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(Abstract)

The world is shifting into an unprecedented technological age. Towns such as Blacksburg, Virginia, are now being called "electronic villages" with electronic infrastructure which not only ties together all aspects of the town but also connects the town to the world. Reality becomes "virtual" with information unimpeded by time and distance.

This rapid advancement in electronic technology is having an impact on world educational systems. Those planning the future of education have a need to know what directions this new technology might take in order to interface such technologies with the schools. The purpose of this study was to predict which present and emerging electronic technologies would be in significant use in the K-12 schools of the year 2000.

The Delphi technique was used to gather predictions from
an expert panel of teachers, administrators, electronic media specialists, and those working in the technology industries. The predictions were gathered from winter 1994 to fall 1995 for the year 2000, taking into account the need for a reasonably accurate prediction which could be used for those now engaged in short-term planning and those engaged in generating the appropriate technologies for the schools.

The types of present and emerging electronic technologies to be found in K-12 schools of the year 2000 were solicited in round one of the study. Rounds two and three identified which technologies would indeed be in significant usage. Using a scale of 1 to 4 (1= very unimportant, 2= unimportant, 3=important, 4= very important), a group mean and standard deviation were calculated for each identified technology. Those technologies with a mean score of 3.00 or higher in round three were predicted to be those likely or very likely to be used in the K-12 schools of the year 2000.

Two key strands of characteristics emerged: connectivity and multifunctionality. The technologies which rated highest had, as characteristics, the ability to be networked with other technologies and the ability to perform multiple tasks. The top five technologies were (1) desktop/laptop computers (2) telephone access to all classrooms (3) world
wide web (4) teacher work stations (5) Email.

Other important characteristics included the use of multimedia technology, strong graphic capabilities, and technologies dedicated to specific-use groups, with teachers, students, and administrators having their own dedicated work stations.
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Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY

In this study, the author is interested in determining the extent to which present and emerging electronic technologies will be used in the K-12 schools of the year 2000. The findings should be of use to those who deal with such aspects of education as plant design, media use, availability of material for curriculum design, administration, and classroom operation. The author presents an overview of the evolution of electronic technology in education and uses a Delphi panel of experts drawn from schools, statewide organizations, and the industrial sector which manufactures these technologies.

History and Background

Acquiring and implementing new technology can be a task full of risk and expense. Potential users and suppliers need to be aware of the coming need for such technology. To exceed that which is essential can be costly, but to fail to meet essential needs brings with it dissatisfaction while reinforcing resistance to change (Mundt, Olsen, & Steinberg, 1982).

As noted in a presentation to the Chesapeake, Virginia, School Board by Haver (1991), The Federal Teacher of 1950
stated that ballpoint pens would be the ruin of education in our country, as the throwaway nature of these devices went against the American traditions of thrift and frugality. She observed that the PTA Gazette of 1941 lamented the use of fountain pens, noting that writing with a straight pen and nib was the way writing was done in the real world. She pointed out that the Rural American Teacher (1929) warned of using store bought ink, cautioning that students who could not make their own ink risked not being able to write until ink was obtained on their next journey to the local settlement.

In that same presentation, Haver observed that the National Association of Teachers in 1907 went on record as predicting pen and ink would never replace the reliable pencil and that a geographically unspecified group called The Principal’s Association decried in 1815 the dependence on paper, urging instead the use of slates. She concluded with observing that, at a teacher’s conference in 1703, it was said that those who were unable to prepare bark on which to write would find themselves in dire straits if their slate were to break.

In the early 1980’s, Naisbitt (1982) detected a transformation from an industrial society to an
informational society taking place. Higgins (1990) concluded that such a change was still going on, including a revolution in educational technology. Computers and telecommunications systems have brought to the school a wide array of resources.

How can educators get a grip on the changing field of educational technology? According to Stansberry (1993), many of the technological innovations in recent years such as computers and instructional television have perhaps led to overinflated expectations. He noted that the educator, not technology, was still the key to education but that the arrival of new technologies meant many more applications to educational purposes.

Ravitch (1993) observed that educational disappointment in technology may have stemmed from unimaginative application of that technology and from lack of consensus about how technology could be used to achieve educational goals. From this observation, one may ask if educators have accurate ideas about what types of technologies will be available to educators in the next few years.

A call for greater awareness of educational technology has gone out. Ambach (1992) noted the position of the Council of Chief State School Officers that school
administrators must close the gap between the opportunities provided by the new technologies and the actual use of these technologies. This council stressed actively embracing technology.

Problem Statement

Momentous changes are being brought about by the transformation of society from an industrial age to an informational and technological age. The educational infrastructure of the school is beginning to reflect this transformation. Education is on the verge of a technological revolution.

Those persons involved with the public schools, such as teachers, administrators, technicians, and representatives of industry producing the new educational technology, need to interact with present technologies and to be aware of emerging technologies which may be in use in the school of the year 2000 in order to be a part of this technological revolution.

Any one of these groups may have unacceptable expectations of the other groups. The manufacturers may expect the schools to line up and buy technologies with which they are unfamiliar. The administrators may be attracted by a low price and not consider the
interconnectivity of a technology. The teacher may see a single classroom application of a technology and not be aware of the importance of schoolwide application of a technology.

Noting that businesses are reinventing themselves, thanks to new opportunities provided by technological innovations, Gates (1995) stated that education, though seemingly reluctant to follow the example of business, must do so in order to improve educational opportunities within the schools. According to Ehrenkrantz and Eckstut (1994), our country starts a new technological cycle every four years. If users of educational technology are to implement technological changes in the year 2000, they must have a clear picture now as to what educational technologies are likely to be deployed in those schools of the year 2000.

Research Questions

The research questions in this study are these:

1. Considering the evolution of educational technology, what types of current electronic equipment or systems will be used by students, teachers, and administrators in the public schools of the year 2000?

2. Considering the evolution of educational technology, what types of emerging electronic equipment or
systems will be used by students, teachers, and administrators in the public schools of the year 2000?

Purpose and Significance of the Study

Emerging technological innovations in the public schools are confronting those responsible for shaping the school of the year 2000. This study sought to identify both existing and emerging technology that will be in use in public schools by the year 2000. By using the predictive Delphi method, the study aims at helping educators prepare for the types of electronic technologies that will most likely be accessible to them in the near future.

Why is it important to identify these technologies? Krebs (1993) pointed out that a wide array of educational institutions, including science and technology centers, media and library centers, and museums are working with the schools to implement new educational technologies within the schools, and that this effort reaches into every curriculum area. Resta (1993) added that technology is playing an increasingly important role in the ongoing restructuring of education. Are these technologies the best for the schools?

According to Rockman (1993), the expansion of educational technologies has provided a wider access to
educational opportunities such as enhanced staff development capabilities and an increase in the ability of school leaders to manage change. If so, will choices in educational technology made over the next few years enhance these opportunities?

Why is it important to have an accurate awareness of coming technologies and their application to education? Ward (1992) cited the benefits of technology awareness: the saving of funds spent on education, the saving of administrative task time, and the enhancement of the curriculum. Dempsey (1993) cautioned that school administrators who champion technology initiatives without understanding the technology are setting a dangerous precedent. Such managers of change need to use the technology and develop a better understanding of the nature of such technologies in order to adequately plan for future changes.

With the coming of these new technologies comes the need for informed school administrators providing technology leadership and motivation, translating a vision of technology into reality (Lumley, 1992). To provide that leadership, administrators must be aware of what technologies are likely to be found in the coming years.
It is not just administrators who must have a clear picture of coming technologies and their application to the schools. Liedtke (1993) noted the advanced integration of technology into education, pointing out that students are becoming more of a visually literate generation and that cross-sections of school employees, such as administrators and teachers, are becoming involved in a collaborative process to prepare for the evolution of electronic technology. Knowledge of what technology is most likely to be used is part of that preparation.

This study focused on electronic equipment or systems, foregoing such established but older non-electronic technologies as the printed page, the slate blackboard, and related chalk or ink writing implements. These electronic-based educational technologies encompass the equipment realm, including computers, communications devices, and a host of present and emerging digital equipment.

The "Delphi technique" was defined by Linstone and Turoff (1975) as "a method of structuring a group communication process so that the process is effective in allowing a group of individuals, as a whole, to deal with a complex problem" (p. 3). As used in this study, the Delphi method allowed large groups of experts in separate locations
to come together as expert panels for the purpose of predicting the use of technologies in the schools of the year 2000.

**Summary and Overview**

In this first chapter, the researcher has presented the history and background of this study, the purpose and significance of this study, the statement of the problem, and the research questions to be answered by the study.

A synthesis of the literature related to this study follows in the second chapter. A review of the Delphi technique used to forecast educational technology usage is also included in the second chapter.

Chapter three contains the design of the study, including the selection of panelists, the collection of data, and the analysis of these data. A research chronology is outlined.

The results of this research and analysis of data are in the fourth chapter. A summary of these data and conclusions drawn from the study comprise chapter five. Implications of this research and resulting recommendations for future research are offered.
Chapter 2

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The purpose of this review of literature is to gain an overview of how educational technology has developed, how it applies to educators and to society in general, and to gain a sense of where this technology is going in the near future.

Educational Technology Overview

In planning for the future of education, Mageau (1993) was of the opinion that educational technology must be an integral rather than a tangential element. The melding of education and technology is no new concept. According to Berkman (1992), a report issued in February 1923 by the U.S. Bureau of Education stated that the Navy was planning to broadcast educational programs a quarter of an hour in length two nights a week, asserting that continuous education was vital to the public and that radio could be the means for that continuous education. Berkman also noted that James Rowell Angell, who had joined the National Broadcasting Company in 1938 upon his retirement as president of Yale University, predicted that television would be in widespread classroom usage within five years.
Adams and Bailey (1993) asserted that schools have already been in the Information Age for more than half a century. They noted that the prevailing method of curriculum delivery for most of that time was by lecture and printed text with students exhibiting basically passive participation. They pointed out that technological advancements have moved students more in the direction of information literacy employing a more interactive involvement with electronic media.

Atkins (1993) stated that views on new interactive technologies appearing in the classroom ranged from praise for lifting the restraints of the traditional classroom to warnings that such initiatives pose a threat to the qualitative educational process. He pointed out that the previous history of educational technology was filled with grand claims and limited achievements.

Sydow and Kirkpatrick (1992) were of the opinion that the focus of educational technology should be on areas with clearly defined needs. Sullivan (1992) characterized the relationship of technology to education as an evolutionary process spanning the use of said technology to accomplish traditional tasks and reaching the point of using training in technology to do new tasks.
Ambach (1992) cited a position paper released in April 1992 by the Council of Chief State School Officers which indicated that technology needed to get the same kind of consideration textbooks received in the past if the schools of America were to achieve their educational goals. The paper called for broad scale technology integration into the schools, with this technology commitment boldly inserted into financial policies.

**Scope of Educational Technology**

To illustrate the expansion of the educational technology available to present and future students, one notes a prediction made by Prostano (1972). The author cited "four A-V wonders (school) boards will buy in the 70's" (p. 26). These four technologies include cassette audio tape recording devices, simplified video tape recording devices, random-access audio/video systems, and computer-assisted instructional systems.

The realm of classroom technology, once limited to devices such as computers and videocassette recorders, has expanded to electronic bulletin board networks, delivery of materials via fiber optic systems, and interactive two-way delivery of classroom instruction (Barker, 1990).

Higgins (1989) illustrated the scope of educational
technology by observing that students are motivated by new avenues of interactivity with courses of study, that clerical tasks are now handled more efficiently by computer systems, that telecommunications systems give access to vast arrays of databases, and that enhanced video systems bring new depth and power to educational materials.

Mayfield (1994) noted that Bell Atlantic Video was beginning an interactive video service which would present to the viewer a graphic image of a multistoried shopping mall. One floor of this graphic mall would be devoted to education, and offerings would be aimed at educational levels ranging from early childhood to adult learners.

Ward (1992) noted that what was currently in the air, video transmissions using airwaves, would be going underground on fiber-optic cable. Conversely, that which was underground, such as telephone communications, was going to be in the air via airwaves.

