

Appendix 5a Proofs for Part 5a

Proof of Lemma 5.a.1: First we consider the case in which x is an interior value in the support

$C = (a, b)$. As a precursory note, throughout this appendix we will be using GS to designate the

Gaussian distribution, and often use \mathbf{b} to designate $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$, and $f_{\mathbf{b}}$ to designate $\frac{f}{\mathbf{b}}$. Observe that

since $\mathbf{h}''(x) = 0$, then Theorems 1a and 2 give us that for x in the interior of C ,

$$(nh)^{-5} \mathbf{s}_{0,1}(x, K, U)^{-1} [\hat{g}_{LL} - \mathbf{q}] \xrightarrow{D} GS(0,1) \quad (\text{A5.A.1})$$

where

$$\mathbf{s}_{0,1}^2(x, K, U) = v(Y|X=x)(d(x))^{-1} \int_U K_{0,1}(z, U)^2 dz,$$

$$U = [-1, 1],$$

$$N_1(U) = \begin{bmatrix} u_0 & u_1 \\ u_1 & u_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & .2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$M_{0,1}(z, U) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z & .2 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$K_{0,1}(z, U) = \{ |M_{0,1}(z, U)| / |N_1(U)| \} K(z) = K(z).$$

So that A5.A.1 becomes

$$(nh)^{-5} (.6\mathbf{q}(1-\mathbf{q}))^{-5} [\hat{g}_{LL} - \mathbf{q}] \xrightarrow{D} GS(0,1) \quad (\text{A5.A.2})$$

Next, note that since C has finite length, and \mathbf{q} is bounded,

$$\inf_{x \in C} ((.6\mathbf{q}(1-\mathbf{q}))^{-5}) = C^{-5} > 0$$

Hence,

$$\sup_{x \in C} (.6\mathbf{q}(1-\mathbf{q})) = C < \infty$$

So A5.A.2 becomes

$$(nh)^{-5} C^{-5} [\hat{g}_{LL}(x_i) - \mathbf{q}(x_i)] \xrightarrow{D} GS(0,1). \quad (\text{A5.A.3})$$

Then from Bishop, Feinberg and Holland (1975) we have that a sequence that converges in distribution is bounded in probability (p. 477). So that for x in the interior of C ,

$$(nh)^5 C^{-5} [\hat{g}_{LL}(x_i) - \mathbf{q}(x_i)] = O_p(1).$$

Then

$$[\hat{g}_{LL}(x_i) - \mathbf{q}(x_i)] = O_p(n^{-5} \mathbf{t}_n^5). \quad (\text{A5.A.4})$$

Next suppose that there are k interior values of x , (where $k = np$ and $0 < p < 1$). Then

$$\|\hat{g}_{LL} - \mathbf{q}\|^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k (\hat{g}_{LL}(x_i) - \mathbf{q}(x_i))^2}{k}.$$

Note that by A5.A.4, for each of the k interior values

$$= \frac{(\hat{g}_{LL}(x_i) - \mathbf{q}(x_i))^2}{k} = O_p(n^{-1} \mathbf{t}_n^1 k^{-1}).$$

So that

$$\|\hat{g}_{LL} - \mathbf{q}\|^2 = k O_p(n^{-1} \mathbf{t}_n^1 k^{-1}) = O_p(\mathbf{t}_n n^{-1}) \quad (\text{A5.A.5})$$

for the k interior values as desired.

Next let x be a boundary value of the support $C = (a, b)$, and when we say boundary value, we mean that $D_{xh} \neq [-1, 1]$, where

$$D_{xh} = \{z: x - hz \in [a, b]\} \cap [-1, 1]$$

as defined by Fan, Heckman and Wand (1995). Notice that $D_{xh} \subseteq [-1, 1] = U$. According to

Theorems 1a and 2 of Fan, Heckman and Wand (1995), A5.A.1 becomes

$$(nh)^5 \mathbf{s}_{0,1}(x, K, D_{xh})^{-1} [\hat{g}_{LL} - \mathbf{q}] \xrightarrow{D} GS(0,1) \quad (\text{A5.A.6})$$

