

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Dr. Nancy Love who served as my major advisor during this research, whose guidance and insight vital throughout this research. I would also like to thank Dr. Greg Boardman and Dr. John Novak for severing on my committee and providing insight during this project. I want to acknowledge Commercial Fish and Shellfish Technologies (CFAST) for providing the funding to conduct this research.

A particular thanks goes to Bill Martin (President), Rocky Holley (General Manager), and Darrin Prilaman (Aquacuturist) of Blue Ridge Aquaculture (BRA, Martinsville, Virginia) for their support and the unlimited access to the BRA facilities. Also, I would like to thank Jennifer Phillips for he insight in operating the reactors and providing the ground work this current research with her own graduate research.

A special thanks goes Lora A. Adams for her timely friendship, endless support and understanding while I conducted this research. Additionally, I would like to thank my family for their support, even though they did not always understand why I returned to obtain another degree. Thank you all.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	i
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	iii
LIST OF TABLES.....	vi
LIST OF FIGURES.....	viii
Chapter 1. Introduction.....	1
1. Background.....	1
1.1 Production systems.....	1
1.1.1 Recirculating aquaculture systems.....	2
1.1.2 RAS filtration.....	3
1.2 Waste stream treatment.....	7
1.2.1 Denitrification.....	9
1.2.1.1 Nitrite accumulation.....	10
1.2.1.2 Carbon sources.....	11
1.3 Research Goal.....	13
1.4 References.....	15
Chapter 2. STOICHIOMETRIC AND KINETIC EVALUATION OF A DENITRIFICATION REACTOR SYSTEMS FUELED BY FERMENTATION PRODUCTS FROM WASTE FISH SOLID.....	19
Abstract.....	20
2 Introduction.....	21
2.1 Objectives.....	24
2.2 Materials and Methods.....	25
2.2.1 Denitrification system design and operation.....	25
2.2.2 Aerobic treatment.....	29
2.2.3 Sample analysis.....	30
2.2.4 Kinetic determinations.....	30
2.2.5 Statistical analysis.....	31
2.3 Results.....	31
2.3.1 Denitrifying reactor operation.....	31
2.3.2 Carbon fuel.....	32
2.3.3 Denitrification profiles.....	33
2.3.4 Stoichiometric and kinetic estimation.....	33
2.2.5 Aerobic treatment.....	36
2.4 Discussion.....	41
2.5 Conclusion.....	46
2.6 References.....	47
Chapter 3. EFFICACY OF IMPLEMENTING A WASTE SOLIDS FERMENTATION SYSTEM TO SUPPORT DENITRIFICATION OF THE LIQUID WASTE STREAM AT AN INDUSTRIAL-SCALE RECIRCULATING AQUACULTURE FACILITY.....	51
Abstract.....	52
3 Introduction.....	53
3.1 Objectives.....	56
3.2 Materials and Methods.....	56
3.2.1 Fish culture facility.....	56

3.2.2	Waste stream sampling.....	57
3.2.3	Laboratory reactor set-up.....	59
3.2.4	Feed solids collection	60
3.2.5	Sample collection and analysis.. ..	61
3.2.6	Statistical analysis.....	62
3.2.7	Cost analysis.....	63
	3.2.7.1 Endogenous and exogenous carbon and energy products.....	63
3.3	Results.....	64
	3.3.1 Waste stream characterization.....	64
	3.3.2 Endogenous carbon production.....	68
	3.3.3 Cost analysis.....	71
3.4	Discussion.....	75
3.5	Conclusions.....	77
3.6	References.....	78
Chapter 4.	Engineering significance.....	84
	4.1 References.....	88
APPENDIX A.	Data tables.....	89
VITA	104

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1.	Selected water quality characteristics observed for a waste stream generated from a pilot-scale RAS rearing hybrid striped bass. Adopted from Table 4 of Easter 1992.....	9
Table 2.1.	Parson’s correlation matrix for denitrification parameters monitored observed during stoichiometric and kinetic coefficient determinations. The top value in each cell represents the correlation coefficient and the second value presented is the p-value.....	38
Table 2.2.	Calculated stoichiometric and kinetic coefficients for batch denitrification experiments.....	39
Table 2.3.	The oxygen demand (OD) required during aerobic treatment of residual carbon (from fermented fish waste solids) after complete denitrification compared with other carbon sources aerobically degraded.....	41
Table 3.1.	Items and parameter values used to estimate the cost of amending an aquacultural waste stream with exogenous carbon and energy source, or with dilution water if required when using endogenously derived fermentation material.....	64
Table 3.2.	Mean \pm standard deviation water quality values for the waste stream generated by an industrial-scale recirculating aquaculture production facility (Blue Ridge Aquaculture, Martinsville, VA), producing more than 1134 metric tons (2×10^9 lbs) of tilapia annually. The daily waste stream volume was estimate to be approximately 1,677 m ³ . Water samples were collected at approximately 90 min intervals over a 24-hr period with simultaneous flow estimations to account for concentration and volume fluctuations.....	66
Table 3.3.	Overall mean \pm standard deviation values for the fermentation reactors under different operational conditions. Feed solids obtained from the waste stream of an industrial-scale recirculating aquaculture facility. Values with the same letter are not significantly different within a given column.....	68
Table 3.4.	Mean \pm standard deviation values for specific sCOD and ammonia production rates observed during the fermentation of fish solids at different temperatures.....	71

