

Formulation and Implementation of a Constitutive Model for Soft Rock

by

Randall J. Hickman

Dissertation submitted to the Faculty of the
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Civil and Environmental Engineering

M.S. Gutierrez (chair)
R.C. Batra
T.J. Burbey
G.M. Filz
M. Mauldon

September 2004
Blacksburg, Virginia

Keywords: Constitutive model, chalk, soft rock, time dependence, finite elements

Formulation and Implementation of a Constitutive Model for Soft Rock

Randall J. Hickman

ABSTRACT

Petroleum reservoirs located in the Norwegian sector of the North Sea have undergone unexpected subsidence of great magnitude (> 10 m) during more than 30 years of petroleum recovery operations. Historical laboratory investigations have shown that the subsidence is due to the mechanical behavior and mechanical properties of chalk. Chalk behavior is characterized by elastoplasticity, including pore collapse, shear failure, and tensile failure mechanisms; rate-dependence; and pore fluid dependence. The research described in this dissertation was performed with the objectives to formulate a constitutive model which describes all aspects of chalk and soft rock mechanical behavior, develop and/or implement methods to integrate the equations which form the constitutive model, and to apply the model to finite element simulations of engineering problems encountered in chalk and soft rock.

A new rate-dependent constitutive model is developed based on a three-dimensional extension of a volumetric time-lines model, similar to that of Bjerrum (1967). Shear and tensile failure surfaces are also included to reflect these failure mechanisms observed in chalk. Twelve model parameters are required to fully describe chalk behavior. Procedures to determine values for each of these parameters from laboratory test results are described. Correlations of model parameter values with index parameters are given for North Sea chalks, to allow reasonable values to be obtained in the absence of an extensive laboratory testing program. Comparisons between observed behavior and model simulations indicate that the new model is able to reproduce and predict the behavior of chalk quite well.

A new integration method for critical state cap plasticity models is presented. This new method may be used for rate-independent or rate-dependent constitutive models which are formulated with elliptical cap yield surfaces, including the chalk model. The new method gives results that compare favorably to integration methods used currently, in terms of accuracy and computational effort.

The effects of pore fluid composition on chalk behavior are included in the constitutive model. It is shown that the variability in constitutive behavior with pore fluid composition is due to dependence of model parameter values on pore fluid composition. This variability in model

parameters with pore fluid composition has been quantified and implemented into the model for the complete spectrum of oil-water mixtures in chalk.

Finite element simulations are presented to demonstrate performance of the model in analyzing problems at several different scales, including laboratory, borehole, and full-field scales. A new algorithm called “equivalent uniform water saturation” has been developed to determine the average mechanical properties of finite chalk masses with non-uniform pore fluid compositions, which are frequently encountered during finite element simulations. Results of the laboratory-scale simulations indicate that the constitutive model can reproduce the inhomogeneous deformation patterns which occur in chalk during waterflooding tests, and that use of the new algorithm utilizing “equivalent uniform water saturation” produces consistent results for chalk masses with inhomogeneous pore fluid distributions when used with different finite element mesh discretizations. Results of the larger-scale simulations indicate that changes in pore fluid composition and pore fluid pressure have different effects on macro-scale chalk mechanical behavior, and that both must be considered during analysis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to many people for their help during my graduate studies and completion of this dissertation. I received financial support from the Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering at Virginia Tech through the Via Foundation during my studies. I was also financially supported by the Joint Chalk Research Program (Phase VI) and the American Chemical Society. I thank the Via family and the other organizations who have sponsored the research.

I am very fortunate to have had the opportunity to study under the guidance of Professor Marte Gutierrez. I have learned so much from my relationship with Professor Gutierrez, as related not only to the subject under study but also related to career and life issues. He was a constant source of encouragement during my graduate studies. I am also grateful for the help of committee members George Filz, Matthew Mauldon, Thomas Burbey, and Romesh Batra for their review of and suggestions to improve the dissertation.

I am very happy for the many friendships I have made during my stay at Virginia Tech. Many people have positively influenced my life during these years. It is not possible to name here everyone who has made my life more gratifying, but a few special people must be noted: Chris Meehan, Mike and Emily Navin, Guney and Selen Olgun, Miguel Pando, Bryan Waisnor, Joe Clarke, and Maria Gutierrez.

I am very thankful for the support, strength, encouragement, and understanding given by my wife, Atsuko Kotake, during my graduate studies and beyond. I cannot express how much you have contributed to this achievement and how much of the credit is yours.

