

Table of Contents

1	CHAPTER 1	1
	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.2	PROBLEM STATEMENT	3
1.3	OBJECTIVES	3
1.4	RESEARCH APPROACH	4
1.5	SCOPE	6
1.6	REFERENCES	9
2	CHAPTER 2	10
	GEOCOMPOSITE MEMBRANE EFFECTIVENESS AS A MOISTURE BARRIER	10
2.1	ABSTRACT	10
2.2	INTRODUCTION	11
2.3	BACKGROUND	12
2.4	GEOCOMPOSITE INSTALLATION	16
2.5	MOISTURE DETECTION BY GROUND PENETRATING RADAR	19
2.6	MOISTURE BARRIER EVALUATION USING GPR	21
2.7	MOISTURE DETECTION BY TIME DOMAIN REFLECTOMETER	24
2.8	MOISTURE BARRIER EVALUATION USING TDRS	26
2.9	LONG TERM MONITORING	29
2.10	FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS	31
2.11	REFERENCES	33
3	CHAPTER 3	35
	GEOCOMPOSITE MEMBRANE EFFECTIVENESS AS A STRAIN ENERGY ABSORBER	35
3.1	ABSTRACT	35
3.2	INTRODUCTION	36
3.3	GEOCOMPOSITE INSTALLATION	38
3.3.1	Optimum Tack Coat	38
3.3.2	Geocomposite Membrane Installation	39

3.4	RESEARCH APPROACH	41
3.4.1	Background	41
3.4.2	Fracture Mechanics Analysis Using the Finite Element Method	51
3.5	FINITE ELEMENT FORMULATION	54
3.5.1	Model Dimensions and Geometry	56
3.5.2	Model Constraints and Contact Modeling	57
3.5.3	Crack Simulation and Creation of the Singularity	58
3.6	MODEL RESULTS AND ANALYSIS	58
3.6.1	Accuracy of the Calculated Stress Intensity Factor	58
3.6.2	Location of the Load and Its Effects on the Mode of Loading	59
3.6.3	Crack Initiation	62
3.6.4	Crack Propagation Phase	70
3.7	EXPERIMENTAL OBSERVATIONS AND INTERPRETATIONS	72
3.8	FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS	74
3.9	REFERENCES	76
4	CHAPTER 4	82
	THE EFFECTIVENESS OF STEEL REINFORCING NETTING AS REINFORCEMENT FOR HOT-MIX ASPHALT	82
4.1	ABSTRACT	82
4.2	INTRODUCTION	84
4.3	STEEL REINFORCEMENT	85
4.4	STEEL REINFORCEMENT INSTALLATION	87
4.4.1	Section I	89
4.4.2	Section L	96
4.5	EFFECTIVENESS OF STEEL REINFORCEMENT BASED ON FALLING WEIGHT DEFLECTOMETER	100
4.5.1	Background	100
4.5.2	Section I	102
4.5.3	Section L	107
4.6	EFFECTIVENESS OF STEEL REINFORCEMENT BASED ON ANALYTICAL METHODS	112
4.6.1	The Layered System Theory	112
4.6.2	The Finite Element Method	118

4.6.2.1	The Finite Element Formulation	118
4.6.2.2	Application of the FE to Pavement Engineering	120
4.6.3	Modeling Process	124
4.6.3.1	Element Types	124
4.6.3.2	Infinite Elements	125
4.6.3.3	Boundary Conditions and Contact Modeling	126
4.6.3.4	Loading Area and Model	128
4.6.4	Sensitivity Analysis	130
4.6.5	Material Characterization	136
4.6.5.1	Laboratory Characterization of Hot-Mix Asphalt	144
4.6.5.2	Backcalculation of Material Properties Using Finite Element Analysis	149
4.6.6	Three-Dimensional Finite Element Model for Simulation of FWD Testing	155
4.6.7	Three-Dimensional Finite Element Model for Simulation of Vehicular Loading	157
4.6.7.1	Background	157
4.6.7.2	Instrument Responses to Vehicular Loading	161
4.6.7.3	Temperature and Speed Correction	164
4.6.7.4	Model Validation and Calibration	165
4.6.7.5	Steel Reinforcement Effectiveness	170
4.7	DISCUSSION	177
4.8	FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS	179
4.9	REFERENCES	181
5	CHAPTER 5	187
	STEEL REINFORCEMENT EFFECTIVENESS TO COMBAT REFLECTIVE CRACKING IN REHABILITATION APPLICATIONS	187
5.1	ABSTRACT	187
5.2	INTRODUCTION	188
5.3	BACKGROUND	189
5.4	STEEL REINFORCEMENT EFFECTIVENESS	191
5.4.1	Background	191
5.4.2	Theoretical Investigation	195
5.4.3	Crack Initiation	198
5.4.4	Crack Propagation	200

