

**Population biology of *Cryphonectria parasitica* infected with
Cryphonectria hypovirus 1 on American chestnut trees**

by

Eric P. Hogan

Dissertation submitted to the Faculty of the Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State
University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of:

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

PLANT PATHOLOGY PHYSIOLOGY AND WEED SCIENCE

Dr. Gary J. Griffin, Chairperson

Dr. R. Jay Stipes

Dr. John R. Elkins

Dr. John M. McDowell

Dr. Jon D. Eisenback

September, 2006
Blacksburg, Virginia

Keywords: *Cryphonectria parasitica*, chestnut, trees, *Cryphonectria hypovirus 1*, fungi, cankers, spread, CHV1-Euro7, intermediate phenotype, resistance, colony morphology, functional mycelium units, temperature, hypovirus movement, reproduction, stromata, population biology

Population biology of *Cryphonectria parasitica* infected with *Cryphonectria hypovirus 1* on American chestnut trees

Eric P. Hogan

ABSTRACT

In the early 1900's the American chestnut (*Castanea dentata* (Marsh.) Borkh.) was nearly destroyed by the introduction of the orange-pigmented, chestnut blight fungus (*Cryphonectria parasitica* (Murr.) Barr). Chestnut blight is less severe in Europe, where hypovirulent (= reduced virulence) strains of the fungus are found to be associated with healing cankers. These European hypovirulent strains are infected with a dsRNA virus, *Cryphonectria hypovirus 1* (CHV1), and have a white phenotype when grown in culture. Transmission of CHV1 in *C. parasitica* is limited by incompatibility between isolates in different vegetative compatibility (vc) types. In 1982-83, naturally formed blight cankers on American chestnut grafts, derived from large survivors, were inoculated with a mixture of four European (white) hypovirulent strains of *C. parasitica*. After 14 years the white strains were recovered throughout the inoculated grafts, which had low levels of blight damage. CHV1 had infected at least 45 new vc types, and was present in four different fungal colony morphology groups, including one type that had intermediate or partial pigmentation. However, CHV1 was unable to move throughout a single vc type within a natural canker. The objectives of this study were: 1) to determine the frequency and phenotypic diversity of CHV1-infected *C. parasitica* isolates recovered from stromata and canker tissue from natural cankers on the grafted American chestnut trees and artificially established cankers on forest American chestnuts; 2) to determine the presence or absence of CHV1 in intermediate-pigmented isolates recovered from the American chestnut research plots; 3) to investigate the roles of colony age, resistance to hypovirus infection, and functional mycelial units in the failure of CHV1 to move throughout a vc type of *C. parasitica* *in vitro*, and; 4) to examine the role of low temperatures and a high elevation topographic site on CHV1 survival within *C. parasitica* colonies *in vivo* and *in vitro*. The results indicated that there was no direct correlation between the amount of colony pigmentation and the presence of dsRNA. Within each of the three colony phenotype categories (pigmented, intermediate and white), several *C. parasitica* isolates tested positive for the presence of CHV1. This presence of CHV1 in intermediate isolates, coupled with the relatively large number of intermediate isolates collected from stromata on cankers, indicates that intermediate isolates may perform an important, and previously overlooked, function in biological control of chestnut blight. In this study, all CHV1 movement trials indicated that the age of the *C. parasitica* colony limited the movement of CHV1 throughout the colony. The majority of the CHV1 movement through a *C. parasitica* colony occurred between 0 and 7 days following challenge with an isogenic CHV1-infected strain. Isolation data using a lattice grid did not indicate a consistent pattern of CHV1 movement throughout a *C. parasitica* colony. Low temperatures associated with high altitude had no effect on hypovirus survival *in vivo* or *in vitro*. Additionally, no long-term *C. parasitica* resistance to CHV1 infection or movement was identified in this study. This research has identified new insights into CHV1 spread and survival that may be important in understanding the role of CHV1 in the biological control of chestnut blight.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Dr. Gary Griffin who has been a tremendous advisor to me throughout my M.S. and Ph.D. work. He has taught me many things that continually serve me in my academic career and in life. I would like to thank the ACCF for the financial support they gave to me throughout my time at Virginia Tech. I would also like to thank my friends and family who have supported me for so long. My parents and brother have loved me and kept me emotionally and spiritually grounded. I thank my dearest love Amy for her patience, understanding compassion and inspiration. She makes me want to be a better person everyday of my life. I thank my friends here at Virginia Tech and those elsewhere for the times of laughter and joy that I will remember all my life. Lastly I would like to thank Father, Son and Holy Spirit, from whom all blessings flow. God's love for me during my time at Virginia Tech has been life changing.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE.....	i
ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF TABLES	vii
Chapter 1 LITERATURE REVIEW	1
Chapter 2 Importance of <i>Cryphonectria parasitica</i> intermediate phenotype isolates, stromata production, and other fungal reproductive canker characteristics in spread of CHV1-Euro7 hypoviruses on American chestnut trees at the Lesesne State Forest and the Jefferson National Forest	12
2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	13
2.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	
2.2.1 Inoculation of CHV1-containing isolates in natural cankers on grafted American chestnut trees at Lesesne State Forest and establishment of artificial cankers on natural American chestnut sprouts at Paint Bank research plots	15
2.2.2 Isolation of <i>C. parasitica</i> from superficial cankers on Paint Bank clear-cut American chestnut sprouts and Lesesne grafted American chestnut trees.....	16
2.2.3 Single-spore cultures.....	17
2.2.4 Identification of cultural characteristics of <i>C. parasitica</i>	18
2.2.5 Vegetative compatibility tests on white and pigmented <i>C. parasitica</i> isolates.....	19
2.2.6 dsRNA extraction assays on <i>C. parasitica</i> isolates	19
2.3 RESULTS	
2.3.1 Recovery of <i>C. parasitica</i> isolates from stromata on superficial cankers on forest clear-cut American chestnut sprouts at Paint Bank and grafted American chestnut trees at Lesesne State Forest.....	22
2.3.2 Recovery of <i>C. parasitica</i> isolates from bark cores on superficial cankers on forest clear-cut American chestnut sprouts at Paint Bank and grafted American chestnut trees at Lesesne State Forest.....	33
2.3.3 Extraction trials for dsRNA performed on white, intermediate, and pigmented <i>C. parasitica</i> isolates collected from stromata, bark-core or laboratory strains.....	38
2.4 DISCUSSION.....	45