The scope of computer use was measured by Gilder (1993). He cited U.S. Census Bureau statistics showing that almost half of all American children between three and seventeen years of age regularly use computers at home or at school. He also noted that the computer is merging with the telephone and television to become a digital cellular device
that will function as a notebook, a communicator, and a personal computer.

According to Skolnick, Larson, & Smith (1993), the current generation of students has been raised on video, audio, and computer technology. Multimedia technologies capture the interest of this generation. The number of teachers who espouse multimedia technology as providing a more comprehensive education is growing.

According to Boucher (1993), students are no longer merely watchers of television. They now interact with the medium. The interaction via fiber optic lines would link computers at many schools and allow distance learning by computer and video along with the sharing of software, the use of CD-ROMS, and the transmission of electronic mail.

A proposal by Vice President Al Gore published by The Office of the Vice President at the White House (1994) espoused the concept of an information superhighway by calling for a national telecommunications reform that would offer free interconnects with the information superhighway for any classroom in the country. The report stated that new technologies have brought together previously divergent communications entities. This, according to the Vice President, could lead to an advanced communications and
information infrastructure that would bring together various computer systems and databases with related consumer electronics to form an information web available to all potential users. The target date for connecting all classrooms to this system is the year 2000 (Table 1).

Interconnectivity

Pope (1994) pointed out that the fast-developing Internet fiber-optic system has already combined computer, telephone, and television technology to literally bring education to one’s fingertips. This multiple-use expansion even extends to the common notebook, which in its emerging electronic form is a hand-held device capable of incorporating a handwriting recognition program which can convert hand-written notes to print and can also hold a dictionary and a thesaurus, all in a case small enough to fit in the palm of the hand (Tibbs, 1993).

Careless (1994) pointed out that the old technology of radio was given a new outlet via the Internet. Cyber radio is a phrase coined to denote the transmission of radio programming through computer cyberspace. The Canadian Broadcasting Corporation has successfully converted radio programming into stored digital form in which it can be retrieved and reconverted to audio at a computer user’s
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1842</td>
<td>Invention of facsimile transmission by Alexander Bain (Mount &amp; List, 1987).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1844</td>
<td>Invention of the telegraph by Samuel F.B. Morse (Blum et al., 1968).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1875</td>
<td>Invention of the telephone by Alexander Graham Bell (Burke, 1978).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1877</td>
<td>Invention of the phonograph by Thomas A. Edison (Burke, 1978).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1879</td>
<td>Invention of the electric lightbulb by Thomas A. Edison (Burke, 1978).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1895</td>
<td>Invention of the kinetoscope by the brothers Lumiere (Burke, 1978).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1895</td>
<td>Invention of radio by Guglielmo Marconi (Mount &amp; List, 1987).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1898</td>
<td>Invention of magnetic recording by Valdemar Poulsen (Mount &amp; List, 1987).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1928</td>
<td>Invention of television by Vladimir Zworykin (Burke, 1978).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1938</td>
<td>Invention of xerographic photocopying process by Chester Carlson (Mount &amp; List, 1978).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1945</td>
<td>Invention of digital computer ENIAC (Electronic Numerical Integrator and Calculator) by John Mauchley, J. Presper</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Eckert, Jr., and John Brainerd (Mount & List, 1987).

1945 Conceptualization of communications satellite by Arthur C. Clark (Cook & Vaughan, 1983).


1957 Launching of Sputnik 1 satellite by the Soviet Union (Cook & Vaughan, 1983).

1962 Launching of Telstar 1 communications satellite by the United States of America (Cook & Vaughan, 1983).


1971 Edward Roberts develops the first microcomputer (Mount & List, 1987).

1972 Philips of Holland introduces the laser disk (Mount & List, 1987).

1992 President Clinton and Vice President Gore announce plans to build an information superhighway (Elmer-Dewitt, 1993).
convenience.

Gibbs & Smith (1993) noted that the Internet probably originated in the ARPANET, a computer network set up in 1969 by the Advanced Research Projects Agency, a part of the Department of Defense. The uses of this early network were military in nature; the intention was to assure that information could travel from one computer to the next in as flexible a manner as possible. This flexibility involved the ability to send messages by a multitude of possible routes rather than by one fixed route. According to the authors, ARPANET began offering unclassified access. Computer users accessed this and other networks to form a loose confederation of computer networks generally known as the Internet. The Internet is owned by no one and is available to a multitude of users including students, teachers, and school administrators.

Dyrli (1993) described the Internet's educational impact by observing that all future scenarios depict a world that is linked totally by telecommunications. In this scenario, students and teachers are in daily interaction with great amounts of data conveyed through text, video, and sound images. He pointed out that over 5,000 networks are currently connected via the Internet and that over 50,000
teachers now use this system. He noted such educational applications of this system as messaging via electronic mail, discussion groups and newsgroups, remote log-in whereby students and teachers can enter the Internet from home as easily as in the school, and file exchange.

Hempel (1993) noted that the technology needed to interface with the Internet is a personal computer, a modem, and communications software. He observed that a great deal of public domain software is available for all types of computers and that a plethora of curriculum-related documents are easily obtained at no charge. Information and the sharing of resources, says Hempel, are main themes on the Internet.

Gibbs and Smith (1993) were of the opinion that students in the year 2000 who do not know how to use the Internet will be as deficient as current students who are unable to read. They noted the rapidly expanding growth of the Internet: 6,000 networks connected; around 1,000 computers added to the Internet on a daily basis; and the sum of data crossing the Internet growing by 10% monthly. Hobbes (1997) showed a growth of Internet users from around 130,000 in 1979 to nearly 13,000,000 by 1996 just seven years later and an increase in networks as well (Table 2).
Table 2

**Number of Computers Connected to the Internet and Number of Computer Networks**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Computers Connected</th>
<th>Networks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/89</td>
<td>130,000</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/91</td>
<td>535,000</td>
<td>3,086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/92</td>
<td>992,000</td>
<td>6,569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/93</td>
<td>1,776,000</td>
<td>13,767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/94</td>
<td>3,212,000</td>
<td>25,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/95</td>
<td>6,642,000</td>
<td>61,538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/96</td>
<td>12,881,000</td>
<td>134,365</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Hobbes, 1997)
Present and Future Technologies

This study attempted to bring into focus the present and future facets of technology that require attention from school administrators. Sydow and Kirkpatrick (1992) noted that those who will be involved with planning for the educational use of technology need to be alert to future trends and directions in that technology, and that these planners cannot be limited in their thinking by what is currently available.

According to Liedtke (1993), the educational technology used to deliver instruction has evolved from 16 millimeter movies, 35 millimeter slides and filmstrips to VHS videotapes. Overhead projectors using plastic-based transparencies now show liquid crystal displays linked to personal computers. These types of media, noted Liedtke, are passive in nature. The user simply watches and listens. New types of educational media are more interactive in nature, offering manipulation of the texts and graphics. He gave as an example of expanding technology use the fact that only 30% of school districts in 1990 had laserdisc/CD-ROM players while 68% of school districts in 1993 had this type of technology.

Collins (1991) observed that technologies such as those
associated with computers and electronic networks have already found their way into the home and the workplace. What is used at work and at home will inevitably be used in the school.

It was about a decade ago that schools were getting their first microcomputer laboratories. Laboratories utilizing a variety of computer systems are now being developed in schools to encompass expanding technologies (National Association of Secondary School Principals, 1993).

Anderson (1993) added that peripheral devices used with computers led to the development of technology laboratories. Such peripherals include various types of printers, information storage devices such as the CD-ROM, hard drives, and tape drives, video accessories such as devices to convert television signals to computer screens and computer video to regular television screens, audiovisual equipment such as video cameras and laserdisc players, audio devices such as compact disc players and sound boards, telecommunications devices such as modems and facsimile (fax) machines, and scanners which can directly input text or images into a computer.

Bruder (1993) noted the development of laserdisc barcode technology that allowed interactive links between the
laserdisc and a computer. She also pointed out that a
videodisc availability for high school students now accounts
for nearly half of educational videodiscs. Hill (1993) drew
attention to the "pocket power" of new computers under
development. These computers, known as "palmtops," will be
the size of a pocket notebook and will perform functions
such as converting handwritten notes to text and
transmitting a fax message from the palm of one's hand.

Murray (1993) referred to the hand-held digital units as
PDA's (personal digital assistants). He noted the capability
of such devices to send and receive fax messages, interface
with electronic networks, and link with larger computers.
According to Murray, the difference between the palmtop
computer and the personal digital assistant is the latter's
use of an electronic stylus to input information.

Hill (1993) drew attention to another technological
innovation in preparation, the "whiteboard." An electronic
replacement for the traditional blackboard, the so-called
whiteboard would allow the educator or student to write on
it as one would a traditional blackboard. The whiteboard
would have additional capabilities such as calling up notes
from the previous day and the ability to transmit notes to
personal computers used by students. These computers would,
in turn, have the ability to send notes back to the whiteboard. An advanced model would even allow computer systems with color capability to save color illustrations used in the original lecture.

According to Pantelidis (1993), another technology that may impact upon education is virtual reality. This is a computer-based interactive system using multimedia to link the user with the computer to simulate a "virtually real" world. The computer screen is replaced by audiovisual inputs that give a sense of actual physical participation in the computer program. This can lead to the sensation of being in a remote environment with the ability to manipulate items at that remote site. Pantelidis cited educational uses such as interacting with real people in non-realistic ways, the creation and manipulation of abstract constructs, interaction with virtual beings such as historical figures, and having simulated access to remote locations not easily reached.

Kurshan (1991) pointed to the emergence of a global classroom. The school with such classrooms would span all ages, geographical areas, and all subject matter by using telecommunications networks. The explosion of knowledge and technology the world has experienced in the past few years
is on the way to the classroom of the near future. These
global classrooms would necessitate the incorporation of
many new technologies in the years ahead.

**Administrators, Teachers, and Technology Integration**

In a survey of principals and their use of computers for
administrative tasks (Armistead, Cowden & Earthman, 1991),
only half the principals questioned felt comfortable using
their computers. The authors stressed the need for training
in order to instill the comfort level necessary to
effectively use computers. In a follow-up study, these same
authors (1992) found principals increasingly using
computers for administrative purposes. Roughly two-thirds of
these principals had received computer training through
college courses. Further, about 55% of those principals
surveyed expressed a feeling of comfort in using the
computers to handle administrative work.

Taking a more comprehensive view of the administrator
and technology, Gillman (1989) described an administrative
workstation involving both the reception and transmission of
data via electronic communications. Such an administrative
workstation is capable of plugging into what Kurshan (1991)
characterized as an electronic network. She noted that such
a network can offer electronic mail services,
teleconferencing abilities, electronic bulletin boards, and various databases from which administrators can draw needed information.

The fact that computer technology is not necessarily based in a single building or spot makes such technology much more applicable to administrative purposes. The New York State Education Department (1990) devised a long-range plan for pairing administrators with technology. In addition to calling for an administrative technology-based workstation to be provided at each school site and for the implementation of a network using computers and modems, the plan also called for portable workstations accessible by the administrators at home or at other locations beyond the school site. Further, the plan notes the need for the development of materials related to these media innovations aimed at training the administrators in the full use of these new technologies.

School administrators who look to the future face a meagre pool of technologically proficient teachers, according to Resta (1993). He feared that colleges of education have not kept up with the increasing integration of educational technology into the curriculum. Such limited training in this area has in turn led to a failure on the
part of some teachers to use technology in the curriculum and for personal productivity. Harrington (1993) said that many educators regarded effective technology training as essential to teacher education programs.

**Society and Technology**

Present and future administrators will be riding a societal wave of technological innovation. They will come from a society where technology is being used in shopping malls, libraries, businesses, homes, and other places outside the walls of academia, said Mecklenburger (1986). He observed that people tend to fear such change and thus postpone or fight that change. Nonetheless, such change is becoming an integral part of society's structure and, in the world of education, fiber optics and satellite systems are taking their places next to such traditional classroom tools as books and blackboards.

