

## REFERENCES

- Alderman, D. T. (2000). *A comparison study of relationships of 4/4 block scheduled schools and 7-period traditional scheduled schools on the Standards of Learning Tests for Virginia public secondary schools*. (Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia).
- Angola High School. (1997). *4 x 4 block scheduling at Angola High School: A statistical report*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Midwest Educational Research Association, Chicago, Illinois.
- Arnold, D. E. (1998). *Comparison of achievement in 7 A/B block scheduled schools and 7-period traditional scheduled schools in Virginia*. (Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia).
- Bateson, D. J. (1990). Science achievement in semester and all-year courses. *Journal of Research and Science Teaching*, 27, (3), 233-240.
- Bloom, B. S., Englehart, M. B., Furst, E. J., Hill, W. H. & Krathwohl, D. R. (1956). *Taxonomy of educational objectives. The classification of educational goals. Handbook I: Cognitive Domain*. New York: Longman Green.
- Bloom, B. S. (1974). Time and learning. *American Psychologist*, 29, 682-688.
- Brown, A. & Schatten, E. (2000). *Block scheduling evaluation report*. Fort Lauderdale, FL: The School Board of Broward County, Florida.
- Canady, R. L. & Rettig, M. D. (1995). *Block scheduling: A catalyst for change in high schools*. Princeton, NJ: Eye on Education.
- Canady, R. L. & Rettig, M. D., Eds. (1996). *Teaching in the block: Strategies for engaging active learners*. Larchmont, NY: Eye on Education.

- Carroll, J. B. (1963). A model for school learning. *Teachers College Record*, 64, 723-733.
- Carroll, J. M. (1994). Organizing time to support learning: Author of Copernican Plan says 'Macro Scheduling brings time-tested benefits to student growth.' *The School Administrator*, 51 (3), 26-33.
- Center for Applied Research and Educational Improvement. (1995). *Report study of the four period schedule for Anoka-Henepin District No. 11*. Minneapolis, MN: Author.
- Cobb, R. B., Abate, S., & Baker, D. (1999, February 8). Effects on students of a 4 x 4 junior high school block scheduling program. *Educational Policy Analysis Archives*, 7 (3). Retrieved July 11, 2002, from <http://epaa.asu.edu/epaa/v7n3.html>.
- Commonwealth of Virginia. (1995). *Standards of Learning for Virginia public schools*. Richmond, VA: Virginia Department of Education.
- Commonwealth of Virginia (1998). *Virginia Standards of Learning assessments: Sample items for end-of-course tests*. Richmond, VA: Virginia Department of Education.
- Deuel, L. S. (1999). Block scheduling in large, urban high schools: Effects on academic achievement, student behavior, and staff perceptions. *The High School Journal*, 83 (1), 14-25.
- Edwards, Jr., C. M. (1993). The four-period day: Restructuring to improve student performance. *NASSP Bulletin*, 77 (553), 77-88.
- Eineder, D. V., & Bishop, H. L. (1997). Block scheduling in the high school: The effects on achievement, behavior, and student-teacher relationships. *NASSP Bulletin*, 81 (589), 45-54.
- Elmore, R. F. (1995). Structural reform and educational practice. *Educational Researcher*, 24 (9), 23-26.

