

EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF GROUP ACTION FACTOR
FOR BOLTED WOOD CONNECTIONS

by

Guy T. Anderson

Thesis submitted to the Faculty of the
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
CIVIL ENGINEERING

APPROVED:

J. Daniel Dolan, Chairman

Joseph R. Loferski

W. Samuel Easterling

December 18, 2001
Blacksburg, Virginia

Keywords: Multiple Bolts, Group Action Factor, Bolted Wood Connections, Cyclic
Loading

EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF GROUP ACTION FACTOR FOR BOLTED WOOD CONNECTIONS

by

Guy T. Anderson

Committee Chairman: Dr. J. Daniel Dolan

Wood Science and Forest Products, Civil Engineering

(ABSTRACT)

This thesis presents the results of testing to determine the significance of the group action factor at the 5% offset yield and capacity of single-shear bolted wood connections loaded parallel to grain. The single and multiple-bolt connections tested represent common connection geometries used in wood construction in the United States. The results of both monotonic and cyclic loading of connections are presented.

Monotonic test data was used to determine an appropriately scaled CUREE Displacement Controlled Quasi-Static Cyclic Protocol. Overall, one hundred and eighty connections were tested using this cyclic protocol based on data obtained from thirty-three monotonic tests.

Tested assemblies had geometric variables that include number of bolts per row, number of rows, bolt diameter, and side member material. In addition, the main and side member material and thickness were designed to produce three of the four major connection yield modes as defined by the 1997 National Design Specification for Wood Construction (AF&PA, 1997).

Results from this research address the need for adequate spacing of bolts in a row to control the brittle connection behavior that directly affected the group action factor at capacity.

Acknowledgements

Completion of this study would not have been possible if it had not been for the financial support provided by the U.S. Department of Agriculture National Research Initiative Competitive Grants Program (Contact ID: 98-35103-6582) and the help and contributions of many people in the Department of Wood Science and Forest Products and the Department of Civil Engineering at Virginia Tech.

I wish to thank several people who directly and indirectly helped me with this work. Dr. J. Daniel Dolan, my advisor and committee chair, for his faith and trust in my abilities as a student and research assistant. He introduced me to the world of Timber Engineering, hired me to work within it, and provided valuable guidance throughout. Dr. Joseph R. Loferski and Dr. W. Samuel Easterling provided valuable input and gave willingly of their time. As my committee and as individuals I appreciate and thank them for their help and guidance.

To Dr. Chris Heine I extend my thanks for getting me started on this project and for keeping me pointed in the right direction along the way. Your ever so carefully timed suggestions and work ethic kept me on my toes and inspired me to push my own limits.

The physical labor portion of this work would have been infinitely more grueling if it had not been for the help my classmates at Virginia Tech. Marty Downs, James Warmoth, Katie Harrison, and Wes Bott thank you guys for not asking too many questions when you agreed to help.

I would also like to thank the staff of the Brooks Forest Products Center including Kenny Albert, Bob Carner, and Angie Riegel for all of their support.

The entire grad school experience would not have been the same if it had not been for Joe Wickline who proved you can get a degree and not work on weekends, James Warmoth who is a Californian at heart but just won't admit it, Onur Avci (Turkish buddy) who works way too much, and Marty and Pam Downs who have been putting up with me for going on seven years now.

Finally, I would like to extend a special thank you to my parents Valerie and Richard Anderson, my brother Travis Anderson, and my grandparents Tom and Cynthia Aitken for their love and support throughout my entire life.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 – Background and Problem Statement	1
1.2 – Objectives	2
1.3 – Significance	3
1.4 – Thesis Overview	3
Chapter 2 Literature Review	4
2.1 – Introduction	4
2.2 – Background and Definitions, Single Bolt Connections	5
2.3 – Development of the Group Action Factor	11
2.4 – Influencing Parameters of Bolted Connection Performance	13
2.4.1 – Moisture Content Effects	13
2.4.2 – Spacing, End and Edge Distances	13
2.4.3 – Fabrication Tolerances	14
2.4.4 – Fastener Aspect Ratio	14
2.4.5 – Fastener Bending Strength	14
2.4.6 – Dowel Embedment Strength	15
2.4.7 – Friction	15
2.4.8 – Bolt Tensioning	16
2.5 – Test Methods	16
2.5.1 – Mohammad and Queeneville	17
2.5.2 – CUREE Displacement Controlled Quasi-Static Cyclic Protocol	18
2.6 – Summary	19
Chapter 3 Methods and Materials	20
3.1 – General	20
3.1.1 – Sample Size Determination	22
3.2 – Materials	23
3.3 – Joint Geometry	24
3.4 – Test Equipment	26
3.5 – Testing Procedures	30

