

# Effects of Long-chain Surfactants, Short-chain Alcohols and Hydrolizable Cations on the Hydrophobic and Hydration Forces

Vivek Subramanian

## (ABSTRACT)

The DLVO theory states that the interaction between two lyophobic particles in aqueous media can be predicted by the sum of two surface forces, i.e., the electrical double-layer and van der Waals forces. This theory, which was developed 50 years ago, served as a backbone for colloid chemistry. However, various experiments conducted in recent years showed that it is applicable only to those particles whose advancing water contact angles ( $\theta_a$ ) are in the range of 15-60°. For example, direct surface force measurements conducted between silica substrates, whose  $\theta_a$  values are less than 15°, exhibited the existence of repulsive hydration forces at relatively short separation distances. On the other hand, substrates, for which  $\theta_a$  is greater than 60°, exhibit long-range attractive hydrophobic forces not considered in the DLVO theory. These extraneous attractive forces play important roles in many industrial applications. It is, therefore, the objective of the present study to measure the hydrophobic and hydration forces under different conditions. The measurements were conducted using both the Surface Forces Apparatus (SFA) and the Atomic Force Microscope (AFM). Mica and Silica were used as substrates, and the effects of dioctylammonium-hydrochloride (DOAHCl), octanol, methanol, ethanol, trifluoroethanol (TFE), pyridine, CaCl<sub>2</sub>, MgCl<sub>2</sub> and sodium oleate were studied.

A Mark IV SFA was used to conduct force measurements between mica surfaces in aqueous solutions of DOAHCl, which is a secondary amine. At  $7 \times 10^{-6}$  M DOAHCl, the mica surfaces were rendered electrically neutral, and net attractive hydrophobic forces were observed. The measured forces can be represented by a double-exponential function with the larger decay length ( $D_2$ ) of 5.1 nm. The measured hydrophobic forces are substantially stronger than those reported in literature between self-assembled monolayers of soluble single-chain surfactants such as dodeylammonium hydrochloride (DAHCl) and cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB).

Appearance of the strong hydrophobic forces is due to the likelihood that the double-chain cationic surfactant can create a higher hydrocarbon chain packing density than the single-chain cationic surfactants such as DAHCl and CTAB.

Force measurements were also conducted using the AFM between a silica plate and a glass sphere in aqueous solutions of methanol, ethanol, TFE and pyridine to study their effect on the hydration force. It was observed that in Nanopure water, silica surfaces exhibit a strong short-range hydration repulsion, which can be represented by a double-exponential function with its longer decay length ( $D_2$ ) of 2.4 nm. In solutions containing 15% methanol, however, the hydration force disappears completely. This observation can be attributed to the displacement of the water molecules H-bonded to the silanol group by methanol, which in turn destroys the water structure in the vicinity of the silica surface. Methanol can displace water from the silanol group because it is more basic than the water. Ethanol, on the other hand, cannot cause the hydration forces to disappear, suggesting that it is less effective than methanol in displacing the H-bonded water molecules from the silanol groups, possibly due to steric hindrance. In the presence of trifluoroethanol (TFE) and pyridine, hydration forces change little, which suggest that they are not effective in disturbing the water structure in the vicinity of silica.

Finally, an AFM was used to measure the hydrophobic forces between silica surfaces coated with oleate. Since this surfactant is anionic, and the silica surface is negatively charged in alkaline solutions, it was necessary to reverse the charge of the silica substrate. In the present work,  $\text{CaCl}_2$  and  $\text{MgCl}_2$  were used as activators. It was found that hydrophobic forces are observed at pHs where the  $\text{CaOH}^+$  or  $\text{MgOH}^+$  ion concentrations reach maximum, suggesting that the singly charged hydroxo-complexes are the activating species. A model developed in the proposed work suggests that a significant part of the free energy of adsorption comes from the lateral interactions between neighboring hydroxo-complexes on the surface. It is also suggested that oleate adsorbs on silica as basic calcium oleate and basic magnesium oleate. These species may be H-bonded to the silanol groups on surface.

The force measurements were also conducted between hydrophobic (silanated) silica surfaces in  $\text{CuCl}_2$  solutions. The results show that the hydrophobic force decreases most

significantly at the pH where the concentration of the  $\text{CuOH}^+$  ions reaches maximum, which suggests that the singly charged hydroxo-complexes are more surface-active than their unhydrolyzed counterpart. The driving forces for the adsorption of the  $\text{CaOH}^+$  ions may include the electrostatic attraction from the surface and the lateral interaction between neighboring hydroxo-complexes on the surface.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank his advisor, Dr. Roe-Hoan Yoon, for the guidance, suggestions, criticism and financial support received throughout the course of this investigation. He expresses his sincere appreciation to his colleague Rajesh Pazhianur for the innumerable debates, which have helped him understand various aspects of surface chemistry. Special consideration is given to his other colleagues Neeraj K. Mendiratta and Dr. Zhuo Chen for their constant help and useful suggestions. He also wishes to express his gratitude to Drs. S. A. Ravishankar and Darrin H. Flinn for teaching him the use of the force measuring apparatus. Also, the author would like to acknowledge Dr. Parthasarathy Venkataraman, Dr. Suha B. Aksoy, and the rest of his fellow graduate students at Holden Hall and Plantation road for their friendship.