Table 3.5.	Presented in the table are the volumes of carbon and energy sources needed to meet the daily sCOD demand and the associated cost of that alternative for the following scenarios. Two nitrate loading and COD:NO ₃ scenarios were examined in this analysis for treating an aquaculture waste stream with an estimated daily volume of 1,677 m ³	73
Table A1.	Data plotted in figure 2.2.....	90
Table A.2.	Data plotted in figure 2.3.....	90
Table A.3.	Data plotted for Figure 2.4A.....	90
Table A.4.	Data plotted for Figure 2.4B.....	91
Table A.5.	Data plotted for figure 2.5.....	91
Table A.6.	Data plotted for figure 2.6.....	91
Table A.7.	Data plotted for figure 2.7.....	92
Table A.8.	Data plotted for figure 2.8.....	92
Table A.9.	Data plotted for figure 3.1.....	93
Table A.10.	Data plotted for figure 3.2.....	94
Table A.11.	Data plotted for figure 3.3.....	94
Table A.12.	Data plotted for figure 3.4.....	95
Table A.13.	Raw data for feeds solids used during fermentation studies.....	96
Table A14.	Fermentation reactor solids data.....	99
Table A15.	Fermentation reactor sCOD and DOC data.....	99
Table A16.	Fermentation reactor data at 28C.....	100
Table A17.	Mean ± standard deviation water quality values for the waste stream generated by an industrial-scale recirculating aquaculture production facility (Blue Ridge Aquaculture, Martinsville, VA) The daily waste stream volume was estimate to be approximately 1,677 m ³ . Composite samples generated over a 24-hr period on two separate sampling events.....	103

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1.1. RAS schematics depicting typical serial (A) and parallel (B) configurations. Water treatment devices placed in the most common order unit process order. The sizes of the processes boxes should infer relative size comparison. BF – biofiltration, RO – re-oxygenation, P – pump, SR - solids removal.....6
- Figure 1.2. Schematic depicts the nitrate reductive pathways utilized by facultative bacteria during denitrification (copied with permission from Phillips, 1997). Solids and dashed arrows show the dissimilative and assimilative pathway, respectively.....10
- Figure 2.1. Self-contained denitrification (Reactor 2) system fueled by fermentation (Reactor 1) products. Waste fish solids collected from an industrial-scale recirculating systems aquaculture facility and manually delivered to the fermentation reactor daily.....26
- Figure 2.2. Volatile fatty acid (VFA) composition of the fermentation product generated from waste fish solids. Vertical bars represent the proportion of the total measured sCOD attributed to each VFA on a COD basis.....32
- Figure 2.3. Relationship between DOC and sCOD used to monitor fermentation performance at 28 and 40 °C.....34
- Figure 2.4. Examples of time profiles observed in the denitrification reactor fuel by carbon and energy resources from fermented fish waste solids. Profile A presents the identified carbon constituents, initial sCOD:NO₃-N = 17.2. Profile B demonstrates the transient nitrite accumulation and subsequent removal, initial sCOD:NO₃-N = 13.3.....35
- Figure 2.5. The relationship between the rate of denitrification and the initial sCOD:NO₃-N. Plot A depicts those rate constants where the maximum measured nitrite-nitrogen concentration was less than 20 mg/L. Plot B depicts those rate constants where the maximum measure nitrite-nitrogen concentration was greater than 25 mg/L.....37
- Figure 2.6. Denitrification rate (k) presented as a function of the maximum measured nitrite-nitrogen concentration during each batch experiment. The values presented with each symbol indicate the initial pH. An exponential decay model ($r^2 = 0.819$) was used to describe the observed trend.....40
- Figure 2.7. Reduction of residual sCOD during aerobic batch treatment of denitrified waste. Mean \pm standard errors bars values shown (n = 3).....42

Figure 2.8.	Mean \pm standard error values shown for DOC and oxygen consumed during aerobic treatment (determined from respirometry experiments, n = 2) of an effluent from a denitrifying reactor fueled by carbon and energy products from fermented waste fish solids. Temperature = 20 °C, pH = 8.5.....	43
Figure 3.1.	Relationship between reactor biosolids concentration on sCOD production at different temperatures.....	69
Figure 3.2.	Relationship between the mass of waste fish solids applied to the fermentation reactor and the sCOD production. Close symbols represent reactors operated at 28 °C and open symbols represent reactors operated at 40 °C.....	70
Figure 3.3.	Analysis of the daily cost pf carbon amendment as a function of the relative amount of sCOD supplied from fermentation productions and methanol.....	74
Figure 3.4.	Plot depicts the influence of allowable ammonia-nitrogen concentration in the denitrified effluent. Scenarios assumed NO ₃ -N = 100 mg/L and sCOD:NO ₃ -N = 10.....	74