

Control of Dynamic Response of Thin-Walled Composite Beams using Structural Tailoring and Piezoelectric Actuation

Sungsoo Na

(ABSTRACT)

A dual approach integrating structural tailoring and adaptive materials technology and designed to control the dynamic response of cantilever beams subjected to external excitations is addressed. The cantilevered structure is modeled as a thin-walled beam of arbitrary cross-section and incorporates a number of non-classical effects such as transverse shear, warping restraint, anisotropy of constituent materials and heterogeneity of the construction.

Whereas structural tailoring uses the anisotropy properties of advanced composite materials, adaptive materials technology exploits the actuating/sensing capabilities of piezoelectric materials bonded or embedded into the host structure. Various control laws relating the piezoelectrically-induced bending moment with combined kinematical variables characterizing the response at given points of the structure are implemented and their effects on the closed-loop frequencies and dynamic response to external excitations are investigated. The combination of structural tailoring and control by means of adaptive materials proves very effective in damping out vibration.

In addition, the influence of a number of non-classical effects characterizing the structural model on the open and closed-loop dynamic responses have been considered and their role assessed.

Dedicated

to my F ather and Mother

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is my privilege and honor to present Dr. Liviu Librescu and Dr. Leonard Meirovitch, University Distinguished Professor, as my committee chairmen. They were always resourceful and encouraging with personal generosity, to whom I express all my gratitude. Dr. Librescu's enthusiasm was contagious to me, and from the discussions and interactions with him, new ideas necessary for the progress and achievement of this work have emerged. I also thank my committee members: Dr. Inman, director of Center for Intelligent Materials, Systems and Structures, Dr. Hyer, director of The NASA-Virginia Tech Composite Program, and Dr. Renardy, for showing a sincere interest in my work and giving me valuable advices.

Upon the completion of my doctorate work, the final destination of my education, I wish to thank most of all my father, Jong-Taek Na, and my mother, Sang-Hyun Kim. They have been always supporting and sacrificing for me at all levels; they made my education accomplished and allowed me to achieve this degree. They surely deserve all the credit.

My wife, Dong-Eun has been a caring, loving, brilliant and patient helper who provided endless encouragement to finish this research work, putting my dreams before her own. Because of her love, friendship and faith in me, I should have added my wife's name as a co-author on my dissertation. She shared all the difficulties and disappointments, and kept the hope of a happy-ending to this trip alive.

My wife and I witnessed two adorable daughters' birth and growth in Blacksburg. Hosanne(Sangwon) and Joanne(Sang-Yoon) have been always there for me to cheer up and constant reminders of what is truly important in my life.

Appreciation is also expressed to my brother Kyungsoo Na, father and mother in-law, and sisters and brothers in-law who have always provided me encouragement, love and prayer. I hope they realize how much I appreciate their thoughtful care and concerns for me.

I surely had unforgettable memories in Blacksburg with my friends and would like to deliver my gratitude to: Pastor H. Chung's, Dr. B. K. Ahn's, Dr. S. G. Kim's, Dr. D. Y. Ohm's, Dr. S. H. Park, T. I. Hyun's, T. H. Park's, J. H. Park's and M. H. Kim. They have been good friends with me and my family, and showed true care and friendship. I have to admit that with their prayers, we move on to the next stage with pleasant memories, hopes and visions.

I also thank Dr. O. Song who didn't mind "knock-at-the-door" at midnight in the beginning of the reseach and lots of long-distance calls afterward for technical discussions. He willingly helped me to accomplish this research.

