

## **Acknowledgements**

I would like to thank my committee, Lisa M. Kennedy, Carolyn Copenheaver, and James Campbell for their valuable insights into the development of this research and the preparation of my thesis. My father, Bobby Crawford, assisted with data collection, fieldwork, sample preparation, and am especially grateful to him for financial assistance during research activities. Arvind Bhuta assisted in laboratory tasks such as sample preparation and cross-dating. Thanks to Chris Ulery, Ph.D. for providing information on the history, management, and ecological restoration efforts of Craggy Gardens, North Carolina. I am grateful to the Blue Ridge Parkway, the National Park Service and Pisgah National Forest, USDA Forest Service for providing research permits and access to public lands, without which this study would not have been possible. I am grateful to my family and close friends for their support and love while I was pursuing my Master's degree.

# Table of Contents

	Page
Acknowledgments.....	ii
Table of Contents.....	iii
List of Tables.....	iv
List of Figures.....	v
Chapter 1: <i>Introduction</i> .....	1
Introduction.....	1
Objectives.....	3
Organization.....	3
References.....	5
Chapter 2: <i>Temporal and Spatial Patterns of Tree Encroachment into A Southern Appalachian Grass/heath Bald: Using Dendrochronology and GIS</i> .....	8
Abstract.....	8
Introduction.....	8
Methods.....	10
Results.....	16
Discussion.....	17
References.....	24
Chapter 3: <i>Assessing the Dendroclimatic Response of Northern Red Oak (Quercus rubra L.) Growing at its Elevational Tolerance Range, in the Southern Appalachians</i> .....	36
Abstract.....	36
Introduction.....	36
Methods.....	39
Results.....	46
Discussion.....	50
References.....	61
Chapter 4: <i>Summary and Conclusions</i> .....	75
Chapter 2 Summary.....	75
Chapter 3 Summary.....	76
Conclusions.....	78
References.....	79
Vita.....	81

## List of Tables

### Chapter 3

	Page
Table 1. Descriptive residual ring-width chronology statistics for <i>Q. rubra</i> L.....	68
Table 2. Craggy Gardens calibration and verification statistics for each stepwise regression model developed to predict annual ring-width (RW) of <i>Q. rubra</i> L. from mean May-June, and July temperature (Fritts 1976; Cook and Kairiukstis 1990; Fritts 1991; Cook et al. 1999).....	72
Table 3. Big Bald calibration and verification statistics for each stepwise regression model developed to predict annual ring-width (RW) of <i>Q. rubra</i> L. from mean May-June, and July temperature (Fritts 1976; Cook and Kairiukstis 1990; Fritts 1991; Cook et al. 1999).....	73

## List of Figures

### Chapter 2

	Page
Figure 1. Craggy Gardens in the southern Appalachians of western North Carolina. Craggy Gardens and Asheville are located in Buncombe County, North Carolina. Craggy Gardens (1715 m) is northeast of Asheville on the Blue Ridge Parkway (30 km).....	29
Figure 2. Craggy Gardens study site with vegetation types and 5 m–wide belt transect locations shown. Basemap is a 1:24,000 topographic quadrangle with a contour interval of 20 ft.....	29
Figure 3. <i>Q. rubra</i> L. point dataset used for the IDW spatial interpolation. Each black circle represents the 58 points with the oldest <i>Q. rubra</i> L. trees at each 10 m interval used in the IDW interpolation. The geo-referenced points have a 5 m range of accuracy within the actual location. This explains the variability in point locations on each transect.....	30
Figure 4. <i>Q. rubra</i> L. establishment and recruitment into Craggy Gardens. a) Initial individual tree establishment; b) Island pattern expansion of tree establishment.....	31
Figure 5. Relationship between <i>Q. rubra</i> L. tree ages and distance from the forest-grass boundary at Craggy Gardens $r = 0.41$ ( $p < 0.10$ ). Filled circles represent the age trends found with gradual encroachment of trees into the grass/heath bald before 1925. Diamonds show rapid encroachment during 1925-1955. Each individual point indicates the oldest <i>Q. rubra</i> L. found within any 10 m interval of all transect data combined. Distance from the forest-grass boundary is moving away from the grass bald toward mature forest. .....	32
Figure 6. <i>Q. rubra</i> L. recruitment at Craggy Gardens. Black bars indicate the total number of trees recruited in each five-year period (all transects combined). Gray bars represent years with exceptionally high recruitment.....	32
Figure 7. The mean interpolation age of <i>Q. rubra</i> L. establishment based on 30 random subsets where 29 points were used for calibration and 29 points were used for verification in the IDW interpolation (e.g., cross-validation).....	33
Figure 8. Standard deviations of <i>Q. rubra</i> L. establishment age derived from the IDW interpolation highlights the error in <i>Q. rubra</i> L. establishment age. The IDW cross-validated interpolation consistently over-predicted and under-predicted <i>Q. rubra</i> L. establishment age approximately 13 years.....	33
Figure 9. A reconstruction of <i>Q. rubra</i> L. encroachment on the western slope of Craggy Gardens since 1760 A.D.....	34

Figure 10. Evidence of past and present land-use at Craggy Gardens. a) Remnant fence post from livestock grazing. b) Cutting and removing trees in the grassy bald under the ecological restoration plan at Craggy Gardens.....35

**Chapter 3**

Figure 1. A map of the study region including the study sites in the southern Appalachians of western North Carolina. Craggy Gardens and Big Bald are approximately 35 km apart in two differing mountain ranges, Great Craggy Mountains and Bald Mountains, respectively. ....67

Figure 2. *Quercus rubra* L. residual ring-width chronologies developed from Craggy Gardens and Big Bald. a) Craggy Gardens 1763-2005. b) Big Bald 1816-2005. c) A comparison of high frequency variability of both *Q. rubra* L. residual chronologies. The black line represents Craggy Gardens and the red line represents Big Bald. Pearson’s correlation analysis yielded  $r = 0.49$  covariance ( $p < 0.001$ ).....69

Figure 3. Represents the bootstrap correlation and response functions for each *Q. rubra* L. residual ring-width chronology. The blue bars indicate coefficients derived from residual ring-widths and North Carolina Climate Division 1 (southern mountains) datasets for the period 1895-2005. The red bars indicate coefficients derived from residual ring-widths and North Carolina Climate Division 2 (northern mountains) datasets for the period 1895-2005. The black bars indicate significant coefficients  $p < 0.05$  as calculated by DENDROCLIM2002 (Biondi and Waikbul 2004).....70

Figure 4. Predicted *Q. rubra* L. ring-widths from each multiple stepwise regression temperature model. The black line indicates the predicted ring-width and the dotted line indicates the actual ring-width for each residual chronology. a) 1896-1939 calibrated May-June models with verification periods 1940-1969, and 1970-2005. b) 1926-1969 calibrated May-June models with verification periods 1896-1925 and 1970-2005. c) 1970-2005 calibrated July model with the verification period 1896-1969.....74