3.5.1 – CUREE Deformation Controlled Quasi-Static Cyclic Protocol	30
3.5.2 – Monotonic Connection Tests	33
3.5.3 – Cyclic Connection Tests	33
3.5.4 – Dowel Embedment Tests	33
3.5.5 – Moisture Content and Specific Gravity Measurements	35
3.5.6 – Bolt Bending Tests	35
3.5.7 – Test Data Analysis	36
3.6 – Summary	38
Chapter 4 Results and Discussion	40
4.1 – General	40
4.2 – Mode II Yield	41
4.2.1 – Monotonic Test Results	41
4.2.2 – Cyclic Test Results	44
4.3 – Mode III Yield	52
4.3.1 – Monotonic Test Results	52
4.3.2 – Cyclic Test Results	55
4.4 – Mode IV Yield (3/8” Bolts)	62
4.4.1 – Monotonic Test Results	62
4.4.2 – Cyclic Test Results	65
4.5 – Mode IV Yield (1/2” Bolts)	72
4.5.1 – Monotonic Test Results	72
4.5.2 – Cyclic Test Results	75
4.6 – Group Action Affects	80
4.6.1 – Lantos Model Predictions	80
4.6.2 – Ductility Ratio	81
4.6.3 – 5% Offset Yield Strength	90
4.6.4 - Capacity	99
4.7 – Yield Limit Model Predictions	109
Chapter 5 Summary, Conclusions, and Recommendations	117
5.1 – Summary	117

5.2 – Conclusions	117
5.2.1 – Group Action Factor at Capacity	117
5.2.2 – Group Action Factor at 5% Offset Yield Strength	118
5.2.3 – Ductility Ratio Trends	118
5.2.4 – Yield Limit Model Predictions	119
5.2.6 – Yield Mechanisms	119
5.2.7 – Failure Mechanisms	120
5.2.8 – Initial Stiffness	120
5.3 – Limitations of Research	120
5.4 – Recommendations for Future Research	120
References	122
Appendix A Monotonic Connection Test Data	126
A.1 – Monotonic Connection Test Data	126
A.1.1 – 2X Series Monotonic	127
A.1.2 – ST Series Monotonic	136
A.1.3 – 46 Series Monotonic	145
A.1.3 – 4X Series Monotonic	154
Appendix B Cyclic Connection Test Data	160
B.1 – Cyclic Connection Test Data	160
B.1.1 – 2X Series Cyclic	161
B.1.2 – ST Series Cyclic	201
B.1.3 – 46 Series Cyclic	236
B.1.4 – 4X Series Cyclic	276
Vita	300

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Typical monotonic load-displacement curve and associated design parameters (After AFPA, 1997).	6
Figure 2.2: Connection yield modes for single and double shear connections (after AFPA, 1997).	8
Figure 3.1: Description of test labeling.	21
Figure 3.2: Photograph of typical 4 in. x 6 in. material end view.	23
Figure 3.3: Typical dimensioning of joint configurations.	25
Figure 3.4: Typical placement of potentiometer anchors.	26
Figure 3.5: Photograph of single shear connection test and fixture.	28
Figure 3.6: Photograph of fixture plate to wood attachment.	28
Figure 3.7: Rendering of joint to test fixture assembly (from Heine, 2001).	29
Figure 3.8: Abbreviated CUREE Deformation Controlled Quasi Static Protocol (after Krawinkler et. al. 2001).	32
Figure 3.9: Property test sampling of bolted connection members.	34
Figure 3.10: Photograph of full hole dowel embedment test.	35
Figure 3.11: Photograph of bolt bending test: three-point loading.	36
Figure 3.12: Bolt bending test: cantilever method.	36
Figure 3.13: Equivalent energy elastic-plastic system (after ASTM, 1999).	37
Figure 3.14: Illustration of Hysteretic Energy and Strain Energy definitions from force- deflection hysteresis.	38
Figure 4.1: Photograph of typical 2X1R1C Series failure.	44
Figure 4.2: Typical load-deflection plot. 2X1R1C Series.	45
Figure 4.3: Photograph of typical 2X1R3C Series failure.	46
Figure 4.4: Typical load-deflection plot. 2X1R3C Series.	46
Figure 4.5: Photograph of typical 2X1R5C Series failure.	47
Figure 4.6: Typical load-deflection plot. 2X1R5C Series.	47
Figure 4.7: Photograph of typical 2X2R3C Series failure.	48
Figure 4.8: Typical load-deflection plot. 2X2R3C Series.	48
Figure 4.9: Photograph of typical 2X2R5C Series failure.	49