Finally, I wish to thank God for sustaining me throughout this work. He has served as a constant source of strength and wisdom ,and reinforced my belief that through God all things are possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LITERATURE REVIEW AND MODELING CONSIDERATIONS	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 System Modeling Considerations and Nonclassical Effects	1
1.3 Structural Tailoring Technique	3
1.4 Dynamic Response of Composite Wing Structures	4
SYSTEM MODELING AND FORMULATION	6
2.1 Basic Assumptions and Kinematics of the Model	6
2.2 Constitutive Equations of Piezoactuator Patches	8
2.3 Global Constitutive Equations	9
2.4 System Kinetic Energy	10
2.5 System Potential Energy	11
2.6 Extended Hamilton's Principle	15
2.7 The Governing System of Equations	17
STRUCTURAL TAILORING AND ACTIVE CONTROL METHODOLOGIES	21
3.1 Structural Tailoring Technique	21
3.1.1 Circumferentially Uniform Stiffness Configuration	21
3.1.2 Circumferentially Asymmetric Stiffness Configuration	23
3.1.3 Cross-Ply Configuration	26
3.1.4 Transversely-Isotropy Material Structure	26
3.2 Piezoelectrically Induced Moment Control	27
3.2.1 Boundary Moment and Combined Feedback Control Laws	28
3.2.2 Linear Quadratic Controller Design	29
3.2.3 Sensor Output Equation	34
FREE VIBRATION PROBLEM	38
4.1 Overview	38
4.2 The Discretized Equations of Motion of Cantilevers Featuring Bending-Twist Coupling	39
4.3 State Space Formulation	41
4.4 Free Vibration Problem	41
DYNAMIC RESPONSE CONTROL OF CANTILEVERS TO BLAST AND SONIC BOOM LOADINGS	51
5.1 Overview	51
5.2 Frequency Response Analysis to Harmonic Excitations	52
5.3 Time-Dependent Loads Associated With Blast and Sonic Boom Pulses	53
5.4 Dynamic Response Control to Blast and Sonic Boom Loadings	55
5.5 Influence of Locations and Size of Piezo-Patch Actuators and Sensors	58

CONTROL OF CANTILEVERS CARRYING EXTERNALLY MOUNTED STORES	82
6.1 Overview	82
6.2 Equations of Motion and Boundary Conditions	82
6.3 The Control Law	84
6.4 Numerical Applications and Discussions	85
6.4.1 Free Vibration: Eigenvalue Problem	85
6.4.2 Steady-State Response to Harmonic Excitations	86
CONCLUSIONS	94
REFERENCES	95
APPENDIX A	101
APPENDIX B	104
APPENDIX C	105
APPENDIX D	106
VITA	109

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Displacement field for the thin-walled beam.	19
2.2	Configuration of a cross-section of a thin-walled beam.	19
2.3	Piezoactuator patch distribution.	20
2.4	Piezoelectric layer nomenclature.	20
3.1	Circumferentially uniform stiffness configuration.	36
3.2	Circumferentially asymmetric stiffness configuration.	36
3.3	Variation of stiffness quantities with the ply-angle.	37
4.1	Geometry of the thin-walled cantilevered beam.	44
4.2	The normalized damped frequency versus the dimensionless feedback gain.	45
4.3	Induced damping factor versus the ply-angle for feedback gain.	46
4.4	The damped frequency versus the normalized feedback gain for various transverse shear flexibility ratios.	46
4.5	The damping factor versus the transverse shear flexibility ratio.	48
4.6	The closed-loop frequency versus the nondimensional velocity feedback gain.	48
4.7	The damping parameter versus the nondimensional velocity feedback gain.	50
5.1	Normalized deflection versus the excitation frequency for shearable and nonshearable cantilevers. Warping considered.	62
5.2	Normalized deflection versus the excitation frequency for shearable and nonshearable cantilevers. No warping considered.	62
5.3	Normalized deflection versus the excitation frequency for shearable and nonshearable cantilevers. No warping considered.	63
5.4	Twist angle versus the excitation frequency for shearable and nonshearable cantilevers. Free warping model considered.	63
5.5	Twist angle versus the excitation frequency for shearable and nonshearable cantilevers. Warping restraint model considered.	64
5.6	Normalized deflection versus the feedback gain.	64
5.7	Normalized bending moment at the wing root versus the feedback gain with the ply-angle as a parameter.	65
5.8	Normalized deflection versus the excitation frequency with and without the mass and stiffness of the actuators.	65
5.9	Explosive and sonic-boom overpressure signature.	66
5.10	Influence of ply-angle on time-history of the dimensionless deflection of the beam tip subjected to sonic-boom overpressure.	67
5.11	Influence of shock pulse length on the time history of the dimensionless deflection of the beam tip subjected to sonic-boom overpressure.	67
5.12	Influence of positive phase duration of the sonic-boom pulse on the time history of the dimensionless deflection of the beam tip.	68
5.13	Effect of transverse shear on time history of the dimensionless deflection of the beam subjected to sonic-boom pulse. Warping inhibition included.	68
5.14	Influence of transverse shear on time history of the dimensionless deflection of the beam tip subjected to sonic-boom pulse. Free warping included.	69
5.15	Influence of parameter a'/t_p on time history of the dimensionless deflection of the beam tip subjected to blast pulse. Warping restraint model.	69
5.16	Influence of warping restraint and of the ply-angle orientation on time-history of the dimensionless deflection of the beam tip.	70
5.17	Influence of the ply-angle and warping restraint on the time history of the twist of the beam tip.	70
5.18	Influence of the ply-angle on time history of the dimensionless deflection	

