List of Figures

Figure 1-1: Simplified DSL Architecture. 4
Figure 1-2: Twisted-pair electrical characteristics for 22 AWG (green), 24 AWG (red), and 26 AWG (blue) – attenuation function (a), phase function (b), magnitude (c) and phase (d) of characteristic impedance. 6
Figure 1-3: PIC (blue), and pulp (green) insulated 24-AWG twisted-pair electrical characteristics – attenuation function (a), phase function (b), magnitude (c) and phase (d) of characteristic impedance. 7
Figure 1-4: General structure of telephone subscriber loop. 8
Figure 1-5: Texas Instruments Study — Simulated ADSL downstream data rate as function of length of single 24-AWG segment. 10
Figure 1-6: Insertion loss due to single 1-km 24-AWG segment loop (blue), and result of adding a 250-m 24-AWG BT to the loop at midway (green). 10
Figure 2-1: Equivalent lumped RLCG circuit of a unit length of twisted-pair transmission line. 14
Figure 2-2: Graph representation of subscriber line. 16
Figure 2-3: Loop measurement setup. 17
Figure 2-4: LTI System (a) and voltage divider circuit (b) representations of TP loop measurement setup. 17
Figure 2-5: Frequency Response of CSA #1 at Node 4. 19
Figure 2-6: Frequency Response of CSA #4 at Node 1. 19
Figure 2-7: TDR input signal example. 10-V 1-μs raised-cosine (Hanning) pulse. 21
Figure 2-8: TDR response of CSA #1 Loop from Node 4. 22
Figure 2-9: TDR response of CSA #4 loop from Node 1. 22
Figure 2-10: TP loop discontinuity possibilities—source-to-loop (a), termination (b), gauge change (c), and bridged tap (d). 25
Figure 2-11: Single-segment loop configuration. 26
Figure 2-12: Bounce diagram for the local loop configuration in Figure 2-11. 26
Figure 2-13: The TDR response of Figure 2-11 loop with 250-m 24-AWG TP segment. Input source:10-V 1-μs raised-cosine pulse. 28
Figure 2-14: First 4 of the TDR reflections within the TDR response in Figure 2-13. 29
Figure 2-15: Two-segment loop with gauge change. 29
Figure 2-16: Bounce diagram for Figure 2-15. 30
Figure 2-17: Block diagram for Figure 2-11 loop (a), Figure 2-15 loop (b), and CSA #1 loop (c). Segment blocks—propagation blocks. Node blocks—reflection/transmission blocks. 31
Figure 2-18: System components — propagation (a), source node (b), termination node (c), GC node (d), and BT node (e). 32
Figure 2-19: Complete block diagram for measurement of Figure 2-11 loop. 32
Figure 3-1: Partial loop model after first iteration. 36
Figure 3-2: Illustration of initial reflection (red), Node 2 first reflection (blue), and Node 2 secondary reflection (green) paths for the loop in Figure 2-11. 36
Figure 3-3: TDR response and partial model with infinitely long segment. 37
Figure 3-4: Error between the TDR responses in Figure 3-3, sampled at 40 MHz. 38
Figure 3-5: CSSE of the TDR responses in Figure 3-3. 39

Figure 3-6: Actual and first iteration model TDR responses (a) and corresponding error (b). 40
Figure 3-7: The CSSE based on the residual in Figure 3-6(b). 41
Figure 3-8: The WCSSE of residue plot in Figure 3-6(b). 42
Figure 3-9: Velocity profile of the ANSI T1.601 PIC TP. 44
Figure 3-10: Magnitude spectrum of TDR input pulse and various reflections (single segment case). 45
Figure 3-11: Actual structure of LUI (a) and model structure after 1st iteration (b) and after incorporation of estimated length (red) and subsequent structure (c). (MN — measurement node) 48
Figure 3-12: TDR responses of measured, model after 1st iteration, and model with length estimate (a); measured and model with length estimate after subtracting the 1st iteration model (b). 48
Figure 3-13: Correlation window for the refinement of the second iteration model in Figure 3-11(c). 49
Figure 3-14: Cross-correlation of the TDR responses with the window defined in Figure 3-13. 50
Figure 3-15: Possible node types—termination (a), gauge change (b), and bridged tap (c). (←MN—the location of the measurement node; RN—reflection node) 51
Figure 3-16: All potential node configurations for the identification of the GC node. 53
Figure 3-17: TDR responses of all candidates and the LUI after subtraction of the first iteration model. 54
Figure 3-18: Cross-correlation between the measurement and each candidate. 54
Figure 3-19: CSA #1 TDR response measured from Node 1. 55
Figure 3-20: CSA #1 Node 1 First Iteration — candidate TDR response fit to the LUI (a) and the resulting partial model (b). WSSE for each candidate shown in the legend (a). 56
Figure 3-21: Residual after the first iteration for CSA #1 measured from Node 1. 57
Figure 3-22: Initial reflections from remaining nodes superimposed in the residual in Figure 3-21. 57
Figure 3-23: LUI and Candidate TDR responses (removed 1st reflection) in 2nd Iteration for CSA #1 measured from Node 1. (5,900 ft. assumed known) 58
Figure 4-1: The reference pulse for MODE-WRELAX experiment 1 (a) and its 512-point DFT magnitude spectrum in dB (b) (only positive frequencies shown) 71
Figure 4-2: MODE-WRELAX Experiment 1 objective signal — individual pulses (a); and composite signal (b). 71
Figure 4-3: Experiment 1 — Monte-Carlo (x100) MODE-WRELAX estimation results (AWGN with $\sigma = 10^{-5}$). Line indicates compensatory behavior of estimates. 73
Figure 4-4: The reference pulse for MODE-WRELAX experiment 2 (a) and its 512-point DFT magnitude spectrum in dB (b) (only positive frequencies shown). 75
Figure 4-5: MODE-WRELAX experiment 2 objective signal — individual pulses (a); and composite signal (b). 75
Figure 4-6: Experiment 2 — Monte-Carlo (x100) MODE-WRELAX estimation results (AWGN w/$\sigma = 10^{-5}$). Line indicates compensatory behavior of estimates. 76
Figure 4-7: MODE-WRELAX test loop for near-end reflection decomposition (a), partial model after 1st iteration (b), and model with which reference signal is simulated (c). (All line types: ANSI PIC 26 AWG) 78
Figure 4-8: Complete TDR responses of the loop in Figure 4-7(a) and of the partial model in Figure 4-7(b). 79