Figure 4.10: Typical load-deflection plot. 2X2R5C Series.	50
Figure 4.11: Photograph of typical ST1R1C Series failure.	55
Figure 4.12: Typical load-deflection plot. ST1R1C Series.	55
Figure 4.13: Photograph of typical ST1R3C Series failure.	56
Figure 4.14: Typical load-deflection plot. ST1R3C Series.	56
Figure 4.15: Photograph of typical ST1R5C Series failure.	57
Figure 4.16: Typical load-deflection plot. ST1R5C Series.	57
Figure 4.17: Photograph of typical ST2R3C Series failure.	58
Figure 4.18: Typical load-deflection plot. ST2R3C Series.	58
Figure 4.19: Photograph of typical ST2R5C Series failure.	59
Figure 4.20: Typical load-deflection plot. ST2R5C Series.	60
Figure 4.21: Photograph of typical 461R1C Series yield.	65
Figure 4.22: Typical load-deflection plot. 461R1C Series.	65
Figure 4.23: Photograph of typical 461R3C Series failure.	66
Figure 4.24: Typical load-deflection plot. 461R3C Series.	66
Figure 4.25: Photograph of typical 461R5C Series failure.	67
Figure 4.26: Typical load-deflection plot. 461R5C Series.	67
Figure 4.27: Photograph of typical 462R3C Series failure.	68
Figure 4.28: Typical load-deflection plot. 462R3C Series.	68
Figure 4.29: Photograph of typical 462R5C Series failure.	69
Figure 4.30: Typical load-deflection plot. 462R5C Series.	69
Figure 4.31: Photograph of typical 4X1R1C Series yield.	75
Figure 4.32: Typical load-deflection plot. 4X1R1C Series.	75
Figure 4.33: Photograph of typical 4X1R3C Series yield.	76
Figure 4.34: Typical load-deflection plot. 4X1R3C Series.	76
Figure 4.35: Photograph of typical 4X1R5C Series yield.	77
Figure 4.36: Typical load-deflection plot. 4X1R5C Series.	77
Figure 4.37: Normalized Ductility Ratio, 2X Series.	83
Figure 4.38: Normalized Ductility Ratio, ST Series.	85
Figure 4.39: Normalized Ductility Ratio, 46 Series.	87
Figure 4.40: Normalized Ductility Ratio, 4X Series.	88

Figure 4.41: Group Action Factor based on 5% offset yield strength, 2X Series.	92
Figure 4.42: Group Action Factor based on 5% offset yield strength, ST Series.	94
Figure 4.43: Group Action Factor based on 5% offset yield strength, 46 Series.	96
Figure 4.44: Group Action Factor based on 5% offset yield strength, 4X Series.	97
Figure 4.45: Examples of 5% offset yield strength determination.	99
Figure 4.46: Group Action Factor based on capacity, 2X Series.	101
Figure 4.47: Group Action Factor based on capacity, ST Series.	103
Figure 4.48: Group Action Factor based on capacity, 46 Series.	105
Figure 4.49: Group Action Factor based on capacity, 4X Series.	106
Figure 4.50: Group action factor at capacity for joints displaced cyclically based on test results and model results from Heine (2001) (bolt diameter $D = 1/2$ in., southern pine members 1.5 in. x 5.5 in.).	108
Figure 4.51: Comparison of YLM predicted loads and test loads, 2X Series.	111
Figure 4.52: Comparison of YLM predicted loads and test loads, ST Series.	112
Figure 4.53: Comparison of YLM predicted loads and test loads, 46 Series.	113
Figure 4.54: Comparison of YLM predicted loads and test loads, 4X Series.	114
Figure A.1: Typical test identification and connection property definitions.	126
Figure A.2: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R1M01.	128
Figure A.3: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R1M02.	128
Figure A.4: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R1M03.	129
Figure A.5: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R5M01.	131
Figure A.6: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R5M02.	131
Figure A.7: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R5M03.	132
Figure A.8: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X2R5M01.	134
Figure A.9: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X2R5M02.	134
Figure A.10: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X2R5M03.	135
Figure A.11: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R1M01.	137
Figure A.12: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R1M02.	137
Figure A.13: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R1M03.	138
Figure A.14: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R5M01.	140
Figure A.15: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R5M02.	140