	response of the beam tip.	71
5.19	Influence of transverse shear on time history of the dimensionless deflection response of the beam tip subjected to rectangular pulse.	71
5.20	Influence of the ply-angle on time history of the dimensionless deflection response of the beam tip subjected to step pulse.	72
5.21	Influence of the ply-angle on open and closed-loop nondimensional transverse deflection time history to a blast loading.	72
5.22	Influence of the velocity and acceleration feedback control on the nondimensional transverse deflection time history to a blast loading.	73
5.23	Open and closed-loop nondimensional transverse deflection time history to a rectangular pressure pulse.	73
5.24	Free and warping restraint effect on open and closed-loop transversal deflection time history to a rectangular pressure pulse.	74
5.25	Free and warping restraint effect on open and closed-loop transversal deflection time history to a sonic-boom pressure pulse.	74
5.26	Influence of the velocity feedback gain on the transversal deflection time-history under a sonic-boom pressure pulse.	75
5.27	Influence of the velocity and acceleration feedback control on the dimensionless transversal deflection time history response to a step pulse.	75
5.28	Influence of the ply-angle and velocity feedback control upon the time-history transversal deflection to a sinusoidal pressure pulse.	76
5.29	Segmented piezoactuator configuration.	76
5.30	Influence of piezoactuator patch location on damping factor.	77
5.31	The effects of location of piezo-patch on the beam tip deflection time-history exposed to a blast pulse.	77
5.32	Influence of size and location of the piezo-patch on the beam tip deflection time history exposed to a blast load.	78
5.33	Influence of limitation of control input voltage on transversal nondimensional deflection at the beam tip.	78
5.34	Influence of sensor output voltage on sensor location.	79
5.35	Power consumption variation during suppression of beam subjected to a blast load.	79
5.36	Variation of the control force required during suppression of the beam subjected to the blast load.	80
5.37	Influence of the consideration and discard in the performance index of external time-dependent excitation on the beam tip deflection time-history to a blast load.	80
5.38	Counterpart of Fig. 5.37 for the case of a rectangular pressure pulse.	81
5.39	Counterpart of Fig. 5.37 for the case of a sinusoidal pressure pulse.	81
6.1	Geometry of the cantilever beam carrying an uni-store and a tip-mass.	87
6.2	The first closed-loop eigenfrequency versus the parameter α , for three values of the feedback gain and of the position of the uni-store along the beam span.	88
6.3	The first closed-loop eigenfrequency versus the velocity feedback gain for three options of the uni-store along the beam span and for the shearable and nonshearable beam.	88
6.4	The first closed-loop eigenfrequency versus the location β of the uni-store.	89
6.5	The first open-loop eigenfrequency versus the dimensionless offset between the beam tip and the centroid of the tip-mass for four values of the ratio M/m_b .	89
6.6	Induced damping versus the feedback gain for three values of the uni-store location and shearable and nonshearable beam.	90
6.7	Normalized steady-state deflection amplitude versus the excitation frequency for three locations of the uni-store and for the controlled	

	and uncontrolled beam.	90
6.8	Normalized steady-state deflection amplitude versus the excitation frequency for two locations of the uni-store and for the controlled and uncontrolled beam.	91
6.9	Normalized steady-state deflection amplitude versus the excitation frequency for two locations of the uni-store for shearable and unshearable beam model.	91
6.10	Normalized steady-state deflection amplitude versus the excitation frequency for three locations of the uni-store.	92
6.11	Steady-state dimensionless root moment amplitude versus the excitation frequency for values of the location of the uni-store.	92
6.12	The counterpart of Fig. 6.11 for the case of $M = 0$.	93
6.13	Steady-state rotation amplitude versus the excitation frequency for three values of the location of the uni-store.	93
D1	The first normalized eigenmode of cantilevered thin-walled beam in transversal motion.	106
D2	The second normalized eigenmode of cantilevered thin-walled beam in transversal motion.	106
D3	The third normalized eigenmode of cantilevered thin-walled beam in transversal motion.	107
D4	The fourth normalized eigenmode of cantilevered thin-walled beam in transversal motion.	107
D5	The fifth normalized eigenmode of cantilevered thin-walled beam in transversal motion.	108
D6	The sixth normalized eigenmode of cantilevered thin-walled beam in transversal motion.	108

