

Table of Figures

Figure 2. 1 Southern yellow pine (<i>Pinus</i> spp.) cross section structure 20× (Hoadley 1980).	12
Figure 2. 2 Red pine (<i>P.resinosa</i>) cross section structure 20× (Hoadley 1980).	12
Figure 2. 3 Red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>) cross section structure 20× (Hoadley 1980).	13
Figure 2. 4 Kollmann's model for the thermal conductivity (Kollmann & Malmquist 1956).	21
Figure 2. 5 Kollmann's plot for the relation between thermal conductivity and specific gravity of wood, particle boards and fiber boards (Kollmann & Malmquist 1956).	23
Figure 2. 6 Siau's Geometrical model for a single cell. (Siau 1984).	24
Figure 2. 7 a). (left) Siau's transverse thermal conductivity model ; b). (right) analogous electrical circuits.....	25
Figure 2. 8 Siau's transverse model modified for reduced conductivity efficiency of the cross walls.	26
Figure 2. 9 Equivalent configuration and thermal circuit for the longitudinal conductivity model by Couturier et al. (1996).	27
Figure 2. 10 Equivalent configuration and thermal circuit for the transverse conductivity model by Couturier et al. (1996).	28
Figure 2. 11 Southern yellow pine earlywood image. Figure 2. 12 Southern yellow pine latewood image.	32
Figure 2. 13 Soft maple latewood image. Figure 2. 14 Soft maple earlywood image.	33
Figure 2. 15 Scots pine latewood dry condition image. Figure 2. 16 Scots pine earlywood dry image.	33
Figure 2. 17 Scots pine latewood green condition image. Figure 2. 18 Scots pine earlywood green image.	33
Figure 2. 19 Simplified structure model for the softwood species.	35
Figure 2. 20 Tangential geometric model for softwood species with MC below FSP	41

Figure 2. 21 Radial geometric model for softwood species with MC below FSP.....	42
Figure 2. 22 Single cell structure change from dry to fully saturated condition.	43
Figure 2. 23 Tangential geometric model for hardwood species with MC below FSP... ..	45
Figure 2. 24 Radial geometric model for hardwood species with MC below FSP.....	45
Figure 2. 25 Thermal resistance model for softwood species tangential (left) and radial (right) direction when MC is below FSP.	46
Figure 2. 26 Thermal resistance model for hardwood species tangential (left) and radial (right) direction when MC is below FSP.	53
Figure 2. 27 Display of <i>Mathematica</i> software environment with the general clear layout of one program.....	58
Figure 2. 28 The input section of southern yellow pine thermal conductivity model estimation model.....	59
Figure 2. 29 Southern yellow pine model predicted tangential thermal conductivity values change with the latewood percentage on the cross section and moisture content change in the sample.	62
Figure 2. 30 Southern yellow pine model predicted radial thermal conductivity values change with the latewood percentage on the cross section and moisture content in the sample.	63
Figure 2. 31 Southern yellow pine model predicted ratio of radial vs. tangential thermal conductivity with the change of latewood percentage on the cross section and moisture content in the sample.	63
Figure 2. 32 An example plot for the tangential (left) and radial (right) thermal conductivity change as a function of the MC (from 0% to 30%) in a southern yellow pine sample with LW percent of 30%.....	65
Figure 2. 33 An example plot for the tangential (left) and radial (right) thermal conductivity change as a function of the latewood percent (from 1% to 99%) in a southern yellow pine sample with MC of 10%.	65
Figure 2. 34 Scots pine model predicted tangential thermal conductivity values change with the latewood percentage on the cross section and moisture content change in the sample.....	67
Figure 2. 35 Scots pine model predicted radial thermal conductivity values change with the latewood percentage on the cross section and moisture content change in the sample.....	68

Figure 2. 36 Scots pine model predicted ratio of radial vs. tangential thermal conductivity with the change of latewood percentage on the cross section and moisture content in the sample.	68
Figure 2. 37 An example plot for the tangential (left) and radial (right) thermal conductivity change only with the MC change (from 0% to 30%) for a Scots pine sample with LW percent of 30%.	69
Figure 2. 38 An example plot for the tangential (left) and radial (right) thermal conductivity change with the latewood percent change (from 1% to 99%) for a Scots pine sample with MC of 10%.	69
Figure 2. 39 The input parameters for the program to predict all the thermal conductivities of Scots pine in MC range from 0% to saturated.	71
Figure 2. 40 Scots pine model predicted tangential thermal conductivity values change with the latewood percentage on the cross section and moisture content change (the whole range) in the sample.	74
Figure 2. 41 Scots pine model predicted radial thermal conductivity values change with the latewood percentage on the cross section and moisture content change (the whole range) in the sample.	74
Figure 2. 42 Scots pine model predicted ratio of radial vs. tangential thermal conductivity with the change of latewood percentage on the cross section and moisture content (the whole range) in the sample.	75
Figure 2. 43 Scots pine tangential and radial thermal conductivity change in the whole moisture range (from 0% to fully saturated) for a fixed latewood percent on the sample (LW=30%).	75
Figure 2. 44 Scots pine tangential and radial thermal conductivity change with latewood percent on the samples for a fixed moisture content in the sample (MC=50%).	75
Figure 2. 45 The input parameters for the program to predict all the thermal conductivities of soft maple in MC range from 0% to 30%.	77
Figure 2. 46 Maple tangential (blue) and radial (red) thermal conductivity change in the range of moisture content from 0% to 30%.	78
Figure 2. 47 Testing sample, set up and R-MATIC instrument.	83
Figure 2. 48 An example show of the ring orientation at the end of a radial testing board with three pieces glued together for measuring the radial thermal conductivity.	84

