## An Investigation of Factors Affecting the Quality of the Relationship between Franchisee and Franchisor and its Impact on Franchisee's Performance, Satisfaction, and Commitment: A Study of the Restaurant Franchise System

Soo Bum Lee

Dissertation submitted to the Faculty of the Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University In partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of

> Doctor of Philosophy In Hospitality and Tourism Management

Mahmood A. Khan, Ph.D., Chair Suzanne K. Murrmann, Ph.D. Pamela A. Weaver, Ph. D. Robert J. Harvey, Ph.D. Yang-Hwe Huo, Ph.D.

April 23, 1999

Blacksburg, Virginia

Keywords: Franchising, Franchisee, Franchisor, Quality of the Relationship, Foodservice Industry, Structural Equations Modeling

Copyright 1999, Soo Bum Lee

### An Investigation of Factors Affecting the Quality of the Relationship between Franchisee and Franchisor and its Impact on Franchisee's Performance, Satisfaction, and Commitment: A Study of the Restaurant Franchise System

Soo Bum Lee

#### (ABSTRACT)

The growth of franchising has been an important trend in the hospitality industry, since it was introduced into the restaurant sector by Howard Johnsons in the 1930s. In recent years, because of intense competition quick service restaurants have experienced significant external and internal pressures. Such pressures have caused disputes and abuses of the system and have affected external suppliers, customers, and suppliers, as well as franchisees within the franchise system. Because the franchisor-franchisee relationship has yet to be fully explored, knowledge of the factors that produce a high-quality relationship between franchisor and franchisee are critical to the advancement of knowledge in the hospitality industry.

Leader-Member Exchange (LMX) theory is offered of an effective theoretical model of antecedents that can predict the effectiveness the franchisor-franchisee relationship. This study presents a model based on a subset of the Leader-Member Exchange theory.

Using the survey responses of franchisees in the restaurant industry, this study identifies the key factor that affect the franchisee's commitment, the franchisee's satisfaction with purchasing or operating franchise outlets, the effects of the franchisor's brand name on the quality of the relationship, the franchisee's perception of the franchisor's support, the franchisee's motivation to become a franchisee, and the franchisee's performance.

The results of this study generally support the hypothesized model and provide strong support for the idea that the quality of the relationship between franchisee and franchisor plays a role in ensuring that the contractual relationship will lead to franchisee job satisfaction and financial success for both. The proposed model provides franchisors with valuable information for establishing an effective management strategy to improve the relationship between franchisor and franchisee and thus improve the rate of success of both franchisor and franchisee. Similarly, the model can assist both the franchisor and franchisee in understanding their policies in strategic terms and in integrating their different activities to provide the firm with the quality relationship required for maintaining advantage. I like to dedicate this dissertation to my parents, Sun-Jae Lee and Sang-Shin Kim, for their support and love.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am enormously indebted to Dr. Mahmood A. Khan, my committee chair, who provided guidance, patience, and support at every phase of the doctoral program. His effort and help have made my doctoral program more rewarding, both academically and personally. His contributions are gratefully acknowledged.

I would also like to express my appreciation for my committee members, Dr. Suzanne K. Murrmann, Dr. Pamela A. Weaver, Dr. Robert J. Harvey, and Dr. Yang-Hwe Huo, for their invaluable assistance throughout the dissertation process

My thanks also go to my colleagues whom I had the good fortune to know throughout my doctoral program.

Finally, but most importantly, I would like to thank my parents. The process of pursuing a doctoral degree began long before I actually entered a program. My parents have always stressed the importance of learning and exploration. Without their support and faith through the years, this process would never have begun.

iv

## **Table of Contents**

Chapter 1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	5
1.3 The Need for the Study	7
1.4 Objective of the Study	8
1.5 Theoretical Contribution	8
1.6 Practical Application for Franchise Restaurants	9
1.7 Research Boundary	10
1.8 Organization of the Dissertation	10
1.9 Summary of the Chapter	11
1.10 Definitions of Terms	12
Chapter 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	13
2.1 A Review Franchising from the Viewpoints of Organizational Structure	14
2.1.1 Capital Theory	14
2.1.2 Resource-Constraints View	18
2.1.3 Agency Theory	23
2.2 A Review of the Franchise Relationship	29
2.3 Summary	36