The author would also like to express his sincere appreciation to his mother, Vallabhi and father, D.S. Subramanian, for their years of hard work and encouragement and his sister, Vidhya, for her affection. Finally, the author gives special consideration to his wife, Geetha, without whose support, both emotional and financial, this work would not have been possible.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 General .....	1
1.2 Direct Measurement Techniques For Hydrophobic and Hydration Forces.....	3
1.2a The Surface Forces Apparatus .....	3
1.2b The Atomic Force Microscope.....	5
1.3 The Hydrophobic Force .....	7
1.3a Experimental Evidence for the Hydrophobic Force.....	8
1.3b Theories for the Hydrophobic Force .....	14
1.4 The Hydration Force .....	18
1.4a Primary Hydration Force.....	18
1.4b Secondary Hydration Force.....	26
1.5 Research Objectives.....	28
1.6 Report Organization.....	29
1.7 References .....	31

**CHAPTER 2    HYDROPHOBIC FORCES BETWEEN MICA SURFACES  
IN AQUEOUS SOLUTIONS OF A SECONDARY AMINE..... 36**

2.1	Introduction .....	36
2.2	Experimental.....	39
2.2a	Materials .....	39
2.2b	Methods .....	40
2.3	Results .....	41
2.4	Discussions .....	54
2.5	Conclusions .....	63
2.6	References .....	64

**CHAPTER 3    EFFECTS OF SHORT-CHAIN ALCOHOLS AND PYRIDINE  
ON THE HYDRATION FORCES BETWEEN SILICA  
SURFACES ..... 66**

3.1	Introduction .....	66
3.2	Experimental.....	69
3.2a	Sample Preparation .....	69
3.2b	Methods and Apparatus.....	69
3.3	Results .....	70
3.4	Discussions .....	78
3.5	Conclusions .....	83
3.6	References .....	84

<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>FORCE MEASUREMENTS BETWEEN ACTIVATED SILICA IN SODIUM OLEATE SOLUTIONS.....</b>	<b>86</b>
4.1	Introduction .....	86
4.2	Experimental.....	89
4.2a	Sample Preparation .....	89
4.2b	Methods and Apparatus.....	89
4.3	Results .....	90
4.3a	Force measurements in MgCl <sub>2</sub> and oleate solution.....	90
4.3b	Force Measurements in CaCl <sub>2</sub> and oleate solution .....	99
4.4	Discussions .....	104
4.5	Conclusions .....	115
4.6	References .....	117
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>FORCE MEASUREMENTS BETWEEN SILANATED SILICA SURFACES IN AQUEOUS CuSO<sub>4</sub> SOLUTIONS AT VARIOUS pHs.....</b>	<b>119</b>
3.1	Introduction .....	119
3.2	Experimental.....	120
3.2a	Materials .....	120
3.2b	Sample Preparation .....	121
3.2c	Methods and Apparatus.....	121
3.3	Results and Discussions.....	122
3.5	Conclusions .....	133
3.6	References .....	134

<b>CHAPTER 6</b>	<b>SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....</b>	<b>136</b>
<b>CHAPTER 7</b>	<b>RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK.....</b>	<b>139</b>
<b>VITA</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>141</b>



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	A typical DLVO curve .....	2
Figure 1.2	Schematic of the Mark IV Surface Forces Apparatus.....	4
Figure 1.3	Schematic of the Atomic Force Microscope .....	6
Figure 2.1	Surface tension vs. concentration of DOAHCl solutions .....	42
Figure 2.2	Advancing and receding contact angles of mica sheets equilibrated in DOAHCl solutions.....	43
Figure 2.3	F/R vs. H curves for the interaction between mica surfaces in DOAHCl solutions at and below the point of charge reversal .....	45
Figure 2.4	F/R vs. H curves for the interaction between mica surfaces in DOAHCl solutions at and above the point of charge reversal .....	49
Figure 2.5	F/R vs. H curves for the interaction between mica surfaces in $5 \times 10^{-6}$ M DOAHCl and varying concentrations of octanol.....	52
Figure 2.6	F/R vs. H curves for the interaction between mica surfaces in $7 \times 10^{-6}$ M DOAHCl and varying concentrations of octanol.....	53
Figure 2.7	$d(F/R)/dH$ vs. H plot for the interaction between mica surfaces in solutions containing DOAHCl and/or octanol.....	55
Figure 2.8	Schematic for the adsorption of $DOAH^+$ and $DAH^+$ on mica.....	57
Figure 2.9	Decay length vs. contact angle plot for the DOAHCl-mica and DOAHCl+octanol-mica system.....	62
Figure 3.1	F/R vs. H curve for the interaction between a silica plate and glass sphere in Nanopure water and in 10 and 20% ethanol solutions.....	71
Figure 3.2	F/R vs. H curve for the interaction between a silica plate and glass sphere in Nanopure water and in 15 % methanol solutions .....	76
Figure 3.3	F/R vs. H curve for the interaction between a silica plate and glass sphere in Nanopure water, 10% trifluoroethanol and 2 % pyridine solutions .....	77
Figure 4.1	Contact angle vs. pH plot for silica plate equilibrated in $2 \times 10^{-4}$ M $MgCl_2$ and $10^{-4}$ M sodium oleate .....	91