Finally, I thank my parents, Carol and William Hickman, for a lifetime of love and support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	1
CONSTITUTIVE MODELS AND CHALK.....	1
A BRIEF HISTORY OF EKOFISK.....	3
DOCUMENT ORGANIZATION.....	5
CHAPTER 2: BASIC EQUATIONS OF NONLINEAR CONSTITUTIVE MODELS.....	11
TYPES OF NONLINEAR CONSTITUTIVE MODELS.....	11
Nonlinear Elastic Models.....	11
Elastoplastic Models.....	12
Elastoviscoplastic Models.....	12
FORMULATION OF INCREMENTAL CONSTITUTIVE RELATIONS FOR ELASTOPLASTICITY.....	13
Stress and Strain Invariants.....	14
Yield Surface and Yield Function.....	16
Strain Additivity.....	17
Incremental Elasticity.....	17
Plastic Flow Rule.....	18
Plastic Hardening Rule.....	18
Elastoviscoplasticity.....	19
Hypoplasticity and Bounding Surface Plasticity.....	20
Incremental Relations.....	22
CHAPTER 3: BEHAVIOR OF CHALK AND OTHER SOFT ROCKS.....	28
MECHANICAL BEHAVIOR OF CHALK.....	28
Elasticity.....	29
<i>Elastic Isotropy</i>	29
<i>Linearity of Elastic Coefficients</i>	31
Inelasticity and Yielding.....	32
<i>Pore Collapse</i>	33
<i>Shear Failure</i>	35
<i>Tensile Failure</i>	36
<i>Third-Invariant Effects</i>	37
<i>Composite Yield Behavior</i>	38
Time-Dependent Deformations.....	38
Effect of Pore Fluid.....	40
SIMILARITY OF CHALK BEHAVIOR TO OTHER SOFT ROCKS.....	42
CHAPTER 4: REVIEW OF PROPOSED CONSTITUTIVE MODELS FOR CHALK.....	95
ELASTO(VISCO)PLASTIC MODELS.....	95
TIME-DEPENDENT MODELS.....	99
PORE FLUID-DEPENDENT MODELS.....	100
CHAPTER 5: FORMULATION OF A 1-DIMENSIONAL / 3-DIMENSIONAL RATE-DEPENDENT CONSTITUTIVE MODEL FOR CHALK AND SOFT ROCK.....	116
INTRODUCTION.....	116

ONE-DIMENSIONAL MODEL FORMULATION	117
STRESS-RATE CONTROL AND STRAIN-RATE CONTROL	120
INTEGRATION OF RATE-DEPENDENT CONSTITUTIVE EQUATIONS	121
Explicit Euler Method	123
Higher-Order Explicit Methods	124
Secant Age Method	126
Creep Solution	127
Comparison of Integration Methods	128
EXTENSION TO THREE-DIMENSIONAL BEHAVIOR	128
SIMULATIONS AND RATE-DEPENDENT BEHAVIOR	134
MODEL VERIFICATION	135
COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS	137
CHAPTER 6: A THREE-DIMENSIONAL RATE-DEPENDENT CONSTITUTIVE	
MODEL FOR CHALK AND SOFT ROCKS	171
MODEL DESCRIPTION	171
General Formulation	171
Elasticity	172
Inelasticity	172
Required Parameters	175
Determination of Parameters	176
CORRELATIONS AND RANGE OF VALUES FOR NORTH SEA CHALKS ...	177
COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS	181
CHAPTER 7: INTEGRATION OF CONSTITUTIVE RELATIONS IN	
ELASTO(VISCO)PLASTICITY	221
OVERVIEW OF INTEGRATION METHODS FOR ELASTOPLASTICITY	221
Taylor Series Expansion	223
<i>Euler Methods</i>	224
<i>Runge-Kutta Methods</i>	224
Integration Algorithms for Elastoplasticity: 1. Elastic Predictor-Plastic	
Corrector Method	225
<i>Initial Estimate for Solution</i>	226
<i>Correction of Initial Estimate and Convergence to Solution</i>	227
Integration Algorithms for Elastoplasticity: 2. Explicit Substepping	
Method	230
<i>Solution Procedure</i>	231
<i>Error Estimates and Substepping Algorithms</i>	232
Performance of Integration Procedures	236
AN INTERNALLY CONSISTENT INTEGRATION METHOD FOR CRITICAL	
STATE MODELS	237
MODIFICATIONS TO THE NEW INTEGRATION METHOD FOR THE	
RATE-DEPENDENT CHALK MODEL	237
CHAPTER 8: MODELING OF PORE FLUID DEPENDENT BEHAVIOR IN	
CHALK	251
INTRODUCTION	251
MECHANISMS OF WATER WEAKENING	252
PROPOSED CHALK MODEL AND PORE FLUID DEPENDENCE	254