Indeed, entire towns have become electronically interactive. According to Schultz (1994), the Blacksburg Electronic Village project has linked homes, schools, civic offices, and the Virginia Polytechnic Institute & State University campus together with the local Bell Atlantic office and on into the Internet system. One educational benefit of the project is bringing the collected knowledge
of the world to schools. One of the goals of the project is to provide large amounts of information swiftly and inexpensively to anyone needing that information. Farragher (1995) noted that almost a third of Blacksburg's businesses and over 13,000 of the town's 36,000 residents have already connected to the network. One of the on-line features is the Blacksburg High School student newspaper. A school home page also is on-line, carrying information about various school departments and school schedules.

**Educational Technology Summation**

The expansion of multimedia technologies for education seems inevitable. Quality Educational Data, a research firm specializing in tracking the use of technology in school markets, measured a doubling of schools with interactive videodisc systems in 1992. In 1993, 21 per cent of all public schools in the United States were equipped with multimedia computers, videodisc decks, and other equipment capable of interactive audiovisual applications (Trotter, 1993). Baliles (1994) spoke of connecting education with what he called the technological information infrastructure.

Ravich (1992) observed that educational technology has a "relentless forward momentum" (p. 7). She also stated,
"Schools are all about projecting the world we want to live in." (p. 4). She emphasized the need for research in determining the future needs of education, including those needs in the area of educational technology.

Indicative of momentum in technology expansion is the expansion of CD-ROM technology. Shields (1994) pointed out that there were around 900,000 CD-ROM drives in use at the close of 1992. By the close of 1993, that figure had climbed to 10.5 million CD-ROM drives in use.

Sullivan (1992) asserted that educational planners of today have passed Alvin Toffler's "first wave" of technology, that being the treatment of technology as an object of instruction, and are now in the "second wave." The "second wave" uses technology as a means of accomplishing traditional educational objectives. He urged educational planners to reach the "third wave," that being the use of technology to enable learners and users to do new tasks as yet not thought of.

Technology is on the increase in the public schools of the United States (Bruder, 1993). As of October 1993, there was a computer for every sixteen students, and over half of all schools used computers in nearly every discipline. In addition, between half and three-quarters of
all school districts used cable television, satellite dishes, and telephone modems.

Delphi Technique

The Delphi technique was used to reach a consensus by experts in occupations and disciplines dealing with technology in the schools. A three-round Delphi study was conducted in order to reach a consensus among the panel of experts. A review of the literature relating to the efficacy of the Delphi technique was undertaken. Emphasis was placed on the educational applications of the Delphi technique and how the technique might be used in this study.

The Delphi technique originated in 1953, when Olaf Helmer and Norman Dalkey used it for a group of experts to render opinions for a top secret military project being conducted by the Rand Corporation. The technique involved obtaining a reliable consensus from a group of experts. The model involved the repeated questioning of individuals using either interviews or questionnaires while at the same time avoiding having any confrontations between the experts. Such an approach promoted independent thought and avoided contamination of opinions by the persuasive advocacy of other experts (Helmer, 1983).

Croft (1989) pointed out that the Delphi technique
allows the researcher to gather information on a topic from both local and distant experts. He further stated that the method can be used to obtain information on current areas of interest as well as to make predictions about the future.

Weaver (1971) observed that the Delphi technique is intuitive in nature, with its original use being to harness the speculation of experts as to when an event might occur along a chronological timetable. Such a chronological approach to education must take into account the time lag between making a policy decision and having that decision make a measurable impact.

Weaver stated that education must become more future oriented. He cautioned that a statement on what the future holds cannot be proved false if it is critically accepted at the time it is made. Such speculation, no matter what the method used, can only be assessed as being reasonable at the time.

Even the most empirically sound assumptions are, at best, what Weaver termed items of rational persuasion. The use of the Delphi technique would hopefully generate a sound observation using controlled experts working toward a group norm using a compression of the group’s estimates of the future as gathered through several rounds of inquiry.
In their early work in the area of the Delphi technique, Gordon and Helmer (1964) characterized prediction-making as a basic part of the technological and social worlds. They agreed with Weaver that no claims of reliability could be made of such future predictions.

They did note that such predictions decreased the chance of surprises and ultimately offered a sounder basis for decision-making than did pure intuition. This was not to say that such methodology was not without weaknesses. They pointed out the possibility of generating self-fulfilling or self-defeating predictions, the coloring of results based on the ambiguity of the questions, the problems with assessing the expertise of the participants, and the difficulty in accounting for the unexpected.

Sniezek (1990) talked of judgmental forecasting as frequently being used by groups and, indeed, as being the favored forecasting approach used by organizations. The Delphi technique, being such a judgmental forecasting method, would overcome the unwelcome influence of variables such as status or confidence in favor of ability. This is accomplished through the Delphi practice of anonymity, thus sharply reducing data sharing and group interpretation. While the process led to group consensus, Sniezek warned
that the inability to resolve differences generated by the process might be a weakness of the Delphi technique.

Dalkey (1967) identified several basic characteristics of the Delphi method:

1. Interaction and feedback: As each new stage of the Delphi is begun following the initial stage, the results of the previous stage are tabulated. Feedback to the Delphi group allows the individuals to reassess their responses in light of the feedback which informs the individual of the group's reaction.

2. Anonymity of individuals: There is no individual interaction among Delphi participants. Each response is confidential.

3. Statistical group opinion: An average of the responses to the final round of questions is calculated, incorporating the opinions of each group member into a final mass response.

Barnes (1987) cited a list of disadvantages formulated by Virginia Tech's Cooperative Extension Service relating to the Delphi method:

1. Judgments by a select group may not be representative of a larger population.

2. Extreme positions tend to be eliminated, thus forcing a
middle-of-the-line consensus.

3. The Delphi method consumes more time than does a normal group process.

4. It may be incorrect to view the Delphi results as a total solution.

5. The Delphi method requires written communication skills.

6. The Delphi method requires a good deal of time and commitment on the part of participants.

Helmer (1983) noted that the efficiency of the Delphi method applies well to the problems of planning public policy for education. He cited four characteristics of these problems:

1. Rapid technological change negates the luxury of slow changes from one generation to the next.

2. Problems tend to cut across several disciplines.

3. Future projections impacting public policy generally derive from individual expectations rather than from established theories.

4. Public policy implementation demands urgent action.

While Delphi studies were normally conducted through three successive rounds, some Delphi studies used four or five rounds (Shands & Levary, 1986). Delphi studies tended to be three-round constructs, as attrition became
a problem with too many rounds.

Brockhoff (1975) argued that the best results in a Delphi study were generally known by the third round. The addition of extra rounds might impair the results. Pfeiffer (1968), Halfin (1973) and Brooks (1979) concurred with Brockhoff about the efficacy of the three-round Delphi. 

Summary of Review of Literature

The literature points to a rapid evolution in electronic educational technology. Current trends show a broad spectrum of increased use throughout the public education system.

Perlman (1990) was of the opinion that classroom technology usage was falling behind the usage curve of the world outside the public school. Brady (1993) looked back a decade to the time when $1600 purchased a classroom computer with 48K memory with perhaps some audio from a tiny internal speaker. That same $1600 would now buy a high-powered personal computer with capacious hard disk drive and high fidelity audio.

Eakin (1992) noted a widespread awareness among America’s policymakers as to how technology could be used to strengthen education. She suggested that a seventh national educational goal should be added to the America 2000 plan: "By the year 2000, all schools will be supported
by sufficient telecommunications and electronic technology to provide efficient record keeping, customized instruction, distance learning, networking, and broad access to resources and data bases; and all students will leave school with a solid foundation of technological literacy" (p. 13).

The literature presented in this chapter would seem to indicate that the educational system is indeed moving in that direction. How far it may move by the year 2000 will, using the Delphi method of forecasting future trends, be the focus of this study.
Chapter III

METHODOLOGY

The purpose of this study was to identify those types of present and emerging educational technologies that would be used by students, teachers, and administrators in the K-12 schools of the year 2000. A Delphi study was devised to undertake this identification process. The conduct of the Delphi study is detailed in this chapter.

Delphi Questions

The Delphi technique was employed for this study. Field (1989) defined the Delphi technique as a means for reaching the consensus of a panel of experts in a particular field of study. Martorella (1991) noted the effectiveness of using the Delphi method in educational applications such as curriculum planning and goal setting. The technique is useful for initiating dialogue among anonymous experts.

Why is it important to determine what electronic technologies will be used in the K-12 schools in the near future? According to Reigeluth, Annelli, & Otto (1992), technology has evolved into a catalyst for restructuring schools. This technological revolution gives new powers to students, teachers, and administrators.

Because of the rapid evolution of electronic technology
now taking place, the researcher deemed it necessary to ask
the Delphi panelists to consider both current and
forthcoming electronic technologies. Two research questions
were prepared to focus the Delphi panel on the answers:
1. What existing educational equipment or systems will be
   used by students, teachers, and administrators in
   the K-12 schools of the year 2000?
2. What emerging educational equipment or systems will be
   used by students, teachers, and administrators in the
   K-12 schools of the year 2000?

Identification and Choice of Panel Members

For the purposes of this study, a panel of educators and
technologists was assembled. The task of the panel was to
reach a consensus on the two research questions. Three
rounds of questioning were devised in order to reach a
consensus.

While Thurston and Chaves (1929) used 300 judges for
their studies, Edwards (1957) contended that reliable data
could be obtained with a smaller number of judges, though he
did not numerically specify how smaller. In the study
reported in this paper, the panel consisted of 57 persons
for round one, 36 persons for round two, and 30 persons for
round three.
The panel was drawn from members of state departments of technology in various states, public school systems, technologists and administrators, and from individuals and companies which deal in educational technologies. The companies ranged from computer manufacturers to electronics firms, and from communications companies to television stations. A list of such persons meeting the above criteria was obtained from The Yearbook of Experts, Authorities & Spokespersons (1991), through a review of literature related to the topic, through recommendations from faculty members of Virginia Polytechnic Institute & State University, and from the Virginia Department of Education. Members of the panel are listed in Appendix A.

Mailings to the Panelists

The individuals chosen by this process were contacted by mail or email (electronic mail via the Internet). They were told that they had been selected through criteria such as personal recommendations, their contributions to books or periodicals dealing with electronic technology, or by virtue of the positions they held in various organizations and businesses.

One hundred six prospective expert panelists were contacted by mail and an additional twenty seven were
contacted by email. Sixty-two accepted by mail the invitation to participate in this study and five accepted by email. Of them, 46 returned a preliminary questionnaire designed to gauge the panelists' awareness of present and emerging electronic technologies that might be used in the schools of the year 2000 (Table 3). The high percentages of recognition shown in the preliminary questionnaire satisfied the researcher as to the suitability of the respondents to participate on the Delphi panels. All demonstrated knowledge of electronic media and none were eliminated. The first round instrument was then mailed to the 67 respondents.

While the timing of each Delphi mailing was important to the study, two factors contributed to the need for a longer period of time than originally planned: (a) the study was conducted during the summer between traditional school years, and (b) extra time was needed to transmit complex information via the Internet to the foreign panelists. Because of the extraordinary amount of data generated by the second and third rounds and the limited capacity for electronic response by e-mail, the international panelists participated fully in round one but did not participate in the second or third rounds of the study. It was determined by the researcher that the amount
Table 3

**Number and Percentage of Panelists Who Were Aware of Specific Current and Emerging Technologies (N=46)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology Type</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Audio technologies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio cassettes</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compact discs</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital recording devices</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communications technologies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellular telephones</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facsimile (FAX)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voice mail</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Computer technologies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD-ROM</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local area networks (LANs')</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modems</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Personal computers (PCs)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal digital assistants (PDAs)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Video technologies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cable television</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laserdiscs</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave television</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite television</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videocassettes</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 This survey was conducted prior to the three Delphi rounds in order to gauge the awareness of prospective panel members about current and emerging electronic technologies that are applicable to K-12 schools. Each panelist was asked to put a check mark beside each technology with which they were familiar. Forty-six panelists responded. The number to the right of each technology indicates how many panelists indicated a familiarity with that particular technology.
of typing necessary for a fully detailed response by e-mail would have been a disincentive for participation at this level by foreign panelists using e-mail. High cost for transmission of the large amount of information involved also was a disincentive for the use of facsimile (fax) transmission.

