

Smart Surfaces in Biobased Materials

By

Ulrike Becker

Dr. Wolfgang G. Glasser (Committee Chairperson)

(Abstract)

The self-assembly blends of cellulose propionate (CP) and fluorine (F)-containing cellulose derivatives was examined on a model system of solvent cast films. The F-containing derivatives were either high molecular weight statistical cellulose esters with a number of F-containing substituent evenly distributed along the backbone (F-esters), or F-terminated CP-segments with exactly one F-containing endgroup. The F-esters were synthesized in a homogeneous phase and identified by ^{19}F -NMR. Thermal analysis showed improved thermal stability of the F-esters when compared to F-free derivatives.

1-monohydroxy functionalized CP-segments were synthesized by HBr depolymerization using either a commercially available CP with residual OH-groups or a perpropionylated CP (CTP). The hydrolysis using the commercial CP yielded only segments of a minimum DP of 50 and the Mark-Houwink constant declined from 1 to 0.6. The results indicate that in the presence of free hydroxyls branches are formed by transglycosidation. The hydrolysis from perpropionylated CP resulted in segments with a minimum DP of 7, which is in accordance to previous studies.

F-terminated CP segments were synthesized by coupling of the appropriate F-containing alcohol to the CP segment via toluene diisocyanate.

Solutions containing F-terminated CP-segments showed typical critical micelle behavior. The critical micelle concentration depended on the molecular weight of the CP segment and the type of F-containing endgroup. The micelles are thought to consist of a core of the F-endgroups and a corona made-up of CP. Films containing the oligomers cast from micellar solution revealed a linear decrease in wetting force according to the blend composition of the oligomer, i.e. behavior according to the rule of mixing. This indicated the absence of surface segregation of the F-endgroup and it is explained with the fact that the micellar structure is retained in the solid state, suppressing surface segregation. The solid state micelles were visualized as dome-like protrusions by height image atomic force microscopy. In systems blended with CP the distance between the protrusions was found to increase with increasing CP content which was explained by a dilution process.

Films containing F-esters were characterized by wetting force measurements and x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The wetting force decreased dramatically at low blend content of the F-ester and at the same time an F surface-concentration higher than expected from the blend composition was found by XPS. This indicated self-assembly by surface segregation of the F-containing species during film formation. The extent of surface segregation was found to depend on the type of the F-ester group as well as on the blend concentration of the F-ester.

Dynamic wetting force measurements revealed hysteresis in films containing either F-esters or F-terminated CP segments. The hysteresis was found to be both kinetic (water sorption and reorganization) and thermodynamic (surface roughness and surface coverage with F-moieties) in nature. Consecutive force loops revealed an increase in the wetting force (advancing

and receding) with increasing loop number, indicating the increased hydrophobicity of the surface. The force increase was determined to be due to water sorption as well as due to surface reorganization. An increase in the size of the F-groups signified a decrease in reorganization rate due to a decreased mobility of the group. The process of reorganization was fully reversible, a behavior which is congruent with the definition of smart behavior.

Acknowledgements

Many individuals have contributed to this work and the contributions are gratefully acknowledged. First and foremost I wish to thank Dr. Wolfgang Glasser, my major advisor, for his guidance, challenge and encouragement and his time and effort he has invested in me.

I would also like to thank my committee members, Drs Richey Davis, Charles Frazier, James Wightman and Garth Wilkes, for their numerous discussions and comments.

Frank Cromer (XPS), Tom Glass (NMR) and Steve McCartney (AMF) were so kind to share their knowledge with me, which is gratefully acknowledged.

I thank my colleagues in the laboratory, Indrajit, Jason, Jody, Kevin and Raj, for providing a stimulating environment and valuable assistance. Thanks goes especially Jason and Raj who have actively contributed to this work and to Jody, for her moral support.

Finally the funding by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) for this endeavor is gratefully acknowledged.

Table of Content

Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of content	v
Glossary	vii
List of tables.....	viii
List of figures.....	ix
1. Introduction	1
2. Literature Review	3
2.1. Homogeneous phase cellulose chemistry	3
2.2 Cellulose segments	4
2.3 Smart materials.....	5
2.4 Hierarchical structures.....	5
2.5 Nanostructures/self-assembly/supramolecular structure	7
2.6 Surface reorganization.....	9
2.7 Surface mobility	11
2.8 Micelle formation in synthetic polymeric materials.....	14
2.9 Micelle formation in biological and biobased systems	16
2.10 Surface characterization by dynamic contact angle measurements	18
2.11 Contact angle hysteresis.....	21
2.12 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy.....	22
2.13 Atomic force microscopy.....	25
Literature cited	28
3. Paper I: About the hydrolysis of cellulose propionate to segments with low DP	47
4. Paper II: Novel Cellulose Derivatives. VI. Preparation and thermal analysis of two novel cellulose esters with fluorine-containing Substitution	59
5. Paper III: Surface segregation phenomena in blends of cellulose esters	79