PARAMETER VALUES FOR CHANGED PORE FLUID COMPOSITION	255
MODEL BEHAVIOR DUE TO CHANGE IN PORE FLUID COMPOSITION	259
COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS.....	261
DISCUSSION ON THE WATERFLOODING MODEL	263
CHAPTER 9: FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF CHALK BEHAVIOR	291
MATERIAL NONLINEARITY AND THE INITIAL STRESS METHOD	291
FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF PORE FLUID EFFECTS ON CHALK BEHAVIOR	293
FINITE ELEMENT SIMULATIONS	294
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	296
Laboratory-Scale Simulations	296
Borehole-Scale Simulations	298
Field-Scale Simulations.....	299
CHAPTER 10: SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS. AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK	321
SUMMARY	321
CONCLUSIONS	323
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK.....	323
 APPENDIX A-1: JOURNAL PAPER	 326
APPENDIX A-2: JOURNAL PAPER	374
APPENDIX B-1: CONFERENCE PAPER.....	409
APPENDIX B-2: CONFERENCE PAPER.....	417

LIST OF FIGURES

<i>Number</i>	<i>Page</i>
Figure 1.1. Microscopic chalk structures: coccospheres, coccoliths, and platelets (Sulak and Danielsen, 1989).....	7
Figure 1.2. Scanned electron microscope image of the Lixhe outcrop chalk, which has properties similar to those of a typical North Sea chalk (Risnes et al., 2003)	7
Figure 1.3. Location of petroleum reservoirs in the chalk fields of the southern North Sea (after Lind et al., 1992).....	8
Figure 1.4. Porosity and water saturation log of the Ekofisk field (after Sulak and Danielsen, 1989).....	9
Figure 1.5. Stratigraphic cross-section of the Ekofisk field and overburden (after Sulak and Danielsen, 1989).....	10
Figure 2.1. Illustration of the 3-dimensional stress invariants p , q , and θ	24
Figure 2.2. Example yield surface in stress space, showing the yield surface as the boundary between the elastic region and impossible stress space.....	25
Figure 2.3. Plastic potential surface and plastic flow direction in stress space.....	25
Figure 2.4. Main components of overstress elastoviscoplastic formulation	26
Figure 2.5. Main components of rate-type elastoviscoplastic formulation.....	26
Figure 2.6. Multiple nested yield surfaces in stress space for a multi-surface formulation	27
Figure 2.7. Main components of bounding surface formulation.....	27
Figure 3.1. Ratio between calculated elastic compliances in the radial and axial directions during hydrostatic compression tests on Valhall field chalk.....	47
Figure 3.2. Ratio between calculated elastic compliances in the radial and axial directions during hydrostatic compression tests on Valhall field chalk.....	48
Figure 3.3. Elastic modulus E decreases as elastic strain accumulates (Talesnick et al., 2001).....	49
Figure 3.4. Elastic modulus E decreases during loading cycles but recovers during unloading cycles	50-51
Figure 3.5. Static and dynamic test data from the Valhall and Hod fields show that constrained modulus M and bulk modulus K decrease with increasing porosity (Gommesen and Fabricius, 2001)	52
Figure 3.6. Test results for chalk indicate that bulk modulus K decreases as a function of porosity.....	53
Figure 3.7. Test results from individual chalk fields indicate that bulk modulus K decreases as a function of porosity	54
Figure 3.8. Hydrostatic compression test data shows the large irrecoverable strains remaining after sample unloading.....	55
Figure 3.9. K_0 compression test data shows the large irrecoverable strains remaining after sample unloading	55
Figure 3.10. Drained triaxial compression test data shows the large irrecoverable strains remaining after sample unloading.....	56

<i>Number</i>	<i>Page</i>
Figure 3.11. Stress path testing under various lateral stress ratios indicates that initial pore collapse yielding occurred at the stresses shown.....	56
Figure 3.12. Hydrostatic compression test, K_0 compression test, and triaxial compression test results indicate that initial pore collapse yielding and shear failure occurred at the stresses shown for Lixhe outcrop chalk (Collin et al., 2002).....	57
Figure 3.13. Stress-strain curves for a hydrostatic compression test show the concave-upward shape characteristic of compressible geomaterials (Homand and Shao, 2000).....	57
Figure 3.14. Stress-strain curves for oil-saturated samples subjected to triaxial compression tests at relatively high mean stress p indicate that the yielding mechanism exhibits plastic hardening (Homand and Shao, 2000)	58
Figure 3.15. The slope of the virgin compression stress-strain curves are approximately parallel for samples tested under hydrostatic and K_0 compression conditions.....	59
Figure 3.16. Isotropic preconsolidation stress decreases with increasing porosity.....	60
Figure 3.17. Isotropic preconsolidation stress decreases with increasing porosity.....	61
Figure 3.18. Chalk compressibility increases with increasing porosity, as observed in hydrostatic compression tests.....	62
Figure 3.19. Compression coefficient λ increases with increasing porosity.....	62
Figure 3.20. Mean stress decreases and plastic flow direction changes consistently as shear stress ratio changes during undrained triaxial compression loading ..	63
Figure 3.21. The steady-state shear stress ratio η ($= q/p$) decreases with increasing porosity during K_0 compression loading.....	63
Figure 3.22. The steady-state shear stress ratio decreases with increasing porosity during K_0 compression loading.....	64
Figure 3.23. Stress-strain curves for oil-saturated samples subjected to triaxial compression tests at relatively low mean stress p indicate that an ultimate strength exists but that some hardening may occur before failure (Homand and Shao, 2000).....	64
Figure 3.24. Stress paths for undrained triaxial compression tests on chalk outcrop samples shows that the failure shear stress ratio is approximately constant for a single chalk type.....	65
Figure 3.25. Stress paths for undrained triaxial compression tests on chalk reservoir samples shows that the failure shear stress ratio is nearly constant for all chalks.....	66
Figure 3.26. The uniaxial compressive strength of Cretaceous-age English outcrop chalks decreases linearly as porosity increases (Matthews and Clayton, 1993).....	66
Figure 3.27. Adjusted failure shear stress ratio decreases with increasing porosity.....	67
Figure 3.28. Adjusted failure shear stress ratio decreases with increasing porosity.....	68
Figure 3.29. Tensile strength decreases with increasing porosity.....	69
Figure 3.30. Tensile strength decreases with increasing porosity.....	70

