

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Reaction scheme for the preparation of Kapton [®] polyimide (ref 2)	4
Figure 1.2	Generalized reaction mechanism of imide formation (ref 2).....	5
Figure 1.3	Idealized charge transfer complex formation in dianhydrides (ref 31).....	16
Figure 1.4	Idealized polymer chain-chain interaction in the crystalline state (ref 38).....	17
Figure 1.5	Packing structure of New-TPI viewed along the b-axis as proposed by Okuyama et al. (ref 39).....	19
Figure 1.6	Effect of isomeric attachment of BTDA based polyimides (ref 33)	22
Figure 2.1	General behavior of thermodynamic variables at the equilibrium melting temperature T_m^∞ (a) gibbs free energy (b) entropy and volume	31
Figure 2.2	(a) Crystallization of macromolecules (i) polymerization, followed by crystallization [(i.e.) separate polymerization and crystallization] (ii) crystallization during polymerization and (b) example of macroscopic single crystal obtained by simultaneous polymerization and crystallization-poly (sulfur nitride) 1 division = 0.5 mm.(ref 3).....	34
Figure 2.3	Schematic representation of orientation induced crystallization. The first three drawings illustrate the orientation and crystallization of random coils while the last two drawings show the growth of folded chain kebabs around the central shish. (ref 10).....	37
Figure 2.4	(a) Shish kebab morphology of polyethylene from solution (from pennings, 1967, Ref 2. (b) shish kebabs of cellulose formed by recrystallizing cellulose II onto valonia microfibrils of high molecular weight (also from ref 2). (ref 3)	37
Figure 2.5	Fringed micelle model (a) model of crystallization as might be visualized in a thermoreversible gel (keller et al. Ref 10) (b) hermann and gerngross model (ref 15) for a semicrystalline polymer. Similar schematics illustrate the general molecular picture in fringed micellar crystallization.	39

Figure 2.6	Single crystals of polyethylene after evaporation of tetrachloroethylene solvent. Pleats form due to crystal collapse. Micrograph is taken from ‘Polymer Single Crystals’ by P.H. Geil. (ref 19).....	41
Figure 2.7	(A) Schematic of a switchboard model, showing the surface of a lamella, interlamellar region and tie chains between the lamella. (from mandelkern) (B) originally proposed model for melt crystallization in polymers.....	42
Figure 2.8	“Erstarrungsmodell” (a) chain conformation in the melt state (b) alignment of suitable conformations in to the crystal. (ref 36).....	44
Figure 2.9	Formation of the physically aligned activated complex and its conversion to first crystallographically attached stem. The first step A_0 is the slowest and rate determining step while the step A_0' is fast. (ref 39).....	49
Figure 2.10	Formation of the physically aligned activated complex and its conversion to second crystallographically attached stem. The first step A_0 is the slowest and rate determining step while the step A_0' is fast. The activated state includes a tight fold + the aligned part of the chain. (ref 39).....	50
Figure 2.11	Barrier system for the surface nucleation showing both the slow, fast and backward steps possible. A , A_0 , B_1 and B are the rate determining slow steps while A_0' and A' are the fast steps. (ref 32).....	51
Figure 2.12	Free energy with subsequent stem deposition along the substrate for different values of lamellar thickness’ ‘ l ’. (ref 30).....	52
Figure 2.13	Scheme illustrating the rates of stem deposition during three different regimes of crystallization. ‘ i ’ represents the rate of stem nucleation whereas ‘ g ’ represents the rate of substrate completion.....	59
Figure 2.14	A schematic illustrating the conversion of growth rate data to a L-H plot showing the three regime transitions (ref 38). The values of regime constants are calculated by the slope in various regimes and are used to give the product of surface energy terms $\sigma\sigma_e$. All three regimes or even a single regime transition may not be experimentally observed for many polymers.	61

