A series of detailed studies is presented in which heat-induced interdiffusion is used to create a gradient bulk-heterojunction of 2-methoxy-5-(2'-ethylhexyloxy)-1,4-phenylenevinylene (MEH-PPV) copolymer and C$_{60}$. Starting from a bilayer of spin-cast MEH-PPV and sublimed C$_{60}$, films are heated in the vicinity of the glass transition temperature of the polymer to induce an interdiffusion of polymer and fullerene. Variation of the polymer layer thickness shows that the photocurrents increase with decreasing layer thickness within the examined thickness regime as transport of the separated charges out of the film is improved. The interdiffusion was observed in situ by monitoring the photocurrents during the heating process and exhibited a rapid rise during the first five minutes. Cross-sectional transmission electron microscopy studies show that C$_{60}$ forms clusters of up to 30 nm in diameter in the polymer bulk of the interdiffused devices. This clustering of the fullerene molecules puts a significant constraint on the interdiffusion process that can be alleviated by use of donor-acceptor combinations with better miscibility.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of ultrafast photoinduced charge transfer from conducting polymers to the Buckminsterfullerene C$_{60}$ (Ref. 1) has fueled research towards organic photovoltaic devices.$^{2-5}$ Upon photoexcitation of an electron-hole pair, the transfer of electrons from the polymer onto the fullerene leads to an efficient separation of charges that prevents luminescent recombination and is required in photovoltaic devices. Since the charge transfer can only occur if the photoexcitation on the polymer is within less than 10 nm of a C$_{60}$ molecule,$^{6-8}$ close proximity of polymer and fullerene is essential for efficient charge separation. One approach for achieving such close proximity of the electron donor and acceptor materials is by creating a bulk heterojunction. This means that the donor and acceptor form an interface throughout the bulk of the active layer of the photovoltaic device. In this manner, photons absorbed anywhere within the active layer yield separated charges. However, a bulk-heterojunction formed by a blend of the donor and acceptor is not the ideal composition from the point of charge transport, which is improved by having a film that is donor-rich in the vicinity of the anode and acceptor-rich in the vicinity of the cathode.

We have recently reported an approach to create such a bulk heterojunction with a concentration gradient of donor and acceptor material by using thermally controlled interdiffusion of polymer and fullerene layers.$^5$ Photoluminescence quenching with concomitant increase in photocurrent in interdiffused devices showed the improved interface between polymer and fullerene throughout the bulk of the active layer. Here, we present additional studies of interdiffused polymer/fullerene layers that provide further characterization of the interdiffusion process and the morphology of the resulting polymer/fullerene film. Variation of the polymer layer thickness shows that, within the examined thickness regime (70–110 nm), the photocurrents in the interdiffused devices increase with decreasing thickness as a result of improved charge transport out of the film. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) studies on cross sections of the films reveal that C$_{60}$ forms clusters of up to 30 nm diameter in the polymer bulk of the interdiffused devices. These large clusters are a constraint for the interdiffusion in this pair of relatively immiscible materials and therefore hinder the creation of a bulk heterojunction. In addition, the interdiffusion was observed in situ by monitoring the photocurrents during the heat treatment.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Photovoltaic devices were prepared by first spin-coating a poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene):poly(styrenesulfonate) complex (PEDOT:PSS) (Bayer Corp.) onto indium tin oxide (ITO)-covered glass substrates. Subsequently, 2-methoxy-5-(2'‐ethylhexyloxy)-1,4-phenylenevinylene (MEH-PPV) copolymer (M.W. ~85,000, H.W. Sands Corp.) was spin-coated from a 1% wt/vol chlorobenzene solution. By varying the spin speed between 2000 and 4000 rpm, film thicknesses of 110, 90, and 70 nm were achieved. After annealing films under vacuum at 150 °C to remove water and solvents, a 100 nm C$_{60}$ (MER Corp.) film was sublimed onto the MEH-PPV. This polymer/fullerene bilayer structure was then heated in a nitrogen glove box at 150 or 250 °C for 5 min to induce an interdiffusion of the two layers. Finally, a 200 nm thick Al layer was evaporated under vacuum as the cathode.

Film thicknesses were determined using the optical density values obtained with a Filmetrics F20-UV thin film spectrometer system from transmission and reflection data. The absorption coefficients used for calibration are 18 × 10$^4$ cm$^{-1}$ at 490 nm for MEH-PPV and 6 × 10$^4$ cm$^{-1}$ at 435 nm for C$_{60}$ as determined by interference fringes in the reflection spectra of thicker films.