Figure 4-9: Residual (blue) and reference pulse (green). 80
Figure 4-10: Dominant reflections hidden in the residual signal. 80
Figure 4-11: Magnitude spectra of reference signal (blue) and overlapping signal (green) 81
Figure 4-12: The MODE-WRELAX estimation results — actual residual (blue), MODE reconstructed residual (green), and MODE-WRELAX reconstructed residual (red) — $\hat{L} = 2$ (a), $\hat{L} = 3$ (b), $\hat{L} = 4$ (c), $\hat{L} = 5$ (d), $\hat{L} = 6$ (e), and $\hat{L} = 7$ (f). 84
Figure 4-13: The spectral ratio of individual overlapping reflections to the reference. 85
Figure 4-14: Least-square estimates of attenuation function (a) and phase function (b) 24 & 26 AWG TPs — modeled over 1 MHz to 2 MHz. 88
Figure 4-15: Least-squares estimates of magnitude (a) and phase (b) of the reflection function at GC node. Gauge change between 24 & 26 AWG TPs — modeled over 1 MHz to 2 MHz. 89
Figure 4-16: Least-squares estimates of magnitude (a) and phase (b) of the transmission function at GC node. Gauge change between 24 & 26 AWG TPs — modeled over 1 MHz to 2 MHz. 89
Figure 4-17: Least-squares estimates of magnitude (a) and phase (b) of the reflection function at BT node. Combination configuration defined in Table 4-6 — modeled over 1 MHz to 2 MHz. 90
Figure 4-18: Least-squares estimates of magnitude (a) and phase (b) of the transmission function at BT node. Combination configuration defined in Table 4-6 — modeled over 1 MHz to 2 MHz. 90
Figure 4-19: Outline of the MODE-type algorithm procedure [26] 95
Figure 4-20: Signal for MODE-type test 1 — Sum of 10 exponential modes. 98
Figure 4-21: Pole location of ten sinusoidal modes. 98
Figure 4-22: Estimation results — SNR = 0 dB (a) and SNR = 20 dB (b). 99
Figure 4-23: Reference raised-cosine pulse (a) and its 512-point DFT magnitude spectrum in dB (b). (only positive frequency shown) 100
Figure 4-24: MODE-type test signal 1 with sparsely overlapping pulses; individual pulses (a), combined signal (b). 101
Figure 4-25: MODE-type experiment 2 — reconstructed objective signal with MODE, MODE-WRELAX, and MODE-type algorithms (a) and corresponding estimation errors (b). 102
Figure 4-26: MODE-type test signal 2 with substantially overlapping pulses; individual pulses (a), combined signal (b). 103
Figure 4-27: MODE-type experiment 1— reconstructed objective signal with MODE, MODE-WRELAX, and MODE-type algorithms (a) and corresponding estimation errors (b). (MODE-WRELAX on top of MODE) 104
Figure 5-1: Frequency response decomposition (a) and its first three reflection frequency responses (b). 111
Figure 5-2: An illustration of mismatch between actual and model reflections based the strongest-reflection first approach (by skipping over a GC node) 113
Figure 5-3: MDL Criterion as function of k for all $m \in 3{:}33$ (a) and estimated number of reflections for all m (b). Note k = 0:2 in (a) are ∞ for most cases. 118
Figure 5-4: Possible node types when new node is inserted in between two existing model nodes. GC (a) and BT(b); Termination is no longer an option. 122
Figure 5-5: Model loop after the second iteration of the GC example in Figure 3-11 with the frequency-response-based approach. The GC node has been neglected. 122

Figure 5-6: All potential node configurations given Figure 5-5 and new length estimate of 152.25 m relative to Node 1. The tables indicate possible line types. 123
Figure 5-7: Illustration of multimodal nature of SSE. 124
Figure 6-1: Example for node clustering. Dashed ellipse shows the cluster. 128
Figure 6-2: Two-step candidate selection process. 129
Figure 6-3: Typical $\Gamma(f)$ of loop nodes. 130
Figure 6-4: Reflection energy strength for all node types. Total energy levels are shown in the legend. 131
Figure 6-5: Energy level of reflections as a function of segment length for various node types. Dashed lines indicate segment lengths for different node types that produce same reflection strength level. 132