Figure A.16: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R5M03.	141
Figure A.17: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST2R5M01.	143
Figure A.18: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST2R5M02.	143
Figure A.19: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST2R5M03.	144
Figure A.20: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R1M01.	146
Figure A.21: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R1M02.	146
Figure A.22: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R1M03.	147
Figure A.23: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R5M01.	149
Figure A.24: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R5M02.	149
Figure A.25: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R5M03.	150
Figure A.26: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 462R5M01.	152
Figure A.27: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 462R5M02.	152
Figure A.28: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 462R5M03.	153
Figure A.29: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R1M01.	155
Figure A.30: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R1M02.	155
Figure A.31: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R1M03.	156
Figure A.32: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R5M01.	158
Figure A.33: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R5M02.	158
Figure A.34: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R5M03.	159
Figure B.1: Typical test identification and connection property definitions.	160
Figure B.2: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R1C01.	164
Figure B.3: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R1C02.	164
Figure B.4: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R1C03.	165
Figure B.5: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R1C04.	165
Figure B.6: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R1C05.	166
Figure B.7: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R1C06.	166
Figure B.8: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R1C07.	167
Figure B.9: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R1C08.	167
Figure B.10: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R1C09.	168
Figure B.11: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R1C10.	168
Figure B.12: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R3C01.	172

Figure B.13: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R3C02.	172
Figure B.14: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R3C03.	173
Figure B.15: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R3C04.	173
Figure B. 16: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R3C05.	174
Figure B.17: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R3C06.	174
Figure B.18: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R3C07.	175
Figure B.19: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R3C08.	175
Figure B.20: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R3C09.	176
Figure B.21: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R3C010.	176
Figure B.22: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R5C01.	180
Figure B.23: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R5C02.	180
Figure B.24: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R5C03.	181
Figure B.25: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R5C04.	181
Figure B.26: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R5C05.	182
Figure B.27: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R5C06.	182
Figure B.28: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R5C07.	183
Figure B.29: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R5C08.	183
Figure B.30: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R5C09.	184
Figure B.31: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X1R5C10.	184
Figure B.32: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X2R3C01.	188
Figure B.33: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X2R3C02.	188
Figure B.34: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X2R3C03.	189
Figure B.35: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X2R3C04.	189
Figure B.36: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X2R3C05.	190
Figure B.37: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X2R3C06.	190
Figure B.38: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X2R3C07.	191
Figure B.39: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X2R3C08.	191
Figure B.40: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X2R3C09.	192
Figure B.41: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X2R3C10.	192
Figure B.42: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X2R5C01.	196
Figure B.43: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X2R5C02.	196

Figure B.44: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X2R5C03.	197
Figure B.45: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X2R5C04.	197
Figure B.46: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X2R5C05.	198
Figure B.47: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X2R5C06.	198
Figure B.48: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X2R5C07.	199
Figure B.49: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X2R5C08.	199
Figure B.50: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X2R5C09.	200
Figure B.51: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 2X2R5C10.	200
Figure B.52: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R1C01.	203
Figure B.53: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R1C02.	203
Figure B.54: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R1C03.	204
Figure B.55: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R1C04.	204
Figure B.56: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R1C05.	205
Figure B.57: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R1C06.	205
Figure B.58: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R1C07.	206
Figure B.59: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R1C08.	206
Figure B.60: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R1C09.	207
Figure B.61: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R1C10.	207
Figure B.62: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R3C01.	210
Figure B.63: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R3C02.	210
Figure B.64: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R3C03.	211
Figure B.65: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R3C04.	211
Figure B.66: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R3C05.	212
Figure B.67: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R3C06.	212
Figure B.68: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R3C07.	213
Figure B.69: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R3C08.	213
Figure B.70: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R3C09.	214
Figure B.71: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R3C10.	214
Figure B.72: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R5C01.	217
Figure B.73: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R5C02.	217
Figure B.74: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R5C03.	218