The photoresponsivity spectra were recorded under argon
Which leads to a mismatch between the absorption spectrum and photocurrents in regions of strong absorption. Therefore, devices with a filter effect exhibit low photocurrents in regions of strong absorption. This mismatch between absorption and photocurrent spectra is due to two reasons: (1) the number of photons that can reach the polymer-fullerene interface where efficient charge separation occurs is increased in devices with thinner polymer films and (2) the distance that charges have to travel to the collecting electrodes is reduced, therefore reducing the series resistance of the device. In addition to the increase in photocurrent, the peak position of the photocurrents shifts towards the absorption maximum at 490 nm (see the inset of Fig. 1 for absorption spectrum). The peak photocurrent moves from λ = 575 nm in the 110 nm device to λ = 560 nm and λ = 540 nm in the 90 and 70 nm devices, respectively. This behavior can be explained by a reduction in the filter effect\textsuperscript{10,11} of the MEH-PPV bulk with decreasing layer thickness. Since the MEH-PPV layer acts like an optical filter, most of the photons in wavelength regions of strong absorption are absorbed near the anode/MEH-PPV interface and do not reach the MEH-PPV/C\textsubscript{60} interface where efficient charge separation occurs. Therefore, devices with a filter effect exhibit low photocurrents in regions of strong absorption which leads to a mismatch between the absorption spectrum and photocurrent spectrum. Since the filter effect is reduced with decreasing MEH-PPV layer thickness, the peak of the photocurrent spectrum shifts towards the absorption peak. This mismatch between absorption and photocurrent spectra can thus be used to characterize the quality of the bulk-heterojunction in the active layer of the device. Only devices with a bulk heterojunction will have similar absorption and photocurrent spectra.

Next, we prepared devices with the same set of polymer and fullerene layer thicknesses that were heated at 150 °C for 5 min, which is below the glass transition temperature of MEH-PPV (the $T_g$ of MEH-PPV was confirmed to be $\sim$230 °C by differential scanning calorimetry analysis). The photoreponsivity spectra (Fig. 2) again show the same trend of increasing photocurrents with decreasing polymer layer thickness.
devices heated above the MEH-PPV transported out of the device.

conducting paths for the separated charges to be efficiently transfer sites but not enough to create substantial continuous diffusion into the polymer layer. The amount of C\textsubscript{60} is enough to reduce the filter effect of the devices by providing charge diffuse into the polymer layer. The amount of C\textsubscript{60} is enough to reduce the filter effect of the devices by providing charge diffuse into the polymer layer. The amount of C\textsubscript{60} is enough to reduce the filter effect of the devices by providing charge diffuse into the polymer layer. The amount of C\textsubscript{60} is enough to reduce the filter effect of the devices by providing charge diffuse into the polymer layer. The amount of C\textsubscript{60} is enough to reduce the filter effect of the devices by providing charge diffuse into the polymer layer. The amount of C\textsubscript{60} is enough to reduce the filter effect of the devices by providing charge,

thickness. In addition, the shape of the photoresponsivity has changed dramatically due to the heat-treatment. The low photocurrents in regions of strong absorption caused by the filter effect are no longer observed. On the other hand, the peak photocurrents do not increase dramatically compared to the unheated bilayer devices. This shows that through heating the devices below the polymer \(T_g\), C\textsubscript{60} can partially diffuse into the polymer layer. The amount of C\textsubscript{60} is enough to reduce the filter effect of the devices by providing charge transfer sites but not enough to create substantial continuous conducting paths for the separated charges to be efficiently transported out of the device.

Figure 3 shows the photoresponsivity for a similar set of devices heated above the MEH-PPV \(T_g\) at 250 °C for 5 min. The interdiffusion leads to an order of magnitude increase in the photocurrents throughout most of the spectrum. Again, devices with thinner polymer layers show higher photocurrents. Importantly, the shape of the photocurrent spectrum for devices with 70 nm MEH-PPV finally starts to match the absorption spectrum of the devices, indicative that a bulk heterojunction has been achieved.

\[ I-V \] curves for the devices with various MEH-PPV thicknesses are similar to those reported in Ref. 9. The \(I-V\) characteristics, fill factors, and monochromatic power conversion efficiencies of the various devices are listed in Table I. While the open circuit voltage and the short circuit current are improved by the interdiffusion, the fill factor is reduced. The overall efficiency of our unheated bilayer devices is comparable to efficiencies previously observed in MEH-PPV/C\textsubscript{60} bilayers.\textsuperscript{10} The 0.3\% (under monochromatic illumination) efficiency of the device with 70 nm MEH-PPV heated at 250 °C is low compared to MEH-PPV-fullerene blend devices, which have obtained 2.9\% monochromatic efficiency.\textsuperscript{12} But these latter devices utilize a highly soluble C\textsubscript{60} derivative that is known to provide enhanced efficiency.

