

## Table of Contents

Abstract .....	i
Table of Contents .....	v
List of Figures .....	x
List of Tables .....	xi
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction and the Problem .....</b>	<b>1</b>
Introduction .....	1
Significance of the Study .....	9
Statement of the Problem .....	10
Delimitation .....	13
Assumptions .....	13
Operational Definitions .....	14
<b>Chapter 2 A Review of Literature Related to Construction as an Organizer for Technology Education .....</b>	<b>16</b>
The Importance of Construction .....	16
Technology, Technological Literacy, and Construction .....	20
Defining Technology .....	20
Technology - An Operational Definition .....	30
A Review of Selected Definitions of Literacy .....	32
An Operational Definition of Literacy .....	35
Technological Literacy .....	35
Considerations Related to Writing a Definition .....	38
An Operational Definition of Technological Literacy ...	49

Establishing an Operational Definition for Construction .....	50
Construction Operationally Defined .....	55
Establishing an Operational Definition for General Education .....	57
Linking General Education and Technology Education .....	60
Spheres of Interaction .....	75
Technological Literacy Related to General Education .....	78
The Study of Construction Related to Technological Literacy .....	79
Linking Construction and General Education .....	80
<b>Chapter 3 Methods .....</b>	<b>81</b>
Introduction .....	81
Theoretical Underpinnings of the Survey Instrument .....	81
Selection of the Sample .....	82
Request for Participation and Mailing Procedure .....	85
Non-Response Follow-up to E-Mail Request to Participate .....	85
Section 1 of the Instrument .....	86
Section 2 of the Instrument .....	87
Section 3 of the Instrument .....	90
Section 4 of the Instrument .....	92
Section 5 of the Instrument .....	92
Section 6 of the Instrument .....	92

Differences in the Surveys Sent to Construction Specialists Versus Non-Construction Specialists .....	93
Section 7 of the Instrument .....	93
Section 8 of the Instrument .....	94
Section 9 of the Instrument .....	94
Section 10 of the Instrument .....	94
Section 11 of the Instrument .....	95
The Pilot Study .....	95
Pilot Study Response .....	96
Pilot Study Data Entry .....	97
Pilot Study Summary .....	97
Overall Response Rate .....	98
Follow-up on Non Respondents .....	99
Software Used in the Data Analysis .....	99
Medoid Cluster Analysis .....	100
Creating Dummy Variables to Represent Respondent Assignment to a Cluster .....	102
Discriminant Analysis .....	102
Interpreting the Variable Influence Report .....	103
Interpreting the Canonical Variate Analysis Report .....	105
Calculating the Relative Importance of Construction .....	106
Testing for Differences Between the Clusters with Respect to the Relative Importance of Construction .....	107

Testing for Differences Between the Clusters with Respect to the Relative Importance Attributed to Each of the Three Spheres of Human/Technology Interaction . . . . .	108
Comparing Practice and Theory . . . . .	109
Descriptive Statistics . . . . .	109
<b>Chapter 4 Data Analysis and Findings . . . . .</b>	<b>111</b>
Response Rate . . . . .	111
Active Technology Teacher Education Programs . . . . .	112
Medoid Clustering . . . . .	113
Discriminant Analysis . . . . .	115
Understanding the Response to the General Education Variable . . . . .	121
Interpreting the Variables and Identifying the Clusters . . . . .	123
Determining Respondents' Tendencies According to Cluster Assignment . . . . .	126
Follow-up to the Elimination of the General Education Variable . . . . .	126
Determining the Relative Importance of Construction . . . . .	128
Comparing the Relative Importance of Construction with Other Organizers . . . . .	131
A Comparison of the Relative Percent of the Curriculum Attributed to Each of the Three Human/Technology Spheres . . . . .	132
Apportionment of Ten Curriculum Organizers for K-12 Technology Education Across the Three Human/Tehnology Spheres of Interaction . . . . .	137
Respondents' Tendencies and Emphasis on the Three Spheres . . . . .	138