<i>Number</i>	<i>Page</i>
Figure 3.31. Failure shear stress ratio of Lixhe and Aalborg outcrop chalks in triaxial extension appears to be constant in p - q space (Risnes et al., 1998)	71
Figure 3.32. Failure shear stress ratio of Lixhe and Aalborg outcrop chalks in triaxial extension is less than that of Butser-Hill and Stevns Klint outcrop chalks in triaxial compression, suggesting that Mohr-Coulomb third-invariant model may apply	71
Figure 3.33. Relative shapes of various 3 rd -invariant constitutive models in the π -plane	72
Figure 3.34. True triaxial test results and triaxial extension test results from multiple chalk fields and outcrops indicate that chalk strength decreases continuously as Lode angle θ increases	73
Figure 3.35. Multiple yield mechanisms and yield surfaces for chalk in p - q space.....	74
Figure 3.36. Creep phases of hydrostatic compression tests performed on Lixhe chalk indicate that creep behavior depends on the loading history (DeGennaro et al., 2003)	75
Figure 3.37. K_0 compression tests with creep phases reveals variability in creep behavior	76
Figure 3.38. K_0 compression tests with σ_1 -constant creep phases reveals variability in creep behavior	77
Figure 3.39. K_0 compression tests with stress relaxation phases shows aspects of creep behavior	78
Figure 3.40. Stress-strain curves for hydrostatic compression tests performed on Pietra Lecesse outcrop chalks with different degrees of water saturation show that isotropic preconsolidation stress and elastic modulus decrease as water saturation increases (Papamichos et al., 1997)	79
Figure 3.41. Stress-strain curves for water-saturated chalks subjected to triaxial compression at high mean stress p exhibit similar behavior to oil-saturated chalk, but yield stress and elastic modulus decrease (Homand and Shao, 2000)	79
Figure 3.42. Stress-strain curves for water-saturated chalks subjected to triaxial compression at low mean stress p exhibit similar behavior to oil-saturated chalk, but yield stress and elastic modulus decrease (Homand and Shao, 2000)	80
Figure 3.43. Water-saturated samples of Haubourdin chalk are weaker than oil-saturated samples (Schroeder and Shao, 1996)	81
Figure 3.44. Fracture surfaces on Lagerdorf chalk are stiffer and stronger when oil-saturated than when water-saturated (Gutierrez et al., 2000).....	82
Figure 3.45. Initial yield surface for oil-saturated Lixhe chalk shows much greater initial strength than that for water-saturated chalk, in pore collapse and in shear (Homand and Shao, 2000).....	83
Figure 3.46. Typical initial yield surfaces for chalk with various saturating fluids.....	83
Figure 3.47. Dependence of chalk strength on pore fluid may be related to water content of pore fluid or to water activity (Risnes et al., 2004).....	84-85

