

**ASSESSING AND EVALUATING RECREATION RESOURCE IMPACTS:
SPATIAL ANALYTICAL APPROACHES**

by

Yu-Fai Leung

Dissertation submitted to the Faculty of the
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy
in
Forestry

Jeffrey L. Marion, Chair
W. Michael Aust
James B. Campbell
Laurence W. Carstensen
R. Bruce Hull, IV

April, 1998
Blacksburg, Virginia

Key words: recreation ecology, impact assessment, trails, campsites, spatial analysis, spatial indices, multivariate methods, Great Smoky Mountains National Park

Copyright 1998, Yu-Fai Leung

ASSESSING AND EVALUATING RECREATION RESOURCE IMPACTS: SPATIAL ANALYTICAL APPROACHES

by

Yu-Fai Leung

Committee chairman: Dr. Jeffrey L. Marion

Department of Forestry

(ABSTRACT)

It is generally recognized that the magnitude of recreation resource impacts should be judged by their severity and spatial qualities, including extent, distribution, and association. Previous investigations, however, have primarily focused on assessing the severity of impacts, with limited examination of spatial qualities. The goal of this dissertation was to expand our understanding of the spatial dimension of recreation resource impacts and their assessment and evaluation. Two empirical data sets collected from a comprehensive recreation impact assessment and monitoring project in Great Smoky Mountains National Park provided the basis for the analyses. Three spatial issues were examined and presented as three papers, designed for journal submission.

The purpose of the *first* paper was to improve our understanding of the dimensional structure and spatial patterns of camping impacts by means of multivariate analyses and mapping. Factor analysis of 195 established campsites on eight impact indicator variables revealed three dimensions of campsite impact: land disturbance, soil and groundcover damage, and tree-related damage. Cluster analysis yielded three distinctive campsite types that characterize both the intensity and areal extent of camping impacts. Spatial patterns and site attributes of these three campsite types and an additional group of primitive campsites were

illustrated and discussed.

The purpose of the *second* paper was to examine the influence of sampling interval on the accuracy of selected trail impact indicator estimates for the widely applied systematic point sampling method. A resampling-simulation method was developed and applied. Simulation results indicated that using systematic point sampling for estimating lineal extent of trail impact problems can achieve an excellent level of accuracy at sampling intervals of less than 100 m, and a reasonably good level of accuracy at intervals between 100 and 500 m. The magnitude of accuracy loss could be higher when the directions of loss are not considered. The responses of accuracy loss on frequency of occurrence estimates to increasing sampling intervals were consistent across impact types, approximating an inverse asymptotic curve. These findings suggest that systematic point sampling using an interval of less than 500 m can be an appropriate method for estimating the lineal extent, but not for estimating occurrence of trail impacts. Further investigations are called for to examine the generalizability of these results to other areas.

The purpose of the *third* paper was to expand the scope of indices used for evaluating recreation resource impacts. Two specific objectives were to synthesize the recreation ecology and recreation resource management literature on the use of spatial indicators and indices, and to propose and apply selected spatial indices that are mostly lacking in the literature. Three spatial indices primarily adapted from the geography and ecology literature were proposed for application in recreation impact evaluation. Application results demonstrated that the Lorenz curve and associated Gini coefficient, and the linear nearest-neighbor analysis and associated LR ratio were effective in quantifying the spatial distribution

patterns of trail impacts at landscape and trail scales, respectively. Application results of the third index, the impact association index, were less promising and require further refinements.

Management implications and future directions of research were discussed in light of the findings of this dissertation. As the field of recreation ecology is emerging, this dissertation has demonstrated: (1) the value of recreation impact assessment and monitoring programs in providing data for examining the spatial dimension of impacts, and (2) the utility of spatial analytical approaches in understanding recreation impact assessment and evaluation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to extend my sincere appreciation to my advisor and committee chair, Dr. Jeffrey L. Marion, for his constant support, guidance and encouragement throughout my doctoral program. I am honored to work under his supervision and experience his wisdom, knowledge and dedication to the field of recreation ecology. I am also indebted to other members of my committee: Dr. R. Bruce Hull for challenging me with methodological and ethical questions and for providing advice in multivariate statistics; Dr. W. Michael Aust for providing advice in research methodologies and forest management issues; Dr. James B. Campbell for offering advice in spatial analysis and for encouraging me to explore multivariate methods in his class; and Dr. Laurence W. Carstensen for introducing me to the world of geographic information science.

I am grateful to Sue Snow and Carole Salmon in the Forestry Department, for their dedicated administrative support. Encouragement from Dr. Gregory N. Brown, Dr. Harold E. Burkhart, and Dr. Timothy G. Gregoire have motivated me to move things forwards. I would also like to thank past and current graduate students for their warm encouragement throughout these years.

Janet Ferguson, a graduate student in the Geography Department, provided invaluable help in field work. Chris Lichty, Kurt Stromberg, Sam Doan, and several assistants assisted in campsite and trail surveys in the Great Smokies. Peter Williams provided invaluable input in campsite and trail survey design. I thank them all for their dedication and professionalism that contribute to the high-quality data sets on which this dissertation is based.

I am grateful for the generous funding support provided by the USDI National Park Service (Great Smoky Mountains National Park), the USDA Forest Service (Jefferson National Forest), and the West Virginia State Department of Natural Resources. Their funding support made this dissertation possible. I would particularly like to thank the park staff in the Great Smokies, including Carroll Schell and Kenny Slay, for their logistical support.

