

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Bearing capacity failure and excessive settlement (after Youd, 1984)	19
Figure 2.2 Lateral spreading (after Youd, 1984)	20
Figure 2.3 Flow failure (after Youd, 1984).....	21
Figure 2.4 Ground oscillation (after Youd, 1984)	22
Figure 2.5 Rate of pore pressure generation in cyclic simple shear tests (after De Alba, et al., 1975).....	23
Figure 2.6 Relationship between pore pressure ratio (r_u) and factor of safety against liquefaction (FS_L) for sand from laboratory data (after Marcuson, et al., 1990).....	24
Figure 2.7 Typical construction process for vibro-compaction (Green, 2001).....	25
Figure 2.8 Installation of stone column using dry method: before, during, and after installation (Hayward Baker, 1996)	26
Figure 2.9 Range of grain size of soil suitable for vibro-compaction technique and stone columns (Hayward Baker, 1996).....	27
Figure 2.10 Typical construction process of aggregate pier complete (Gaul, 2001).....	28
Figure 2.11 The use aggregate pier to reduce liquefaction potential (Wissmann, et al., 1999).....	29
Figure 2.12 Illustration of zone of remolding (after White, et al., 2000)	30
Figure 2.13 Mohr circle sequence and stress path EF during normal consolidation (Handy, 2001).....	31
Figure 2.14 Mohr circle sequence and stress path FG as reductions in vertical stress create overconsolidated soil (Handy, 2001).....	32
Figure 2.15 Increasing horizontal stress on normally consolidated soil (A-B) increases consolidation threshold stress from V_1 to V_2 (B-C) (Handy, 2001).....	33
Figure 2.16 Friction reversals to explain increased vertical effective stress required to initiate consolidation in stress-reinforced soil, V_2 (Handy, 2001)	34
Figure 2.17 Full-scale cyclic loading test performed on aggregate piers (Lawton and Merry, 2000).....	35
Figure 2.18 Illustration of assumed pullout zone (after Lawton, 1999)	36
Figure 3.1 Values of stress reduction coefficient versus depth	55
Figure 3.2 Example of shear stress time history during earthquake	56
Figure 3.3 SPT clean-sand base curve for magnitude 7.5 earthquake	57
Figure 3.4 K_σ correction factor (after Youd, et al., 2001)	58
Figure 3.5 The shear stress reduction factor, K_G (after Baez and Martin, 1993, 1994).....	59
Figure 3.6 Soil profile for calculation example	60
Figure 4.1 Nomenclature used in FLAC.....	76
Figure 4.2 Sign convention for positive shear stresses (after FLAC, 2000).....	77
Figure 4.3 Plot of velocity spectrum versus frequency (after FLAC, 2000)	78
Figure 4.4 Process of Fourier transform (after Kramer, 1996).....	79
Figure 4.5 The baseline correction process (after FLAC, 2000)	80
Figure 5.1 Models analyzed in FLAC analyses	90
Figure 5.2 Single aggregate pier model	91
Figure 5.3 Grid generation 1	92
Figure 5.4 Grid generation 2	93

Figure 5.5 Grid generation 3.....	94
Figure 5.6 Grid generation 4.....	95
Figure 5.7 Initial in situ stresses for silty sand.....	96
Figure 5.8 Initial in situ stresses for silt.....	97
Figure 5.9 Loma Prieta earthquake time histories	98
Figure 5.10 Fourier amplitude spectrum of Loma Prieta earthquake time histories	99
Figure 5.11 Saguenay earthquake time histories	100
Figure 5.12 Fourier amplitude spectrum of Saguenay earthquake time histories.....	101
Figure 6.1 Models used in FLAC analyses.....	137
Figure 6.2 Model used in SHAKE91 analysis to compare with FLAC analysis.....	138
Figure 6.3 Model used in FLAC analysis to compare with SHAKE91 analysis.....	139
Figure 6.4 Comparison of time histories of pga and a_{max} between SHAKE91 and FLAC.....	140
Figure 6.5 Comparison of shear stress time history at depth of 4.5 feet between SHAKE91 and FLAC	141