6. Paper IV: Smart surfaces in biobased materials. II. Micelle-formation in cellulose esters with F-functional endgroups.....	109
7. Paper V: Smart surfaces in biobased materials. III. Surface segregation in cellulose ester films	138
8. Paper VI: Smart surfaces in biobased materials. IV. Dynamic surface effects in films of F-containing cellulose esters.....	167

Glossary

DP	degree of polymerization
CP	cellulose propionate
CTP	cellulose tripropionate
FTIR	fourier transform infrared
M_n	number average molecular weight
GPC	gel permeation chromatography
NMR	nuclear magnetic resonance
DS	degree of substitution
DS_F	degree of substitution of an F-containing substituent
T_g	glass transition temperature
T_m	melting temperature
TGA	thermogravimetric analysis
CMC	critical micelle concentration
AFM	atomic force microscopy
XPS	x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy

List of Tables

Chapter 3:

Table I	Starting materials for cellulose propionate hydrolysis	54
---------	--	----

Chapter 4:

Table I	Molecular weight and thermal characteristics of novel F-containing cellulose esters	70
---------	---	----

Table II	Peak assignment for alcohols, acids and esters in NMR-spectra.....	71
----------	--	----

Chapter 5:

Table I	Molecular weight characteristics of cellulose propionate segments.....	99
---------	--	----

Table II	Identification of F-containing derivatives used in this study	100
----------	---	-----

Table III	Solution behavior of fluorinated cellulose derivatives; sample designation is as outlined in Table II	101
-----------	---	-----

Chapter 6:

Table I:	Overview of terminated segments (see Figure 1 for structure)	124
----------	--	-----

Table II	Results from XPS measurements of T ₃₀ -PF	125
----------	--	-----

Chapter 7:

Table I:	List of statistical F-esters used in this study	153
----------	---	-----

Table II	Comparison and properties of neat statistical copolymers	154
----------	--	-----

Table III	Characterization and properties of blended films containing 1% F-ester.....	155
-----------	---	-----

Chapter 8:

Table I	Overview of terminated segments	180
---------	---------------------------------------	-----

Table II	Characterization of statistical F-esters	181
----------	--	-----

Tale III	Comparison of force increase calculated due to weight gain by sorption and measured in wetting force experiment	182
----------	---	-----

List of Figures

Chapter 2:

Figure 1	The human tendon as an example of hierarchical structure	33
Figure 2	Schematic model of interphase (gradual transition) vs. interface (abrupt transition between 2 phases)	34
Figure 3	Lignin transition in the middle lamella	35
Figure 4	Transferred multiple LB films of cellulose esters. The structure Resembles the one of lipid bi-layers	36
Figure 5	Demonstration of the influence of the solvent on self-assembly. In this graft-copolymer a variety of unimolecular micelles are observed depending on the quality of the solvent (from ref. 58).....	37
Figure 6	Example of the formation of solid state micelles (from ref 61)	38
Figure 7	Examples of some of the micellar structures found in lignin-Carbohydrate complexes (from ref. 65)	39
Figure 8	Demonstration of wetting force measurement as a mass measurement on a balance beam.....	40
Figure 9	A schematic description of the changing meniscus shape at the solid/Liquid interface when the plate is immersed into (a) and withdrawn from (b) the water. Due to the round specimen, the line 1-2 in the resulting wetting force trace (c) is sloped	41
Figure 10	Schematic of X-ray photoelectron experiment	42
Figure 11	Schematic of angle dependent X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy Experiment.....	43
Figure 12	Schematic of tapping mode atomic force microscopy experiment.....	44
Figure 13	Schematic of signal processing in height mode atomic force microscopy (tapping mode)	45
Figure 14	Schematic of signal processing in phase mode atomic force Microscopy (tapping mode).....	46

Chapter 3:

Figure 1	FTIR spectra of commercial cellulose propionate (top) and of perpropionylated CTP (bottom). The hydroxyl stretch around 3400cm^{-1} indicates the presence of hydroxyl groups.....	55
Figure 2	DP values of CP segments after hydrolysis of perpropionylated CTP (A), and of commercial CP (B), in relation to hydrolysis time	56
Figure 3	Mark Houwink exponential factor a of CP segments after hydrolysis of perpropionylated CTP (A), and of CP (B), in relation to DP_n	57
Figure 4	Hypothetical reaction scheme of commercial-grade cellulose propionate during HBr-degradation. The initial degradation provides glycosyl bromides which subsequently participate in branching. Pr indicates propionyl groups	58