All members of the panel were requested to answer the questions presented to them with the assurance that their individual responses would be treated confidentially. The researcher was the panel coordinator and sent by mail the appropriate questions and tabulated responses to each individual panel member (Table 4).

**Derivation of Seed Questions**

It should be noted that a number of technological trends which might be of value when considering technology in the school of the year 2000 were identified in the review of literature pertinent to this study. It was from this review of literature that a list of seed questions was devised to initiate round one of the study. As recommended by Preiffer (1968), this first-round instrument did not specify a minimum or maximum number of responses.

**Conduct of the First Delphi Round**

The first round provided the panel with the seed
### Table 4

**Questionnaires Mailed and Returned by Delphi Round**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Round</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questionnaires Sent</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responses Received</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage Received</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal Requested</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
questions (Appendix F). Panelists were asked to respond to the seed questions. Present and emerging educational technologies that might be used in the K-12 schools of the year 2000 were listed by the panelists.

It is important to stress that panel members had no knowledge of who proposed, lauded, criticized, or changed any original responses. All responses were treated in a confidential manner. Personality was excluded by use of the Delphi technique.

Conduct of the Second and Third Delphi Rounds

Based on a model by Foster and Kozak (1986), the list of identified educational technologies generated by the seed questions was edited to eliminate redundancy and to assure consistent phrasing. One hundred sixty-three listed technologies were edited to 116 items for the second round.

The second round of the Delphi allowed panelists to assign numerical ratings (one through four) which allowed means and standard deviations to be calculated for the various identified educational technologies. The ratings were on a scale of one to four expressing the panelist’s opinion of how important a named electronic technology was likely to be in the K-12 schools of the year 2000.
Panelists were then provided with their individual round-two statement-by-statement ratings and the mean ratings of the panel as a whole. With the individual and group ratings in hand, they were asked again in round three to rate the importance of the educational technologies in K-12 schools of the year 2000.

Following the conclusion of round three, the results of the study were mailed to all of the panelists who participated in the study. A tabulation of the results was also electronically mailed to international participants via the Internet.

Research Chronology
1. Identify members of the expert panel.
2. Receive commitments by panel members volunteering to serve.
3. Mail/email Delphi round-one instrument along with instruction sheet to each panel member.
4. Send follow-up postcard to each panel member one week after sending Delphi round-one instrument.
5. Telephone nonrespondent panelists two days after return-date deadline of Delphi round-one instrument.
6. Analyze responses to Delphi round one. Create Delphi round-two instrument.
7. Mail Delphi round-two instrument along with
   instruction sheet to each panel member.
8. Send follow-up postcard to each panel member one
   week after sending Delphi round-two instrument.
9. Telephone nonresponding panelists two days after
   return-date deadline of Delphi round-two instrument.
10. Analyze responses to Delphi round two. Create Delphi
    round-three instrument.
11. Mail Delphi round-three instrument along with
    instruction sheet to each panel member.
12. Send follow-up postcard to each panel member one
    week after sending Delphi round-three instrument.
13. Telephone non respondent panelists two days after
    return-date deadline of Delphi round-three instrument.
15. Write results of the study.
16. Provide all panel members with follow-up report of
    findings.

Analysis of the Data

In an interview conducted by Seevers (1993), J.C.
Fortune, professor of educational research at Virginia
Polytechnic Institute & State University, observed that the
median and frequencies constitute the statistical analysis
of most Delphi studies. Barnes (1987) noted that means were also calculated in a number of Delphi studies. Means were used in this study. Mean scores were calculated on data collected with a four point scale: 1 = Very Unimportant, 2 = Unimportant, 3 = Important, 4 = Very Important. Scores below 3.00 were not eliminated from the third Delphi round but were included in the round-three instrument to ensure that panelists could reconsider all listed technologies, thus allowing for a further deliberation on the potential of these technologies.

The items were ordered from highest to lowest scale value after each Delphi round. Since this study used a rating of "3" to indicate a technology as "important," the researcher determined that any technology receiving a mean score of 3.00 or higher would likely be used.

**Summary**

In this chapter the design of the study has been described. Included in that description were the two seed questions and descriptions of the Delphi technique used to conduct the study and the analysis of the data.

A three-round Delphi procedure was used to collect data. An initial panel of sixty-seven members was chosen. The panelists were members of departments of technology from
state school systems across America, public school technologists and administrators, employees of companies dealing with educational technology, and international experts in the field of electronic technology.

These panelists were drawn from lists of such professionals provided by professional listing services, by faculty and professional recommendations, and by contacting persons identified through a review of the literature pertinent to this study. The panel members were chosen by postal query and asked to participate.

A short technology awareness survey questionnaire was included in the initial query. This simple preliminary survey was used to obtain an overall sense of the panelists' awareness of various electronic technologies (Appendix D). Based on the preponderance of high percentages of recognition of the various technologies, the researcher was able to demonstrate that the Delphi panel had an sufficient knowledge of these electronic technologies to have faith in the validity of their responses with respect to their use.
Chapter IV

FINDINGS OF THE STUDY

The purpose of this study was to identify present and emerging electronic technologies that would be likely or very likely found in the schools of the year 2000. A three-round Delphi was used as the appropriate research tool for conducting the study.

Results of Round One

The questionnaire (Appendix F) for round one asked panelists to identify existing or emerging technologies likely to be found in the K-12 schools of the year 2000. This produced 72 named current electronic technologies and 91 named emerging electronic technologies. These fifty current electronic technologies were retained and the seventy-three emerging electronic technologies were reduced to sixty-six to eliminate redundancies when used in round two (Table 5).

Results of Round Two

The second round instrument (Appendix G) containing the 50 current electronic technologies and 66 emerging electronic technologies identified by the panelists in round one was mailed to the 52 panelists who participated by post in round one. Thirty-six members completed and
Table 5

**Present and Emerging Technologies Named in Round One and Number of Panelists Naming Each Technology**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present Technologies</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Audiovisual</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videotape player</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laserdisc player</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid crystal display (LCD) projector</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camcorder</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overhead projector</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compact disc (CD) player</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital camera</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video projector</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan converter</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slide projector</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Television production facility</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audiotape recorder/player</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compact disc (CD) recorder</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Film projector</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phonograph</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communications</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local-area network (LAN)</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite television receive only (TVRO)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Television</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modem</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cable television</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave audiovisual</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide-area network (WAN)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone (wired)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wireless communications</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber optics</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video on demand</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pager</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quick time digital video</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 voice/data/video transmission</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electronic/Mechanical</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printer</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scanner</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copier</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probeware</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptive devices for special needs population</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autocad</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key response pad</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robotics</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information systems</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desktop/laptop personal computer (PC)</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD-ROM (compact disc read only memory)</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimedia interactive</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax (facsimile)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal digital assistant (PDA)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voice mail</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White board</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculator</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compact disc interactive (CDI)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertext/hypermedia</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical disc</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| <strong>Emerging Technologies</strong>       | N |
| <strong>Audiovisual</strong>                 |   |
| Large video screen              | 3 |
| Digital camera (still)          | 3 |
| Video editing                   | 2 |
| Videodisc player                | 2 |
| Videotape recorder (VCR)        | 2 |
| Digital camera                  | 1 |
| Live class-to-class audiovisual feed | 1 |
| <strong>Communications</strong>              |   |
| Wireless connectivity           | 12 |
| Satellite downlink              | 11 |
| Internet                        | 10 |
| Wide-area network (WAN)         | 8 |
| Local-area network (LAN)        | 6 |
| Modem                           | 5 |
| Cellular telephone              | 4 |
| Very small aperture terminal (VSAT) | 4 |
| Video conferencing              | 4 |
| Desktop video conferencing      | 3 |
| Fax (facsimile)                 | 3 |
| Fiber optic LAN/WAN (local-area network/wide area network) | 3 |
| Telephone access to all classrooms | 2 |
| Cable television                | 2 |
| Interactive television          | 2 |
| Networking in all classrooms    | 2 |
| Online connections                                  | 2 |
| World-wide web (WWW)                               | 2 |
| Broadband network                                  | 1 |
| Desktop computer/video conferencing                | 1 |
| Direct broadcast satellite (DBS)                   | 1 |
| Ethernet                                           | 1 |
| Global computing/telecommunications                | 1 |
| Multicast television (Internet M-Bone)             | 1 |
| Quick-time digital video                           | 1 |
| Video compression                                  | 1 |
| <strong>Electromechanical</strong>                              |   |
| Autocad                                            | 1 |
| Copier                                             | 1 |
| Covert/overt surveillance                          | 1 |
| Identification chips (in teeth or other body parts)| 1 |
| Knowbots                                           | 1 |
| Non-keyboard input devices                         | 1 |
| Scanner                                            | 1 |
| Thumb print/voice print/retinal scanner            | 1 |
| Transparent multifunctional video system via infrared with point and click capacity and voice activation (VOX) | 1 |
| <strong>Voice-to-text transcription</strong>                    |   |
| <strong>Information Systems</strong>                            | 14 |
| Multimedia computer systems                        |   |
| CD-ROM interactive                                 | 9 |
| Personal digital assistant (PDA)                   | 7 |
| Virtual reality                                    | 7 |
| White board                                        | 4 |
| Centralized retrieval systems                      | 3 |
| Compact disc (CD) interactive                      | 3 |
| Fax (facsimile)                                    | 3 |
| Teacher work stations                              | 3 |
| Voice-to-computer technology                       | 3 |
| Administrative management systems                  | 2 |
| Building-wide data retrieval                       | 2 |
| Data access systems                                | 2 |
| Electronic bulletin board                          | 2 |
| Email                                              | 2 |
| <strong>Student work stations</strong>                          |   |
| <strong>Voice mail</strong>                                     | 2 |
| <strong>Computer two way</strong>                               | 1 |
| <strong>Electronic publishing</strong>                          | 1 |
| <strong>Electronic university</strong>                          | 1 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device/Technology</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full motion computer/CD-ROM</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handheld computer</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertext/hypermedia</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved graphics</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactive media</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laptop personal computer (PC)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panaboard</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power-enhanced computer</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple text sound</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-box laser printer/scanner/copier/fax</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
returned the instrument for round two. No further members indicated the need for removal from the panel.

A standard for consensus was established for the final round: Each criterion would need to achieve a round-three mean of 3.00 ("Important" usage in the year 2000) or above to be considered as being likely or very likely to be used in the K-12 schools of the year 2000. For round two, 40 of the 50 current electronic technologies on the list achieved a score of 3.00 or higher, while 58 of the 66 emerging electronic technologies achieved a score of 3.00 or higher. The group mean was calculated for each present and emerging electronic technology (Table 6).

Results of Round Three

The third-round instrument (Appendix H) containing 50 present electronic technologies and 66 emerging electronic technologies was mailed to the 36 members of the expert panel who participated in round two. Thirty panelists participated in the third round.

In order to be considered as being likely or very likely to be used in the K-12 schools of the year 2000, each technology had to achieve a mean score of 3.00 out of a possible 4.00.

