

**Factors Associated with Diabetes Control Among Low-income Adults in Virginia
(FSNEP)**

John Paul Carpenter

Thesis submitted to the Faculty of the Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University in
partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Masters of Science
In
Human Nutrition, Foods and Exercise

Ruby. H. Cox, Chair
Franklin A. (Lex) Bruce
Kathleen P. Poole

July 24, 2002
Blacksburg, Virginia

Keywords: Diabetes Control, Virginia FSNEP, Low-income
Copyright 2002, John Paul Carpenter

Factors Associated with Diabetes Control Among Low-income Adults in Virginia

by

John Paul Carpenter
Dr. Ruby H. Cox, Chairperson
Department of Human Nutrition, Foods, and Exercise
(Abstract)

The incidence of type 2 diabetes has greatly increased in the U.S. population over the last decade and continues to increase each year. Over 90% of those with diabetes have type 2 diabetes, for which obesity, diet, and inadequate physical activity remain the strong nongenetic determinants. In Virginia between 1994 and 1996, the estimated number of people with diabetes was 220,000 and another 75,000 were estimated to have the disease, but did not know it (<http://www.vahealth.org> accessed 7/10/01). A study has been conducted that involves two separate surveys. The Community Diabetes Education Survey (CDES) was an interview questionnaire or mailed questionnaire for assessing community diabetes education resources and was completed by Extension Nutrition and Wellness Specialty Agents and five Area EFNEP/FSNEP coordinators located throughout Virginia. The Diabetes Patient Survey was a client interview questionnaire assessing the attitudes, behaviors, and knowledge of persons with diabetes. This survey was conducted by FSNEP Program Assistants. More than 150 low-income adults who have type 2 diabetes and were enrolled in FSNEP at the time of data collection were interviewed.

The results from this study showed hospitals were the main health agency to fill out CDES-II. Most diabetes education done by extension is delivered through a combination of methods like group classes, individual counseling sessions, phone or e-mail, and providing handouts. Almost all of the health agencies offer diabetes diagnosis and treatment for adults.

Results from this study showed on gender, age, and race, the study sample was similar to the total FSNEP population, except that the percentage of African Americans was higher among the diabetes patients than among the general FSNEP population. The African American subjects also had diabetes longer (12 years vs. 8 years), although their current mean age was the same as Caucasians. The study did not reveal substantial differences in African Americans and Caucasians regarding recommendations they had received on dietary practices. Forty-nine percent of study's participants were physically active. Most participants exercised two to three times per week, for only 15 to 30 minutes at a time. The purpose of this research was to assess the extent to which diabetes education is available and easily accessible to the low-income adult population in Virginia and to assess FSNEP clients regarding attitudes, behaviors, and knowledge that may affect their management of diabetes.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Dr. Ruby Cox for her invaluable guidance and patience throughout the study. Dr. Cox was superior role model and a pleasure to work for. I would also like to thank my committee members Dr. Lex Bruce and Dr. Kathleen Poole for their outstanding support throughout this study. Special thanks are given to those Virginia Cooperative Extension Agents and FSNEP Program Assistants who performed client interviews.

I am very grateful to my supportive family that has helped me in making dreams be reality. And most of all would like to thank God for blessing me with the experience I have had here at Virginia Tech because it is only through Him that such an amazing accomplishment could be completed.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	v
LIST OF TABLES.....	viii
CHATER I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
Overall Goals of the Study.....	2
Objectives for Type 2 Diabetes Study.....	3
<i>Agency Survey Objectives</i>	3
<i>Objectives for Client Survey with FSNEP Participants who have Diabetes</i>	3
CHAPTER II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	4
Introduction.....	4
Types of Diabetes.....	4
Diagnosis of Diabetes.....	5
Type 2 Diabetes.....	7
Control and Complications of Type 2 Diabetes.....	8
Medical Nutrition Therapy in the Control Type 2 diabetes.....	9
Trends in Obesity and Diabetes in the United States.....	9
Projections of Diabetes for the Future in the United States.....	12
Diabetes in Virginia.....	14