Figure B.75: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R5C04.	218
Figure B.76: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R5C05.	219
Figure B.77: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R5C06.	219
Figure B.78: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R5C07.	220
Figure B.79: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R5C08.	220
Figure B.80: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R5C09.	221
Figure B.81: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST1R5C10.	221
Figure B.82: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST2R3C01.	224
Figure B.83: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST2R3C02.	224
Figure B.84: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST2R3C03.	225
Figure B.85: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST2R3C04.	225
Figure B.86: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST2R3C05.	226
Figure B.87: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST2R3C06.	226
Figure B.88: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST2R3C07.	227
Figure B.89: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST2R3C08.	227
Figure B.90: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST2R3C09.	228
Figure B.91: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST2R3C10.	228
Figure B.92: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST2R5C01.	231
Figure B.93: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST2R5C02.	231
Figure B.94: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST2R5C03.	232
Figure B.95: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST2R5C04.	232
Figure B.96: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST2R5C05.	233
Figure B.97: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST2R5C06.	233
Figure B.98: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST2R5C07.	234
Figure B.99: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST2R5C08.	234
Figure B.100: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST2R5C09.	235
Figure B.101: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test ST2R5C10.	235
Figure B.102: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R1C01.	239
Figure B.103: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R1C02.	239
Figure B.104: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R1C03.	240
Figure B.105: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R1C04.	240

Figure B.106: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R1C05.	241
Figure B.107: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R1C06.	241
Figure B.108: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R1C07.	242
Figure B.109: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R1C08.	242
Figure B.110: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R1C09.	243
Figure B.111: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R1C10.	243
Figure B.112: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R3C01.	247
Figure B.113: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R3C02.	247
Figure B.114: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R3C03.	248
Figure B.115: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R3C04.	248
Figure B.116: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R3C05.	249
Figure B.117: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R3C06.	249
Figure B.118: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R3C07.	250
Figure B.119: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R3C08.	250
Figure B.120: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R3C09.	251
Figure B.121: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R3C10.	251
Figure B.122: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R5C01.	255
Figure B.123: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R5C02.	255
Figure B.124: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R5C03.	256
Figure B.125: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R5C04.	256
Figure B.126: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R5C05.	257
Figure B.127: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R5C06.	257
Figure B.128: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R5C07.	258
Figure B.129: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R5C08.	258
Figure B.130: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R5C09.	259
Figure B.131: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 461R5C10.	259
Figure B.132: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 462R3C01.	263
Figure B.133: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 462R3C02.	263
Figure B.134: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 462R3C03.	264
Figure B.135: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 462R3C04.	264
Figure B.136: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 462R3C05.	265

Figure B.137: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 462R3C06.	265
Figure B.138: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 462R3C07.	266
Figure B.139: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 462R3C08.	266
Figure B.140: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 462R3C09.	267
Figure B.141: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 462R3C010.	267
Figure B.142: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 462R5C01.	271
Figure B.143: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 462R5C02.	271
Figure B.144: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 462R5C03.	272
Figure B.145: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 462R5C04.	272
Figure B.146: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 462R5C05.	273
Figure B.147: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 462R5C06.	273
Figure B.148: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 462R5C07.	274
Figure B.149: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 462R5C08.	274
Figure B.150: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 462R5C09.	275
Figure B.151: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 462R5C10.	275
Figure B.152: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R1C01.	279
Figure B.153: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R1C02.	279
Figure B.154: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R1C03.	280
Figure B.155: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R1C04.	280
Figure B.156: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R1C05.	281
Figure B.157: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R1C06.	281
Figure B.158: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R1C07.	282
Figure B.159: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R1C08.	282
Figure B.160: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R1C09.	283
Figure B.161: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R1C10.	283
Figure B.162: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R3C01.	287
Figure B.163: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R3C02.	287
Figure B.164: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R3C03.	288
Figure B.165: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R3C04.	288
Figure B.166: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R3C05.	289
Figure B.167: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R3C06.	289