Of the 50 present technologies listed in round three,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present Technologies</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audiovisual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compact-disc player</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>.692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camcorder</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>.640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan converter</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital camera (still motion)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>.586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flex cam</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>.627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video projector</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>.776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compact-disc recorder</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>.758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Television production facility</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>.733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video player/recorder (VCR)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>.633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid-crystal display (LCD) projector</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>.813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overhead projector</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>.902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laserdisc player</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>.915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audiotape player/recorder</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>.749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slide projector</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>.678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Film projector</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>.505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phonograph</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>.593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local-area network (LAN)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>.855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide-area network (WAN)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>.855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>.456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber-optic interconnect</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>.597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modem</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>.557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cable television</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>.559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite television receive only (TVRO)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>.687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone (wired)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Television</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>.605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 voice/data/video transmission</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>.670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quick-time digital video conferencing</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>.595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facsimile (fax)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>.716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave audio/visual</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>.648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pager</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>.980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electromechanical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptive devices for special needs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>population</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>.413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printer</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>.482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probeware</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scanner (print)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>.596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robotics</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>.674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copier machine</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>.734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key-response pad</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>.693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autocad</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>.650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information systems/devices</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desktop/laptop personal computers</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>.371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>.351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimedia interactive</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>.401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD-ROM (interactive)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>.538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypermedia/hypertext</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>.507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculator (electronic)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word processor</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voicemail</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video on demand</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>.715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whiteboard</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>.767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual reality</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>.859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal digital assistant (PDA)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>.661</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emerging Technologies</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Audiovisual</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large screen projection</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital video editing/storage/playback</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>.555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital camera (still motion)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video editing</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>.655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videodisc player</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videotape player/recorder (VCR)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>.921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communications</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone access to all classrooms</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>.450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building-wide data network</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>.401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World-wide web (WWW)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>.418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local-area network (LAN)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>.413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>.436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber-optic LAN/WAN</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>.524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global communication/telecomputing</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide-area network (WAN)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>.448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wireless connectivity (modem/LAN)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>.652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video compression</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>.663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video conferencing</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>.658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadband network</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>.680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modem</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>.563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite television receive only (TVRO)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>.554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product Type</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>Score</td>
<td>Dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cable television</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>.712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactive television</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethernet</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>.767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactive television</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>.690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multicast television (Internet M-bone)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>.699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facsimile (fax)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>.657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quick-time digital video conferencing</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desktop computer video conferencing</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>.901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellular telephone</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>.771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desktop video/audio conferencing</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>.926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very small aperture terminal (VSAT)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>.885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electromechanical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-keyboard input devices</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>.768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scanner (print)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>.584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voice-to-text transcriptions</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>.677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autocad</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transparent multifunctional video via infrared with point &amp; click and voice activated (VOX) commands</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>.874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copier</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>.676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knobots</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>.698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covert/overt video surveillance</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>.842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thumbprint/voiceprint/retinal readers</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>.925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification chip in tooth or other body part</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information systems/devices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centralized retrieval system</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>.417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(home/school/office)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimedia computer systems</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>.487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>.436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher work stations with delivery to classrooms</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>.446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laptop computers</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>.442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-motion video on computer</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>.539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student work stations</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>.488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative management systems</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>.492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypermedia/hypertext</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>.497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical disc (combines CD-ROM and laserdisc)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>.540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD-ROM (play/record)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>.655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic bulletin board</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>.507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data-access systems</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>.505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compact disc (CD interactive)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>.770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power-enhanced computer/printer/modem</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>.648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-box laser/scanner/copier/fax</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>.707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feature</td>
<td>Score</td>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Likelihood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voice mail</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>.743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved graphics</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>.511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal digital assistant (PDA)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>.716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic university</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>.620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic publishing</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>.576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video on demand</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>.715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual reality</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>.824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whiteboard</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>.804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videotext</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple text sound</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>.641</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of the 50 (78%) achieved a score of 3.00 or higher, while of the 66 emerging technologies in round three, 55 of 66 (83%) achieved a score of 3.00 or higher. The results are listed in Table 7. The third-round instrument is contained in Appendix H.

The various technologies were placed in one of four categories: (1) Audiovisual, (2) Communications, (3) Electromechanical, and (4) Information Systems/Devices. These four categories encompass four major functions of electronic technology.

"Audiovisual" involves that technology used to record/reproduce audio and video material. "Communications" deals with that technology used to send information from one point to another. "Electromechanical" involves equipment that is electronically assisted to perform a physical task. "Information Systems/Devices" deals with technology used to store and retrieve data.
Table 7

**Importance of Present and Emerging Electronic Technologies in the Year 2000--Round-three Number of Respondents, Mean, and Standard Deviation by Technology**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present Technologies</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Audiovisual</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camcorder</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>.620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital camera (still motion)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>.535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan converter</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>.552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compact-disc player</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>.741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flex cam</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>.642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compact-disc recorder</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>.762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video projector</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>.546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video-cassette player/recorder (VCR)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>.607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Television production facility</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>.642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid crystal display (LCD) projector</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laserdisc player</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>.761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overhead projector</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audiotape player/recorder</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>.711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slide projector</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>.623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Film projector</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>.546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phonograph</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>.501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communications</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>.381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber-optic interconnect</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>.535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local-area network (LAN)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>.463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide-area network (WAN)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>.535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modem</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>.630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 voice/data/video transmission</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>.572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone (wired)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>.504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facsimile (fax)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>.621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Television</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>.621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cable television</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quick-time digital video conferencing</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>.534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite television receive only (TVRO)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>.722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave audio/visual</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>.712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pager</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>.925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electromechanical</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptive devices for special needs population</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>.407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printer</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>.473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scanner</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>.453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probeware</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>.737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copier machine</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>.711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robotics</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>.623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autocad</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>.603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key response pad</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>.737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Systems/Devices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desktop/laptop computers</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>.403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>.647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimedia interactive</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>.407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD-ROM interactive</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>.673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypermedia/hypertext</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>.371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word processor</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>.609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculator</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>.563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voice mail</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>.615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video on demand</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>.677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whiteboard</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>.624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual reality</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>.766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal digital assistant (PDA)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>.672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Technologies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audiovisual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large-screen projector</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>.493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital video editing/storage</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital camera (still motion)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>.535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video editing</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>.712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videodisc player</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>.777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videocassette player/recorder (VCR)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>.847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone access to all classrooms</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>.463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World-wide web (WWW)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>.346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local-area network (LAN)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>.381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide-area network (WAN)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>.407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building-wide data network</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>.433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber-optic LAN/WAN</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>.507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global communications/telecomputing</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>.433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>.523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wireless connectivity</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>.559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modem</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>.505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video compression</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>.563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadband network</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>.569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video conferencing</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>.572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethernet</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>.661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voice mail</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>.564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cable television</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>.545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Device Description</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Market Price</td>
<td>Market Share</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desktop computer video conferencing</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facsimile (fax)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactive television</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multicast television (Internet M-Bone)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite television receive only (TVRO)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desktop video/audio conferencing</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quick-time digital video conferencing</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellular telephone</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very small aperture terminal (VSAT)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electromechanical</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-keyboard input devices</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voice-to-text transcription</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scanner</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copier</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autocad</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transparent multifunctional video</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>via infrared with point &amp; click and voice actuated (VOX) commands</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covert/overt video surveillance</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowbots</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thumbprint/voiceprint/retinal scanner</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification chip in tooth or other body part</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information Systems/Devices</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher work stations</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student work stations</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimedia computer system</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative management system</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centralized retrieval system (home/school/office)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laptop computers</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-motion video on computer</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical disc (combines CD-ROM and laser disc)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypermedia/hypertext</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic bulletin board</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data-access systems</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD-ROM play/record</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic publishing</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compact disc (interactive)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desktop computer video conferencing</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power-enhanced computer/modem</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product Description</td>
<td>Price</td>
<td>Sales</td>
<td>Margin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal digital assistant (PDA)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>.662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved graphics</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>.535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic university</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual reality</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>.845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whiteboard</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>.607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videotext</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>.525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple-text sound</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>.555</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 5

SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, DISCUSSION, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study is a look into the very near future of electronic educational technology and its use in the K-12 public schools. An expert panel of individuals working with technology in various ways was assembled to participate in a Delphi study to offer their opinions on what technology would be used in the schools in the year 2000. The data was collected from winter 1994 to fall 1995.

Summary of Results

The types and configurations of electronic technologies currently available or emerging from development for use in the classrooms of schools in the near future is of vital concern to teachers, administrators, technologists, manufacturers, and developers who deal with the dissemination and use of such technologies. The purpose of this study was to assemble an expert panel in order to estimate from mean scores what present and emerging technologies one might find important to the K-12 schools of the year 2000.

Those in the business of developing or manufacturing such technologies might also have an interest in these estimates. Because of the lead time needed to secure
technical designs and materials, an estimate of what might actually be used in the schools would perhaps allow for perhaps more accurate planning and implementation on the part of these businesses. Peripheral agencies such as operators of information networks and educational broadcasting operations would also have possible uses for such predicted information as this information would allow these entities to tailor their operations to interact with these technologies.

The research methodology used to arrive at these estimates was a three-round Delphi study. This study began with an expert panel of 57 teachers, school technologists, administrators, consultants, and persons employed in the manufacturing of electronic technologies used for educational purposes.

Round one of the study identified 116 existing and emerging electronic technologies that might be important to the K-12 schools of the year 2000. These technologies were then grouped into four main categories: (1) Audiovisual, (2) Communications, (3) Electromechanical, and (4) Information Storage/Retrieval. Following round one, the panel was reduced from 57 to 36 members due to fewer panelists electing to participate in the second round and the
logistical difficulties involved in using email to send large amounts of data to the five international participants.

The panel for round two was given the task of rating each named technology on a scale from one to four (1=very unimportant, 2=unimportant, 3=important, 4=very important). This allowed the researcher to compute a group mean for each named technology and rank the technology according to score as well as category. The major categories remained (1) Audiovisual, (2) Communications, (3) Electromechanical, and (4) Information Storage/Retrieval.

In the ratings presented by the expert panel in the second round, 40 of the 50 present technologies and 58 of the 66 emerging technologies achieved a mean score of 3.00 or better.

The expert panel was further reduced to 30 members for the third round due to fewer panelists electing to participate. Each member was given a list of the 116 technologies from round two. Next to each listed technology was the individual panelist's rating for round two and the mean score from round two. Each panelist was instructed to rate each electronic technology on a scale of one to four as to the importance of that technology to the K-12 schools of
the year 2000.

According to the returns, 39 of the 50 present technologies and 55 of the 66 emerging technologies were predicted as likely or very likely to be important to the K-12 schools of the year 2000.

Conclusions

What has emerged from round three of this study are two definite concepts: networking and multifunctionality. They are interrelated in that networking capacity is often a major function of multifunctionality and vice-versa.

In the rankings of the top ten items under the banner of present technology, networking accounts for five of the criteria named. Email, which is accomplished through a network of computers, is second only to computers themselves in the rankings. The Internet, that vast and rapidly growing computer network, ranks fourth. Fiber optic interconnects, the advanced in-ground networking technology, ranks sixth. Local-area networks (LANs) and wide-area networks (WAN’s) hold seventh and eighth places.

Third-round predictions for emerging technology demonstrate the evolution of networking to be even stronger. Telephone access to all classrooms, a simple networking scheme but one with vast possibilities, is ranked second to
teacher workstations (which would in all probability be networked to classrooms and other school sites).

The computer network known as the World-Wide Web ranks third, with local area-networks (LANs) fourth, email fifth, student work stations (obviously networked to various sources) sixth, wide-area networks (WANs) seventh, building-wide data networks eighth, fiber-optic LANs/WANs ninth, and global communications/telecomputing—the ultimate in networking—in tenth place. In sum, all ten of the top-ranked emerging technologies deal with networking. The inference drawn from these findings is that interconnectability—the evolution of connections to form networks—will play a major role in educational technology in the near future.

Next to networking comes multifunctionality. In the rankings of present technologies, multimedia (interactive) ranked fifth, adaptive devices with implied multifunctional capabilities ranked third, and CD-ROM (interactive) ranked tenth. In the emerging technology predictions, networking functions using multifunctionality (teacher work stations and student work stations) ranked in the top ten; and multifunctional computer systems held eleventh place, followed by another multifunctional technology,
administrative management systems, in twelfth place.

Fehr-Snyder (1995) points out that more than 60,000 different computer networks are now interwoven into the system known as the Internet. This system is global in reach and is expected to expand to more than 1.5 million interconnected networks within the next decade.

The personal computer, which drew the highest ranking in round three of this study, is the principal connective and multifunctional device. According to Debenham and Smith (1994), predictions call for 80% of all families in the U.S. to own computers by the year 2000. This figure does not include those computers already accessible to students, teachers, and administrators in schools.