Figure 4.2	F/R vs. H curve for the interaction between a silica plate and glass sphere in (i) Nanopure water (ii) $2 \times 10^{-4} \text{M}$ $\text{MgCl}_2$ and (iii) $2 \times 10^{-4} \text{M}$ $\text{MgCl}_2$ + $10^{-4} \text{M}$ sodium oleate at pH 5.7 .....	92
Figure 4.3	F/R vs. H curve for the interaction between a silica plate and glass sphere in (i) Nanopure water (ii) $2 \times 10^{-4} \text{M}$ $\text{MgCl}_2$ and (iii) $2 \times 10^{-4} \text{M}$ $\text{MgCl}_2$ + $10^{-4} \text{M}$ sodium oleate at pH 11.2 .....	96
Figure 4.4	F/R vs. H curve for the interaction between a silica plate and glass sphere in (i) Nanopure water (ii) $2 \times 10^{-4} \text{M}$ $\text{MgCl}_2$ and (iii) $2 \times 10^{-4} \text{M}$ $\text{MgCl}_2$ + $10^{-4} \text{M}$ sodium oleate at pH 12 .....	98
Figure 4.5	Contact angle vs. pH plot for silica plate equilibrated in $2 \times 10^{-4} \text{M}$ $\text{CaCl}_2$ and $10^{-4} \text{M}$ sodium oleate .....	100
Figure 4.6	F/R vs. H curve for the interaction between a silica plate and glass sphere in (i) Nanopure water (ii) $2 \times 10^{-4} \text{M}$ $\text{CaCl}_2$ and (iii) $2 \times 10^{-4} \text{M}$ $\text{MgCl}_2$ + $10^{-4} \text{M}$ sodium oleate at pH 5.7 .....	101
Figure 4.7	F/R vs. H curve for the interaction between a silica plate and glass sphere in (i) Nanopure water (ii) $2 \times 10^{-4} \text{M}$ $\text{CaCl}_2$ and (iii) $2 \times 10^{-4} \text{M}$ $\text{MgCl}_2$ + $10^{-4} \text{M}$ sodium oleate at pH 12.2 .....	103
Figure 4.8	Decay lengths of the hydration and hydrophobic forces for the $\text{MgCl}_2$ -silica-oleate system .....	106
Figure 4.9	Decay lengths of the hydration and hydrophobic forces for the $\text{CaCl}_2$ -silica-oleate system .....	107
Figure 4.10	Modeling the adsorption of $\text{Ca(II)}$ on silica as a function of pH .....	109
Figure 4.11	Comparison of the experimentally obtained surface potential of silica with those from the Nernst Equation at different pHs .....	112
Figure 4.12	Conceptual model of the adsorption of $\text{CaOH}^+$ on silica.....	113
Figure 5.1	Species distribution diagram at $2 \times 10^{-4} \text{M}$ $\text{CuSO}_4$ .....	123
Figure 5.2	F/R vs. H curve for the interaction between a silica plate and glass sphere in (i) Nanopure water (ii) $2 \times 10^{-4} \text{M}$ $\text{CuSO}_4$ at pH 3.0 .....	124
Figure 5.3	F/R vs. H curve for the interaction between a silica plate and glass sphere in (i) Nanopure water (ii) $2 \times 10^{-4} \text{M}$ $\text{CuSO}_4$ at pH 6.2 .....	127

Figure 5.4	F/R vs. H curve for the interaction between a silica plate and glass sphere in (i) Nanopure water (ii) $2 \times 10^{-4}$ M $\text{CuSO}_4$ at pH 9.0 .....	129
Figure 5.5	pH effect of $\text{CuSO}_4$ on the decay length of the hydrophobic force.....	131

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Contact angles and surface force parameters obtained in solutions containing varying concentrations of DOAHCl and Octanol.....	46
Table 2.2	Calculation of chain packing density for various monolayers .....	60
Table 3.1	The parameters used to calculate the Hamaker constant and the double-layer constants in the presence of ethanol, methanol, trifluoroethanol and pyridine ....	72
Table 3.2	Comparison of the $pK_b$ values of the solutes and the hydration force constants obtained in their presence.....	74
Table 4.1	The contact angle and surface force data obtained in the presence of $MgCl_2$ and Na-Oleate at various pHs .....	94
Table 4.2	The contact angle and surface force data obtained in the presence of $CaCl_2$ and Na-Oleate at various pHs .....	102
Table 5.1	Surface force data obtained with silanated silica in $2 \times 10^{-4} M$ $CuSO_4$ solutions at various pHs. ....	126