5.5	FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS	205
5.6	REFERENCES	207
6	CHAPTER 6	210
	DEVELOPMENT OF AN OVERLAY DESIGN MODEL FOR SERVICE LIFE PREDICTION AGAINST REFLECTIVE CRACKING	210
6.1	ABSTRACT	210
6.2	INTRODUCTION	211
6.3	BACKGROUND	212
6.3.1	New Construction Pavement Design	212
6.3.2	Flexible Pavement Rehabilitation	214
6.4	DESIGN FRAMEWORK	215
6.5	DEVELOPMENT OF DESIGN EQUATIONS	219
6.5.1	Shift Factors	221
6.5.2	Design Models	223
6.5.3	Design Examples	233
6.6	SUMMARY	233
6.7	REFERENCES	235
7	CHAPTER 7	238
	SUMMARY, FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS	238
7.1	SUMMARY	238
7.2	FINDINGS	240
7.3	CONCLUSIONS	243
7.4	RECOMMENDATIONS	245
A	THE CURRENT STATE OF KNOWLEDGE	246
A.1	INTRODUCTION	246
A.2	BEHAVIOR MECHANISM	247
A.2.1	Separation	248
A.2.2	Filtration	248
A.2.3	Moisture Barrier	248
A.2.4	Reinforcement	249
A.2.5	Stress Relief	251
A.3	TYPES OF INTERLAYER SYSTEMS	252

A.3.1	Geosynthetics	252
A.3.2	Closure	259
A.3.3	Steel Reinforcement	259
A.3.4	Asphalt-Rubber Interlayer	274
A.4	INTERLAYER INSTALLATION	274
A.5	DESIGN OF FLEXIBLE PAVEMENTS WITH INTERLAYER SYSTEMS	278
A.5.1	Moisture Barrier Interlayer	279
A.5.2	Separation Interlayer	279
A.5.3	Reinforcing Interlayer	280
A.5.4	Stress Relieving Interlayer	284
A.5.5	Closure	288
A.6	INSTRUMENTATION OF FLEXIBLE PAVEMENTS	289
A.6.1	Pavement Sensors	291
A.6.2	Recent Instrumented Pavement Research	302
A.7	THE VIRGINIA SMART ROAD	304
A.7.1	Flexible Pavement Design	306
A.7.2	Pavement Instrumentation	307
A.8	NON DESTRUCTIVE TECHNIQUES	315
A.8.1	Ground Penetrating Radar	315
A.8.2	Falling Weight Deflectometer	317
A.9	REFERENCES	319
B	FWD DATA ANALYSIS	330
C	FINITE ELEMENT INPUT FILES AND CREEP ANALYSIS	383
D	SERVICE LIFE PREDICTION AGAINST REFLECTIVE CRACKING	386