The Relative Importance of Construction as Perceived by Members of Clusters 1, 2, and 3 .....	139
Respondents' Tendencies and the Importance of Construction .....	139
Comparing the Ideal Allocation of Construction in the Curriculum with Course Work that is Actually Devoted to Construction . . . . .	143
Selected Aspects of Instruction as Applied to Construction within the Realm of Higher Education .....	144
How Construction is Offered .....	145
Instructional Methods Used in Construction Courses . . . . .	143
Percentage of Construction Course Work Allocated to the Study of Residential, Commercial, and Infrastructure Construction .....	147
The Tendency to Teach Construction from a Content Orientation or a Process Orientation .....	148
<b>Chapter 5 Summary, Conclusions, and Recommendations .....</b>	<b>149</b>
Summary .....	149
Conclusions .....	151
Recommendations for Research .....	162
Recommendations for Practitioners .....	163
Recommendations for Improving this Study .....	164
<b>References .....</b>	<b>166</b>
<b>Appendix A: The Survey Instrument .....</b>	<b>175</b>
<b>Appendix B: Correspondence .....</b>	<b>181</b>
<b>Vita .....</b>	<b>186</b>

## List of Figures

Figure 1 Urban Population Growth as Compared to Total Population Growth .....	18
Figure 2 The Human/Technology Spheres of Interaction .....	76
Figure 3 Results of Medoid Clustering .....	114
Figure 4 The Relative Importance of Curriculum Organizers Within Each of the Three Human/Technology Spheres of Interaction - All Respondents .....	129
Figure 5 The Relative Importance of Curriculum Organizers Within Each of the Three Human/Technology Spheres of Interaction - Technological Literacy Cluster Respondents Only .....	130
Figure 6 Breakdown of Teaching Methods Employed in Construction Courses .....	146
Figure 7 Allocation of Construction Course Content Among Residential, Commercial, and Infrastructure .....	147

## List of Tables

Table 1. A Comparison of Bonser and Mossman's Definition of Industrial Arts and the Definition of Technology Forwarded by Savage & Sterry .....	7
Table 2. A Comparison of the Components of Selected Definitions of Technological Literacy .....	41
Table 3. Attributes of Technological Literacy .....	47
Table 4. Example of the First Stage of Participant Selection .....	83
Table 5. Pilot Study Cluster Report .....	102
Table 6. Variable Influence Report from the Pilot Study: All Variables .....	104
Table 7. Variable Influence Report from the Pilot Study: After Removal of Variables 4, 5, 6, 8, &13 .....	104
Table 8. Canonical Variate Analysis Report from the Pilot Study: All Variables .....	106
Table 9. Canonical Variate Analysis Report from the Pilot Study: After Removal of Variables 4, 5, 6, 8, &13 .....	106
Table 10 Respondent Assignment to the Clusters in a Three Cluster Model .....	115
Table 11 Results of Initial Run of Discriminant Analysis - Clusters Assigned According to Medoid Clustering Results .....	116
Table 12 Sample Misclassified Rows Report .....	118
Table 13 Results of Discriminant Analysis Following Reclassification of Rows with All Variables Included .....	119
Table 14 Results of Discriminant Analysis Excluding the General Education Variable (GE) - Respondents Reclassified .....	123

Table 15	Group Means Report Reorganized According to Variable Categories .....	124
Table 16	Discriminant Analysis Results with Variable GE Included After Reclassification .....	127
Table 17	Mean Percentages of the Curriculum Apportioned to the Three Spheres of Human/Technology Interaction by Cluster .....	132
Table 18	T-Tests Comparing the Percentage of the Curriculum Allocated to Civic-Life by Each of the Three Clusters .....	134
Table 19	T-Tests Comparing the Percentage of the Curriculum Allocated to Personal-Life by Each of the Three Clusters .....	135
Table 20	T-Tests Comparing the Percentage of the Curriculum Allocated to Work-Life by Each of the Three Clusters .....	136
Table 21	Apportionment of Ten Curriculum Organizers for K-12 Technology Education Across the Three Human/Technology Spheres of Interaction as Determined by the Members of the Technological Literacy Cluster .....	138
Table 22	T-Tests Comparing the Percentage of the Civic-Life Curriculum Allocated to Construction by Members of Clusters 1, 2, and 3 .....	140
Table 23	T-Tests Comparing the Percentage of the Personal-Life Curriculum Allocated to Construction by Members of Clusters 1, 2, and 3 .....	141
Table 24	T-Tests Comparing the Percentage of the Work-Life Curriculum Allocated to Construction by Members of Clusters 1, 2, and 3 .....	142
Table 25	T-Test Comparing Ideal and Actual Percentages of the Curriculum Allocated to Construction .....	144