Notice that we can rewrite D_{xh} as $[e, f]$, where $e \in [-1, 0]$, and $f \in [0, 1]$. Note also that

because of the assumption in the statement of the Lemma we have that either

$$|e| = 1, \text{ or } |f| = 1.$$

Using the Epanechnikov Kernel we obtain the following results

$$\begin{aligned} u_l(D_{xh}) &= \int_e^f z^l K(z) dz \\ &= \int_e^f z^l .75(1-z^2) dz \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$u_0 = .75 \left(z - \frac{z^3}{3} \right)_e^f = .75 \left((f - e) - \frac{f^3 - e^3}{3} \right) = .75(v_1 - v_3) \text{ (say)}$$

$$u_1 = .75 \left(\frac{z^2}{2} - \frac{z^4}{4} \right)_e^f = .75 \left(\frac{f^2 - e^2}{2} - \frac{f^4 - e^4}{4} \right) = .75(v_2 - v_4) \text{ (say)}$$

$$u_2 = .75 \left(\frac{z^3}{3} - \frac{z^5}{5} \right)_e^f = .75 \left(\frac{f^3 - e^3}{3} - \frac{f^5 - e^5}{5} \right) = .75(v_3 - v_5) \text{ (say)}.$$

And

$$N_1(D_{xh}) = .75 \begin{bmatrix} v_1 - v_3 & v_2 - v_4 \\ v_2 - v_4 & v_3 - v_5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M_{0,1}(D_{xh}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & .75(v_2 - v_4) \\ z & .75(v_3 - v_5) \end{bmatrix},$$

so that

$$|N_1(D_{xh})| = .75((v_1 - v_3)(v_3 - v_5) - (v_2 - v_4)^2)$$

$$|M_{0,1}(D_{xh})| = .75((v_3 - v_5) - z(v_2 - v_4)).$$

Next, observe that the following inequalities hold.

$$\text{For } n \in \mathfrak{N}, n \text{ odd}, v_n \geq \frac{1}{n}.$$

$$\text{For } n \in \mathfrak{N}, n \text{ even}, |v_n| \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

For $n \in \mathfrak{N}$, n odd, $v_n > v_{n+2}$.

For $n \in \mathfrak{N}$, n even, $|v_n| > |v_{n+2}|$.

For $n \in \mathfrak{N}$, n odd, $v_n - \frac{1}{n} \geq v_{n+2} - \frac{1}{n+2}$.

For $n \in \mathfrak{N}$, n even, $\frac{1}{n} - |v_n| \geq \frac{1}{n+2} - |v_{n+2}|$.

For $n \in \mathfrak{N}$, n even, $v_n v_{n+2} \geq 0$.

From these we obtain $|N_1(D_{xh})| = .75((v_1 - v_3)(v_3 - v_5) - (v_2 - v_4)^2)$, so that

$$.234 \cong .75 \left(\left(\frac{4}{3} \right) \left(\frac{4}{15} \right) - 0 \right) \geq |N_1(D_{xh})| \geq .75 \left(\left(\frac{2}{3} \right) \left(\frac{2}{15} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^2 \right) \cong .022 > 0.$$

Since we know this determinant is always positive and bounded we will simply refer to the determinant as Dn , as it is used as a denominator in the expressions that follow.

Next recall that by Fan, Heckman and Wand (1995) Theorem 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_{0,1}^2(x, K, D_{xh}) &= v(Y|X=x)(f(x))^{-1} \int_{D_{xh}} K_{0,1}(z, D_{xh})^2 dz \\ &= (\mathbf{q}(1-\mathbf{q})) \frac{.75^2}{Dn} \int_e^f (1-z^2)^2 ((v_3 - v_5) - z(v_2 - v_4))^2 dz \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A5.A.7})$$