Figure B.168: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R3C07.	290
Figure B.169: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R3C08.	290
Figure B.170: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R3C09.	291
Figure B.171: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R3C10.	291
Figure B.172: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R5C01.	295
Figure B.173: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R5C02.	295
Figure B.174: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R5C03.	296
Figure B.175: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R5C04.	296
Figure B.176: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R5C05.	297
Figure B.177: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R5C06.	297
Figure B.178: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R5C07.	298
Figure B.179: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R5C08.	298
Figure B.180: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R5C09.	299
Figure B.181: Load (lbs) vs. Deflection (in.) plot. Test 4X1R5C10.	299

List of Tables

Table 3.1: Details of joint assemblies tested.	20
Table 3.2: Associative dimensions of tested configurations.	25
Table 4.1: 2X Series monotonic connection properties. Three replications per series unless otherwise noted.	43
Table 4.2: 2X Series monotonic member properties. Three replications per series unless otherwise noted.	43
Table 4.3: 2X Series cyclic connection properties. Ten replications per series unless otherwise noted.	51
Table 4.4: 2X Series cyclic member properties. Ten replications per series unless otherwise noted.	52
Table 4.5: ST Series monotonic connection properties. Three replications per series unless otherwise noted.	54
Table 4.6: ST Series monotonic member properties. Three replications per series unless otherwise noted.	54
Table 4.7: ST Series cyclic connection properties. Ten replications per series unless otherwise noted.	61
Table 4.8: ST Series cyclic member properties. Ten replications per series unless otherwise noted.	62
Table 4.9: 46 Series monotonic connection properties. Three replications per series unless otherwise noted.	64
Table 4.10: 46 Series monotonic member properties. Three replications per series unless otherwise noted.	64
Table 4.11: 46 Series cyclic connection properties. Ten replications per series unless otherwise noted.	71
Table 4.12: 46 Series cyclic member properties. Ten replications per series unless otherwise noted.	72
Table 4.13: 4X Series monotonic connection properties. Three replications per series unless otherwise noted.	74

Table 4.14: 4X Series monotonic member properties. Three replications per series unless otherwise noted.	74
Table 4.15: 4X Series cyclic connection properties. Ten replications per series unless otherwise noted.	78
Table 4.16: 4X Series cyclic member properties. Ten replications per series unless otherwise noted.	79
Table 4.17: Lantos model prediction of group action factor for tested connection configurations.	81
Table 4.18: Initial stiffness values; tested and based on 1997 NDS specifications.	81
Table 4.19: Tested and normalized ductility ratio data, 2X Series.	82
Table 4.20: Inferences concerning means, 2X Series.	83
Table 4.21: Tested and normalized ductility ratio data, ST Series.	84
Table 4.22: Inferences concerning means, ST Series.	85
Table 4.23: Tested and normalized ductility ratio data, 46 Series.	86
Table 4.24: Inferences concerning means, 46 Series.	87
Table 4.25: Tested and normalized ductility ratio data, 4X Series.	88
Table 4.26: Inferences concerning means, 4X Series.	89
Table 4.27: Test and GAF data at 5% offset yield strength, 2X Series.	91
Table 4.28: Inferences concerning means, 2X Series.	92
Table 4.29: Test and GAF data at 5% offset yield strength, ST Series.	93
Table 4.30: Inferences concerning means, ST Series.	94
Table 4.31: Test and GAF data at 5% offset yield strength, 46 Series.	95
Table 4.32: Inferences concerning means, 46 Series.	96
Table 4.33: Test and GAF data at 5% offset yield strength, 4X Series.	97
Table 4.34: Inferences concerning means, 4X Series.	98
Table 4.35: Test and GAF data at capacity, 2X Series.	100
Table 4.36: Inferences concerning means, 2X Series.	101
Table 4.37: Test and GAF data at capacity, ST Series.	102
Table 4.38: Inferences concerning means, ST Series.	103
Table 4.39: Test and GAF data at capacity, 46 Series.	104
Table 4.40: Inferences concerning means, 46 Series.	105

