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Magnetoelectric gyration effect in Tb$_{1-x}$Dy$_x$Fe$_{2-y}$/Pb(Zr,Ti)O$_3$ laminated composites at the electromechanical resonance
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A giant current-to-voltage ($I$-$V$) gyration effect was found in magnetostrictive Tb$_{1-x}$Dy$_x$Fe$_{2-y}$ and piezoelectric Pb(Zr,Ti)O$_3$ laminated composites. An equivalent circuit theory was developed for magnetoelectric gyration, which predicted that $I$-$V$ conversion is reduced by a frequency transfer function $Z_\varphi(f)$ and that the maximum occurs at resonance. A giant conversion coefficient up to 2500 V/A was predicted and confirmed. © 2006 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2404977]

The magnetoelectric (ME) effect is a polarization response to an applied magnetic field $H$ or vice versa. It has potential applications as highly sensitive magnetic and/or electric current sensors, transformers, and electric-field tunable microwave resonators. ME materials have been found in single phases, and in multiphase systems consisting of piezoelectric and magnetostrictive components. By far the highest ME effects have been reported for magnetostrictive/piezoelectric two-phase laminated composites, connected in either longitudinally magnetized and transversely poled ($L$-$T$) (Refs. 1, 11, and 14) or ($L$-$L$) (Refs. 15, 16, 19, and 20) configurations.

Analysis of ME effects in magnetostrictive/piezoelectric two-phase composites has been performed using constitutive equations and a composite averaging method. Recently, an alternate equivalent circuit approach for analyzing ME effects in magnetostrictive/piezoelectric laminates was reported. Near the electromechanical resonance frequency, analysis predicted a large ME voltage gain (i.e., the induced output voltage from the piezolayer is much higher than an input voltage applied to the magnetic coils used to excite the Terfenol-D layers). In this prior analysis, we failed to obtain the $I$-$V$ conversion relations: this could be important for device applications in power electronics, current sensors, and filters. However, since that time, we have come across early reports concerning gyrators in electromechanical and magnetomechanical transductions. An ideal gyraotor was proposed in 1948 by Tellegen, and is a network component that acts as antireciprocal couple.

In this letter, we consider a long-type ME laminate, consisting of a single piezoelectric PbZr,Ti$_{1-x}$O$_3$ (PZT) layer symmetrically poled along its length direction and that is sandwiched between two Tb$_{1-x}$Dy$_x$Fe$_2$ (Terfenol-D) ones magnetized in their length direction. This laminate is illustrated in Fig. 1(a) and is designated as the “push-pull” configuration. We will show that this piezoelectric/magnetostrictive two-phase laminated composite has a giant $I$-$V$ conversion due to gyration: where the gyration efficiency is reduced from its ideal value—except in the vicinity of the electromechanical resonance—by a frequency transfer function $Z_\varphi(f)$.

Our approach was founded on piezoelectric and magnetostrictive constitutive equations, mutually coupled to each other through elastic strain $S(z)$ and stress $T(z)$. An equation of motion, driven by an ac $I_m$ input to the coils, was used to couple the two constitutive equations. Due to elastic

![Color online](a) Illustrations of ME $I$-$V$ conversion in Terfenol-D/PZT laminate that is driven by a turn coil, with input current of $I_m$. The input $I_m$ produces an ac magnetic field $H_m$ that in turn excites magnetostrictive strains in Terfenol-D layers, then forcing the laminated piezoelectric PZT layer to strain longitudinally, and resulting in a polarization change $\Delta P$ and net free charges $q+$ at the two end electrodes and $2q-$ at middle electrode of the piezoelectric layer. Finally, the applied $I_m$ produces an output voltage $V_{out}$ across the piezoelectric layer, realizing ME $I$-$V$ conversion. (b) Equivalent circuit model of ME gyror under resonance drive and free condition, where $G = \phi_w/\phi_t$, $\phi_w = N A_\varphi d_{53} s_{15} l_1^0 l_2^0 b_3^0 l_3^0$; $\phi_t = 2A_\varphi q_3 s_{33} l_1^0 l_2^0 b_3^0 l_3^0 l_5^0$; $L_f = \mu^* N^2 A_m/l$; $R = \pi Z_0/8 \sigma_0 q_3^2 l_5^0$; $L = \pi Z_0/8 \sigma_0 q_3^2 l_5^0$; $C = q_2^0 q_3^2 l_1^0 l_2^0 l_3^0 l_5^0$; $C_0 = 2A_\varphi l_1^0 l_2^0 l_3^0 l_5^0$; $Z_0 = \pi \varphi \bar{A}_{m}$; and $\omega_0 = \pi \bar{n}/l$. The parameters $A_{\varphi m}$, $A_{\varphi t}$, and $A_{\varphi m}$ are the cross-sectional areas of the magnetostrictive layers, piezoelectric layer, and laminate, respectively; $l$ is the length of the laminate; $\bar{\varphi}$ and $\bar{t}$ are the mean density and acoustic velocity of the laminate; and $\mu^*$ is the magnetic permeability of the magnetostrictive layer under constant stress.
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coupling to the magnetostrictive layers, the piezoelectric layer is also put into forced oscillation by $I_{in}$, generating a voltage across the two end electrodes and middle ground plane. Accordingly, the following relationships can be derived:

