

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

There are many people the author would like to acknowledge for their help, support, friendship, and encouragement while a student at Virginia Tech.

First, I would like to express thanks to my advisor, Dr. Charles Hagedorn, for helping develop my skills as a scientist. There are many small things that he did that were above and beyond the call of duty of a professor. For these, I am very grateful. I would also like to thank other members of my graduate committee, Dr. Ray Reneau and Dr. Carl Zipper for their support of my educational goals.

To everyone at Map Tech, Inc., especially Phil McClellan and Byron Petrauskas, I would like to thank for all their assistance in gathering descriptive data about the Blackwater watershed and collecting samples. Without their willingness to share that information, I would not have such a fantastic story to tell about the Blackwater. I also want to thank Map Tech for funding this research through a Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation total maximum daily load grant.

For giving me data collected from ambient water quality monitoring on the Blackwater, I want to sincerely thank Dr. Lawrence D. Willis and George Devlin at the West Central Regional Office of the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality.

There are several people whose help made my research possible with a their assistance in the laboratory. These include lab technician, Norma Nelson; student aids Sarah Hagedorn, Rosa Hagedorn, Karen Mentz, David Imburgia, Annie Brooks, Bonnie Mahl, and fellow graduate student, Alexandria Graves.

I would also like to thank my family for their love and high expectations during my years at Virginia Tech. Especially, I want to express sincere appreciation to my

husband, John Booth, for his encouragement of my educational goals and having faith in my ability to meet them.

Lastly, I want to acknowledge God as the center of my life and the One through whom all things are possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract.....	ii
Acknowledgements.....	iv
List of Tables.....	vii
List of Figures.....	ix
Chapter I INTRODUCTION.....	1
Rationale.....	1
Objectives.....	4
References.....	6
Chapter II LITERATURE REVIEW.....	8
Fecal source tracking.....	8
Total maximum daily load.....	15
References.....	20
Chapter III BUILDING A KNOWN SOURCE LIBRARY USING ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE ANALYSIS: ISSUES RELATED TO LIBRARY SIZE, GEOGRAPHICAL RESTRICTIONS AND COMPARISON WITH A DIFFERENT BST METHOD (BIOLOG).....	23
Introduction.....	23
Materials and Methods.....	26
Results and Discussion.....	32
Summary.....	39
References.....	42
Chapter IV DETERMINING SOURCES OF FECAL POLLUTION IN THE BLACKWATER RIVER USING ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE ANALYSIS.....	43
Introduction.....	43
Materials and Methods.....	46
Results and Discussion.....	52
Summary.....	61
References.....	63
Chapter V SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....	64
APPENDIX A: Figures.....	68
APPENDIX B: Bacterial Source Tracking Results by Month.....	71
VITA.....	83

LIST OF TABLES

Chapter	Table	Page
III	1. Concentration and solvent of antibiotic stock solutions.....	27
	2. Concentrations of antibiotic treatments in TSA plates.....	28
	3. BIOLOG wells and carbon sources used in bacterial source tracking...30	
	4. Blackwater antibiotic resistance analysis database of 1,451 known source isolates.....	33
	5. Blackwater known source library correct classifications when split by source.....	35
	6. Biolog known source database.....	36
	7. Percentage and (number of isolates) from Blackwater stream sample compared to Biolog library.....	36
	8. Changes in rates of correct classification and (number of isolates) with increase in size of the Blackwater library.....	37
	9. Correct classifications of Blackwater, Shenandoah and combined libraries.....	39
	10. Percentages of isolates in human, livestock and wildlife for sample BW64 compared against the Blackwater library, Shenandoah library and a combined library.....	39
IV	1. Blackwater River sampling sites and dates monitored.....	48
	2. Concentration and solvent of antibiotic stock solutions.....	50
	3. Concentrations of antibiotic treatments in TSA plates.....	51
	4. Antibiotic resistance analysis database of known source isolates.....	53
	5. Number of samples with significant percentages of human, livestock and wildlife for each sampling month.....	55
	6. Number of samples dominant in each category for each sample.....	57
	7. Percentage (and number) of samples in the four Blackwater impairments dominant in each source category.....	58

	8. Classification of fecal coliform counts into low, moderate and high categories.....	60
	9. Chemical and physical measurements.....	60
Appendix B	1. Antibiotic resistance analysis of enterococci for September 1999.....	71
	2. Antibiotic resistance analysis of enterococci for October 1999.....	72
	3. Antibiotic resistance analysis of enterococci for December 1999.....	73
	4. Antibiotic resistance analysis of enterococci for March 2000.....	74
	5. Antibiotic resistance analysis of enterococci for August 2000.....	75
	6. Antibiotic resistance analysis of enterococci for October 2000.....	76
	7. Antibiotic resistance analysis of enterococci for November 2000.....	77
	8. Antibiotic resistance analysis of enterococci for December 2000.....	78
	9. Antibiotic resistance analysis of enterococci for January 2001.....	79
	10. Antibiotic resistance analysis of enterococci for February 2001.....	80
	11. Antibiotic resistance analysis of enterococci for March 2001.....	81
	12. Antibiotic resistance analysis of enterococci for April 2001.....	82

LIST OF FIGURES

Chapter		Page
I	1. ARCC vs. Number of Isolates in Library from Literature.....	25
	2. Changes in ARCC with Increase in Library Size.....	38
Appendix A	1. Franklin County, Virginia; Upper Four Impairments of Blackwater River.....	68
	2. Upper Four Impairments of Blackwater River with Sampling Sites.....	69
	3. Flow (cms) for two sites in the Blackwater basin.....	70