Chapter 3 Examination of the roles of colony age, resistance, functional mycelium units and low temperature in the survival and failure of CHV1-Euro7 hypoviruses to move throughout a vegetative compatibility type of <i>C. parasitica</i>	49
3.1 INTRODUCTION	50
3.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	
3.2.1 Preparation of Chestnut Bark Agar (CBA).....	53
3.2.2 Evaluation of CHV1 movement <i>in vitro</i> using the hypovirulence conversion-plate format	53
3.2.3 Evaluation of CHV1 movement throughout a whole colony of <i>C parasitica in vitro</i>	54
3.2.4 Evaluation of CHV1 movement <i>in vitro</i> throughout 54-day-old <i>C. parasitica</i> colonies	55
3.2.5 Evaluation of resistance to hypovirus infection to the failure of CHV1 to move through a <i>C. parasitica</i> vegetative compatibility type colony <i>in vitro</i>	56
3.2.6 Examination of the role of low temperatures and forest site elevation on CHV1 survival within <i>C. parasitica</i> colonies <i>in vivo</i> and <i>in vitro</i>	57
3.3 RESULTS	
3.3.1 Evaluation of CHV1 movement <i>in vitro</i> throughout a <i>C. parasitica</i> colony (whole-colony and hypovirulence-conversion tests)	59
3.3.2 Evaluation of CHV1 movement <i>in vitro</i> throughout 54-day-old <i>C. parasitica</i> colonies	64
3.3.3 Evaluation of resistance in <i>C. parasitica</i> to CHV1 transmission <i>in vitro</i>	71
3.3.4 Effect of low temperature on CHV1 survival in a <i>C. parasitica</i> canker colony.....	73
3.3.5 <i>In vitro</i> low temperature tests with <i>C. parasitica</i> hypovirulent strain Ep-713	73
3.4 DISCUSSION	76
Chapter 4 LITERATURE CITED	85
APPENDIX	92
VITA	105

LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER 2

- Figure 2.1** Colony phenotype and dsRNA band gel intensity for eight representative *C. parasitica* isolates44