Because the research instrument used in this study asked panelists to rate the importance of educational technologies, it must not be assumed that technologies with lower rankings will disappear from use in the schools. The sheer number of phonographs, slide projectors, and other older technologies is argument enough for their continued use, albeit in a declining situation as these technologies are replaced by newer technologies.

The inference to be drawn from these findings is that devices used in educational applications are evolving
from single-purpose uses to multiple uses. Stand-alone, single-use items such as calculators or camcorders will still be in use in the near future, but emerging devices will likely be capable of several tasks. As noted earlier, these devices will likely be interconnectible as well.

**Discussion**

The Delphi technique was useful in identifying a broad range of present and emerging technologies to bring before the expert panel. The response time was slightly greater than anticipated, partly due to conducting a large size of the questionnaire and partly due to conducting a portion of the research over what is traditionally a summer break for educators. Responses to the questionnaire took up to six weeks, and the responses were received by postal mail due to the size of the questionnaire.

The time span notwithstanding, the Delphi method allowed a response that covered a broad geographic and occupational range. Such an expert panel would have been impossible to assemble in one place due to insurmountable difficulties with scheduling and with the sheer expense that would have been involved.

The diversity of the Delphi panel was one of the strengths of this study. Panel members held positions that
enabled them to view electronic technology from a variety of vantage points, ranging from manufacturing and marketing to administrative and classroom applications.

Limitations of the study include the omission of those technological advances that have been happening too rapidly even for a predictive study of close proximity to the year 2000. Also, variable levels of expectation on the part of the panelists might affect the results (e.g., a researcher might not take into account that the manufacturing cost of a breakthrough could be beyond a typical school division’s financial resources).

While the higher importance scores were generally given to the latest developments in technology, a few oddities surfaced during the study. One was that copiers were listed by the panelists as an emerging technology. This might possibly be explained by the evolution of copier technology in the past few years (i.e. affordable color copying, transmission of copied data to other media). Also, the weak showing of overhead projectors, still seemingly a staple of classrooms, was a bit surprising as well as was the weak showing of pagers, a technology both ubiquitous and affordable. Again, the lower rating of overhead projectors might indicate a belief that this traditional method is
being replaced by the conversion of transparency material to electronic imagery; and the lower ranking of pagers may be accounted for by the stigma of illegal usage often associated with them.

Among the surprises were the very strong showings of administrative management systems and teacher work stations. These systems are costly and do not seem to be found very often in today's schools. The strong showing of this phase of technology might have significant monetary and space considerations for school districts.

Another surprising showing came with T1 voice/data/video transmissions, a very expensive technology requiring a major installation of new telephone line capacity for a school or school division. Coordinating with suppliers and allocating the necessary funds for acquiring this technology is necessary for a school to access this service.

From the tabulated ranked results of the third Delphi round, one can see certain patterns beginning to emerge. The two principal patterns are capacity of technology for multitasking and the ability of the technology to interconnect with other multiple users and other locations.

Other patterns demonstrate a physical reduction in the
size of technologies and the movement away from technologies that still use paper or celluloid products (copy machines, overhead projectors, film projectors) to equipment that uses liquid crystal or digitally projected displays (liquid crystal display projectors, personal digital assistants, virtual reality devices). These patterns could be of considerable importance to the educator planning operations, purchasing, or curriculum development in the school of the year 2000.

These trends could also have relevance for the industries involved in creating electronic technology that will be important in the very near future, incorporating the desirability of making technology multifunctional and interconnectible rather than single functional and unconnectible. Such knowledge might tend to slow obsolescence in such technology.

Evans (1979), predicting technological change that would take place by the year 2000, supposed that more changes would take place in the years 1980-2000 than in the century and a half preceding those two decades. Feigenbaum and McCorduck (1983) warned that predictions about reasoning machines were almost laughable in that predictions are extrapolated from known constants and that the evolution and
change of these reasoning machines constitute unknown territory, thus defying reasonable predictability.

It would appear as though a short-term prediction would be more accurate, and the expert panelists in this study have listed individual types of technology that can be grouped into patterns, meaning the combination of singular technologies into multifunctional systems. Ehrenkrantz and Eckstut (1995) noted that this country enters a new technological phase every four years. They pointed out that the 1990 wonders such as video games and facsimile machines have quickly given way to more advanced technological innovations. They emphasized the need for flexibility, diversity, and the capability for expansion in the schools of the future. In light of the data in this study, the developing patterns in electronic technology (multifunctionality, interconnectivity, digitization) would appear to be in synchronization with the need for such flexibility, diversity, and expansion.

A caveat must be added. It cannot be assumed that the increasing sophistication of electronic technology, the increased accessibility of such technology, or even the lowering of prices in certain areas of technology will assure any equity in the distribution and use of such
technology. In a report on educational disparities prepared by the Virginia Education Association (1996), one can see a broad range of capital outlays in the 1994-1995 period. The disbursements for instruction, which included media, ranged from $6,764 for Arlington to $4,371 for Roanoke County to $3,261 for Appomattox. The availability of funds and the decisions to use available funds will continue to cause disparity in the implementation of present and emerging electronic technologies.

Recommendations

Based on the review of literature and the three-round Delphi study undertaken by this researcher, certain patterns in the evolution and implementation of electronic technologies in education have been identified and discussed. In light of the findings, several recommendations are offered for consideration.

First, an expansion of the international component of this line of study would enhance the applicability of the findings to schools on an international basis. Due to technical restrictions on being able to send large questionnaires in an easily handled manner to email users, foreign participation in this study was limited. Improvement in scanner technology may make the use of large
questionnaires more practical to handle via networked computers.

Second, because schools use traditional planning cycles that are updated each year, follow-up studies or monitoring based on this study would generate ongoing precise predictability. For example, the United States Department of Education or education departments in state governments could monitor developments and conduct periodic scans of technology development.

Third, close scrutiny of all electronic technologies and the possible applications of any technologies to educational needs is also recommended. To convolute George Santayana’s famous saying about those ignorant of history being doomed to repeat it, this study suggests that those ignorant of the evolution of educational technology are doomed to have to catch up with those changes.
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Appendix A.

Members of the Delphi Panel
Members of the Delphi Panel

Numerals following names indicate participation in Delphi rounds one, two, or three.

State Educational Departments’ Technologists

* Bannan, James  1,2,3
Distance Learning Education Specialist
Hawaii Department of Education
Distance Learning Technology
3645 Wai'alae Avenue
Honolulu, HI 96816
808-733-4733
fax 808-733-4730
bannan@kalama.doe.hawaii.edu

* Brumbac, Elsie  1,2
State Media & Technology Director
North Carolina Department of Public Instruction
301 N. Wilmington Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27601-2825
919-715-1530
fax 919-733-4762
ebrumbac@dpi.state.nc.us

* Degrand, Linda K.  1,2,3
Chief Consultant Distance Learning/School Television
North Carolina Department of Public Instruction
301 N. Wilmington Street
Raleigh, NC 27601-2825
919-715-1545
fax 919-733-4762
ldegrand@dpi.state.nc.us

* Hovey, Jr., Al  1,2,3
Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction
125 S. Webster  POB 7841
Madison, WI 53707-7841
608-266-3319
fax 608-264-9553
alhovey@macc.wisc.edu

* Kilroy, Kathryn A.  1
Technology Services Administrator
Arizona Department of Education
1535 West Jefferson--Bin 4
Phoenix, AZ 85007
602-542-5024
602-542-3590
kkilroy@ade.state.az.us

* Lukenbill, Ron 1,2,3
Chapter 1 Specialist
Office of Public Instruction
Box 202501
Helena, MT 59620-2501
406-444-2080
406-444-3924
ron@bigsky.dillon.mt.us

* Rains, Mike 1,2,3
Director of Technology Services
7703 N. Lamar Blvd.
Austin, TX 78752
800-580-8584
512-467-3554
rainsmik@tenet.edu

* Schley, Richard 1
Specialist, Instructional Technology
Virginia Department of Education
P.O. Box 2120
Richmond, VA 23216-2120
804-371-6882
fax 804-371-2455
mschley@pen.kl2.va.us

* Sinclair, Linda D. 1
Educational Associate--Science
South Carolina Department of Education
810-E Rutledge Bldg.
1429 Senate Street
Columbia, SC 29201
803-734-0887
fax 803-734-8624

* Winegarner, Marsha S. 1,2,3
State Science Consultant, K-12
Florida Education Center, Suite 444
325 W. Gaines Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0400
904-488-6046
fax 904-488-9840
winegam@freenet.fsu.edu

Public School Administrators/Technologists

* Barnett, Harvey 1,2,3
   Director of Instructional Technology
   Cupertino Union School District
   10301 Vista Drive
   Cupertino, CA 95014
   408-252-3000
   408-255-4450

* Bias, Gene 1
   Teacher-- Multimedia Productions
   Edgewater High School
   3100 Edgewater Drive
   Orlando, FL 32804
   407-849-0130, ext 294
   fax 407-849-0130, ext 701
   biasg@mail.firm.edu

* Billings, Dr. Lowell 1,2,3
   Asst. Supt., Instructional Services and Support
   Chula Vista Public Schools
   84 East "J" Street
   Chula Vista, CA 91910
   619-425-9600
   fax 619-427-0463

* Blystone, Ken 1
   Educational Technologist/Instructional Supervisor
   Ysleta Independent School District
   9600 Sims
   El Paso, TX 79925
   915-595-5676
   fax 915-595-5930
   blystone@tenet.edu

* Carroll, Dr. James 1,2,3
   Assistant Director, Office of Instructional Media & Technology
   Arlington Public Schools
   1426 N. Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22207
703-358-7679
703-358-6186

* Delp, Mark 1,2,3
Director of Educational Technology
Henrico County Schools
P.O. Box 23120
Richmond, VA 23223
804-226-3680
804-226-3734
mdelp@pen.k12.va.us

* Dempsey, Dennis 1,2,3
Principal, Sisters Middle School
P.O. Box 3099
Sisters, OR 97754
503-549-4045
fax 503-549-4051
dempseyd@aoc.com

* Dolan, Jennifer 1
Principal
Farragut Middle School
Farragut Avenue
Hastings-on-Hudson, NY 10706
914-478-4454
fax 914-478-7169

* Donovan, Felicia 1,2
Technology Coordinator
Stratham Memorial School
39 Gifford Farm Road
Stratham, NH 03885
603-772-5413
603-773-0021
fdonovan@aol.com

* Dotson, Varley Sue 1,2,3
Library Media Specialist
President, Virginia Educational Media Association
P.O. Box 156
Hurley, VA 24620
vdotson@pen.k12.edu.va.us
* Durbano, Helen 1,2
Technology Specialist
Howard High School of Technology
401 E. 12th Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
hdurbano@brahms.udel.edu

* James, Dr. Jane 1,2,3
Associate Director for Instructional Technology
Roanoke County Schools
5937 Cove Road
Roanoke, VA 24019
703-562-3793
fax 703-562-3992
jjames@pen.k12.va.us

* Johnson, Murrell M. 1,2,3
Director of Management Information
Alamance County Schools
Administrative Offices
P.O. Box 358
Graham, NC 27253
910-570-6668
fax 910-570-6540
mj717@aol.com

* Jones, Brian 1,2,3
Telecommunications Specialist
Henrico County Public Schools
P.O. Box 23120
Richmond, VA 23223
804-226-3681
fax 804-226-3734
bdjones@pen.k12.va.us

* Jones, Geoffrey 1,2,3
Principal
Thomas Jefferson High School for Science and Technology
6560 Braddock Road
Alexandria, VA 22312
703-750-8300
fax 703-750-5010
gjones@pen.k12.va.us

* McPharlin, Arthur 1
Director of Technology  
Romulus Community Schools  
36540 Grant Road  
Romulus, MI 48174  
313-941-1600  
fax 313-941-7560

* Miles, Harley L.  1,2
Coordinator: Instructional Systems Technology  
Charlottesville City Schools  
Central Office # 2  
1400 Melbourne Road  
Charlottesville, VA 22901  
804-984-7024  
fax 804-295-5490  
hmiles@pen.k12.va.us