Ch	apter 3	8. CONCEPTUAL MODEL	37
3.1	Theor	etical Framework: Leader-Member Exchange Theory	39
3.2	Comp	onents of the Model	44
	3.2.1	Motivation for Choosing Franchise	44
	3.2.2	Evaluation of the Franchisor Support	48
	3.2.3	Perception of Franchisor's Brand Name	52
	3.2.4	Quality Relationship between the Franchisor and Franchisee	57
	3.2.5	Franchisee's Job Performance	59
	3.2.6	Franchisee's Job Satisfaction	61
	3.2.7	Franchisee's Commitment	63
3.3	Summ	nary	65

Cha	apter 4	. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	67
4.1	Resea	rch Hypotheses	68
4.2	Samp	le	74
4.3	Data (	Gathering	74
4.4	Measu	ires	75
	4.4.1	Measurement of the Motivation for Choosing Franchise	76
	4.4.2	Measurement of Evaluation of the Franchisor Support	78
	4.4.3	Measurement of Brand Name	80
	4.4.4	Measurement of Quality Relationship	82
	4.4.5	Measurement of Performance	84
	4.4.6	Measurement of Satisfaction	86

	4.4.7	Mea	asurei	nent	of Co	omn	nitm	ent	••••	••••		••••			••••	••••		. 88
4.5	Statist	tical	Analy	vsis .	••••	••••	••••	••••	•••••	••••	• • • • •	••••	• • • • •	••••			•••••	90
4.6	Summ	nary		•••••						••••								. 94

Cha	apter 5. RESULTS	95
5.1	Profile of the Sample	95
5.2	Descriptive Statistics	98
5.3	Measurement Model 10	02
	5.3.1 Partial Confirmatory Factor Analysis10	05
	5.3.2 Confirmatory Factor Analysis	13
5.4	Scale Development: Reliability and Validity Analysis	22
5.5	Structural Model	26
	5.5.1 Initial Theoretical Model	27
	5.5.2 Revised Model	31
	5.5.3 Analysis of Hypotheses	35
	5.5.4 Direct and Total Effects1	42
5.6	Summary14	47

Cha	apter 6. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	148
6.1	Major Findings	148
6.2	Limitations	151
6.3	Main Contribution of the Study and Suggestion for Further Research	153
6.4	Summary and Conclusion	155

REFERENCES	157
APPENDIX A	. 195
APPENDIX B	. 202
APPENDIX C	216
VITA	. 226

# **List of Figures**

Figure 1.	A Theoretical Model of Franchisee/Franchisor Relationship	196
Figure 2.	A Hypotheses of Theoretical Model	197
Figure 3.	A Path Diagram with Latent Variable	198
Figure 4.	A Model of CFA	199
Figure 5.	A Path Diagram for the Initial Model	200
Figure 6.	A Path Diagram for the Revised Model	201
Figure 5.1	A Theoretical Model with Latent Variables	121
Figure 5.2	An Initial Model of the Franchisee-Franchisor Relationship	130
Figure 5.3	A Revised Model of the Franchisee-Franchisor Relationship	133

## List of Tables

4.1	Motivation to be a Franchisee Scale	77
4.2	Evaluation of the Franchisor's Support Scale	79
4.3	Brand Name Perception Scale	81
4.4	The Quality of the Relationship Scale	
4.5	Franchisee's Performance Scale	
4.6	Franchisee's Job Satisfaction Scale	
4.7	Franchisee's Commitment Scale	89
5.1	The Sample Characteristics	
5.2	Distribution of Standardized Residuals	100
5.3	Mean and Standard Deviation of Items	101
5.4	Factor Loading for Motivation	107
5.5	Factor Loading for Evaluation	107
5.6	Factor Loading for Brand Name	109
5.7	Factor Loading for the Quality of the Relationship	
5.8	Factor Loading for Performance	110
5.9	Factor Loading for Satisfaction	112
5.10	Factor Loading for Commitment	
5.11	Information Needed to Compute Composite Reliability	118
5.12	Measurement Properties for Study Constructs	
5.13	Fit Indices for Competing Models	
5.14	Goodness of Fit Indices for the Various Models	134
5.15	Summary of Hypotheses Tests	
5.16	Total Effects of Exogenous on Endogenous Variables	145
5.17	Scale Means, Standard Deviations, and Covariance	146