Figure 6.6 Comparison of shear strain time history at depth of 4.5 feet between SHAKE91 and FLAC	141
Figure 6.7 Comparison of shear stress time history at depth of 9.5 feet between SHAKE91 and FLAC	142
Figure 6.8 Comparison of shear strain time history at depth of 9.5 feet between SHAKE91 and FLAC	142
Figure 6.9 History of excess pore pressure ratio (r_u) for Case 1C	143
Figure 6.10 Acceleration time history (a_{max}) for Case 1C	144
Figure 6.11 Contours of effective horizontal stress for Case 1C.....	145
Figure 6.12 History of excess pore pressure ratio (r_u) for Case 2C	146
Figure 6.13 Acceleration time history (a_{max}) for Case 2C	147
Figure 6.14 Contours of effective horizontal stress for Case 2C.....	148
Figure 6.15 History of excess pore pressure ratio (r_u) for Case 2Ce	149
Figure 6.16 Acceleration time history (a_{max}) for Case 2Ce.....	150
Figure 6.17 Contours of effective horizontal stress for Case 2Ce.....	151
Figure 6.18 History of excess pore pressure ratio (r_u) for Case 3C	152
Figure 6.19 Acceleration time history (a_{max}) for Case 3C	153
Figure 6.20 Contours of effective horizontal stress for Case 3C.....	154
Figure 6.21 History of excess pore pressure ratio (r_u) for Case 1S.....	155
Figure 6.22 Acceleration time history (a_{max}) for Case 1S.....	156
Figure 6.23 Contours of effective horizontal stress for Case 1S	157
Figure 6.24 History of excess pore pressure ratio (r_u) for Case 3S.....	158
Figure 6.25 Acceleration time history (a_{max}) for Case 3S.....	159
Figure 6.26 Contours of effective horizontal stress for Case 3S	160
Figure 6.27 History of excess pore pressure ratio (r_u) for Case 4C	161
Figure 6.28 Acceleration time history (a_{max}) for Case 4C	162
Figure 6.29 Contours of effective horizontal stress for Case 4C.....	163
Figure 6.30 History of excess pore pressure ratio (r_u) for Case 2S.....	164
Figure 6.31 Acceleration time history (a_{max}) for Case 2S.....	165
Figure 6.32 Contours of effective horizontal stress for Case 2S	166
Figure 6.33 History of excess pore pressure ratio (r_u) for Case 4S.....	167
Figure 6.34 Acceleration time history (a_{max}) for Case 4S.....	168

Figure 6.35 Contours of effective horizontal stress for Case 4S	169
Figure 6.36 History of excess pore pressure ratio (r_u) for Case 5C	170
Figure 6.37 Acceleration time history (a_{max}) for Case 5C	171
Figure 6.38 Contours of effective horizontal stress for Case 5C.....	172
Figure 6.39 History of excess pore pressure ratio (r_u) for Case 6C	173
Figure 6.40 Acceleration time history (a_{max}) for Case 6C	174
Figure 6.41 Contours of effective horizontal stress for Case 6C.....	175
Figure 6.42 History of excess pore pressure ratio (r_u) for Case 5S.....	176
Figure 6.43 Acceleration time history (a_{max}) for Case 5S.....	177
Figure 6.44 Contours of effective horizontal stress for Case 5S	178
Figure 6.45 History of excess pore pressure ratio (r_u) for Case 6S.....	179
Figure 6.46 Acceleration time history (a_{max}) for Case 6S.....	180
Figure 6.47 Contours of effective horizontal stress for Case 6S	181
Figure 6.48 History of excess pore pressure ratio (r_u) for Case 8C	182
Figure 6.49 Acceleration time history (a_{max}) for Case 8C	183
Figure 6.50 Contours of effective horizontal stress for Case 8C.....	184
Figure 6.51 History of excess pore pressure ratio (r_u) for Case 7C	185
Figure 6.52 Acceleration time history (a_{max}) for Case 7C	186
Figure 6.53 Contours of effective horizontal stress for Case 7C.....	187
Figure 6.54 History of excess pore pressure ratio (r_u) for Case 8S.....	188
