

List of Figures

1.1	Carbon fiber tows consist of thousands of individual fiber filaments.	9
1.2	Finite composite element that shows typical polymer matrix/fiber orientation.	11
1.3	The polymer matrix distributes shear stress along the fiber surface, creating an axial tensile stress within the fiber [8].	12
2.1	Test setup schematic for open-loop resistive heating with temperature monitoring.	22
2.2	Resistive heating with temperature monitoring experimental setup.	23
2.3	Thermocouple positioning without twisting (left) and with twisting (right). Notice how the tip of the thermocouple protrudes through the tow in the first picture. Twisting the samples helped to hold the thermocouple in a position to better measured internal temperature.	23
2.4	Current sensing circuit used to measure current flow and material resistance.	24
2.5	Post-processing circuit and associated equations for indirect current measurement.	24
2.6	Signal conditioners used to measure and output temperature signals required for feedback control.	25
2.7	Experimental schematic used for feedback temperature control.	25
2.8	a. Simulink model created to house the temperature control algorithm (top). b. Temperature feedback control loop used to compute the corrective control voltage (middle). c. PID controller (bottom) located within the temperature feedback loop (b).	26
2.9	Typical temperature and voltage responses measured for an input voltage of 10V.	27

2.10	Average temperature response of the sample for various input voltages (Run1=0.5V and Run20=10.0V).	29
2.11	Measured average maximum temperature per input voltage (a) and current (b) applied.	30
2.12	Material temperature exhibits a linear relation to electrical power.	30
2.13	Measured heating and cooling time constants for open-loop resistive heating tests.	31
2.14	Sample resistance as a function of temperature.	31
2.15	Power requirements measured during high-temperature, open-loop resistive heating tests.	32
2.16	Open-loop control scheme [9].	33
2.17	Theoretical heating element used in developing a system model.	34
2.18	Block diagram representation of the open-loop temperature controller.	37
2.19	Block diagram of temperature control, via an open-loop controller.	37
2.20	Simulated temperature response for an input of 6V and a temperature window of 10°C (left). Corresponding voltage signal generated to cause the prescribed heating (right).	38
2.21	Measured temperature response induced by the prescribed voltage signal (left). Comparison of the simulated temperature and the average measured temperature (right).	39
2.22	Typical feedback control structure [9].	39
2.23	Transfer function for a PID controller.	40
2.24	Representative temperature response taken during the implementation and tuning of a PID controller.	42
2.25	The first few attempts at selecting gains resulted in marginal control.	43
2.26	Through a trial-and-error process, control gains that decreased the overshoot (left) were chosen. Some temperature nonlinearities appear when the same gains are used for a higher desired temperature (right).	43
2.27	Temperature dependent nonlinearities within the “plant” result in different responses.	44
2.28	Temperature response versus a varied proportional gain, K_p	45

2.29	By increasing K_i to a value of 0.05, the steady-state error disappears, but the initial slope remains (left). Calculated initial slopes as a function of increasing proportional gain solidifies its effect on the temperature response (right).	46
2.30	Integral gain effects on controlled temperature response.	46
2.31	The measured temperature response demonstrated a weaker dependence on the value of the derivative gain at low levels of K_d .	47
2.32	Ramping temperature responses measured for varying proportional gains (left) and integral gains (right).	47
2.33	PI controller used to control temperature through a full, curing-schedule-type profile (left). The associated error for the third combination of controller gains as a function of time (right).	48
2.34	Temperature response measured for higher temperatures (left). Associated electrical power and energy for each test (right).	49
2.35	Tracking ability of the PI controller for a high temperature “curing-type” schedule.	49
2.36	Controlled temperature (left) and resulting electrical power (right) measured for the cure of CFRP sample.	50
2.37	Temperature profile (left) and dynamic error (right) measured during an actual curing schedule.	51
2.38	Infrared detection scheme as found in an Inframetrics 760 IR Camera [10].	52
2.39	Experimental setup for thermographic imaging of CFRP samples during resistive heating.	54
2.40	Measured temperature responses (left) and associated electrical power supply (right).	54
2.41	Still images captured for various lengths at a temperature of 60°C.	55
2.42	Controlled temperatures (left) and temperature gradients (right) via IR imaging of samples heated to different temperatures.	55
2.43	Up-close thermographic image of the CFRP material (horizontal) and thermocouple (vertical) during a controlled resistive heat.	56

