

References

- Adams, R., Wilson, M., & Wang, W. (1997). The Multidimensional random coefficients multinomial logit model. *Applied Psychological Measurement, 21*(1), 1-23.
- Aldridge, J. M., Dorman, J. P., & Fraser, B. J. (2004). Use of multitrait-multimethod modeling to validate actual and preferred forms of the Technology-Rich Outcomes-Focused Learning Environment Inventory (TROFLEI). *Australian Journal of Educational and Developmental Psychology, 4*, 110-125.
- Aldridge, J. M., Laugksch, R. C., Seopa, M. A., & Fraser, B. J. (2006). Development and validation of an instrument to monitor the implementation of outcomes-based learning environments in science classrooms in South Africa. *International Journal of Science Education, 28*(1), 45-70.
- Alliger, G. M., & Williams, K. J. (1992). Relating the internal consistency of scales to rater response tendencies. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 52*, 337-343.
- Anderson, D. R., Burnham, K. P., & White, G. C. (1998). Comparison of Akaike information criterion and consistent Akaike information criterion for model selection and statistical inference from capture-recapture studies. *Journal of Applied Statistics, 25*(2), 263-282.
- Anderson, J. C., & Gerbing, D. W. (1982). Some methods for respecifying measurement models to obtain unidimensional construct measurement. *Journal of Marketing Research, 19*, 453-360.
- Anderson, J. C., & Gerbing, D. W. (1988). Structural equation modeling in practice: A review and recommended two-step approach. *Psychological Bulletin, 103*(3), 411-423.
- Andrich, D. (1978). A rating formulation for ordered response categories. *Psychometrika, 43*(561-573).

- Barnette, J. J. (2000). Effects of stem and Likert response option reversals on survey internal consistency: if you feel the need, there is a better alternative to using those negatively worded stems. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 60(3), 361-370.
- Bates, G. R. (1978). The role of the laboratory in secondary school science programs. In M. B. Rowe (Ed.), *What research says to the science teacher* (Vol. 1). Washington, D.C.: National Science Teachers Association.
- Bentler, P. M. (1978). The interdependence of theory, methodology, and empirical data: Causal modeling as an approach to construct validation. In D. B. Kandel (Ed.), *Longitudinal drug research* (pp. 267-302). New York: Wiley.
- Bentler, P. M. (1983). Some contributions to efficient statistics for structural models: Specification and estimation of moment structures. *Psychometrika*, 48, 493-571.
- Bergstrom, B. A. (1998, April 13-17, 1998). *Rating scale analysis: Gauging the impact of positively and negatively worded items*. Paper presented at the American Educational Research Association, San Diego.
- Bollen, K. A. (1989). *Structural equations with latent variables*. New York: Wiley.
- Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (Eds.). (2001). *How People Learn: Brain, Mind, Experience, and School* (Expanded ed.). Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Bredderman, T. (1984). The influence of activity-based elementary science programs on classroom practices: A quantitative synthesis. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 21(3), 289-303.

- Brown, F. (2000). The effect of an inquiry-oriented environmental science course on preservice elementary teachers' attitudes about science. *Journal of Elementary Science Education, 12*(2), 3.
- Browne, M. W. (1984). Asymptotically distribution-free methods for the analysis of covariance structures. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 37*, 62-83.
- Chamberlain, V. M., & Cummings, M. N. (1984). Development of an instructor/ course evaluation instrument. *College Student Journal, 18*, 246-250.
- Coll, R. K., Taylor, N., & Fisher, D. L. (2002). An application of the questionnaire on teacher interaction and college and university classroom environment inventory in a multicultural tertiary context. *Research in Science and Technological Education, 20*(2), 165-183.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. *Psychometrika, 16*, 297-334.
- Dart, B., Burnett, P., Boulton-Lewis, G., Campbell, J., Smith, D., & McCrindle, A. (1999). Classroom learning environments and students' approaches to learning. *Learning Environments Research, 2*, 137-156.
- Dorman, J. (2002). Classroom environment research: Progress and possibilities. *Queensland Journal of Educational Research, 18*(2), 112-140.
- Dorman, J., & Adams, J. (2004). Associations between students' perceptions of classroom environment and academic efficacy in Australian and British secondary schools. *Westminster Studies in Education, 27*(1), 69-85.
- Embretson, S. E., & Reise, S. P. (2000). *Item response theory for psychologists*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum

- Fraser, McRobbie, C. J., & Giddings, G. J. (1993). Development and cross-national validation of a laboratory classroom environment instrument for senior high school science. *Science Education, 77*(1), 1-24.
- Fraser, B. J. (1981). Learning environments in curriculum evaluation. *Evaluation in Education: An International Review Series, 5*, 3-93.
- Fraser, B. J. (1981). *Learning environments in curriculum evaluation: A review*. Oxford: Pergamon.
- Fraser, B. J. (1998a). Classroom environment instruments: development, validity and applications. *Learning Environments Research, 1*, 7-33.
- Fraser, B. J. (1998b). Science learning environments: Assessment, effects and determinants. In B. J. Fraser & K. G. Tobin (Eds.), *The international handbook of science education* (pp. 527-564). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer.
- Fraser, B. J. (2002). Learning environment research: Yesterday, today and tomorrow. In S. C. Goh & S. M. Khine (Eds.), *Studies in educational learning environments* (pp. 1-27). Singapore: World Scientific.
- Fraser, B. J. (2007). Classroom Learning Environments. In S. K. Abell & N. G. Lederman (Eds.), *Handbook of research on science education* (pp. 103-124). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Fraser, B. J., & Fischer, D. L. (1982). Predicting students' outcomes from their perceptions of classroom psychosocial environment. *American Educational Research Journal, 19*, 498-518.

- Fraser, B. J., Giddings, G. J., & McRobbie, C. J. (1992). *Science laboratory classroom environments: A cross-national study*. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching, Boston.
- Fraser, B. J., Giddings, G. J., & McRobbie, C. J. (1995). Evolution and validation of a personal form of an instrument for assessing science laboratory classroom environments. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 32, 399-422.
- Freedman, M. P. (1997). Relationship among laboratory instruction, attitude toward science, and achievement in science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 34(4), 343-351.
- Giddings, G. J., & Fraser, B. J. (1990). *Cross-national development, validation, and use of an instrument for assessing the environment of science laboratory classes*. Paper presented at the American Educational Research Association, Boston, MA.
- Haertel, G. D., Walberg, H. J., & Haertel, E. H. (1981). Socio-psychological environments and learning: A quantitative synthesis. *British Education Research Journal*, 7, 27-36.
- Hattie, J. (1985). Methodology review: Assessing unidimensionality of tests and items. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 9, 139-164.
- Henderson, D., Fisher, D., & Fraser, B. (2000). Interpersonal behavior, laboratory learning environments, and student outcomes in senior biology classes. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 37(1), 26-43.
- Hertz-Lawarowitz, R., Baird, J. H., Webb, D. C., & Lazarowitz, R. (1984). Student-student interactions in science classrooms: A naturalistic study. *Science Education*, 68, 603-619.
- Hofstein, A., Cohen, L., & Lazarowitz, R. (1996). The learning environment of high school students in chemistry and biology laboratories. *Research in Science and Technological Education*, 14, 103-115.