* Minard, David  1,2,3
Director of Instructional Technologies  
Bayonne Board of Education  
29th Street and Avenue A  
Bayonne, NJ 07002  
201-858-5593  
fax 201-858-6246  
appelink bay.minard

* Moon, Bobbie  1
Teacher/Technology Chair  
Henry Heights Elementary School  
3600 Louisiana Avenue  
Lake Charles, LA 70605  
318-478-8012  
fax 318-478-7058

* Prohaska, Dr. John W.  1,2,3
Coordinator, Research and Development VQUEST  
Department of Communications  
Fairfax County Public Schools  
4414 Holborn Avenue  
Annandale, VA 22003  
703-503-7400  
fax 703-978-3001  
prohaska@cne.gmu.ed

* Roberson, Carolyn T.  1,2,3
Supervisor, Instructional Technology
Norfolk Public Schools
800 E. City Hall Avenue, Room 708
Norfolk, VA 23510
804-441-2980
fax 804-441-2640
croberso@pen.k12.va.us

* Rothman, Murray H. 1,2,3
Television Coordinator
Pennsauken High School/Pennsauken TV WPSS 18
800 Hylton Road
Pennsauken, NE 08110
609-662-8500
fax 609-488-9649

* Solder, Nancy 1,2,3
Hutchinson Public Schools
P.O. Box 1908
Hutchinson, KS 67504-19008
316-665-4434
fax 316-665-4497
adnancy@hutchcc.edu

* Solomon, Dr. Bernard 1
Director, Television Instructional Media Technologies & Library Services
The School District of Philadelphia
John F. Kennedy Center--Room 424
734 Schuylkill Avenue
Philadelphia, PA 19146-2397
215-875-3781
fax 215-875-5790
sołożonb@libertynet.org

* Traylor, Kathy 1,2
Media Specialist
Loganville Public Schools
Loganville, GA 30249

* Wilson, Dr. Thomas F. 1,2
Principal
Eagan High School
4185 Braddock Trail
Eagan, MN 55123
612-683-6902
fax 612-683-6910
tfwilson@eagan.k12.mn.us

* Zaenglein, Dr. Judith L.  1,2,3
Administrative Assistant, Planning & Educational Support
Central Dauphin School District
600 Rutherford Road
Harrisburg, PA 17109
717-545-4703
fax 717-657-4999
sdf00011@lipton.11.pbs.org

Educational Technology Industry Specialists

* Ballard, Sandy  1,2,3
Curriculum Director
Optical Data Corporation
P.O. Box 2603
Williamsburg, VA 23187
804-220-9682
fax 804-220-9682

* Canright, Marchelle (Shelley)  1
Center Education Programs Officer
NASA Langley Research Center
17 Langley Boulevard, Mail Stop 400
Hampton, VA 23681-0001
804-864-3313
fax 804-864-8835

* Cisler, Steve  1
Senior Scientist
Apple Library of Tomorrow
4 Infinite Loop MS 304-2A
Cupertino, CA 95014
408-974-3258
fax 408-825-7502
sac@apple.com

* DeChristoforo, Ron  1,2,3
Regional Director
Optical Data Corporation
P.O. Box 1331
Williamsburg, VA 23187
804-565-2578
fax 804-565-1425

* Fontana, Dr. Lynn  1,2,3
Consultant/University Professor
3318 Cranbrook Court
Oakton, VA 22124
703-273-5284
fax 703-273-5284
lfontana@gmu.edu

* Friedrichs, Joyce  1,2
Product Manager, Elementary Science
Addison-Wesley Publishing Company
2725 Sand Hill Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025
415-853-2557
fax 415-853-2591
joycef@aw.com

* Garren, Scott  1,2,3
Program Director, Information Technology
Center for Educational Research and Technology
165 Forest Street
Marlborough, MA 01752
508-624-4877
fax 508-624-6565
sgarrett@celt.org

* Hancock, Dr. Vicki E.  1,2,3
Assistant Director, Education and Technology
Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development (ASCD) Technology/Resource Center
1250 N. Pitt Street
Alexandria, VA 22314-1453
703-549-9110
fax 703-548-8725
vhancock@aol.com

* Karakas, Rita S.  1
Creative Head, Distance Learning Division
TV Ontario
Box 200, Station Q
Toronto, Ontario
Canada M4T 2T1
416-484-2609
fax 416-484-2709
rsk@tvo.org

* Lawrence, Dianne 1,2,3
Independent Consultant
3288 Page Avenue # 1003
Virginia beach, VA 23451
804-496-9309
fax 804-496-0401

* Lohr, Leah J. 1
Consultant, Microcomputer & Instructional Technology
125 S. Webster Street
Madison, WI 53707
608-266-3856
fax 608-267-1052
lohrnj@macc.wise.edu

* Maxemchuk, N. 1
Head, Distributed Systems Research Department
AT&T Bell Labs
600 Mountain Avenue, Room 2C-225
Murray Hill, NJ 07974
908-582-6240
fax 908-582-5809
nfm@research.att.com

* Perreca, Dorothy H. 1
Manager, Planning and Development
National Geographic Kids Network
National Geographic Society
1145 17th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036
202-775-6580
fax 202-429-5770
coachdp@aol.com

* Phelps, Dr. Malcolm V. 1,2,3
Chief, Technology & Evaluation Branch
Education Division, NASA
Code FET
300 E. Street, SW
Washington, DC 20546
202-358-1540
fax 202-358-3048

Royer, Peter 1,2,3
Director of LCTN (A Distance Learning Network)
Two Century Avenue
Hutchinson, MN 55350
612-234-0267
fax 612-587-8063
6040lvtm@informns.k12.mn.us

International Internet Sources

* Germany: Markus Stumpf 1
Munich University of Technology.
stumpf@informatik.tu-muenchen.de

* John Moreau 1
Engineer
Iona Technologies
Dublin, Ireland
jmoreau@overload.iona.ie

* Israel: Israel Cidon 1
Technion-IIT, Department of Electrical Engineering,
Haifa.
cidon@ee.technion.ac.il

* Netherlands: Caroline van Amerongen 1
Marketing/Public Relations
University of Amsterdam
caroline@ic.uva.nl

* Singapore: Francis Ho 1
Educational Data Centre MOE
Ministry of Education.
francis@moe.ac.sg
Appendix B.

Letter of Solicitation to Prospective Panelists
Nov. 29, 1994

Dear

Educational technology is evolving rapidly. Educators have great difficulty keeping pace with this evolution. The lag from development to school application may be several years. Staff development for teachers and administrators is needed to reduce this lag. Anticipation of technological innovations would permit staff development specialists and school plant designers to make preparations to move these technological innovations into the schools more quickly.

To help these specialists reduce the lag from technological innovation to application, we are conducting a Delphi study to determine the electronic technologies that are anticipated to be in use in K-12 schools and classrooms by the year 2000.

Because of your position and expertise, your opinions and judgements are important to us. We invite you to serve on a Delphi panel to help identify these technologies.

The Delphi study will have three rounds. In round one you will be asked to identify electronic technologies you believe will be in use in schools and classrooms by the year 2000. In rounds two and three you will be asked to make judgements about the technologies identified in round one. Each round will take about 30 minutes of your time. All three rounds should be completed by the end of March 1995.

Your participation in the study will be very much appreciated. Please indicate your decision by returning the enclosed response form.

The information provided by you will be confidential. Your name will appear only in a list of participants.

Thank you for your consideration. If you have questions, please call Raymond Jones at (804) 489-9476 or (804) 436-5642, or use e-mail to rjones@whro-pbs.org.

Sincerely Yours,

Raymond Jones
Doctoral Candidate

David Parks
Professor
Nov. 29, 1994

Dear

Educational technology is evolving rapidly. Educators have great difficulty keeping pace with this evolution. The lag from development to school application may be several years. Staff development for teachers and administrators is needed to reduce this lag. Anticipation of technological innovations would permit staff development specialists and school plant designers to make preparations to move these technological innovations into the schools more quickly.

To help these specialists reduce the lag from technological innovation to application, we are conducting a Delphi study to determine the electronic technologies that are anticipated to be in use in K-12 schools and classrooms by the year 2000.

Because of your position and expertise, your opinions and judgements are important to us. We invite you to serve on a Delphi panel to help identify these technologies.

The Delphi study will have three rounds. In round one you will be asked to identify electronic technologies you believe will be in use in schools and classrooms by the year 2000. In rounds two and three you will be asked to make judgements about the technologies identified in round one. Each round will take about 30 minutes of your time. All three rounds should be completed by the end of March 1995.

Your participation in the study will be very much appreciated. Please indicate your decision by returning the enclosed response form.

The information provided by you will be confidential. Your name will appear only in a list of participants.

Thank you for your consideration. If you have questions, please call Raymond Jones at (804) 489-9476 or (804) 436-5642, or use e-mail to rjones@whrc-pbs.org.

Sincerely Yours,

Raymond Jones
Doctoral Candidate

David Farks
Professor
Appendix C.

Panelist Response Form
Panelist Response Form

____ YES  I would like to be a member of the Delphi panel to identify educational technologies used in the public schools of the year 2000.

____ NO  I am not able to be a member of the Delphi panel.

(Please check the appropriate response. If you wish to serve, please fill in all pertinent data. If you do not wish to serve, please return this form, with your name, in the enclosed stamped envelope or by e-mail so that we may confirm panel membership.)

Panelist Information

NAME: ____________________________________________

ADDRESS:

_________________________________________________

_________________________________________________

_________________________________________________

PHONE NUMBER: (______)_________________________ ext.________

FAX NUMBER: (______)_________________________ ext________

ELECTRONIC MAIL ADDRESS: ________________________________

POSITION: ___________________________________________

COMMENTS: __________________________________________

____________________________________________________

RETURN TO: Raymond T. Jones/David J. Parks
401 East Eggleston
College of Education
Virginia Tech
Blacksburg, VA 24061-0302
rjones@whro-pbs.org
Appendix D.

*Electronic Technology Awareness Survey*
Electronic Technology Awareness Survey

In order to briefly assess your awareness of various electronic technologies, please check off those types of technologies with which you are familiar. Please enclose this survey with your participation response.

Video Technology

___ Satellite television
___ Microwave television
___ Cable television
___ Videocassettes
___ Laserdiscs

Computers

___ Personal computers (PCs)
___ Personal digital assistants (PDAs)
___ CD-ROM
___ Modems
___ Local area networks (LANs)
___ Internet

Audio Technology

___ Audio cassettes
___ Compact discs
___ Digital recording devices

Telephone Technology

___ Cellular telephones
___ Facsimile (fax)
___ Voice mail
Appendix E.

International Email Message Samples
Hello Mr. Jones,

I'd like to participate in the Delphi-study concerning the use of electronic technology in the classroom. I work at the IT-department of the University of Amsterdam and graduated 6 years ago in child education and psychology.

There are 32 lines left (40%). Press <space> for more, or 'i' to return.
ALT-F10 HELP ' VT-100 ' FDX ' 2400 N81 ' LOG CLOSED ' PRT OFF ' CR ' CR

Name: Caroline van Amerongen
Address: caroline@ic.uva.nl
Position: Marketing and PR
Additional comments:
I'm very interested to eventually receive the result of this study.
Is it your intention to have members from European countries in this panel?