These results indicate that the interdiffusion of MEH-PPV and C\textsubscript{60} is most likely restricted by the strong phase separation of polymer and fullerene in this pair of materials. The C\textsubscript{60} does not easily diffuse long distances into the MEH-PPV. Therefore, the charge transfer is not optimized throughout the entire bulk and only the interdiffused devices with 70 nm of MEH-PPV come close to forming a bulk heterojunction, which is indicated by a better match of photoresponsivity and absorption spectrum. The overall efficiency of the devices is low because of the difficulty of the interdiffusion process with this pair of materials.

It should also be noted here that in devices with even thinner polymer layers the photocurrents are expected to eventually decrease. Even though the series resistance is continually reduced, the percentage of absorbed photons is concomitantly reduced and this effect at some point outweighs the reduction in series resistance.

IV. \textit{In situ} Observation of the Interdiffusion

\textit{In situ} studies of the interdiffusion during the heating process were carried out to determine the optimum conditions for the time-temperature thermal profile. In the studies presented above, the interdiffusion heating was done before the final production step of depositing the top electrode. Because of this, measurement of the photoresponse of the same device before and after the heat treatment was not possible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEH-PPV thickness (nm)</th>
<th>Interdiffusion temperature</th>
<th>(V_{OC} ) [V]</th>
<th>(I_{SC} ) [mA/cm(^2)]</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>Efficiency [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>unheated</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>(3.8 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>unheated</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>(7.9 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>unheated</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>(16 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>150 °C</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>(24 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>150 °C</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>(26 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>150 °C</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>(28 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>250 °C</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>(42 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>250 °C</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>(67 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>250 °C</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>(92 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This was initially done to prevent damage of the devices due to cracking of the polymer bulk that was often observed when heating was carried out in thick (layer thicknesses of 120 nm or more) polymer films. We found, however, that this problem was alleviated with thinner films at 150 °C, thus enabling in situ observations.

Figure 4 shows the photocurrent of an MEH-PPV/C₆₀ bi-layer with 70 nm of MEH-PPV and 110 nm of C₆₀ that was monitored during the heating. The data shown are the raw photocurrent values resulting from illumination of the device with monochromatic light (470 nm, 3.8 mW/cm²). The temperature of the hot stage is indicated for selected times.

With increasing temperature, the photocurrent of the illuminated sample rapidly begins to rise. When the temperature reaches 150 °C, it is maintained for 5 min before the hot plate is turned off and the temperature starts decreasing. While the temperature stays constant at 150 °C, the photocurrent continually increases and even after the temperature starts decreasing, it rises further for a few minutes. The photocurrent then levels off and finally starts decreasing. The final photocurrent after cooling to room temperature is four times larger than the initial photocurrent. Since the photocurrent then levels off and finally starts decreasing. The final photocurrent after cooling to room temperature is four times larger than the initial photocurrent. Since the photocurrent decreases to some extent during cooling, it is clear that not all of the increase in photocurrent during the heating is due to interdiffusion. Part of it is simply due to the elevated temperature. Since charge transport in conjugated polymers and C₆₀ is typically a hopping process, which is thermally activated, the photocurrents are expected to be larger at higher temperatures because of the increased conductivity. This temperature dependence of the short circuit current has been previously observed in polymer-fullerene photovoltaic devices.\(^{13,14}\)

The change in the photoresponsivity spectrum due to the in situ heat treatment is shown in Fig. 5. To better see the change in the shape, the data were normalized to the peak at 555 nm (while the spectrum obtained before heating was divided by 2.9, the spectrum after heating was divided by 6.8). The photocurrent spectrum after heating clearly shows a reduction in the filter effect. However, compared to devices that were heated without the Al electrode, the reduction in the filter effect is not as strong as that observed when the devices were heated without the Al electrode. This is believed to be due to constraint that the Al electrode places on the interdiffusion of C₆₀ into the MEH-PPV bulk.