Figure 2.15	Schematic illustrating the variation of free energy with nucleus size. The initial free energy barrier needs to be crossed for the nucleus to become stable. (ref 5).....	63
Figure 2.16	Types of crystal nuclei (a) primary nucleus (b) secondary nucleus (c) tertiary nucleus.....	64
Figure 2.17	Variation of total free energy with size depends upon two opposing factors, the gain being due to increased surface area while the loss due to free energy of crystallization. Also, the critical size for stable nuclei formation as well as the critical free energy barrier decrease with increasing undercooling. (ref 2, 38).....	65
Figure 2.18	Tie chains in polyethylene spherulites crystallized in presence of n-paraffin, C ₃₂ H ₆₆ , and then extracted with xylene at room temperature. (keith and padden et al. ref 70).....	67
Figure 2.19	Utilization of isothermal crystallization data by either DSC or by volume measurements can give the degree of transformation, which can subsequently be utilized for Avrami analysis. (ref 30).....	72
Figure 2.20	The characteristic Avrami plots obtained by isothermal crystallization experiments for a polyimide. The initial slope of the curves gives the Avrami constant 'n', which is related to the crystal shape and nucleation type. (ref 84).....	73
Figure 3.1	(a) Second heat DSC scans of LaRC-CPI after having been previously taken to melt and quenching. While 'A' is the low molecular weight version, others are increasingly of higher mol. wt. (b) first heat DSC scans of variously stoichiometrically offset LaRC-CPI-2 polyimide films. (c) DSC scans of LaRC-CPI-2 films showing changing melting behavior after annealing treatment. (d) First and consecutive heating scans for TPEQ-ODPA polyimide showing sluggish crystallization behavior.....	84
Figure 3.2	First heat and repeat heat DSC scans for the commercial New-TPI. The scans are shown for two different grades (a) high viscosity grade (b) low viscosity grade.....	86

Figure 3.3	Chemical structure and thermal stability of New-TPI polyimide. The 3-D plot illustrates the heat of melting after exposures to different melt temperatures and melt residence times.....	87
Figure 3.4	(a) spherulitic growth rates for New-TPI. (b) negatively birefringent spherulites observed for New-TPI. (c) SAXS for LaRC-CPI-2 samples indicating differently thick lamellae responsible for different endotherms. (d) The hedritic structure obtained for LaRC-CPI by Muellerleile et al. (e) The variation of lamellar thickness for New-TPI observed by Srinivas et al.	91
Figure 3.5	Rheological results for different grades of LaRC-TPI. (a) loss modulus, storage modulus and complex viscosity at 350°C for up to 3 hrs. (b) complex viscosity-frequency profiles at different melt temperatures for two grades of LaRC-TPI. (c) master curves for the two grades constructed using the data in (b).	95
Figure 3.6	(a) Melt rheology of an amorphous polyimide by Hergenrother et al. (b) continuous loss and storage shear modulus for LaRC-8515 polyimide at different melt temperatures (c) melt viscosity vs. time at 360°C for LaRC-IA and -IAX (d) crystallization behavior of LaRC-8515 after annealing at different temperatures.....	97
Figure 3.7	Rheological behavior of (a) New-TPI and (b) TPEQ-ODPA polyimides showing molecular weight changes for both polyimides.	99
Figure 4.1	The surface morphology of the Ti-6Al-4V after various surface treatments (a) gritblasting (b) TURCO 5578 sodium hydroxide etch (c) chromic acid treatment (d) chromic acid treated samples after exposure to water vapor at 300°C.	109
Figure 4.2	Shear strain (& shear stress) in the bond-line and tensile stress on the adherends as given (a) ideally & (b) predicted by Volkersen's analysis. (c) effect of bending moment, which acts along the bondline to give a peel stress, thereby reducing joint strengths. (d) shear strength profile as given by various analysis (e) peel stresses along the bondline as calculated by goland-reissner analysis.....	112

Figure 4.3	Room temperature lap-shear strength of the LaRC-TPI-am adhesive for various bonding temperatures and pressures.	119
Figure 4.4	Lap-shear strengths for LaRC-TPI and effect of adding various additives (to change the melt viscosity) when bonded at 50 psi.....	120
Figure 5.1	Percentage weight loss as a function of increasing temperature in nitrogen and air for TPER-BPDA-PA (15,000 Daltons) when heated at 10°C/minute.....	137
Figure 5.2	Consecutive DSC heating scans after heating to 430°C at 10°C/min, holding for 1 min, quenching to 150°C and reheating at 10°C/min.....	138
Figure 5.3	Complex viscosity as a function of increasing temperature for TPER-BPDA (30,000 Daltons) and TPER-BPDA-PA (15,000 Daltons).....	140
Figure 5.4	Polarized optical micrograph of the initial TPER-BPDA-PA film.....	142
Figure 5.5	Polarized optical micrograph of TPER-BPDA-PA (15,000 Daltons) after heating at 430°C for 20 minutes and subsequent quenching to various crystallization temperatures.....	144
Figure 5.6	Growth rate of spherulites as a function of crystallization temperature for TPER-BPDA-PA (both 15,000 Daltons and 30,000 Daltons molecular weights) after 20 minutes in melt at (▲) 420°C and (●) 430°C.....	146
Figure 5.7	Lap-shear strengths as a function of bonding temperature (420°C and 430°C). Bonding pressure and bonding time were kept constant at 300 psi and 20 minutes respectively.....	151
Figure 5.8	Lap-shear strengths as a function of bonding time (10, 20 and 30 minutes). Bonding pressure and bonding temperature were kept constant at 300 psi and 430°C respectively.....	153
Figure 5.9	Lap-shear strengths as a function of bonding pressure (100, 200, 300 and 500 psi). Bonding temperature and bonding time were kept constant at 430°C and 20 minutes respectively.....	154
Figure 5.10	Thermal cycle followed for preparing lap-shear specimens.....	155
Figure 5.11	Scheme illustrating the conditions tried and finally selected for optimization of the bonding process.....	156
Figure 5.12	SEM micrographs of the fracture surface of the lap-shear specimens....	157