- Gall, M. D., Borg, W. R., & Gall, J. P. (1996). *Educational research: An introduction*. 6<sup>th</sup> edition. White Plains, NY: Longman.
- Gilkey, S. N., & Hunt, C. H. (1998). *Teaching mathematics in the block*. Larchmont, NY: Eye on Education.
- Gruber, C. D., & Onwuegbuzie, A. J. (2001). Effects of block scheduling on academic achievement among high school students. *The High School Journal*, 84 (4), 32-41.
- Guskey, T. R., & Kifer, E. (1995, April). *Evaluation of a high school block schedule restructuring program*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco, California.
- Huck, S. W. (2000). *Reading statistics and research*. New York: Longman.
- Lawrence, W. W., & McPherson, D. D. (2000). A comparative study of block scheduling and traditional scheduling on academic achievement. *Journal of Instructional Psychology*, 27 (3), 178 – 182.
- Marshak, D. (1997). *Action research on block scheduling*. Larchmont, NY: Eye on Education.
- McCreary, J., & Hausman, C. (2001, April). *Differences in student outcomes between block, semester, and trimester schedules*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Seattle, Washington.
- McCullough, L. L., & Tanner, B. M. (2001). *Assessment in the block: The link to instruction*. Larchmont, NY: Eye on Education.
- Mutter, D. W., Chase, E., & Nichols, W. R. (1997, Winter). Evaluation of a 4 x 4 block schedule. *ERS Spectrum*, 3 – 8.
- National Association of Secondary School Administrators. (1996). *Breaking ranks: Changing an American institution*. Reston, VA: Author.

- National Commission on the High School Senior Year. (2001). *Raising our sights: No high school senior left behind*. Washington, DC: Woodrow Wilson National Fellowship Foundation.
- National Education Commission on Time and Learning. (1994). *Prisoners of time*. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- North Carolina Department of Public Instruction. (1996). *Blocked scheduled high school achievement: Comparison of 1995 end-of-course test scores for blocked and non-blocked high schools (research brief)*. Raleigh, NC: Author.
- North Carolina Department of Public Instruction. (1999). *Blocked scheduled high school achievement: A comparison of 1998 end-of-course test scores for blocked and non-blocked high schools (research brief)*. Raleigh, NC: Author.
- Queen, J. A. (2000). Block scheduling revisited. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 82 (3), 214 – 222.
- Queen, J. A., Algozzine, B., & Eaddy, M. (1997). Implementing 4 x 4 block scheduling: Pitfalls, promises, and provisions. *The High School Journal*, 81 (2), 107 – 114.
- Queen, J. A., & Isenhour, K. G. (1998). *The 4 x 4 block schedule*. Larchmont, NY: Eye on Education.
- Reid, L. (1995). *Perceived effects of block scheduling on the teaching of English*. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No ED 382950).
- Rettig, M. D., & Canady, R. L. (1999). The effects of block scheduling. *The School Administrator*, 56 (3), 14 – 20.
- Rettig, M. D. (2000). *Directory of high school scheduling models in Virginia, 2000 – 2001*. Retrieved October 15, 2000, from <http://cep.jmu.edu/edleadership/chart00>.

- Robbins, P., Gregory, G., & Herndon, L. E. (2000). *Thinking inside the block schedule: Strategies for teaching in extended periods of time*. Thousand Oaks, California: Corwin Publications.
- Schroth, G., & Dixon, J. (1996). The effects of block scheduling on student performance. *International Journal of Educational Reform*, 5 (4), 472-476.
- Shortt, T., L. & Thayer, Y. V. (1997). A vision for block scheduling: Where are we now? Where are we going? *NASSP Bulletin*, 81 (595), 1 – 15.
- SPSS student version 10.0 for windows (2000). [Computer software]. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Veal, W. R., & Flinders, D. J. (2001). How block scheduling reform effects classroom practice. *The High School Journal*, 84 (4), 21-31.
- Veal, W. R., & Schreiber, J. (1999, September 19). Block scheduling effects on a state mandated test of basic skills. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 7 (29). Retrieved July 11, 2002, from <http://epaa.asu.edu/epaa/v7n29.html>.
- Virginia Department of Education. (1998). *Virginia Standards of Learning Assessments: Standards of Learning (SOL) Tests: Validity and Reliability Information, Spring 1998 Administration*. Richmond, VA: Author.
- Wilson, J.W., & Stokes, L.C. (1999). Teachers' perceptions of the advantages and measurable outcomes of the 4 x 4 block scheduling design. *The High School Journal*, 83 (1), 44-54.