fair use

Factor 3

Your consideration of the amount and substantiality of your use of the copyrighted work weighs: in favor of fair use

Factor 4

Your consideration of the effect or potential effect on the market after your use of the copyrighted work weighs: against fair use

Based on the information you provided, your use of the copyrighted work weighs: in favor of fair use
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