We will demonstrate that A5.A.7 is a positive bounded quantity. First, observe that the coefficient of the integral is positive and bounded (since C is finite, and q is bounded and using the reasoning above for A5.A.3, $Dn > 0$, and $.75^2 > 0$). Second, observe that on the finite interval $[e, f]$, the integrand is nonnegative and not identically zero. To see this note that

$$\begin{aligned} (1-z^2)^2 &= 0, \text{ iff } z = \pm 1 \\ (v_3 - v_5) &> 0, \text{ and } (v_2 - v_4) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then note that

$$(1 - z^2)^2 ((v_3 - v_5) - z(v_2 - v_4))^2 \geq 0$$

and

$$(1 - z^2)^2 ((v_3 - v_5) - z(v_2 - v_4))^2 = 0, \text{ iff } z = \pm \frac{(v_3 - v_5)}{(v_2 - v_4)}.$$

Third, recall that $f - e \geq 1$. Finally, with the information above, we can observe that

$$54 \geq \int_e^f (1 - z^2)^2 ((v_3 - v_5) - z(v_2 - v_4))^2 dz \geq .003 > 0$$

so that A5.A.7 is a positive bounded quantity, which we shall again call C . Then A5.A.6 becomes A5.A.3 and using the reasoning from A5.A.3 through A5.A.5 (replacing the term k interior values with k boundary values) we have the desired result (A5.A.5) holds for the boundary values as well.

One may also argue that since C is an open interval, for every x , A5.A.6 becomes A5.A.1 for sufficiently large n . In other words, for every x in C , as the bandwidth approaches zero, x will eventually become an "interior" value and A5.A.7 will transform into $\mathbf{s}_{0,1}^2(x, K, U)$. So that for our case (C open) the interior asymptotic result automatically holds for the entire support C . Thus, A5.A.5 holds for the mean response vectors (both true and estimated) based on the entire data set (n observation) and the proof of the lemma is completed.//.

Proof of Lemma 5.a.2: $S_{Gn} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(w_i \frac{\mathbf{f}(x_i; \mathbf{b})}{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{b}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{f}(x_i; \mathbf{b})}{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{b}} \right)^T \right)$ (w_i represents the weight)

$$= n^{-1} D^T \mathbf{L} D$$

where D is the matrix of derivatives defined earlier, and \mathbf{L} is the covariance matrix for \mathbf{Y} , that is

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} V(y_1) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & V(y_2) & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & V(y_n) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Next observe that for any $n > p+1$, $\text{rk}(D) = \text{rk}(D^T) = p+1$, and $\text{rk}(\mathbf{L}^5) = n$, by requirements R3 and R1 respectively. Then by the properties of rank (see Myers and Milton (1991)), since \mathbf{L}^5 is nonsingular,

$$\text{rk}(D^T \mathbf{L}^5) = p+1 = \text{rk}(\mathbf{L}^5 D),$$

and since

$$D^T \mathbf{L}^5 = (\mathbf{L}^5 D)^T,$$

then $\text{rk}(n^{-1} D^T \mathbf{L} D) = p+1$, and $(n^{-1} D^T \mathbf{L} D) = S_{Gn}$ is full rank so that it is a nonsingular

$(p+1) \times (p+1)$ matrix. We need only show that the determinant does not converge to zero.

Notice that because of R1, and R2, \mathbf{L} is full rank asymptotically. So that if, in fact, S_G is singular, then by the properties of rank (Myers and Milton (1991)),

$$\text{rk}(D^T \mathbf{L}) = \text{rk}(D^T) < p+1.$$

But this is equivalent to

$$\frac{\mathbf{f}(x; \mathbf{b})}{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{b}} = f_{b_i}(x; \mathbf{b}) = \sum_{j \neq i} c_j f_{b_j}(x; \mathbf{b}), \text{ for } a \leq x \leq b$$

(for some $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p\}$) with $c_j \neq 0$, $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, p\} \setminus \{i\}$, which violates requirement R3.#.

So S_G is nonsingular asymptotically.