2.44	Electrical power and sample resistance (left) and thermographic imaging (right) of twisted samples. As the number of twists increased, the resistance and electrical power decreased, but temperature distribution remained “even.”	57
2.45	Temperature gradient obtained on a sample during resistive heating	58
2.46	Temperatures measured by small and large thermocouples on the same sample differed drastically.	59
3.1	Spread tow line and re-spooled coated tow.	63
3.2	DSC measured on virgin U-Nyte Set 201B resin (exotherm down).	64
3.3	a. Cure temperature characterization results (exotherm up) on the U-Nyte Set 201A resin (left). b. Rheology results on this resin illustrate a significant increase in viscosity at a gel temperature of 180°C (right).	64
3.4	Experimental setup for measuring the bending stiffness of heated samples.	66
3.5	Two thermocouples were used to measure the sample temperature for full-scale rigidization testing.	67
3.6	Instrumented design for measuring bending force and deflection.	68
3.7	Instrumented test fixture shown with a hardened tow sample.	68
3.8	Undeformed and deformed composite sample prior to resistive heating (left) and the same sample after resistive heating (right).	70
3.9	Deformed composite sample modeled as a string.	70
3.10	Tension forces, F_1 and F_2 , arise within the material as a result of the applied load, W	71
3.11	Raw data obtained from inducing transverse deflection at the midpoint of the fixed sample.	74
3.12	The remaining data only captured the deformed state of the sample (left). Hysteresis in the measurement appears when including the unloading data (right).	74
3.13	Typical force versus deflection data obtained for the transverse deflection of a rigidized sample.	75
3.14	First and second-order polynomial fits were applied to force versus deflection data.	76

3.15	Instantaneous slopes were used to quantify the stiffness of a sample.	77
3.16	Typical curing profile (left) and specific profiles designed from DSC data on the U-Nyte Set resins (right).	78
3.17	Actual temperatures measured during the cure of a U-Nyte Set 201B-coated sample (left). Electrical power and total consumed energy were also recorded for this process (right).	78
3.18	Incorporating a <i>flow</i> region into the temperature profile caused the U-Nyte Set resin particles to melt fully during their curing cycles.	79
3.19	Bending stiffness comparison of rigidized tow samples.	79
3.20	Post-rigidization DSC analysis indicates that both resins fully cured through resistive heating.	80
3.21	Resin weight loss measured as a function of temperature determines its useful range.	82
3.22	Heating schedules used to measure the effect of cure temperature on sample stiffness.	83
3.23	Stiffness and energy data (left) and DSC plots (right) for samples cured at various curing temperatures.	83
3.24	Successfully rigidizing composite materials requires a combination of consolidation and curing.	84
3.25	Normalized measured stiffness values with respect to expended energy.	85
3.26	Heating schedules used to test the effect of curing time on the composite stiffness.	86
3.27	Measured stiffness (left) and DSC plots (right) for each sample.	86
3.28	The cost of rigidization can be visualized by normalizing the stiffness values per applied electrical energy.	87
3.29	Samples were prescribed heating rates ranging from 30°C/min to 120°C/min in this study.	88
3.30	Measured stiffness and energy (left) along with normalized measured stiffnesses for samples cured at varying heating rates.	88
3.31	Measured and desired temperature signals for the maximum heating rate tested of 120°C/min.	89

4.1	The inflatable structure shown partially completed.	93
4.2	The completed “inflatable” boom before applying rigidizable CFRP material.	94
4.3	Three test configurations were used to evaluate possible techniques for incorporating rigidizable materials onto the boom.	95
4.4	The boom’s resistance to bending/buckling was measured in a cantilevered configuration.	96
4.5	Thermal imaging of a coated fiber tow sample with Kapton laminate.	97
4.6	Successful matrix consolidation and material rigidization occurred in all three configurations.	98
4.7	Internal resistive heating of the inflatable, rigidizable boom.	99
4.8	The resistive heating schedule selected was based on successful results from previous sample tests.	99
4.9	The rigidization process drastically increased the load bearing capacity of the boom.	100