<i>Number</i>	<i>Page</i>
Figure 3.48. Strain gauges move in sequence as water front advances, indicating that axial strains and plastic behavior are affected immediately by change in pore fluid (Schroeder et al., 1998).....	86
Figure 3.49. Creep testing of Haubourdin chalk shows that time-dependent behavior is also dependent on pore fluid (Schroeder and Shao, 1996).....	87
Figure 3.50. Replacement of oil as pore fluid by water during creep phase of K_0 compression test changes time-dependent behavior of chalk.....	88
Figure 3.51. Orientation of major compressive stress relative to bedding planes in triaxial compression influences stress-strain behavior for Tournemire shale (Niandou et al., 1997).....	89
Figure 3.52. Shear strength in triaxial compression is relatively independent of bedding direction for Tournemire shale under high confining stress (Niandou et al., 1997).....	90
Figure 3.53. Repeated loading-unloading cycles indicate that inelastic strains accumulate in Red Wildmoor sandstone long before shear failure (Papamichos et al., 2000).....	91
Figure 3.54. Plastic flow direction in Mushan sandstone varies as shearing proceeds (Jeng et al., 2002).....	92
Figure 3.55. Soft rocks exhibit regular behavior under various strain rates including creep phases (Hayano et al., 2001).....	93
Figure 3.56. Oil-saturated Red Wildmoor sandstone exhibits higher shear strength than water-saturated sandstone in triaxial compression (Papamichos et al., 2000).....	94
Figure 4.1. Elastoplastic model of DeGennaro et al. (2003), Collin et al. (2002), Datcheva et al. (2001), shown in the meridian plane and in the π -plane (Van Eekelen surface).....	105
Figure 4.2. Elastoplastic model of Homand and Shao (2000), shown in the meridian plane.....	106
Figure 4.3. Elastoplastic model of Gutierrez (1998, 2000), shown in the meridian plane and in the π -plane (William-Warnke surface).....	107
Figure 4.4. Elastoplastic model of Papamichos et al. (1997), shown in the meridian plane.....	108
Figure 4.5. Elastoplastic model of Plischke (1994, 1996) shown in the meridian plane (Plischke, 1996).....	108
Figure 4.6. Elastoplastic model of Foged et al. (1995) shown in the meridian plane (Foged et al., 1995).....	109
Figure 4.7. Elastoplastic model of Abdurraheem et al. (1993) shown in the meridian plane.....	109
Figure 4.8. Elastoplastic model of Shao and Henry (1991), shown in the meridian plane and in the π -plane (Lade-Duncan surface).....	110
Figure 4.9. Overstress elastoviscoplasticity model of DeGennaro et al. (2003) and Datcheva et al. (2001), showing that reference surface has similar shape to yield surface or plastic potential surface.....	111

<i>Number</i>	<i>Page</i>
Figure 4.10.	Rate-type elastoviscoplasticity model of Gutierrez (1999) shows that volumetric age and viscoplastic strain rate depend on distance between the stress point and the pore collapse yield surface 111
Figure 4.11.	Rate-type elastoviscoplastic model of deWaal (1986) is similar to that of Bjerrum (1967), but is based on the principle of equal stress rates instead of time-lines and equivalent viscoplastic strain rates (deWaal and Smits, 1998) 112
Figure 4.12.	Hyperbolic time-dependent model is characterized by a limiting strain value 113
Figure 4.13.	Components of the Barcelona Basic Model (Alonso et al., 1990) applied to chalk behavior in the models of DeGennaro et al. (2003) and Collin et al. (2002) 114
Figure 4.14.	Pore fluid-dependent model of Homand and Shao (2000) differs from the Barcelona Basic Model because the yield surface changes abruptly when water saturation increases to the threshold value 115
Figure 4.15.	The pore fluid-dependent model of Piau and Maury changes the size of the yield surfaces as a function of water saturation, including changes in preconsolidation stress, friction angle, and critical shear stress ratio (Maury and Piau, 1996)..... 115
Figure 5.1.	Illustration of elements in basic one-dimensional time-lines model 144
Figure 5.2.	Effects of the nonlinearity parameter A on the secant slope λ of the reference time-line, and the shape of the reference time-line 145
Figure 5.3.	Viscoplastic potential surface for 3-dimensional rate-dependent model 146
Figure 5.4.	Three-dimensional time-lines model 147
Figure 5.5.	Simulation of strain-rate controlled 1-dimensional hydrostatic compression tests under various volumetric strain rates, showing effects of strain rate on stress-strain behavior 148
Figure 5.6.	Simulation of stress-rate controlled 1-dimensional hydrostatic compression tests under various mean stress rates, showing effects of stress rate on stress-strain behavior..... 148
Figure 5.7.	Simulation of strain-rate controlled K_0 compression tests under various axial strain rates, showing effects of strain rate..... 149
Figure 5.8.	Simulation of strain-rate controlled undrained triaxial compression tests under various axial strain rates, showing effects of strain rate..... 150
Figure 5.9.	Simulation of strain-rate controlled drained triaxial compression tests under various axial strain rates, showing effects of strain rate..... 151
Figure 5.10.	Simulation of strain-rate controlled 1-dimensional hydrostatic compression tests when the volumetric strain rate undergoes step changes 152
Figure 5.11.	Simulation of strain-rate controlled K_0 compression tests when the axial strain rate undergoes step changes 153
Figure 5.12.	Simulation of strain-rate controlled undrained triaxial compression tests when the axial strain rate undergoes step changes 154
Figure 5.13.	Simulation of strain-rate controlled drained triaxial compression tests when the axial strain rate undergoes step changes 155