One may also argue that since $D^T \mathbf{L}^{\cdot 5}$ is asymptotically full rank, S_G is positive definite, and by Myers and Milton (1991) Theorem 2.1.1 and properties of rank, S_G is full rank and nonsingular asymptotically. To see that this determinant is bounded asymptotically, observe that S_G

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \int_a^b f_{b_0}^2 w dx & \int_a^b f_{b_0} f_{b_1} w dx & \cdots & \int_a^b f_{b_0} f_{b_k} w dx \\ \int_a^b f_{b_0} f_{b_1} w dx & \int_a^b f_{b_1}^2 w dx & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \int_a^b f_{b_0} f_{b_k} w dx & & \cdots & \int_a^b f_{b_k}^2 w dx \end{bmatrix}$$

where $f_{b_i}(x)$ (for $i = 0, 1, \dots, p$) is as defined earlier, and $w(x) = V(x) = V(y/x)$. By page 187 of

Spence, Insel and Friedberg (2000), $|\det(S_G)|$

$$= \prod_{i=0}^p u_{ii} < \infty$$

where u_{ii} represents the i th diagonal element of the upper triangular matrix formed by no more than $p+1$ non-scaling elementary row operations, and is finite since all elements of S_G are finite by requirements R2, and R4. Then from the above arguments and Myers and Milton (1991) p. 38, we have that

$$0 < |\det(S_G)| < \infty.$$

So by Theorem 3.4 of Spence, Insel and Friedberg (2000), S_G^{-1} is defined and finite as desired.//.

Proof of Lemma 5.a.3: First we have from Carroll and Ruppert (1988) Theorem 2.1 that for any $n^{\cdot 5}$ consistent starting estimate for \mathbf{b} (i.e. one coming from LS) that

$$n^{\cdot 5} [\hat{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{b}] \xrightarrow{D} GS(0, \mathbf{s}^2 S_G^{-1}) \quad (\text{A5.A.8})$$

(where S_G^{-1} was defined and shown to be finite in Lemma 5.a.2) for any number of cycles of the IRLS algorithm. Note that for finite \mathbf{s}^2 , $\mathbf{s}^2 S_G^{-1}$ is also defined and finite (by Lemma 5.a.2).

What we need is an asymptotic result for the function $f(\mathbf{x}_i; \mathbf{b})$. Theorem 14.6-2 of Bishop, Feinberg, and Holland (1975) gives us just that. With $T = p+I$, $R = 1$ we note that for x_i in C ,

$$f(\mathbf{b}) = f(\mathbf{b}) + (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b})^T f_{\mathbf{b}} + o(\|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}\|) \text{ as } \mathbf{b} \rightarrow \mathbf{b}$$

by Taylor Series expansion for vectors, since $\frac{\mathbf{f}}{\mathbf{b}}$ exists (see Bishop, Feinberg, and Holland

(1975)) by requirements R3, and R4. Then with result A5.A.8, we can invoke Theorem 14.6-2

which says that for each x_i in C

$$n^s [\hat{f}(x_i; \hat{\mathbf{b}}) - f(x_i; \mathbf{b})] \xrightarrow{D} GS(0, \left(\frac{\mathbf{f}(x_i; \mathbf{b})}{\mathbf{b}} \right)^T (\mathbf{s}^2 S_G^{-1}) \left(\frac{\mathbf{f}(x_i; \mathbf{b})}{\mathbf{b}} \right)). \text{ (A5.A.9)}$$

Then repeating the logical sequence from A5.A.3 to A5.A.5 above, and replacing C with

$$\left(\frac{\mathbf{f}(x_i; \mathbf{b})}{\mathbf{b}} \right)^T (\mathbf{s}^2 S_G^{-1}) \left(\frac{\mathbf{f}(x_i; \mathbf{b})}{\mathbf{b}} \right), \mathbf{q} \text{ with } f, h \text{ (and subsequently } \mathbf{t}_n) \text{ with } 1, k \text{ with } n, \hat{g}_{LL}$$

with \hat{f} , and deleting "interior" we have that

$$\|\hat{f} - f\|^2 = O_p(n^{-1})$$

as desired.//.