CHAPTER 3

- Figure 3.1** Hypovirus movement through a whole colony *C. parasitica* Petri plate colony over time61
- Figure 3.2** Hypovirus movement through a whole colony *C. parasitica* Petri plate colony over time represented by individual replication and mean data62
- Figure 3.3** Pattern and extent of CHV1 movement throughout *C. parasitica* colonies, indicated by white lattice cells, at 7, 14 and 21 days after being challenged with an isogenic CHV1-containing *C. parasitica* isolate.....63
- Figure 3.4** Average movement of CHV1 through 3, 4, 5 and 6 day-old hypovirus-free TG-303 48 and RML-470 8 *C. parasitica* colonies using the hypovirulence-conversion format test.66
- Figure 3.5** Hypovirus movement using hypovirulence-conversion plate cultures on chestnut bark agar (CBA).....67
- Figure 3.6** Hypovirus movement into young and 54-day-old mycelium of RML-470 8, TG-303 48, and THL-230f *C. parasitica* Petri plate colonies.....69

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER 2

Table 2.1	Total stromata and <i>C. parasitica</i> isolates collected from branches and stems of TH, RM and TG grafted American chestnut trees at Lesesne State Forest.....	23
Table 2.2	Total number of stromata sampled and white, pigmented and intermediate <i>C. parasitica</i> isolates recovered at the Lesesne State Forest chestnut plantation	24
Table 2.3	Total number of artificially established cankers examined for the presence of stromata, number of stroma, percentage of <i>C. parasitica</i> isolates recovered from stromata, and the number of <i>C. parasitica</i> isolates for each Italian <i>C. parasitica</i> strain recovered from excised stromata on the cankers at the Paint Bank research plot.....	25
Table 2.4	Number of stromata isolated at Paint Bank research plots and the percentage of <i>C. parasitica</i> isolates recovered from stromata.....	27
Table 2.5	Isolates of <i>C. parasitica</i> recovered from stromata collected from Ep-47('99), Ep-49('99), Ep-49(CM1), Ep-49(CM3) and Ep-51W artificially established cankers at Paint Bank research plots.....	28
Table 2.6	Stromata assays: total number and overall percentage of <i>C. parasitica</i> isolates collected from stromata at Paint Bank research plot, each classified as to the white, pigmented or intermediate isolate phenotype	29
Table 2.7	Single-spore isolates from stromata collected from hypovirulent-strain-established cankers on American chestnut trees in the Paint Bank research plots.....	31
Table 2.8	Vegetative compatibility tests for pigmented bark-core and stromata <i>C. parasitica</i> isolates recovered from artificially established cankers at the Paint Bank research plots.....	32
Table 2.9	Number of bark cores isolated at Paint Bank research plots from each of the artificially established cankers and the percentage of <i>C. parasitica</i> isolates recovered	34
Table 2.10	Isolates of <i>C. parasitica</i> recovered from bark cores collected from Ep-47('99), Ep-49('99), Ep-49(CM1), Ep-49(CM3) and Ep-51W artificially established cankers on American chestnut trees at Paint Bank research plots	35-36

Table 2.11	Bark-core assays: total number and overall percentage of <i>C. parasitica</i> isolates collected from bark cores at Paint Bank research plot, each classified as to the white, pigmented or intermediate phenotype.....	37
Table 2.12	Total <i>C. parasitica</i> isolates from field or laboratory collected and tested for the presence of dsRNA	39
Table 2.13	Evaluation of dsRNA in white, pigmented and intermediate isolates of <i>C. parasitica</i> isolates from the field or laboratory	40-41
Table 2.14	Total white, pigmented and intermediate <i>C. parasitica</i> isolates of different colony type tested for the presence of dsRNA.....	42

CHAPTER 3

Table 3.1	Movement of CHV1 into virus-lacking colonies of <i>C. parasitica</i> 7, 14, and 21 days past colony challenge with an isogenic CHV1-containing <i>C. parasitica</i> strain	60
Table 3.2	Percentage of white isolates collected from <i>C. parasitica</i> colonies challenged with an isogenic CHV1-infected <i>C. parasitica</i> strain using the hypovirulence-conversion format method.....	65
Table 3.3	Percentage of white isolates collected from hypovirulence conversion-plate tests with RML-470 8 and TG-303 48 <i>C. parasitica</i> cultures.....	68
Table 3.4	Movement of CHV1 into 54-day-old hypovirus-free colonies following challenge with an isogenic CHV1-containing <i>C. parasitica</i> strain.....	70
Table 3.5	Hypovirulence conversion tests on <i>C. parasitica</i> isolates with possible resistance.....	72
Table 3.6	Phenotype of isolates collected at Paint Bank research plots following at least 24 hr. of -10°C or lower temperature	75