<i>Number</i>	<i>Page</i>
Figure 5.14. Copy of Figure 5.5	156
Figure 5.15. Apparent yield stress varies as a power function of applied strain for constant-rate-of-strain tests	156
Figure 5.16. Apparent yield stress varies as a power function of applied strain for constant-rate-of-strain tests (after PASACHALK, 2004)	157
Figure 5.17. Observed and simulated data for creep phase 1 of K_0 compression test on Stevns Klint chalk (File 462)	158-159
Figure 5.18. Observed and simulated data for creep phase 1 of K_0 compression test on Stevns Klint chalk (File 463)	160-161
Figure 5.19. Observed and simulated data for creep phase 1 of K_0 compression test on South Arne chalk (File 400)	162-163
Figure 5.20. Observed and simulated data for creep phase 1 of K_0 compression test on South Arne chalk (File 412)	164-168
Figure 5.21. Observed and simulated data for isotropic compression test on Lixhe chalk (Test T2)	169
Figure 5.22. Observed and simulated data for isotropic compression tests on Lixhe chalk (Tests T4 and T5)	170
Figure 6.1 Viscoplastic potential surface and failure surfaces for the rate-dependent chalk model	187-188
Figure 6.2. Determination of elastic coefficients required for the chalk model	189
Figure 6.3. Determination of compression parameters (compression coefficient λ and reference time-line anchor N) from hydrostatic compression test data	190
Figure 6.4. Determination of rate-dependent parameters (creep parameter ψ and minimum volumetric age $t_{v,\min}$) from σ -constant creep test data under K_0 compression conditions	190
Figure 6.5. Determination of shear strength parameters (adjusted failure shear stress ratio $\bar{\eta}_f$ and attraction a) from triaxial compression test data	191
Figure 6.6. Determination of third-invariant parameter (William-Warnke scaling parameter k) from triaxial compression and triaxial extension test data	191
Figure 6.7. Determination of elliptical cap parameters (critical state slope M and eccentricity parameter R) from triaxial compression test data	192
Figure 6.8. Correlation between porosity n and bulk modulus K for oil- and gas-saturated chinks	193
Figure 6.9. Poisson's ratio ν falls within a narrow range for North Sea oil-saturated chinks, but does not vary systematically with porosity	193
Figure 6.10. Correlation between porosity n and preconsolidation stress p_c for oil-saturated chinks	194
Figure 6.11. Attraction a for composite yield envelope for all North Sea chinks is approximately 4 MPa, but decreases to 1.2 MPa if only deep-sea chinks are considered	195
Figure 6.12. Correlation between porosity n and adjusted failure shear stress ratio $\bar{\eta}_f$..	196
Figure 6.13. Correlation between porosity n and K_0 adjusted shear stress ratio $\bar{\eta}_{K_0}$ in K_0 compression	197

<i>Number</i>	<i>Page</i>
Figure 6.14.	Correlation between porosity n and tensile strength p_t197
Figure 6.15.	For oil-saturated chalk, the values of creep parameter ψ and minimum volumetric age $t_{v,\min}$ show no correlation with water saturation or porosity 198
Figure 6.16.	For oil-saturated chalk, a positive correlation appears to exist between the creep parameter ψ and minimum volumetric age $t_{v,\min}$199
Figure 6.17.	Experimental and simulated stress-strain curves for Ekofisk A chalk under cycled hydrostatic compression200
Figure 6.18.	Experimental and simulated stress-strain curves and stress paths for Ekofisk A chalk under K_0 compression201
Figure 6.19.	Experimental and simulated axial and radial stress-strain curves for Ekofisk A chalk under drained triaxial compression.....202
Figure 6.20.	Experimental and simulated axial and radial stress-strain curves, and stress paths for Ekofisk A chalk during overconsolidated, undrained triaxial compression.....203
Figure 6.21.	Experimental and simulated axial and radial stress-strain curves, and stress paths for Ekofisk A chalk during overconsolidated, undrained triaxial compression.....204
Figure 6.22.	Experimental and simulated axial and radial stress-strain curves for Ekofisk A chalk under Stress Path 5 loading205
Figure 6.23.	Experimental and simulated stress-strain curves for Valhall (Hod 1 Fm.) chalk under cycled hydrostatic compression.....206
Figure 6.24.	Experimental and simulated stress-strain curves for Valhall (Tor Fm.) chalk under cycled hydrostatic compression.....206
Figure 6.25.	Experimental and simulated stress-strain curves and stress paths for Valhall (Hod 1 Fm.) chalk under K_0 compression.....207
Figure 6.26.	Experimental and simulated stress-strain curves and stress paths for Valhall (Tor Fm.) chalk under K_0 compression.....208
Figure 6.27.	Experimental and simulated axial and radial stress-strain curves for Valhall (Hod 1 Fm.) chalk under drained triaxial compression209
Figure 6.28.	Experimental and simulated axial and radial stress-strain curves for Valhall (Tor Fm.) chalk under drained triaxial compression with reloading210
Figure 6.29.	Experimental and simulated axial and radial stress-strain curves, and stress paths for Valhall (Hod 1 Fm.) chalk during overconsolidated, undrained triaxial compression.....211
Figure 6.30.	Experimental and simulated axial and radial stress-strain curves, and stress paths for Valhall (Tor Fm.) chalk during undrained triaxial compression.....212
Figure 6.31.	Experimental and simulated axial and radial stress-strain curves for Valhall (Tor Fm.) chalk under Stress Path 5 loading.....213
Figure 6.32.	Experimental and simulated stress-strain curves for Dan chalk under cycled hydrostatic compression.....214
Figure 6.33.	Experimental and simulated stress-strain curves and stress paths for Dan chalk under K_0 compression215