Last but not least, my family and friends back in Hong Kong and in Blacksburg have been the greatest source of motivation. The patience, understanding and care of my wife, Laura, are so comforting that this dissertation would not have been completed without her.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF TABLES	xi
CHAPTER I. GENERAL INTRODUCTION	
Problem Statement	1
Objectives of the Study	6
Structure of the Dissertation	6
CHAPTER II. LITERATURE REVIEW	
Introduction	8
Some Definitions	9
Impact Assessment	9
Spatial Analytical Approaches	10
Spatial Perspectives of Outdoor Recreation	15
Spatial Dimension of Recreation Resource Impacts and Their Management	18
Spatial Patterns of Recreation Impacts	19
Spatial Extent	19
Spatial Distribution and Variation	19
Spatial Association and Relationships	21
Spatial Strategies for Minimizing Recreation Impacts	22
Some Knowledge Gaps	23
Summary	24
CHAPTER III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
Introduction	26
Definition of Terms	26
Study Area	27
Environmental Settings	27
Managerial Settings	31
Recreation Resource Use and Impacts	32
Study Design	36
The Campsite Impact Assessment	37
The Trail Impact Assessment	38
Data Handling and Analysis	39
Study Limitations	40

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D)

CHAPTER IV. CHARACTERIZING BACKCOUNTRY CAMPING IMPACTS AND THEIR SPATIAL PATTERNS IN GREAT SMOKY MOUNTAINS NATIONAL PARK

Abstract	42
Introduction	43
Campsite Impact Assessment and Monitoring	44
Study Area	47
Methods	49
Results and Discussion	52
Dimensional Structure of Camping Impacts	53
Typology of Backcountry Camping Impacts	56
Spatial Distribution Patterns of Backcountry Campsite Types	61
Management Implications and Conclusions	64

CHAPTER V. THE INFLUENCE OF SAMPLING INTERVAL ON THE ACCURACY OF TRAIL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Abstract	68
Introduction	70
Trail Impact Assessment and Monitoring Approaches	71
The Sampling Issue	74
Study Area	77
Methods	78
Results	83
The Actual Extent of Trail Impacts	83
Effects of Changing Sampling Interval	85
The Magnitude of Accuracy Loss	85
Response Patterns Among Impact Types	88
Potential Sources of Variation	91
Discussion and Implications	93
Conclusions	95

CHAPTER VI. SPATIAL INDICES FOR EVALUATING RECREATION RESOURCE IMPACTS

Abstract	97
Introduction	99
Spatial Qualities of Recreation Resource Impacts	101
The Index Approach	102

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D)

Using Spatial Indices in Recreation Impact Evaluation	104
Proposed Spatial Indices	108
Illustrative Examples	115
Procedures	115
Results	117
Evaluation	125
Management Implications and Conclusions	127
CHAPTER VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	
Summary of Findings	130
Management Implications	133
Study Limitations	136
Future Directions of Research	138
Conclusion	140
LITERATURE CITED	142
APPENDIX I - Campsite Impact Assessment and Monitoring Manual	159
APPENDIX II - Trail Impact Assessment and Monitoring Manual	177
APPENDIX III - Summary Results: Campsite Impact Assessment	186
APPENDIX IV - Summary Results: Trail Impact Assessment	188
APPENDIX V - Additional Examples of Spatial Index for Recreation Impact Evaluation	190
VITA	197

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1.	A classification of spatial analytical approaches	13
Figure 2.2.	Spatial perspective to leisure, recreation, and tourism research	16
Figure 3.1.	The Great Smoky Mountains National Park and its backcountry camping system	29
Figure 4.1.	Location of designated and illegal campsites in Great Smoky Mountains National Park.	54
Figure 4.2.	Relative contribution to the aggregate measures of impacts among four backcountry campsite types in Great Smoky Mountains National Park.	62
Figure 4.3.	Distribution of four backcountry campsite types in Great Smoky Mountains National Park.	63
Figure 5.1.	Deviations of lineal extent (LE) and frequency of occurrence (FO) estimates from the census values with increasing sampling intervals	89
Figure 6.1.	Lorenz curves and Gini (G) coefficients for six trail impact types	118
Figure 6.2.	Spatial distribution of two impact types along Panther Creek Trail, Great Smoky Mountains National Park	121

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1.	Some examples of using spatial analytical methods in recreation impact studies	20
Table 3.1.	Some previous studies on recreation resource impacts in Great Smoky Mountains National Park	35
Table 4.1.	Results of factor analysis on eight indicators of backcountry camping impacts in Great Smoky Mountains National Park	55
Table 4.2.	Results of cluster analysis: final cluster center	57
Table 4.3.	Comparison of site attributes among four backcountry campsite types in Great Smoky Mountains National Park	59
Table 5.1.	A classification of different trail IA&M survey approaches and designs	72
Table 5.2.	Results from the census data for aggregate and unit measures of four impact problem types along 70 trails within Great Smoky Mountains National Park	84
Table 5.3.	Average losses of estimate accuracy on linear extent and frequency of occurrence of four impact types among three sampling interval groups	86
Table 5.4.	Results of stepwise multiple regression analysis on the relationship between accuracy loss (% deviation from the census value) of <i>lineal extent</i> (LE) and <i>frequency of occurrence</i> (FO) estimates on four trail impact problems and four selected impact and environmental factors	92
Table 6.1.	Two dimensions of spatial indicators and indices and application examples for evaluating recreation resource impacts	107
Table 6.2.	Spatial indices listed in Watson and Cole (1992) that have been or are being adopted in the Limits of Acceptable Change (LAC) management framework	109
Table 6.3.	Results of applying the linear nearest neighbor analysis and LR ratio to GSMNP trail impact assessment data	119
Table 6.4.	Spatial association among different impact types for the surveyed GSMNP trails that have impact occurrences	122

LIST OF TABLES (CONT'D)

Table 6.5. Results of applying the impact association index (IAI) to GSMNP trail
impact assessment data 124