The interdiffusion could not be observed in situ at temperatures above \(T_g\) of MEH-PPV. Typically, the photocurrent signal decreased to zero at temperatures in the vicinity of \(T_g\) and did not recover even after cooling of the device. The reason for this permanent device failure could not be determined but is possibly due to delamination of the electrode from the organic film. It is apparent, though, that device failure is in the vicinity of the glass transition temperature of the polymer where the polymer chains can undergo segmental motion.

It should be mentioned here that heating the devices for more than 5 min at 150 °C led to a decrease in photocurrent at the elevated temperature. The time after which this decrease started varied between devices from 5 to 10 min after reaching 150 °C.

V. MORPHOLOGY OF THE INTERDIFFUSED DEVICES

The TEM image of the cross section of an unheated MEH-PPV/C₆₀ bilayer is shown in Fig. 6. By imaging the cross section of an MEH-PPV single layer, it was determined that the light phase is the polymer. The dark phase is therefore C₆₀. The gray background surrounding the film is due to the epoxy in which the film is imbedded. The image shows a smooth, distinct interface between polymer and fullerene, which is expected from an unheated bilayer. A very similar image was obtained for bilayers heated at 150 °C. Since the TEM image does not provide atomic resolution, it does not provide information concerning single C₆₀ molecules or very small clusters of C₆₀ diffused into the MEH-PPV. The reduction of the filter effect in the devices heated at 150 °C indicates that some interdiffusion occurs, but the TEM image shows that no large-scale intermixing of the two materials takes place.

The TEM image (Fig. 7) of a device heated at 250 °C (above \(T_g\) of MEH-PPV) shows dramatic changes compared to the unheated bilayer. The distinct smooth interface be-
tween the two materials has vanished. Instead, clusters of C$_{60}$ with diameters up to 30 nm have migrated into the MEH-PPV bulk. The image clearly shows that there is not a continuous concentration gradient of donor and acceptor materials from one end of the film to the other. Instead, there is a polymer-rich phase at one end and a fullerene-rich phase at the other end of the cross section with a blend of large C$_{60}$ clusters and MEH-PPV in the middle. The formation of C$_{60}$ clusters is partly due to the strong tendency towards crystallization of C$_{60}$~[Ref. 15]! and due to the tendency of MEH-PPV and C$_{60}$ to phase separate. It is therefore not surprising that the interdiffusion is limited in these materials. In fact, in light of the TEM images, it is remarkable that thermally induced interdiffusion is so effective in enhancing the photovoltaic performance of this material system. It might be expected that a more miscible material pair would yield further improvement. This has indeed been confirmed and will be reported in a separate publication.

The results of the TEM studies help explain the polymer thickness dependence of the devices. It was found that a good bulk heterojunction was only formed for thin polymer layers. Observing the size of C$_{60}$ clusters in the polymer bulk and keeping in mind that the two materials tend to stay in separate phases, it is clear that the C$_{60}$ will not diffuse long distances into the MEH-PPV bulk. This then limits the ability of the two materials to form a good blend with close proximity of polymer and fullerene and thus yields less than optimal charge separation in the bulk of the active layer.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The photocurrent in polymer/fullerene photovoltaic devices has been examined as a function of the polymer layer thickness. The studies were carried out on devices with and without the use of thermally induced interdiffusion of the layers to create a gradient bulk heterojunction. For MEH-PPV in combination with C$_{60}$, the interdiffusion process is limited due to strong phase separation between the polymer and fullerene. TEM studies show a tendency of C$_{60}$ to form large clusters of up to 30 nm in diameter in the polymer bulk. These clusters illustrate the strong phase separation of MEH-PPV and C$_{60}$ that limits the interdiffusion process and therefore formation of a bulk heterojunction. Therefore, our observation is that a bulk-heterojunction is only formed by interdiffusion in devices with thin MEH-PPV layers, in our case 70 nm.

In situ studies showed that the interdiffusion can be observed by monitoring the photocurrent of the device. An optimization of the time-temperature profile for the interdiffusion of the materials can therefore be easily implemented. The studies also showed that in the fully built devices the Al electrode places some additional constraint on the interdiffusion process.

These studies show that thermally induced interdiffusion is a suitable method to create polymer/fullerene bulk-heterojunction photovoltaic devices with a concentration gradient of polymer and fullerene from anode to cathode of the device. However, the choice of materials is a critical issue for effective interdiffusion. The polymer and fullerene need...
to be well miscible for good mass transport to occur during the heating. Enhanced photovoltaic performance of devices utilizing a more miscible donor/acceptor pair will be reported separately.
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