Table 4.41: Test and GAF data at capacity, 4X Series.	106
Table 4.42: Inferences concerning means, 4X Series.	107
Table 4.43: Test and YLM data at capacity and 5% offset yield strength, 2X Series.	110
Table 4.44: Inferences concerning means, 2X Series.	111
Table 4.45: Test and YLM data at capacity and 5% offset yield strength, ST Series.	112
Table 4.46: Inferences concerning means, ST Series.	112
Table 4.47: Test and YLM data at capacity and 5% offset yield strength, 46 Series.	113
Table 4.48: Inferences concerning means, 46 Series.	113
Table 4.49: Test and YLM data at capacity and 5% offset yield strength, 4X Series.	114
Table 4.50: Inferences concerning means, 4X Series.	114
Table 4.51: Recommended and tested bolt bending stresses.	115
Table A.1: Connection and member properties, 2X1R1M Series.	127
Table A.2: Connection and member properties, 2X1R5M Series.	130
Table A.3: Connection and member properties, 2X2R5M Series.	133
Table A.4: Connection and member properties, ST1R1M Series.	136
Table A.5: Connection and member properties, ST1R5M Series.	139
Table A.6: Connection and member properties, ST2R5M Series.	142
Table A.7: Connection and member properties, 461R1M Series.	145
Table A.8: Connection and member properties, 461R5M Series.	148
Table A.9: Connection and member properties, 462R5M Series.	151
Table A.10: Connection and member properties, 4X1R1M Series.	154
Table A.11: Connection and member properties, 4X1R5M Series.	157
Table B.1: Connection properties, 2X1R1C Series.	161
Table B.2: Member Properties, 2X1R1C Series.	162
Table B.3: Hysteretic Properties, 2X1R1C Series.	163
Table B.4: Connection properties, 2X1R3C Series.	169
Table B.5: Member properties, 2X1R3C Series.	170

Table B.6: Hysteretic properties, 2X1R3C Series.	171
Table B.7: Connection properties, 2X1R5C Series.	177
Table B.8: Member properties, 2X1R5C Series.	178
Table B.9: Hysteretic properties, 2X1R5C Series.	179
Table B.10: Connection properties, 2X2R3C Series.	185
Table B.11: Member properties, 2X2R3C Series.	186
Table B.12: Hysteretic properties, 2X2R3C Series.	187
Table B.13: Connection properties, 2X2R5C Series.	193
Table B.14: Member properties, 2X2R5C Series.	194
Table B.15: Hysteretic properties, 2X2R5C Series.	195
Table B. 16: Connection and Material properties, ST1R1C Series.	201
Table B. 17: Hysteretic properties, ST1R1C Series.	202
Table B.18: Connection and Material properties, ST1R3C Series.	208
Table B.19: Hysteretic properties, ST1R3C Series.	209
Table B.20: Connection and Material properties, ST1R5C Series.	215
Table B.21: Hysteretic properties, ST1R5C Series.	216
Table B.22: Connection and Material properties, ST2R3C Series.	222
Table B.23: Hysteretic properties, ST2R3C Series.	223
Table B.24: Connection and Material properties, ST2R5C Series.	229
Table B.25: Hysteretic properties, ST2R5C Series.	230
Table B.26: Connection properties, 461R1C Series.	236
Table B.27: Material properties, 461R1C Series.	237
Table B.28: Hysteretic properties, 461R1C Series.	238
Table B.29: Connection properties, 461R3C Series.	244
Table B.30: Material properties, 461R3C Series.	245
Table B.31: Hysteretic properties, 461R3C Series.	246
Table B.32: Connection properties, 461R5C Series.	252
Table B.33: Material properties, 461R5C Series.	253
Table B.34: Hysteretic properties, 461R5C Series.	254
Table B.35: Connection properties, 462R3C Series.	260
Table B.36: Material properties, 462R3C Series.	261

Table B.37: Hysteretic properties, 462R3C Series.	262
Table B.38: Connection properties, 462R5C Series.	268
Table B.39: Material properties, 462R5C Series.	269
Table B.40: Hysteretic properties, 462R5C Series.	270
Table B.41: Connection properties, 4X1R1C Series.	276
Table B.42: Material properties, 4X1R1C Series.	277
Table B.43: Hysteretic properties, 4X1R1C Series.	278
Table B. 44: Connection properties, 4X1R3C Series.	284
Table B. 45: Material properties, 4X1R3C Series.	285
Table B. 46: Hysteretic properties, 4X1R3C Series.	286
Table B.47: Connection properties, 4X1R5C Series.	292
Table B.48: Material properties, 4X1R5C Series.	293
Table B.49: Hysteretic properties, 4X1R5C Series.	294