<i>Number</i>	<i>Page</i>
Figure 6.34. Experimental and simulated axial and radial stress-strain curves for Dan chalk under drained triaxial compression.....	216
Figure 6.35. Experimental and simulated axial and radial stress-strain curves for Dan chalk under drained triaxial compression.....	217
Figure 6.36. Experimental and simulated axial and radial stress-strain curves, and stress paths for Dan chalk during overconsolidated, undrained triaxial compression	218
Figure 6.37. Experimental and simulated axial and radial stress-strain curves, and stress paths for Dan chalk during undrained triaxial compression	219
Figure 6.38. Experimental and simulated axial stress-strain curves for Dan chalk under Stress Path 5 loading	220
Figure 7.1. Illustration of the elastic predictor-plastic corrector as a return algorithm ..	247
Figure 7.2. Use of the Newton-Raphson method for a function of one variable	247
Figure 7.3. Illustration of potentially non-convergent region in stress space	248
Figure 7.4. Use of the line search procedure to limit incremental corrector step lengths	249
Figure 7.5. Illustration of the cutting plane method for a nonhardening yield surface (Ortiz and Simo, 1986).....	250
Figure 8.1. Suction, defined as the difference in pressures between the nonwetting fluid and the wetting fluid, increases as water content decreases for partially saturated Lixhe chalk (PASACHALK, 2004)	269
Figure 8.2. Correlation between porosity n and bulk modulus K for oil-saturated chalks and water- or brine-saturated chalks	270
Figure 8.3. Variation in bulk modulus indicates that for partially saturated chalks, the variability with water saturation is most pronounced at low water saturations; for water-glycol saturated chalks, the variability with water activity is relatively uniform	271
Figure 8.4. Correlation between porosity n and preconsolidation stress p_c	272
Figure 8.5. The slope of the best-fit line to strain rate-yield stress data in log-log space for constant-rate-of-strain tests is proportional to the creep parameter ψ	273
Figure 8.6. The variation of preconsolidation stress p_c with water saturation is very similar for partially saturated chalks and multiphase oil-water saturated chalks.....	274
Figure 8.7. The variability of unconfined compressive strength with water saturation fits exactly the same trend as the preconsolidation stress data.....	275
Figure 8.8. Dependency of Poisson's ratio on pore fluid composition is unclear.....	276
Figure 8.9. Correlation between porosity n and compression coefficient λ for water-saturated chalks only; for oil-saturated chalks and water-saturated chalks..	277
Figure 8.10. Original relative positions of the reference time-line anchors N for various pore fluids.....	278
Figure 8.11. For identical chalk samples with different pore fluids loaded in hydrostatic compression, water-saturated chalk yields at a lesser mean stress than oil-saturated chalk.....	278