\[
F_1 = -Z_i \dot{u}_1 + \left[ Z_2 + \frac{\varphi_p^2}{j\omega(-2C_o)} \right] (\dot{u}_1 - \dot{u}_2) + G \varphi_p I_{in} + \varphi_p V_{out}, \tag{1a}
\]

\[
F_2 = -Z_i \dot{u}_2 + \left[ Z_2 + \frac{\varphi_p^2}{j\omega(-2C_o)} \right] (\dot{u}_1 - \dot{u}_2) + G \varphi_p I_{in} + \varphi_p V_{out}, \tag{1b}
\]

\[
V_{in} = j\omega L^2 I_{in} + G \varphi_p (\dot{u}_1 - \dot{u}_2), \tag{1c}
\]

\[
I_{out} = j\omega (2C_o) V_{out} + \varphi_p (\dot{u}_2 - \dot{u}_1), \tag{1d}
\]

where $F_1$ and $F_2$ are the force phasors, $\dot{u}_1$ and $\dot{u}_2$ the mechanical velocities, $V_{in}$ and $I_{in}$ the input voltage and current applied to the coils, $V_{out}$ and $I_{out}$ the induced voltage and current from the piezoelectric layer, $Z_i$ and $Z_2$ the mechanical impedances; $L'$ the clamped inductance of the coil, $C_0$ the static capacitance of the piezoelectric layer, $\varphi_p$ the electromechanical coupling factor; $\omega$ the angular frequency, and $G$ a special coupling or transfer factor, designated as the gyration coefficient.

Next, following Tellegen,\textsuperscript{25} we introduce a passive four-terminal-network gyrator, where the voltage and current to input ends of the gyrator are $V_1$ and $I_1$, and correspondingly those to the output ends are $V_2$ and $I_2$. By definition, the gyrator satisfies the following relation: $V_1 = -GL_2$ and $V_2 = GI_1$, where $G$ is the ideal gyrator coefficient of the ME laminate determined by the material parameters and composite configuration. An equivalent circuit model containing an ideal gyrator $G$, with negligible electric/magnetic dissipations and under free-boundary conditions, can then be obtained as given in Fig. 1(b). Over a narrow frequency range, we will consider $G$ as independent of frequency $f$, but we must note that $G$ will change some with frequency, as the permeability of Terfenol-D and the dielectric constant of PZT both decrease slightly with increasing $f$. Following this model, an applied $I_1 (I_{in})$ to the coils gyrate into an output voltage of $V_2 (V_{out})$ in the piezoelectric section, via $G$ that acts as an impedance inverter. Alternatively, it is possible that a current $I_2$ input to the piezoelectric section could also gyrate into a voltage $V_1$ across the coils. Note that the network components ($L$, $C$, $R$, $2C_0$, and $-2C_0$) in this equivalent model act as a frequency transfer function, effectively reducing $G$ and consequently limiting the apparent I-V conversion factor.

