

Appendix B:

Ratio Constancy Proof for F-Optimal Designs under the Uniform Prior

Ratio Constancy of F-Optimal Designs under the Uniform Prior

The F-optimal ratio constancy proof is very much like the D-optimal case. When $\beta_1 \sim U(c, d)$, the Bayes risk is

$$\min_{\delta \in \mathcal{D}} \int R(\delta, \beta) \pi(\beta) d\beta = \min \left[\frac{1}{(d-c)(x_1 - x_2)^2} \left(\frac{(e^{-cx_2} - e^{-dx_2})}{n_2 x_2} + \frac{(e^{-cx_1} - e^{-dx_1})}{n_1 x_1} \right) \right]. \quad (1)$$

When $\beta_1 \sim U(ac, ad)$, the Bayes risk is

$$\min_{\delta \in \mathcal{D}} \int R(\delta, \beta) \pi(\beta) d\beta = \min \left[\frac{1}{(ad-ac)(x_1^* - x_2^*)^2} \left(\frac{(e^{-cx_1^*} - e^{-dx_1^*})}{n_2 x_1^*} + \frac{(e^{-cx_2^*} - e^{-dx_2^*})}{n_1 x_2^*} \right) \right]. \quad (2)$$

Let x_1 and x_2 be the solution that minimizes expression (1) when $\beta_1 \sim U(c, d)$. Let x_1^* and x_2^* be the solution that minimizes expression (2) when $\beta_1 \sim U(ac, ad)$. Multiplying the right hand side of (2) by $\frac{a^2}{a^2}$ gives

$$\min_{\delta \in \mathcal{D}} \int R(\delta, \beta) \pi(\beta) d\beta = \min \left[\frac{1}{(d-c)(ax_1^* - ax_2^*)^2} \left(\frac{(e^{-cx_2^*} - e^{-dx_2^*})}{n_2 ax_2^*} + \frac{(e^{-cx_1^*} - e^{-dx_1^*})}{n_1 ax_1^*} \right) \right]. \quad (3)$$

By grouping (ax_i^*) , it is obvious that $x_i^* = \frac{x_i}{a}$ and these designs are ratio constant both in the selection of EC's. The following steps verify that equality of ECs holds among F-optimal Bayesian designs based on the uniform prior.

$$q_i = e^{\left(\frac{c+d}{2}\right)x_i} = e^{a\left(\frac{c+d}{2}\right)x_i^*} = e^{\left(\frac{c+d}{2}\right)a\left(\frac{x_i}{a}\right)} = e^{\left(\frac{c+d}{2}\right)x_i} = q_i^* \quad (4)$$

Note that while it is not shown here, it can be verified using calculus techniques that the allocation percentages remain the same for designs based on $\beta_1 \sim U(c, d)$ and $\beta_1 \sim U(ac, ad)$.