Figure 5.13	Scheme followed in the aging study of the lap-shear bonds.....	159
Figure 5.14	Lap-shear strengths after aging at room temperature for a period of 1,3 and 7 weeks and testing at ambient, 177°C and 232°C.	160
Figure 5.15	Lap-shear strengths after aging at 177°C for a period of 1,3 and 7 weeks and testing at ambient, 177°C and 232°C.....	161
Figure 5.16	Lap-shear strengths after aging at 232°C for a period of 1,3 and 7 weeks and testing at ambient, 177°C and 232°C.....	162
Figure 5.17	Lap-shear strengths shown as function of test temperature for various aging times and aging temperatures.	163
Figure 5.18	Lap-shear strengths of TPER-BPDA-PA (15,000 Daltons) after exposure to different solvents for a period of nine days. Values from other similar studies in literature are also shown for comparison.	165
Figure 6.1	Crystallization exotherms at various crystallization temperatures after 20min residence time at 430°C.....	176
Figure 6.2(a)	Normalized crystalline content as a function of Log (time) at various crystallization temperatures.	177
Figure 6.2(b)	Plot of $\log [-\ln (1-X_c (t))]$ versus $\log (t)$ at various crystallization temperatures.	178
Figure 6.3	Variation of logarithm of transformation rate 'K', and crystallization half time ' $t_{1/2}$ ', as a function of crystallization time after a melt holding conditions of 430°C for 20 minutes.	180
Figure 6.4	Polarized optical micrographs at the indicated crystallization temperatures after being at a melt temperature of 430°C for 20 minutes.	181
Figure 6.5	Evolution of spherulitic growth ranging from a folded-chain single crystal to a fully developed spherulite.	183
Figure 6.6	Radial growth rates of spherulites at various crystallization temperatures after melt temperature of 430°C for 20 minutes. ($M_n=15,000$ Daltons, $M_w=30,000$ Daltons)	185
Figure 6.7	(a) AFM height image of a central part of a spherulite for a sample crystallized at 360°C after a melt temperature of 430°C for 20 minutes. (b) AFM height image of a outward region of a spherulite for a sample	

	crystallized at 360°C after a melt temperature of 430°C for 20 minutes. The center of the spherulite lies toward upper-right of the micrograph.	187
Figure 6.8	Crystallization exotherms at 355°C after various melt residence times at 430°C.	188
Figure 6.9	Plot of $\log [-\ln (1-X_c (t))]$ versus $\log (\text{time})$ at 355°C after various melt residence times at 430°C.	191
Figure 6.10	Variation of logarithm of transformation rate ‘K’, and crystallization half time ‘ $t_{1/2}$ ’ at crystallization temperature of 355°C, as a function of residence time at 430°C.	192
Figure 6.11	Polarized optical micrographs at 355°C after indicated melt residence times at 430°C.	194
Figure 6.12	Crystallization exotherms at 355°C after 20 minutes residence times at various melt temperatures.	196
Figure 6.13	Plot of $\log [-\ln (1-X_c (t))]$ versus $\log (\text{time})$ at 355°C after various melt temperatures and 20 minutes residence time.	197
Figure 6.14	Polarized optical micrographs at 355°C after indicated melt temperatures and 20 minutes residence time.	198
Figure 6.15	Non-newtonian viscosity-frequency profile of TPER-BPDA-PA (initial $M_n=15,000$ Daltons, $M_w=30,000$ Daltons) at 430°C. The plot also indicates the data collection times for consecutive scans at the same temperature.	201
Figure 6.16	Isothermal complex viscosity (1 radians/s) as a function of residence time in the melt at various melt temperatures.	204
Figure 6.17	Non-isothermal complex viscosity (1 radians/s) when cooled at 10°C/min from various melt temperatures after 20 minutes residence time. Inset: Corresponding arrhenius plots for the non-isothermal viscosity profiles when cooled from various melt temperatures. The initial regions prior to crystallization were utilized for estimating value of activation energy using the Andrade-Eyring equation.	208