From Fig. 1(b), it is then straightforward to determine the I-V conversion coefficient that we designate as $\alpha_{I,V}$. This is the “apparent gyration coefficient” of the “black box” outlined by dashed lines in the figure. Assuming the output to be in an open-circuit condition, this effective parameter $\alpha_{I,V}$ can be determined as

\[
\alpha_{I,V} = \frac{V_{out}}{I_{in}} = Z_R(f)G; \quad G = \frac{NA_{md}d_{15,m}g_{33,p}}{2k_{33,p}A_{p,33}^H}, \tag{2a}
\]

where $Z_R(f) = [1/j\omega(2C_o)]/[R+j\omega L+1/j\omega C]$ is a ratio of output to input impedances in the electrical section of the equivalent model of Fig. 1(b). Clearly, $\alpha_{I,V}$ is a nonideal gyration coefficient, reduced from its ideal value $G$ by a frequency transfer function/factor $Z_R(f)$. At resonance, $\omega = \pi f/l$ and $(1/j\omega C) + j\omega L = 0$; thus, the maximum I-V conversion coefficient is

\[
\alpha_{I,V,max} = \frac{4\varphi_m\varphi_p Q_{m,eff}}{\pi C_0\omega_i Z_0} \tag{2b}
\]
or,

\[
V_{0,max} = \alpha_{I,V,max} I_{in} \text{ (at resonance)}, \tag{2c}
\]

where $\varphi_m$ is the magnetoelastic coupling factors. The value of $V_{0,max}$ is linearly proportional to the input current $I_{in}$, and a high effective mechanical quality factor ($Q_{m,eff}$) results in large I-V conversion.

Calculations of (2) for $G$, $Z_R(f)$, and $\alpha_{I,V}$ were then performed using previously reported material parameters.\textsuperscript{16} These calculations were done by assuming a Terfenol-D/PZT laminate length, width, and thickness of 70, 10, and 7 mm, respectively, a coil turn number of $N=100$, and an effective mechanical quality factor of $Q_{m,eff}=50$. Figure 2 shows the predicted values for $G$ and $Z_R(f)$ in part A and $\alpha_{I,V}$ in part B. It can be seen that (i) the ideal gyration coefficient $G=4830$ V/A is a constant (at a given frequency range), which is related only to the configuration of the ME laminate and to the material parameters of its layers; (ii) the frequency transfer function $Z_R(f)$ reduces the value of the gyration coefficient from its ideal value of $G$ to an effective value of $\alpha_{I,V}$; and (iii) below the resonance frequency range the value of $Z_R(f)$ is small (0.02) resulting in a low value of $\alpha_{I,V}$, whereas at resonance $Z_R(f)=0.64$ resulting a maximum value of $\alpha_{I,V}=3100$ V/A that is not too much lower than the ideal value of $G=4830$ V/A.

The ME voltage induced by $I_{in}$ was then measured as a function of frequency over the bandwidth of 1–40 kHz. The voltage from the piezoelectric layer was directly read by an oscilloscope. Measurements were performed under a dc magnetic bias of $H_{dc}=200$ Oe, where the effective piezomagnetic coefficient of Terfenol-D is known to be maximum.\textsuperscript{39} As shown in Fig. 2(c), the I-V conversion factor was found to have a maximum value of $\alpha_{I,V}=2500$ V/A near a resonance frequency of $f_s=19.8$ kHz, consistent with predictions. Analysis of the data with (2) yielded a value of $Q_{m,eff}=50$, in agreement with our assumption. We ignored the possibility of electric and magnetic losses in the circuit model analysis, but realized that their inclusion could yield even better correspondence between the calculated and measured values of $\alpha_{I,V}$. From this I-V conversion data, a large ME voltage gain of $\sim 330$ (at resonance) was estimated. The induced ME voltage as a function of $I_{in}$ applied to the coils was also measured, as shown in the inset of Fig. 2(c). It can be seen that the induced ME voltage was linear with respect to $I_{in}$ over a wide range of input currents, as predicted by (2b). We also observed (i) reverse gyration: a $I_2$ of 57 $\mu$A applied to the piezoelectric section induced a $V_1=73$ mV across a 100-turn coil. Apparently, the inverse gyration is smaller than direct I-V ($I_1$-to-$V_2$) gyration, indicating that our current ME laminate is a nonideal construction; (ii) impedance inversion: a small resistor of $R_i=100$ $\Omega$ in parallel to the primary terminals of the gyrator $G$ resulted in a decreased in the value of $\alpha_{I,V}$ to 2000 V/A, which occurs because $R_i$ introduces an impedance $G^R/R_i$ in series with the secondary terminals.
composites. The observed ME gyration coefficient $\alpha_{LV}$ was reduced from the ideal value $G$ by a frequency transfer function, and exhibited a maximum at the electromechanical resonance frequency. A giant conversion coefficient of up to 2500 V/A was predicted and confirmed. We hope that these findings will stimulate the development of miniature power conversion and other devices based on ME coupling.
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