Chapter 4:

Figure 1	Reaction scheme for homogeneous phase esterification in accordance with the procedure of Sealey <i>et al.</i>	72
Figure 2	^1H -NMR spectra of difluoroethanol (top) and difluoroethoxy acetic acid (bottom). The solvent is deuterated chloroform and the internal standard is trimethyl silane (TMS)	73
Figure 3	^1H -NMR spectra of octafluoropentanol (top) and octafluoropentoxy acetic acid (bottom). Solvent and standard are the same as in Figure 3	74
Figure 4	^{19}F -NMR spectra of difluoroethanol (top) and cellulose difluoroethoxy acetate (bottom). The internal standard is 3 (trifluoromethyl) benzophenone at -64 ppm	75
Figure 5	^{19}F -NMR spectra for octafluoropentanol (top) and cellulose octafluoropentoxy acetate (bottom). The spectrum is referenced to 3 (trifluoromethyl) benzophenone at -64 ppm.....	76
Figure 6	DSC thermogram for cellulose propionate difluoroethoxy acetate (7.2 mg)	77
Figure 7	TGA thermogram for cellulose propionate and F-containing esters. a: cellulose propionate, b: cellulose propionate difluoroethoxy acetate, c: cellulose propionate octafluoropentoxy acetate.....	78

Chapter 5:

- Figure 1 Schematic illustration of F-containing cellulose ester options 102
- Figure 2 Reaction schemes for F-terminated segments (left) and statistical F-esters right; n indicated the high degree of substitution of depolymerization (DP) which is maintained in the F-ester, whereas m indicated the reduced DP in the segments which is achieved by degradation 103
- Figure 3 Comparison of DP determination by GPC and by NMR; GPC determination represents direct determination, while NMR is an indirect determination by means of determining the endgroup concentration 104
- Figure 4 ^{19}F -NMR spectra of T30- CF_3 (top), trifluoro ethanol (middle), and T30- CF_3 spiked with trifluoro ethanol. The standard 3-(trifluoromethyl) benzophenone is arbitrarily set to 0 ppm 105
- Figure 5 Schematic representation of micelles believed to be formed by F-terminated cellulose propionate segments. The circles represent the endgroups which form the core of the micelles and the lines represent the cellulose propionate chains in the corona..... 106
- Figure 6 Melting transitions of cellulose propionate (CP) compared to blocky and randomly fluorinated derivatives: thermal behavior of the blocky oligomers is similar to CP and independent of the chemistry of the F-containing endgroup, but random copolymers show a clear dependence of thermal transitions on the chemistry of the F-containing substituent. No melting transition for R-100- CF_2H was observed. Sample abbreviation is as outlined in Table II..... 107
- Figure 7 Experimental water contact angle for blends with statistical F-ester R- CF_2H (with octafluoropentyl substituent) (I); and for two blends with terminated segments: T- CF_3 (trifluoroethyl-terminated) (Δ) and T- CF_2H (octafluoropentyl-terminated) (o). The dashed line for the T- CF_3 indicates extrapolated values. Pure T- CF_3 could not be cast into a stable film. Note that the F-content (in %) is higher in the random copolymer than in the blocky oligomers..... 108

Chapter 6:

- Figure 1 Generic structure of an F-terminated cellulose propionate segment. Segments of DP 15, 30 and 70 are terminated with each of the three listed F-containing endgroups..... 126

Figure 2	Surface tension data of T ₇₀ -CF ₃ as an illustration of typically obtained data for the CMC measurement. The CMC value is determined as the intercept of the two lines. The data points are average points of three measurements with a variation of ± 0.25 mg.....	127
Figure 3	Critical micelle concentration for F-terminated CP segments depending on the type of F-endgroup for three different CP-segment sizes. The CMC data was obtained as outlined in Figure 2.....	128
Figure 4	Critical micelle concentration of F-terminated CP segments depending on the molecular weight of the CP segment for three different endgroups.....	129
Figure 5	Wetting force measurements of blends containing a varying amount of the F-terminated segments. Only samples containing segments with DP 30 and 70 of the CP block could be measured. The measurements were conducted in triplicate with an average variation of ± 0.8 mg.....	130
Figure 6	Wetting forces of neat films of F-terminated segments depending on the molecular weight of the CP block and the type of F-group	131
Figure 7	Atomic force height image micrographs of neat films of cellulose propionate, CP (top), a CP segment (middle) and an F-terminated CP segment. Both segments have a degree of polymerization of 30.....	132
Figure 8	Principle of peak-to-peak determination illustrated with T30-TF. The AFM Software allows for	
Figure 9	Model of micelle of F-terminated oligomers (top) and pancake-shaped and full micelles at the surface and in the bulk phase (bottom). The micelle is thought to consist of a core of the F-endgroups and a CP corona. At the surface, the micelles are pancake-shaped in order to maximize the exposure of F-moieties at the surface while at the same time maintaining the micellar structure.....	134
Figure 10	Theoretical calculation of micelle size. The micelle size is calculated by adding the CP block size and the endgroup sizes. The core cavity is neglected in this first approximation, so is the fact that the micelles at the surface are not circular in shape	135
Figure 11	Comparison of measured peak-to-peak distance of films containing F-terminated oligomers to values calculated from equation 1. The measured peak-to-peak distance fits well in the case of segments of DP 30, but the observed peak-to-peak distances are larger than calculated in the case of segments of DP 70.....	136