List of Figures

Figure 1 1. Research Approach	7
Figure 2 1. Pavement Design for Section J	17
Figure 2 2. Prime Coat Application on Top of the Geocomposite Membrane in Section J	18
Figure 2 3. Installation of the Open-Graded Drainage Layer in Section J	19
Figure 2 4. Ground Penetrating Radar Systems Used at the Virginia Smart Road	21
Figure 2 5. GPR Survey on Top of the Geocomposite Membrane in Section J	22
Figure 2 6. GPR Survey on a Section without Geocomposite	23
Figure 2 7. GPR Survey on Top of the Geocomposite Membrane in Section K	23
Figure 2 8. Configuration of the TDR Probes Used at the Virginia Smart Road: (a) CS610 and (b) CS615	25
Figure 2 9. Moisture Measurements in Section B along with the Precipitation Occurring over the Same Period of Time (from March 3rd 2000 to May 31st 2000)	27
Figure 2 10. Moisture Measurements in Section J along with the Precipitation Occurring over the Same Period of Time (from March 3rd 2000 to May 31st 2000)	28
Figure 2 11. Moisture Measurements in Section K along with the Precipitation Occurring over the Same Period of Time (from March 3rd 2000 to May 31st 2000)	28
Figure 2 12. Moisture Measurements in Section B and J along with the Precipitation Occurring over the Same Period of Time (from August 17th 2000 to September 30th 2000)	29
Figure 2 13. Moisture Measurements in section B and J along with the precipitation occurring over the same period of time (from March 1st 2001 to May 31st 2001)	30
Figure 2 14. Moisture Measurements in Section B and J along with the Precipitation Occurring over the Same Period of Time (from July 1st to August 31st 2001)	30
Figure 3 1. Pavement Design in Section K at the Virginia Smart Road	37
Figure 3 2. A Photograph of the Loading Fixture (after Al-Qadi and Elseifi 2002)	38
Figure 3 3. Layout of the Installation in Section K	40
Figure 3 4. Compaction of the Geocomposite Membrane in Section K	41
Figure 3 5. Extracted Cores from the Virginia Smart Road	42
Figure 3 6. Cracking Path in HMA	44

Figure 3 7. Modes of Fractures	45
Figure 3 8. Variation of the Creep Compliance with Time for Section B (SM 9.5-D)	50
Figure 3 9. Rice's J-Integral	53
Figure 3 10. Schematic of the Considered Pavement Structure	55
Figure 3 11. General Layout of the 2D Finite Element Model	56
Figure 3 12. Illustration of the Cracked Region in the Developed Model	57
Figure 3 13. Coefficient of Variation for Different Thickness of Overlay	59
Figure 3 14. Variation of the Shear Stress with the Distance from the Load	61
Figure 3 15. Horizontal Stress Distribution in the Vicinity of the Crack Tip (a) with Geocomposite Membrane and (b) without Geocomposite Membrane	63
Figure 3 16. Horizontal Stress in the Vicinity of the Crack Tip and Horizontal Tensile Strain at the Bottom of the Overlay	65
Figure 3 17. Shear Stress Distribution with and without Geocomposite Membrane (Hoverlay = 101.6mm)	66
Figure 3 18. Calculated Shear Stress (at the Vicinity of the Crack Tip) and Shear Strain (at the bottom of the Overlay) with and without Geocomposite Membrane	67
Figure 3 19. Strain Energy per Unit Volume at Different Locations in the Pavement System	68
Figure 3 20. Calculated Horizontal and Shear Stress for the Centered and Edge Loading Conditions (Hoverlay = 76.2mm)	69
Figure 3 21. Variation of the J-Integral with the Crack Location with Respect to the Bottom of the Overlay	70
Figure 3 22. Performance of the Geocomposite Membrane in Delaying the Reflection of Cracks in a Bridge Deck in Italy	71
Figure 3 23. Measured and Vertical Deflections before and after the Installation of the Geocomposite Membrane	73
Figure 3 24. Measured Center Deflection with and without Geocomposite Membrane	74
Figure 4 1. General Configuration of Two Types of Steel Reinforcement Nettings	87
Figure 4 2. Pavement Design in Section I	89
Figure 4 3. Pressure Cell Installation Covered by Steel Mesh	90
Figure 4 4. Dynatest Strain Gauge underneath the Steel Mesh during Installation	91
Figure 4 5. Passage of a Roller on Top of the Steel Mesh to Relieve the Natural Curvature of the Roll	92