Figure 6.18	Spherulitic growth rates at 345°C after 20 minutes at various initial melt temperatures.....	213
Figure 6.19	DSC cooling scans at 10°C/min after 10 minutes at various melt temperatures.....	214
Figure 6.20	Polarized optical micrographs illustrating the morphological development when cooled at 20°C/min from the melt at 430°C for 20 minutes. Micrographs taken at (a) 340°C (b) 332°C (c) 323°C.....	217
Figure 7.1	Specimen configuration for the wedge test.	223
Figure 7.2	Crack growth vs. time in various solvents. (a) and (b) represent the data for each of the two samples.	231
Figure 7.3	Fractured wedge test samples in environment (a) acetone (b) boiling water.	233
Figure 7.4	(a) Collected data for the DCB sample for the standard bonding condition (b) Calculation using the compliance method.	235
Figure 7.5	Maximum and arrest strain energy release rates for samples bonded under the standard bonding conditions. Both ASTM and Compliance method are used to calculate the results.....	236
Figure 7.6	Photomicrograph of the fractured DCB specimen that was bonded under the standard bonding conditions.....	236
Figure 7.7	Scanning electron micrographs of the fractured DCB specimen that was bonded under the standard bonding conditions. (a) lower magnification (b) higher magnification.....	237
Figure 7.8	Results for the samples (a) that were only bonded for 2 min and (b) that were quenched to room temperature from the standard bonding conditions.....	238
Figure 7.9	Photomicrograph of the fractured DCB specimen that was bonded for only two minutes.....	241
Figure 7.10	Photomicrograph of the fractured DCB specimen that was held at 365°C for 2 hours before cooling to room temperature.	241
Figure 8.1	Scheme for synthesis of TPER-BTDA-PA polyimide.	250

Figure 8.2	(a) Dynamic heating profiles for weight loss in air and nitrogen when heated at 5°C/min.	253
Figure 8.2	(b) Weight loss profile with time in air and nitrogen when kept at a typical melt temperature of 450°C.....	255
Figure 8.3	Consecutive DSC heating scans after heating to 450°C at 10°C/min, holding for 1 min, quenching to 100°C and reheating at 10°C/min.....	257
Figure 8.4	Second heat DSC scans at 10°C/min after cooling from 450°C, 1 min at different cooling rates.....	259
Figure 8.5	(a and b) Scans from room temperature at 10°C/min after crystallizing at different temperatures from the melt at 450°C, 1 min.	262
Figure 8.6	Peak times for isothermal crystallization exotherms, after quenching from 450°C, 1 min to different crystallization temperatures. The error bars indicate the standard deviation of at least four samples.	266
Figure 8.7	Polarized optical micrographs of sample (a) crystallized at 340°C for 20 min and (b, c, d) held at 370°C for (a) 30s (b) 90s (c) 120s. The error bars indicate 25 microns.	268
Figure 8.8	WAXD patterns of TPER-BTDA-PA –initial film and melt crystallized at different temperatures. Samples were precisely prepared in the DSC...	270
Figure 8.9	Direct DSC heating scans at 10°C/min after crystallizing for various times at (a) 320°C and (b) 360°C.	272
Figure 8.10	DSC heating scans at different heating rates for (a) initial film, (b) crystallized at 320°C, (c) crystallized at 360°C and (d) zinc after calibrating the DSC at different heating rates.....	276
Figure 8.11	Isothermal crystallization at 360°C with respect to logarithm of time (■) heat of melting obtained after heating to melt (▲) the corresponding peak melting points obtained.	283
Figure 8.12	Isothermal crystallization at various temperatures with respect to logarithm of time and the peak melting points obtained on heating for crystallization temperatures of (a) 380°C, (b) 377.5°C, (c) 375°C and (d) 370°C.....	284

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Electron affinity of common aromatic dianhydrides (ref 2).....	7
Table 1.2	Dependence of glass transition on the chain length of diamines (ref 40).....	21
Table 1.3	Effect of isomeric attachment of the diamine for ODPA based polyimides. (ref 40)	23
Table 1.4	Effect of dianhydride on the T_g of the various ether based diamines (ref 40).....	24
Table 2.1	Values of T_m , ΔH_f and ΔS_f for various polymers. (ref 2)	31
Table 2.2	Exponents of time in the Avrami equation. (ref 82)	71
Table 3.1	Chemical structures and T_g 's and T_m 's of various semicrystalline polyimides. The structures and values for ULTEM, an amorphous polyetherimide, and PEEK are also shown.....	83
Table 4.1	Some selected properties of different metals.....	106
Table 8.1	Previously developed semicrystalline polyimides at Virginia Tech.	246