

List of Tables and Illustrations

Chapter 1

Table 1.1 Progress in lightwave communications technology	3
Fig. 1.1 Multiplexing configurations for lightwave systems	16
Fig. 1.2 Schematic of the WDM architecture	17
Fig. 1.3 Spectrum-sliced WDM	18
Fig. 1.4 SS-WDM amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) source and filtered channels	19
Fig. 1.5 The integrated Waveguide Grating Router (WGR)	20

Chapter 2

Fig. 2.1 Schematic of lightwave receiver configurations	48
Fig. 2.2 Schematic of the direct detection (DD) or incoherent receiver	49
Fig. 2.3 Gaussian pdf's corresponding to the photodetection of digital symbols	50
Fig. 2.4 Bit error rate (BER) as a function of the Q factor	51
Fig. 2.5 Receiver for FSK detection	52
Fig. 2.6 Energy levels and typical absorption/emission spectra of erbium-doped silica fiber [1]	53
Fig. 2.7 Application configurations of the erbium-doped fiber amplifier (EDFA)	54
Fig. 2.8 Comparison of receiver sensitivity of optically preamplified, laser-based, OOK and FSK systems	55

Chapter 3

Fig. 3.1 On-Off Keying (OOK) receiver model	76
Fig. 3.2 Receiver sensitivity at $P_e=10^{-9}$ for PIN ($C_T=0.1\text{pF}$, $\eta=0.7$), as calculated with the exact and Gaussian distributions	77

Fig. 3.3 Receiver sensitivity at $P_e=10^{-9}$ for an optical preamplifier receiver ($n_{sp} = 2$) as calculated with the exact and Gaussian distributions	78
Fig. 3.4 Receiver sensitivity comparison for a PIN ($C_T = 0.1\text{pF}$, $\gamma = 0.7$) and a preamplifier receiver ($n_{sp} = 2$) for a SS-WDM system	79
Fig. 3.5 Optimum $m = B_o T$ and the corresponding minimum average receiver sensitivity \bar{N}_p (in photons/bit), evaluated at different error probabilities	80
Fig. 3.6 Optimum filter bandwidths predicted by the exact analysis for the preamplifier case, evaluated as a function of per channel data rates, at various error probabilities	81
Fig. 3.7 Predicted transmission capacity in Gb/s for an optical preamplifier receiver-based SS-WDM system, operating at the optimum	82
Fig. 3.8 Available power budget as a function of the optical bandpass filter bandwidth for the optical preamplifier receiver, assuming the power spectral density of the spectrum-sliced source to be 4 mW/nm and a 2.5 Gb/s per channel data rate	83
Fig. 3.9 Motivation for FEC coding	84
Fig. 3.10 Transmission capacity (in Gb/s) versus coding gain for different code rates	85

Chapter 4

Table 4.1 Average receiver sensitivity for OOK vs. FSK, laser-based systems	86
Fig. 4.1 Receiver sensitivity for a PIN receiver ($C_T = 0.1\text{pF}$, $\gamma = 0.7$) using the Gaussian approximation. Results are plotted for a noise-like (spectrum-sliced) source with FSK transmission. Also shown, as a reference, is the sensitivity when a coherent laser is used as the transmitter	103
Fig. 4.2 Receiver sensitivity for an optical preamplifier receiver ($n_{sp} = 2$) using the Gaussian approximation. Results are plotted for a noise-like (spectrum-sliced) source with FSK transmission. Also shown, as a reference, is the sensitivity when a coherent laser is used as the transmitter	104

Fig. 4.3 Receiver structure for FSK analysis	105
Fig. 4.4 Receiver sensitivity for an optical preamplifier receiver ($n_{sp} = 2$) using the exact (chi-square) analysis. Results are plotted for a noise-like (spectrum-sliced) source with FSK transmission	106
Fig. 4.5 Optimum $m = B_oT$ and the corresponding minimum average receiver sensitivity \bar{N}_p (in photons/bit), evaluated at different error probabilities, for FSK transmission and optical preamplifier receiver detection	107
Fig. 4.6 Comparison of receiver sensitivity results using the Gaussian and exact probability distributions, FSK transmission	108
Fig. 4.7 Comparison of the average receiver sensitivity of FSK and OOK systems using laser transmitters and optical preamplifier receivers	109
Fig. 4.8 Power penalty between OOK and FSK, as a function of m	110
Fig. 4.9 Average and peak receiver sensitivity (in photons/bit), evaluated at different error probabilities using exact (chi-square) statistics, for OOK and FSK transmission and optical preamplifier receiver detection	111
Fig. 4.10 Optimum $m = B_oT$ evaluated at different error probabilities using exact (chi-square) statistics, for OOK and FSK transmission and optical preamplifier receiver detection	112

Chapter 5

Fig. 5.1 Mode coupling and typical transmission (reflection) spectra for optical fiber Bragg and long-period grating filters	136
Fig. 5.2 Fiber Fabry-Perot filter	137
Fig. 5.3a Approximating a passband of a fiber Fabry-Perot filter with a Lorentzian lineshape (Finesse = 5, FWHM = 1 nm)	138
Fig. 5.3b Normalized frequency response of various order N Butterworth filters	139

Fig. 5.4 Schematic of spectrums-sliced system to illustrate difference between signal and noise paths	140
Fig. 5.5 Filter parameters as a function of the filter order N. Both signal and noise degrees of freedom become identical for very large orders of the filter, corresponding to the ideal (rectangular spectra) case	141
Fig. 5.6 Receiver sensitivity for an OOK transmission system using Butterworth filters of different orders N. Results are plotted using the Gaussian approximation	142
Fig. 5.7 Ratio of the noise to signal power as a function of the order of the filter	143
Fig. 5.8 Receiver sensitivity for OOK transmission at various orders N of the Butterworth filter, using the chi-square analysis	144
Fig. 5.9 Receiver sensitivity for spectrum-sliced FSK transmission system and optical preamplifier receiver detection, using the Gaussian approximation, and for various orders of the optical filters in the transmission path	145
Fig. 5.10 Receiver sensitivity for FSK transmission at various orders N of the Butterworth filter, using the chi-square analysis	146
Fig. 5.11 Optimum m as a function of various filter orders for OOK and FSK, using the chi-square analysis	147
Fig. 5.12 Receiver sensitivity for OOK and FSK, also shown is the peak receiver sensitivity for OOK	148
Fig. 5.13 Penalty with respect to the ideal (rectangular spectra) case; penalty reduces to less than 1 dB for $N > 2$	149