Figure 12	Model of dilution of micelles by CP. The circles represent the micelle core and the thin lines CP of the micelle. When CP (thick line) is added to the blend, the micelles are pushed apart, thus increasing the peak-to-peak distance measured using AFM.....	137
Chapter 7:		
Figure 1	Results of wetting force measurements depending on the blend content of the F-ester for four esters. The average variation of 6 repeats was ± 0.53 mg.....	156
Figure 2	Results of wetting force measurement for solvent-cast (THF) films of neat F-esters depending on the type of F-group in the ester	157
Figure 3	Correlation between the F-content of the F-ester and the wetting force observed in neat films. Films of the F-esters with the difluoro-terminus have a higher wetting force, independent of the F-content in the sample.....	158
Figure 4	Deconvolution pattern of the C1 core peak according to the different chemical environments of the various carbon atoms. The peak areas can be determined using the XPS software	159
Figure 5	Dependence of the segregation factor (SF) on the blend concentration. The highest SF is observed at the lowest blend content. The behavior is identical for all blends. The experiment was done in duplicate.....	160
Figure 6	Dependence of the segregation factor (SF) on the F-content in the F-ester. With increasing F-content, the amount of surface segregation increases, as indicated by a larger SF	161
Figure 7	Transformation of exponential F-gradient (top left) into linear profile (top right) using the geometrical relationship between escape depth and incident angle and the x-ray energy (bottom)	162
Figure 8	The normalized CF_3/CH_3 ratio depending on the sampling depth and the blend concentration of the F-ester. The lower the blend content of the F-ester is, the more dramatic is the decline on the normalized ratio. These data were obtained from S- CF_2H -1.5-ac, and all F-esters show the same behavior	163
Figure 9 a,b	Atomic force phase image micrographs of a film of 100% CP (top) and 100% S- CH_2 -1.5-ac (bottom)	164
Figure 9 c,d	Atomic force phase micrographs of a blend containing 2% S- CF_2H -1.5-ac (top) and 10% S- CF_2H -1.5-ac (bottom)	
Figure 11	Comparison of the surface coverage of S- CF_2H -1.5-ac obtained by image	

	analysis of AFM phase image data (top) and the F-concentration measured by XPS for various blend contents of the F-ester (bottom).....	166
Chapter 8:		
Figure 1	Structures of F-derivatives used in this study. Top: statistical F-ester, bottom: terminated segments.....	183
Figure 2	Typical trace of first wetting loop. The hysteresis is calculated from the difference between the advancing and receding forces.....	184
Figure 3	Hysteresis-values for films of F-esters and F-terminated segments with different F-containing groups (for sample designation see Table I).....	185
Figure 4	Atomic force height image micrographs of an F-ester film (top) and an F-terminated segment film (bottom)	186
Figure 5	Indication of ether bonds in terminated segments (top) and statistical F-esters (bottom) which are believed to be involved in reorganization	187
Figure 6	Wetting force increase depending on time. The spike at the beginning of the experiment is due to the immersion of the sample into water. After a duration of linear increase, the force levels off. The phase of Linear increase can be expressed by a linear equation. The equation is used to calculate weight gains of the films due to sorption (see text).....	188
Figure 7	Wetting force traces for consecutive loops. Typical behavior of F-containing films (top) and CP (bottom)	189
Figure 8	Advancing wetting forces depending on loop number for CP and a typical F-derivative. The data for CP agree well with force values calculated using the slope value of the sorption experiment (-----), whereas in the case of the F-derivative the force increases more rapidly than according to the sorption data (—)	190
Figure 9	Typical result for test of reversibility. In the initial experiment, the force increases with increasing loop number (as discussed in the text) After a drying step, the original wetting force is observed, indicating reversibility	191