<i>Number</i>	<i>Page</i>
Figure 8.12. Data from Tyra field chalk illustrating behavior shown schematically in Figure 8.11	279
Figure 8.13. Volumetric age decreases and creep rate increases as water saturation increases under constant stress	280
Figure 8.14. The size and shape of the yield surfaces and reference time-line changes instantly as the pore fluid composition changes	281
Figure 8.15. Waterflooding of an oil-saturated chalk under near-shear failure conditions causes a significant stress change $\Delta\sigma_{ij}$ due to the corresponding loss of shear strength.....	281
Figure 8.16. Waterflooding of an oil-saturated chalk under near-tensile failure conditions causes a stress change $\Delta\sigma_{ij}$ due to the corresponding loss of tensile strength	282
Figure 8.17. Results of simulated waterflooding test on Stevns Klint outcrop chalk	283
Figure 8.18. Results of simulated waterflooding test on Stevns Klint outcrop chalk	284
Figure 8.19. Results of simulated K_0 compression test on water-saturated Tyra field chalk	285
Figure 8.20. Results of simulated K_0 compression test on oil-saturated Tyra field chalk	286
Figure 8.21. Results of simulated waterflooding test on Tyra field chalk	287
Figure 8.22. Results of simulated waterflooding test on Tyra field chalk	288
Figure 8.23. Results of simulated waterflooding test on Tyra field chalk	289
Figure 8.24. Results of simulated waterflooding test on Valhall field chalk	290
Figure 9.1. Schematic representation of initial stress method in finite element analysis of nonlinear materials	302
Figure 9.2. Schematic representation illustrating the concept of “equivalent uniform water saturation.”	303
Figure 9.3. Geometry for laboratory-scale simulations	304
Figure 9.4. Geometry for borehole-scale simulations	305
Figure 9.5. Geometry for field-scale simulations	306
Figure 9.6. Positions of “strain gauges” for the laboratory-scale simulations	307
Figure 9.7. Strain histories at various localized intervals for a “fast” waterflooding test under near- K_0 stress conditions	308
Figure 9.8. Strain histories at various localized intervals for a “fast” waterflooding test under hydrostatic stress conditions.....	309
Figure 9.9. History of void space distribution during a “fast” waterflooding test under near- K_0 stress conditions	310
Figure 9.10. History of void space distribution during a “fast” waterflooding test under hydrostatic stress conditions	310
Figure 9.11. Strain histories at various localized intervals for a “slow” waterflooding test simulation under near- K_0 stress conditions, for a 20-element mesh.....	311
Figure 9.12. Strain histories for a “slow” waterflooding test simulation under near- K_0 stress conditions, for a 1-element mesh	312
Figure 9.13. History of void space distribution during a “slow” waterflooding test simulation under near- K_0 stress conditions, for a 20-element mesh.....	312

<i>Number</i>	<i>Page</i>
Figure 9.14. Comparison of average strain histories for a “slow” waterflooding test simulation under near- K_0 stress conditions, for a 1-element mesh and a 20-element mesh	313
Figure 9.15. Stress distributions at the end of the simulation for the case in which waterflooding causes material weakening only	313
Figure 9.16. Stress distributions at the end of the simulation for the case in which waterflooding causes pore pressure increase only	314
Figure 9.17. Stress distributions at the end of the simulation for the case in which waterflooding causes both pore pressure increase and material weakening	314
Figure 9.18. Comparison of radial stress distributions for three simulations in which waterflooding has different effects	315
Figure 9.19. Comparison of tangential stress distributions for three simulations in which waterflooding has different effects	315
Figure 9.20. Comparison of vertical stress distributions for three simulations in which waterflooding has different effects	316
Figure 9.21. Compaction and subsidence profiles for the Ekofisk field for the case without water-weakening	317
Figure 9.22. Compaction and subsidence history for the Ekofisk field for the case without water-weakening	318
Figure 9.23. Compaction and subsidence profiles for the Ekofisk field for the case with water-weakening	319
Figure 9.24. Compaction and subsidence history for the Ekofisk field for the case with water-weakening	320
Figure 10.1. New results indicate that the viscoplastic potential surface may not be symmetric about the p -axis (PASACHALK, 2004)	325

LIST OF TABLES

<i>Number</i>	<i>Page</i>
Table 4.1.	Summary of Elasto(visco)plastic Constitutive Models for Chalk95-98
Table 5.1.	Material property values and initial conditions for the comparison of one-dimensional time integration methods142
Table 5.2.	Error analysis for single-step creep simulation ($\Delta t = 5$ days)142
Table 5.3.	Error analysis for single-step stress-relaxation simulation ($\Delta t = 1$ day)142
Table 5.4.	Three-dimensional material parameters and initial conditions for strain-rate-controlled simulations142
Table 5.5.	Material property values for simulations and comparison with experimental data for Stevens Klint outcrop chalk143
Table 5.6.	Material property values for simulations and comparison with experimental data for South Arne field chalk143
Table 5.7.	Material property values for simulations and comparison with experimental data for Lixhe outcrop chalk143
Table 6.1.	Required parameters for the rate-dependent constitutive model184
Table 6.2.	Values of required model parameters for simulations on Ekofisk A field chalk184
Table 6.3.	Values of required model parameters for simulations on Valhall field chalk185
Table 6.4.	Values of required model parameters for simulations on Dan field chalk...186
Table 8.1.	Comparison of shear strength data for oil- and water-saturated chinks267
Table 8.2.	Values of the creep parameter ψ for chinks under oil- and water-saturated conditions267
Table 8.3.	Values of the compression coefficient λ for chinks under oil- and water-saturated conditions267
Table 8.4.	Values for the model parameters for waterflooding simulations on Stevens Klint outcrop chalk267
Table 8.5.	Values for the model parameters for waterflooding simulations on Tyra field chalk268
Table 8.6.	Values for the model parameters for waterflooding simulation on Valhall field chalk268
Table 9.1.	Values for the model parameters for the laboratory-scale waterflooding simulations301
Table 9.2.	Values for the model parameters for the borehole-scale waterflooding simulations301
Table 9.3.	Values for the model parameters for the field-scale simulations301