- Hofstein, A., & Lunetta, V. N. (1982). The role of the laboratory in science teaching: Neglected areas of research. *Review of Educational Research*(52), 201-217.
- Hofstein, A., & Lunetta, V. N. (2003). The laboratory in science education: Foundations for the twenty-first century. *Science Education*, 88, 28-54.
- Hofstein, A., Nahum, T. L., & Shore, R. (2001). Assessment of the learning environment of inquiry type laboratories in high school chemistry. *Learning Environments Research*, 4, 193-207.
- Hoyle, R. H. (1995). *Structural equation modeling*. Thousand Oaks, Ca: Sage
- Hoyle, R. H., & Panter, A. (1995). Writing about structural equation models. In R. H. Hoyle & R. G. Lomax (Eds.), *Structural equation modeling: concepts, issues and applications* (pp. 158-176). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Hu, L., & Bentler, P. M. (1995). Evaluating model fit. In R. H. Hoyle (Ed.), *Structural equation modeling: concepts, issues and applications* (pp. 76-99). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Hunter, J. E., & Gerbing, D. W. (1982). Unidimensional measurement, second-order factor analysis, and causal models. In B. M. Staw & L. L. Cummings (Eds.), *Research in organizational behavior*. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- James, L. R., Mulaik, S. A., & Brett, J. M. (1982). *Causal analysis: Assumptions, models, and data*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Joreskog, K., & Sorbom, D. (1984). *LISREL VI: Analysis of linear structural relationships by the method of maximum likelihood*. Chicago: National Educational Resources.
- Joreskog, K., & Sorbom, D. (1996). *Lisrel 8: User's Reference Guide*. Chicago: Scientific Software International.

- Joreskog, K., & Sorbom, D. (2005). Lisrel (Version 8.8). Lincolnwood, IL: Scientific Software, Inc.
- Joreskog, K. G. (1978). Structural analysis of covariance and correlation matrices. *Psychometrika*, *43*, 443-477.
- Kim, H.-B., Fisher, D. L., & Fraser, B. J. (1999). Assessment and investigation of constructivist science learning environments in Korea. *Research in Science and Technological Education*, *17*(2), 239-249.
- Lang, Q. C., Wong, A. F. L., & Fraser, B. J. (2005). Student perceptions of chemistry laboratory learning environments, student teacher interactions, and attitudes in secondary school gifted education classes in Singapore. *Research in Science Education*, *35*, 299-321.
- Linacre, J. M. (2002). Optimizing rating scale category effectiveness. *Journal of Applied Measurement*, *3*(1), 85 - 106.
- Linacre, J. M. (2004). Rasch model estimation: Further Topics. In J. Everett V. Smith & R. M. Smith (Eds.), *Introduction to Rasch Measurement* (pp. 48-72). Maple Grove, MN: JAM Press.
- Linacre, J. M. (2007). Winsteps Rasch measurement (Version 3.63.2). Chicago, IL: Mesa Press.
- Lord, F. N., & Novick, M. R. (1968). *Statistical theories of mental test scores*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Luketic, C. D., Wolfe, E. W., Singh, K. & Dolan, E. (2008). Assessing student perceptions of high school science classroom environments: A validation study (pp. 26): Virginia Tech.
- Marsh, H. W. (1996). Positive and negative global self esteem: A substantively meaningful distinction or artifactors? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *70*, 810-819.

- McDonald, R. P. (1981). The dimensionality of tests and items. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 34, 107-120.
- Messick, S. (1989). Validity. In R.L.Linn (Ed.), *Educational measurement* (3rd ed., pp. 13-103). New York: Macmillan
- Messick, S. (1994). The interplay of evidence and consequences in the validation of performance assessments. *Educational Researcher*, 23, 13-23.
- Messick, S. (1995). Validity of Psychological Assessment. *American Psychologist*, 50(9), 741-749.
- Moos, R. H. (1987). *The social climate scales: A user's guide*. Palo Alto: Consulting Psychologists Press.
- NCES. (2007). *Search for schools, colleges and libraries*. Retrieved from www.nces.ed.gov/global locator/.
- Newby, M., & Fisher, D. (2000). A model of the relationship between university computer laboratory environments and student outcomes. *Learning Environments Research*, 3(1), 51-66.
- No Child Left Behind, Stat. 1425 (2002).
- Nolen, S. B. (2003). Learning environment, motivation, and achievement in high school science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 40(4), 347-368.
- NRC (Ed.). (1996). *National science education standards*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Osterlind, S. J. (2006). *Modern measurement: Theory, principles, and applications of mental appraisal* (1st ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Merrill Prentice Hall.