Figure 4 6. Passing the Strain Gauge to the Top of the Steel Reinforcement	93
Figure 4 7. Steel Nails Used to Fix the Steel Mesh	93
Figure 4 8. Ground-Coupled GPR (900MHz) Survey Indicating the Steel Mesh Leveling after the BM-25.0 Installation	95
Figure 4 9. Air-coupled GPR (1GHz) Survey Indicating the Steel Mesh Leveling after the BM-25.0 Installation	95
Figure 4 10. Extracted Core from Section I	96
Figure 4 11. Pavement Design in Section L	97
Figure 4 12. Installed (a) Pressure Cells and (b) Thermocouples Covered by Steel Reinforcement	98
Figure 4 13. Ground-Coupled GPR (900MHz) Survey Indicating the Steel Reinforcement Leveling after the BM-25.0 Installation	99
Figure 4 14. Extracted Core from Section L	100
Figure 4 15. Falling Weight Deflectometer System	101
Figure 4 16. Point Selection for FWD Evaluation	103
Figure 4 17. Effect of the Steel Mesh on FWD Measurements	103
Figure 4 18. Point Selection for FWD Evaluation	108
Figure 4 19. Effect of the Steel Reinforcement on FWD Measurements	108
Figure 4 20. Axisymmetric Finite Element Formulation	121
Figure 4 21. Comparison of the Axisymmetric FE Approach to Boussinesq's Closed Form Solution	122
Figure 4 22. Computed and Measured Vertical Stresses at Different Depths in Section B at the Virginia Smart Road	123
Figure 4 23. Plan View of the Model Dimensions and Boundary Conditions	127
Figure 4 24. Dimensions of Tire Contact Area	128
Figure 4 25. Load Amplitude Function	129
Figure 4 26. Element Dimensions	131
Figure 4 27. General Layout of the Finite Element Model	132
Figure 4 28. Jumps in Vertical Stresses at the Critical Interfaces	133
Figure 4 29. Convergence of the Vertical Stresses with Mesh Refinement	134
Figure 4 30. Calculated Vertical Stresses Based on the FE Model, the KENLAYER and the BISAR's Solution	135
Figure 4 31. Variation of the Vertical Deflections with the Distance from the Load	136
Figure 4 32. Comparison of the 3D FE Approach to Boussinesq's Closed Form Solution	137

Figure 4 33. Estimated Subgrade Moduli using Boussinesq's Approach for Section L	140
Figure 4 34. Estimated Subgrade Moduli using Boussinesq's Approach for Section I	141
Figure 4 35. Comparison between Boussinesq's Approach and the Backcalculated Moduli	142
Figure 4 36. Master Curve for Surface Mix in Section I	148
Figure 4 37. Fitted Power Law Model to the Creep Compliance at 40°C	150
Figure 4 38. General Layout of the Developed Model for FWD Backcalculation	151
Figure 4 39. Simulation of the FWD Loading Plate	152
Figure 4 40. Comparison between the Measured and Calculated Vertical Deflections for (a) Section I and (b) Section L.	154
Figure 4 41. General Layout of the Developed Model (Section I)	155
Figure 4 42. Simulation of the Steel Reinforcement for Sections I and L	156
Figure 4 43. Truck Used for Testing: (a) Photograph while Testing (b) a Schematic of Wheel Configuration	159
Figure 4 44. Matrix for the Truck Testing	160
Figure 4 45. Typical Transverse and Longitudinal Strain Signals in Section I	161
Figure 4 46. Accumulation of Strain in the Transverse Direction in Section L	162
Figure 4 47. Typical Pressure Cell Response in Section I	163
Figure 4 48. Effect of Temperature on the Strain Responses	165
Figure 4 49. Variation of the Strain with Temperature and Speed in (a) Section I for SM- 9.5A and (b) Section L for SMA-12.5	167
Figure 4 50. Measured and Calculated Vertical Stresses at the Bottom of the Wearing Surface (T=25°C)	168
Figure 4 51. Measured and Calculated Vertical Stresses at the Bottom of the BM-25.0 (T=25°C)	169
Figure 4 52. Comparison between Measured and Calculated Longitudinal Strains at the Bottom of the Wearing Surface at (a) 25°C and (b) 40°C	170
Figure 4 53. Comparison between the Measured and Calculated: (a) Transverse Strains and (b) Vertical Stresses at the Bottom of the BM-25.0 (section L)	171
Figure 4 54. Percentage Improvement due to Steel Reinforcement (Section L)	175
Figure 4 55. Percentage Improvement due to Steel Reinforcement (Section I)	176
Figure 4 56. Phases of Pavement Deterioration	178
 Figure 5 1. Comparison between a Road in Belgium: before Repair and 11 years after Repair (after Al-Qadi et al. 2002)	 190