Social and Emotional Effects of Diabetes.....	14
Attitudes and Type 2 Diabetes.....	15
Diet and Type 2 Diabetes.....	16
Behavior Modification and Type 2 Diabetes.....	18
Exercise and Type 2 Diabetes.....	19
ACSM’s Recommendations for Exercise and Type 2 Diabetes.....	21
Characteristics of Low-Income Individuals with Diabetes.....	21
Participants in the Food Stamp Nutrition Education Program.....	23
Ability of Low-Income Individuals to Access Medical Treatment.....	23
Medicare and Medicaid Coverage for those with Diabetes.....	24
Medicaid coverage for those with Diabetes in Virginia.....	25
Use of Surveys in Research.....	25
<i>Mail Surveys</i>	25
<i>Telephone Interviews</i>	26
<i>Face-to-Face Interviews</i>	26
Questionnaire Design and Implementation.....	27
Administration of Surveys.....	29
Face-to-Face Interviews.....	30
Summary.....	30
 CHAPTER III. METHODOLOGY.....	 32
Study Subjects and Recruitment.....	33
<i>Community Diabetes Education Survey Part I and II</i>	33

<i>Diabetes Patient Survey</i>	33
Development of Survey Instruments and Pilot Testing.....	34
Administration of Survey Instruments.....	38
Data Handling and Analysis.....	40
CHAPTER IV. Manuscript for a Journal Article to be Submitted to <i>Journal of the American Dietetics Association</i>	41
Introduction.....	42
Methods.....	43
<i>Sample</i>	43
<i>Intervention</i>	43
<i>Pilot Testing of Diabetes Patient Questionnaire</i>	45
<i>Assessment</i>	46
<i>Statistical Analysis</i>	46
Results.....	48
Discussion.....	50
Applications and Future Research.....	54
CHAPTER V. Availability and Accessibility of Community Diabetes Education Programs.....	61
Health Insurance coverage reported by low-income diabetes patients.....	61
Diabetes education practices reported by health agencies.....	61
REFERENCES CITED.....	67
APPENDICES.....	72
APPENDIX A.....	72
<i>E-mail Attachment to N&W Agents about revised CDES forms</i>	73
<i>Part I: Community Diabetes Education Survey</i>	75
<i>Letter to agencies with Part II of CDES</i>	77
<i>Agency Informed Consent for Research Involving Human Subjects</i>	78
<i>Instructions for Part II: Community Diabetes Education Survey for Hospitals, Medical Clinics, and Health Agencies</i>	82
<i>Part II: Community Diabetes Education Survey for Individual Health Agencies</i>	

<i>(Hospitals, Medical Clinics, and Other Health Agencies)</i>	87
<i>Diabetes Program Recognition List for Agents</i>	91
Appendix B.....	104
<i>Letter to Program Assistants about Diabetes Study</i>	104
<i>Instructions for SCNEP Program Assistants to follow in completing the</i> <i>Attitudes, Knowledge, and Behavior Questionnaire</i>	107
<i>Client Informed Consent for Research Involving Human Subjects</i>	109
<i>Diabetes Patient Questionnaire</i>	113
Cue Cards for Diabetes Patient Questionnaire.....	122
<i>EFNEP and SCNEP Family Record (Use with Older</i> <i>Homemakers/Individuals)</i>	125
VITA.....	126

LIST OF TABLES

TABLES

Table 1. New Criteria for the Diagnosis of Diabetes Mellitus.....6

Table 2. Criteria for screening for diabetes in asymptomatic, high-risk individuals.....7

Table 3. Physical Activity Recommendations for those with Diabetes.....22

Table 4. Summary of Subjects’ Responses on Selected Items of the *Attitudes, Knowledge, and Behavior Questionnaire for Diabetes Patients* by Race.....55

Table 5. Relationship of Subjects’ Mean Weighted Scores for Factors Associated with Type 2 Diabetes Control Among Low-Income Adults.....58

Table 6. Relationship of Subjects’ Mean Weighted Scores for Factors Associated with Diabetes Self-Management based on Place of Residence.....59

Table 7. Correlation of Factors Involved in Self-Management of Type 2 Diabetes.....60

Table 8. Summary of Insurance, Medicare, and Medicaid Coverage and Rating of Health of Low-income Diabetes Patients by Race.....64

Table 9. Summary of Diabetes Education Being Provided by Health Agencies Throughout Virginia.....65