Figure 6.55 Acceleration time history (a_{max}) for Case 8S.....	189
Figure 6.56 Contours of effective horizontal stress for Case 8S	190
Figure 6.57 History of excess pore pressure ratio (r_u) for Case 7S.....	191
Figure 6.58 Acceleration time history (a_{max}) for Case 7S.....	192
Figure 6.59 Contours of effective horizontal stress for Case 7S	193
Figure 6.60 History of excess pore pressure ratio (r_u) for Case 9C	194
Figure 6.61 Acceleration time history (a_{max}) for Case 9C	195
Figure 6.62 Contours of effective horizontal stress for Case 9C.....	196
Figure 6.63 Flow vectors for Case 9C	197
Figure 6.64 Flow streamlines for Case 9C.....	198
Figure 6.65 History of excess pore pressure ratio (r_u) for Case 9S.....	199
Figure 6.66 Acceleration time history (a_{max}) for Case 9S.....	200
Figure 6.67 Contours of effective horizontal stress for Case 9S	201
Figure 6.68 Flow vectors for Case 9S.....	202
Figure 6.69 Flow streamlines for Case 9S	203
Figure 6.70 Acceleration time history (a_{max}) for Case 1C2	204
Figure 6.71 Acceleration time history (a_{max}) for Case 2C2	205
Figure 6.72 Plot of τ_{max} versus distance for different depths for Case 1C2.....	206
Figure 6.73 Plot of τ_{max} versus distance for different depths for Case 2C2.....	207
Figure 6.74 Plot of τ_{max} versus depth for different distance for Case 1C2	208
Figure 6.75 Plot of τ_{max} versus depth for different distance for Case 2C2	209
Figure 7.1 Plot of amplification ratios based on the soil type compared to those proposed by Seed and Idriss (1982).....	224
Figure 7.2 Plot of amplification ratios based on condition with and without aggregate pier compared to those proposed by Seed and Idriss (1982).....	225
Figure 7.3 Fourier amplitude spectra for cases using Loma Prieta earthquake	226

Figure 7.4 Fourier amplitude spectra for cases using Saguenay earthquake	227
Figure 7.5 Comparison of peak values of r_u between cases with and without aggregate pier – Loma Prieta and Saguenay earthquakes	228
Figure 7.6 Comparison of peak values of r_u between cases with and without aggregate pier – Loma Prieta earthquake	229
Figure 7.7 Comparison of peak values of r_u between cases with and without aggregate pier – Saguenay earthquake	230
Figure 7.8 Comparison of steady state values of r_u between cases with and without aggregate pier (Loma Prieta and Saguenay earthquakes)	231
Figure 7.9 Comparison of steady state values of r_u between cases with and without aggregate pier (Loma Prieta earthquake)	232
Figure 7.10 Comparison of steady state values of r_u between cases with and without aggregate pier (Saguenay earthquake)	233
Figure 7.11 Comparison of r_u values between SI & MHF and FLAC for Case 1S	234
Figure 7.12 Comparison of r_u values between SI & MHF and FLAC for Case 3S	235
Figure 7.13 Stress reduction coefficient (r_d) for Cases 1C2 and 2C2	236
Figure 7.14 Plot of values of K_G versus distance for different depths for Case 2C2	237
Figure 7.15 Plot of values of $(K_G)_{ave}$ versus distance for different depths for Case 2C2	238
Figure 7.16 Plot of values of $(K_G)_{ave}$ versus depths for Case 2C2	239
Figure 7.17 Plot of values of K_{GR} versus distance for different depths for Case C2	240
Figure 7.18 Plot of values of $(K_{GR})_{ave}$ versus distance for different depths for Case C2	241
Figure 7.19 Plot of values of $(K_{GR})_{ave}$ versus depths for Case C2	242
Figure 7.20 Comparison of values of K_{GR} and K_G in the soil matrix at various depths for Case C2	243
Figure 7.21 The reinforcement factor, K_{GR} (after Baez and Martin, 1993, 1994)	244
Figure 7.22 Estimation of shear stresses in soil matrix using K_{GR} for Case 2C2	245