- Rasch, G. (1960). *Probabilistic models for some intelligence and attainment tests*. Copenhagen, Denmark: Danish Institute for Educational Research.
- Raykov, R., & Tomer, A. (1991). Reporting structural equation modeling results in psychology and aging; Some proposed guidelines *Psychology and Aging*, 6, 499-503.
- Rentoul, A. J., & Fraser, B. J. (1979). Conceptualization of enquiry-based or open classroom learning environments. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 11(3), 233-245.
- Roth, W. (1997). Teacher-as-researcher reform: student achievement and perceptions of learning environment. *Learning Environments Research*, 1(1), 75-93.
- Schriesheim, C. A., Eisenbach, R. J., & Hill, K. D. (1991). The effect of negation and polar opposite item reversals on questionnaire reliability and validity: An experimental investigation. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 51, 67-78.
- Schriesheim, C. A., & Hill, K. D. (1981). Controlling acquiescence response bias by item reversals: The effect on questionnaire validity. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 41, 1101-1114.
- Schumacker, R. E., & Lomax, R. G. (2004). *A beginner's guide to structural equation modeling* (2nd ed.). Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum
- Schwab, J. J. (1963). *Biology Teachers Handbook*. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Shymansky, J. A., Jr., W. C. K., & Alport, J. M. (1983). The effects of new science curricula on student performance. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 20(5), 387-404.
- Singer, S. R., Hilton, M. L., & Schweingruber, H. A. (2005). *America's Lab Report: Investigations in High School Science*. from <http://www.nap.edu/catalog/11311.html>.

- Smith, E. V. (2001). Evidence for the reliability of measures and validity of measure interpretation: a Rasch measurement perspective. *Journal of Applied Measurement*, 2, 281-311.
- Tamir, P., & Friedler, Y. (1994). Research associated with the Israeli high school biology program. *Studies in Education Evaluation*(20), 321-336.
- Thompson, J., & Soyibo, K. (2002). Effects of lecture, teacher demonstration, discussion and practical work on 10th graders attitudes to chemistry and understanding of electrolysis. *Research in Science and Technological Education*, 20(1), 25-37.
- Walberg, H. J. (1981). A psychological theory of educational productivity. In F. Farley & N. J. Gordon (Eds.), *Psychology and education: the state of the union* (pp. 81-108). Berkeley, CA: McCutchan.
- Weinstein, T., Boulanger, F. D., & Walberg, H. J. (1982). Science curriculum effects in high school: a quantitative synthesis. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 19(6), 511-522.
- Wise, K. C., & J.R.Okey. (1983). A Meta-analysis of the effects of various science teaching strategies on achievement. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 20(5), 419-435.
- Wolfe, E. W., & Smith, E. V., Jr. (2007a). Instrument development tools and activities for measure validation using Rasch models: Part I - instrument development tools. In E. V. J. Smith & R. Smith (Eds.), *Rasch Measurement: Advanced and Specialized Applications* (pp. 202-242). Maple Grove, MN: JAM Press.
- Wolfe, E. W., & Smith, E. V., Jr. (2007b). Instrument development tools and activities for measure validation using Rasch models: Part II- validation activities. In E. V. J. Smith &

- R. Smith (Eds.), *Rasch Measurement: Advanced and Specialized Applications* (pp. 243-290). Maple Grove, MN: JAM Press.
- Wolfe, E. W., Viger, S. G., Jarvinen, D. W., & Linksman, J. (2007). Validation of scores from a measure of teachers' efficacy toward standards-aligned classroom assessment. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 67*(3), 460-474.
- Wong, A. F., & Fraser, B. J. (1997). Sex differences in perceptions of chemistry laboratory environments in Singapore. *Journal of Applied Research in Education, 1*, 12-22.
- Wright, B. D., & Masters, G. N. (1982). *Rating scale analysis*. Chicago: MESA Press.
- Wu, M. L., Adams, R. J., & Wilson, M. R. (1998). ACER Conquest: Generalized item response modeling software (Version 1.0). Melbourne, Victoria, Australia: Australian Council for Educational Research.
- Yamaguchi, J. (1997). Positive versus negative wording. *Rasch Measurement Transactions, 11*, 567.