Figure 2. 49 An example show of the ring orientation at the end of a tangential testing board with three pieces glued together for measuring the tangential thermal conductivity	84
Figure 2. 50 The radial (left) and tangential thermal conductivity samples (SYP).	84
Figure 2. 51 Regression for SYP tangential (left) and radial (right) thermal conductivity as a function of temperature.	94
Figure 2. 52 Regression for Scots pine tangential (left) and radial (right) thermal conductivity as a function of temperature.	94
Figure 2. 53 Regression for Soft maple tangential (left) and radial (right) thermal conductivity as a function of temperature.	94
Figure 2. 54 Thermal conductivity comparison for the testing results (from trail #1) and model estimations.	99
Figure 2. 55 Thermal conductivity comparison for the testing results (from trail #2) and model estimations.	100
Figure 2. 56 SYP sensitivity coefficient of tangential thermal conductivity with respect to k_a (blue) and k_c (red) in the range of LW% from 1% to 99%.	103
Figure 2. 57 SYP sensitivity coefficient of radial thermal conductivity with respect to k_a (blue) and k_c (red) in the range of LW% from 1% to 99%.	103
Figure 2. 58 Maple sensitivity coefficient of tangential thermal conductivity with respect to k_a (blue) and k_c (red) in the range of MC from 0% to 30%.	105
Figure 2. 59 Maple sensitivity coefficient of radial thermal conductivity with respect to k_a (blue) and k_c (red) in the range of MC from 0% to 30%.	105
Figure 3. 1 Scaling of a wood drying process. Case a). for homogeneous, Case b). for heterogeneous. (Puiggali & Quintard, 1992)	121
Figure 3. 2 The ideal wood block for modeling, and the direction notation and dimensions.	123
Figure 3. 3 Illustration for the energy conservation law on the control volume.	125
Figure 3. 4 Illustration for the control volume discretization. (Vick 1999).	130
Figure 3. 5 Illustration of the heat flow for the small control volume.	131
Figure 3. 6 illustration for the Line-by-Line numerical method used for solving the model.	137

Figure 3. 7 3D plots and contour plots for the temperature distribution in change the two direction with time.	142
Figure 3. 8 3D plots and contour plots for the temperature distribution in change the two direction with time (continued).....	143
Figure 3. 9 Display of the points specified on the modeled transverse section of the wood block and the planes specified for the analysis.	144
Figure 3. 10 Transient temperature profiles at point 1 (blue line), point 5 (red dotted line) and point 9 (green dashed line) predicted by the model simulation on southern yellow pine.	147
Figure 3. 11 Transient temperature profiles for the point 1 (blue line), 4 (blue dotted line) and 7 (blue dashed line) on the tangential symmetry plane, and for the point 2 (red line), point 3 (green line) on the radial symmetry plane.	147
Figure 3. 12 The temperature profiles at the five points on the transverse plane predicted by the model with three different order of magnitude for the convective heat transfer coefficients at the boundaries: (a). $h_{\infty} \sim 10^1$; (b). $h_{\infty} \sim 10^2$; (c). $h_{\infty} \sim 10^{-2}$	148
Figure 3. 13 Temperature distribution in the radial and tangential direction changes with time. Red line represents temperature profile on the radial symmetry plane; blue line represents the temperature profile on the tangential symmetry plane.....	150
Figure 3. 14 (Continued) Temperature distribution in the radial and tangential direction changes with time. Red line represents temperature profile on the radial symmetry plane; blue line represents the temperature profile on the tangential symmetry plane.	151
Figure 3. 15 Temperature distribution in the radial and tangential direction changes with time. Red line represents the radial temperature profile on the central plane (illustrated in Figure 3.9); blue line represents the tangential temperature profile on the middle plane (illustrated in Figure 3.9).....	152
Figure 3. 16 (Continued) Temperature distribution in the radial and tangential direction changes with time. Red line represents the radial temperature profile on the central plane (illustrated in Figure 3.9); blue line represents the tangential temperature profile on the middle plane (illustrated in Figure 3.9).	153
Figure 3. 17 Hypodermic thermocouples used in the test with 1/16" diameter of the probe. The temperature was measured only at the tip of the probes.	155
Figure 3. 18 Testing sample with the thermocouples was ready to be tested in the ordinary oven.	156

Figure 3. 19 Testing set up with the data acquisition system for automatically recording data at specified time step..... 157

Figure 3. 20 A drawing of a practical wood sample's cross section with illustration of the four specified directions and the angle of the ring curves..... 158

Figure 3. 21 Model prediction and testing results for the temperature profiles at the nine points on southern yellow pine wood block. (a),(b),(c) are for the vertical direction plot and (a'),(b'),(c') are for the horizontal direction plot..... 161

Figure 3. 22 Model prediction and testing results for the temperature profiles at the nine points on Scots pine wood block. (a),(b),(c) are for the vertical direction plot and (a'),(b'),(c') are for the horizontal direction plot. 162

Figure 3. 23 Model prediction and testing results for the temperature profiles at the nine points on Soft maple wood block. (a),(b),(c) are for the vertical direction plot and (a'),(b'),(c') are for the horizontal direction plot. 163

Figure 3. 24 Temperature sensitivity coefficient at the three points for the parameter of convective heat transfer coefficient (h_{∞}) at the boundary. (all the three point lines are very close the x axis)..... 168

Figure 3. 25 Temperature sensitivity coefficients at the three points for the parameter of thermal conductivity (k_r and k_t) in wood..... 169

Figure 3. 26 Temperature sensitivity coefficient at the three points for the parameter of specific heat (C_p) in wood. 170