Figure 5 2. Mode I Crack Under Bi-Axial State of Stresses	192
Figure 5 3. Collapsed Three-Dimensional Brick Element	193
Figure 5 4. General Layout of the Developed Finite Element Model	198
Figure 5 5. J-Integral prior to Crack Propagation in the Overlay (Hoverlay = 50mm)	199
Figure 5 6. Effect of Steel Reinforcement on the Number of Cycles for Crack Initiation	200
Figure 5 7. Variation of the Stress Intensity Factor with the Crack Length	201
Figure 5 8. Variation of Stress Intensity Factor with Crack Length	202
Figure 5 9. Variation of the Stress Intensity Factor with and without Steel Reinforcement for a 50mm Overlay	203
Figure 5 10. Effect of Steel Reinforcement on the Number of Cycles for Crack Propagation	203
Figure 5 11. Variation of the Stress Intensity Factor for a 150mm Overlay	204
Figure 5 12. Steel Reinforcement Contribution to the Total Service Lives against Reflective Cracking	205
Figure 6 1. Pavement Design Process	213
Figure 6 2. Overlay Design Framework	216
Figure 6 3. Equivalency between the Standard Axle and the Steering Axle	218
Figure 6 4. Variation of the Stress Intensity Factor for Different HMA Thickness	226
Figure 6 5. Comparison between Calculated and Predicted Number of Cycles (Unreinforced)	228
Figure 6 6. Comparison between Calculated and Predicted Number of Cycles (Reinforced)	232
Figure A-1. General Configuration of Two Types of Steel Reinforcement Nettings	262
Figure A-2. Comparison between a Road in Belgium: Before Repair and Eleven Years After Repair (after Al-Qadi et al. 2002)	266
Figure A-3. Unrolling Process of a Geotextile after Application of a Tack Coat	276
Figure A-4. Application of a Slurry Seal on Top of a Steel Mesh Interlayer	277
Figure A-5. Installation Procedure for an Interlayer System	278
Figure A-6. Resistivity Probe	300
Figure A-7. Schematic of the Virginia Smart Road	305
Figure A-8. Strain Gauge Caliper	312
Figure A-9. Configuration of the TDR Probes Used at the Virginia Smart Road: (a) CS610 and (b) CS615	314

Figure A-10. Ground Penetrating Systems Used at the Virginia Smart Road	316
Figure A-11. Falling Weight Deflectometer System	317

List of Tables

Table 2 1. Pavement Design at the Virginia Smart Road	14
Table 3 1. Mixture Properties	51
Table 3 2. Overview of the Investigated Cases	55
Table 3 3. Calculated Stress Intensity Factors	62
Table 4 1. Comparison between the Original Wire Mesh and the Current Steel Mesh	86
Table 4 2. Specifications of the Steel Reinforcement Installed at the Virginia Smart Road	88
Table 4 3. ANOVA Analysis for Mesh 1 in Section I (August 21st 2000)	105
Table 4 4. ANOVA Analysis for the Steel Reinforcement in Section L (August 21st 2000)	109
Table 4 5. Sensitivity Analysis	131
Table 4 6. Assumed Behaviors in the Developed FE Models	138
Table 4 7. Mix Design for BM-25.0	144
Table 4 8. Mix Design for the Surface Mix Used in Sections I and L	144
Table 4 9. Measured Modulus of Resilience (MPa) in the Laboratory for Field Cores	146
Table 4 10. Backcalculated Layer Moduli for Sections I, and L at the Virginia Smart Road (Temperature = 23°C)	154
Table 4 11. Pavement Surface Deflections for Unreinforced and Reinforced Cases	157
Table 4 12. Comparison of Calculated Pavement Responses with and without Steel Reinforcement	172
Table 5 1. Layer Characteristics of Pavement Systems	197
Table 6 1. Overview of Investigated Cases	220
Table 6 2. Calculated Number of Cycles for Crack Initiation and Propagation without Reinforcement	224
Table 6 3. Analysis of Variance for the Unreinforced Pavements	227
Table 6 4. Calculated Number of Cycles for Crack Initiation and Propagation with Reinforcement	229
Table 6 5. Analysis of Variance for the Reinforced Cases	231

Table A 1. The Major Types of Interlayer Products	253
Table A 2. Comparison between the Original Steel Mesh and the Current Steel Netting	263
Table A 3. Performance of Ten Different Field Trials	264
Table A 4. Pavement Design at the Virginia Smart Road	308
Table A 5. Instruments Used at the Virginia Smart Road in Sections I and L	309