Familiar with:
* satellite and cable television
* videocassettes
* PC/MAC
* CD-ROM
* modems
* LAN's
* Internet
* audio cassettes
* CD
* telephone
* fax

*******************************************************************************
There are 10 lines left (81%). Press <space> for more, or 'i' to return.
ALT-F10 HELP ' VT-100 ' FDX ' 2400 N81 ' LOG CLOSED ' PRT OFF ' CR ' CR
Command (‘i’ to return to index):
ALT-F10 HELP ‘ VT-100 ‘ FDX ‘ 2400 N81 ‘ LOG CLOSED ‘ PRT OFF ‘ CR ‘ CR

http://www.informatik.tu-muenchen.de/~stumpf/

From Germany.EU.net!Joerg.Heitkoetter Tue Feb 7 08:13:06 1995
Return-Path: <Joerg.Heitkoetter@Germany.EU.net>
Received: by whro-pbs.org (\%==\% Smail3.1.28.1 28.10)
       id <mO8hpj3-0002YGC@whro-pbs.org>; Tue, 7 Feb 95 08:13 EST
Received: by mail.Germany.EU.net with ESMTP (8.6.5:29/EUnetD-2.5.1.c) via EUnet
       id CAA21931; Tue, 7 Feb 1995 14:12:11 +0100
Message-Id: <199502071311.CAA28500@jazz.Germany.EU.net>
Received: from localhost.Germany.EU.net
       by jazz.Germany.EU.net with SMTP (8.6.4/EUnetDlan-1.13-1.1.7)
       via EUnet for [mail.germany.eu.net]
       id CAA28500; Tue, 7 Feb 1995 14:11:08 +0100
To: rjones@whro-pbs.org
Subject: Re: Become a Delphi Study Panel Member
In-reply-to: Your message of "Mon, 06 Feb 1995 14:36:00 EST."
       <mO8hZEN-0001gtC@whro-pbs.org>
Date: Tue, 07 Feb 1995 14:11:02 +0100
From: Joerg Heitkoetter <Joerg.Heitkoetter@Germany.EU.net>
Status: RO
Appendix F.

Round-One Delphi Questionnaire
March 13, 1995

Dear -------:

Thank you for your willingness to serve as one of the experts on the international Delphi panel to predict educational technology that will be used in the K-12 schools of the year 2000.

Enclosed are instructions and questions for round one of the study. The questionnaire has a label with your name on it. Your responses will be held in strict confidence. The label for those queried by mail is for data processing only. A prompt response will help facilitate completion of this study. The results of the research will be made available to you at the conclusion of this study.

Should you have any questions, please call Raymond Jones at (804) 489-9476 or (804) 436-5642. You may also e-mail inquiries to rjones@whro-pbs.org. Thank you very much for your participation.

Sincerely,

Raymond T. Jones  
Doctoral Candidate

David J. Parks  
Professor
INSTRUCTIONS

1. Please answer both questions in the form of a list, using either a single word, short phrase, or a complete sentence.

2. When answering both questions, please do so from the perspective of your experience, your current position, and looking forward to the year 2000. This study defines educational technology as electronic equipment or systems now in existence or likely to emerge in the years leading up to 2000, such as computers, and excludes non-electronic devices such as printed matter, slate blackboards, and chalk or ink-based writing implements. The study will identify existing and emerging technologies which will be used by students, teachers, or administrators in the K-12 schools of the year 2000.

3. Please complete both questions and return the information in the enclosed, addressed envelope (international panelists please respond by e-mail). Your prompt response will be of great benefit to the study. Should your envelope become separated from the instrument, please send the instrument to:

   Raymond Jones/David Parks
   401 East Eggleston
   College of Education
   Virginia Tech
   Blacksburg, VA 24061-0302
   rjones@whro-pbs.org

Thank you for your participation. Your involvement in this study is very much appreciated.
Question # 1

1. Please list below those existing educational technologies you believe will be used by students, teachers, or administrators in the K-12 schools of the year 2000. For the purposes of this study, educational technology is defined as electronic equipment or systems used by students, teachers, or administrators, such as computers, and excludes non-electronic devices such as printed matter, slate blackboards, and chalk or ink-based writing implements.
Question # 2

2. Please list below those emerging educational technologies you believe will be used by teachers, students, or administrators in the K-12 schools of the year 2000? For the purposes of this study, educational technology is defined as electronic equipment or systems used by students, teachers, or administrators, such as computers, and excludes non-electronic devices such as printed matter, slate blackboards, and chalk or ink-based writing implements.
Appendix G.

Round-Two Delphi Questionnaire
Dear Panel Member:

Thank you for your participation in Round One of our international Delphi panel predicting educational technology that will be used in the K-12 schools of the year 2000.

Below are two lists generated by Round One of this study. List one identifies those present technologies identified as potentially being used in the K-12 schools of the year 2000. List two identifies those emerging technologies listed as potentially being used in the K-12 schools of the year 2000.

For the purposes of this study, educational technology is defined as electronic equipment or systems used by students, teachers, or administrators, such as computers, and excludes non-electronic devices such as printed matter, slate blackboards, and chalk or ink-based writing implements.

Beside each listed technology in both categories is a numerical scale from one to four. For the purposes of predicting technology usage, please score each technology listed in both categories on a scale of: (1) Very Unimportant, (2) Unimportant, (3) Important, (4) Very Important. Circle the numerical value which best applies to the technology's usage in the K-12 schools of the year 2000.

As with Round One, a pre-addressed, stamped envelope is included for your convenience. Your response will be held in strict confidence. A prompt response will help facilitate this study. The results of the research will be sent to you at the end of the study.

Should you have any questions, please call Raymond Jones at (804) 489-9476 or (804) 436-5642. You may also e-mail inquiries or responses to rjones@whro-pbs.org. Thank you very much for your continued participation.

Raymond T. Jones
Doctoral Candidate

David J. Parks
Professor
Round Two

1. **Existing** technologies you believe will be used by students, teachers, or administrators in the K-12 schools of the year 2000. Please circle the numerical ranking you feel best applies to each technology. The scale values are: (1) Very Unimportant, (2) Unimportant, (3) Important, (4) Very Important.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* Adaptive devices for special needs population</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Audiotape recorder/player</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Autocad</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Cable television</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Calculator (electronic)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Camcorder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Compact-disc player</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Compact-disc recorder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Compact-disc ROM/interactive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Copier machine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Desktop/laptop computer (PC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Digital camera (still motion)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Email</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Facsimile (fax)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Fiber optic interconnect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Film projector</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Flex cam (video conferencing)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Hypermedia/hypertext</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key response pad (hand held)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laserdisc player</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid crystal display (LCD) projector</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local-area network (LAN)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave (audio/video)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modem</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimedia (interactive)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overhead projector</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pager</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal digital assistant (PDA)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phonograph</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printer (dot/ink/laser)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probeware</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quick time digital video</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robotics</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite receiver (TVRO)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan converter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scanner (print)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slide projector</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-1 voice/data/video transmission</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone (wired)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Television</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
* Television production facilities  | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  
* Video on demand               | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  
* Video projector               | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  
* Videotape player/recorder (VCR)| 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  
* Virtual reality               | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  
* Voice mail                    | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  
* White board (electronic)      | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  
* Wide-area network (WAN)       | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  
* Word processor                | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  

2. **Emerging** technologies you believe will be used by students, teachers, or administrators in the K-12 schools of the year 2000. Please circle the numerical ranking you feel best applies to each technology. The scale values are: (1) Very Unimportant, (2) Unimportant, (3) Important, (4) Very Important.

* Administrative management systems  | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  
* Autocad                          | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  
* Broadband network                | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  
* Building-wide data network       | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  
* Cable television                 | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  
* Cellular telephone               | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  
* Centralized retrieval system--home/school/business | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  
* Compact-disc interactive         | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  
* Compact-disc ROM (play/record)   | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  
* Copier machine                   | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  


* Covert/overt video surveillance  1  2  3  4
* Data access systems  1  2  3  4
* Desktop computer video conferencing  1  2  3  4
* Desktop video/audio conferencing  1  2  3  4
* Digital camera  1  2  3  4
* Digital video editing/storage/playback  1  2  3  4
* Electronic bulletin board  1  2  3  4
* Electronic publishing  1  2  3  4
* Electronic universities  1  2  3  4
* Email  1  2  3  4
* Ethernet  1  2  3  4
* Facsimile (fax)  1  2  3  4
* Fiber optic LANs/WANs  1  2  3  4
* Full motion on computer/CD ROM  1  2  3  4
* Global communication/telecomputing  1  2  3  4
* Hypermedia/hypertext  1  2  3  4
* Identification chip in teeth or other body part  1  2  3  4
* Improved graphics  1  2  3  4
* Interactive television  1  2  3  4
* Internet  1  2  3  4
* Knowbots  1  2  3  4
* Laptop computers  1  2  3  4
* Large screen projection (computer, television, other video)  1  2  3  4
* Local-area networks (LAN)  1  2  3  4
* Modem  1  2  3  4
* Multicast television (internet M-bone)  1  2  3  4
* Multimedia computer systems  1  2  3  4
* Non-keyboard input devices (voice recognition)  1  2  3  4
* Optical disc (combines CD-ROM and laser disc)  1  2  3  4
* Personal digital assistant (PDA)  1  2  3  4
* Power-enhanced computer/printer/modem  1  2  3  4
* Quick-time digitized video/video conferencing  1  2  3  4
* Satellite downlinks  1  2  3  4
* Scanner (print)  1  2  3  4
* Simple text sound  1  2  3  4
* Single box laser printer/scanner/copier/fax  1  2  3  4
* Student work stations (multimedia)  1  2  3  4
* Teacher work stations with delivery to classrooms  1  2  3  4
* Telephone access to all classrooms  1  2  3  4
* Thumbprint/voiceprint/retinal reader  1  2  3  4
* Transparent multifunctional video via infrared with point & click and vox
  1  2  3  4
* Very small aperture terminal (VSAT)  1  2  3  4
* Video editing  1  2  3  4
* Video conferencing  1  2  3  4
* Videotape player/recorder  1  2  3  4
* Videodisc player  1  2  3  4
* Video on demand  1  2  3  4
* Videotext  1  2  3  4
* Video compression  1  2  3  4
* Virtual reality  1  2  3  4
* Voice mail  1  2  3  4
* Voice to text transcription  1  2  3  4
* Whiteboard/panaboard (stores/plays/sends electronically)  1  2  3  4
* Wide-area network (WAN)  1  2  3  4
* Wireless connectivity (modems/LANS)  1  2  3  4
* World-wide web (WWW)  1  2  3  4
Appendix H.

Round-Three Delphi Questionnaire
Sept. 5, 1995

Dear Panel Member:

Thank you for participation in Round Two of our international Delphi panel predicting educational technology that will be used in the K-12 schools of the year 2000. Your questionnaires from Round Two were tabulated over the summer when many panel members were on vacation. We hope you had a pleasant summer.

Below are two lists generated by Round Two of this study. List one identifies those present technologies identified as potentially being used in the K-12 schools of the year 2000. List two identifies those emerging technologies listed as potentially being used in the K-12 schools of the year 2000.

For the purposes of this study, educational technology is defined as electronic equipment or systems used by students, teachers, or administrators, such as computers, and excludes non-electronic devices such as printed matter, slate blackboards, and chalk or ink-based writing implements.

For your reference, beside each listed technology in both categories is your Round-Two score (YS) on a scale of one to four (one being very unimportant; four being very important) plus the Round-Two group mean score (GMS) given to each item. Also following each item is a numerical scale from one to four. As in Round Two, for the purposes of predicting technology usage, please score each technology listed in both categories on a scale of: (1) Very Unimportant, (2) Unimportant, (3) Important, (4) Very Important. Circle the numerical value which best applies to the technology's usage in the K-12 schools of the year 2000. This is the third and final round of prediction.

As with the previous rounds, a pre-addressed, stamped envelope is included for your convenience. Your response will be held in strict confidence. A prompt response will help facilitate this study. The results of the research will be sent to you at the end of this study.

Should you have any questions, please call Raymond Jones at (804) 489-9476 or (804) 436-5642, or e-mail inquiries to rjones@whro-pbs.org. Thank you for your continued participation.

Raymond Jones  
Doctoral Candidate

David J. Parks  
Professor
Round Three

1. **Existing** technologies you believe will be used by students, teachers, or administrators in the K-12 schools of the year 2000. Your score from Round Two and the Group Mean Score are indicated. For the final round, please circle the numerical ranking you feel best applies to each technology. The scale values are: (1) Very Unimportant, (2) Unimportant, (3) Important, (4) Very Important.

* Adaptive devices for special needs population
  
  | YS: | GMS: 3.72 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

* Audiotape recorder/player
  
  | YS: | GMS: 2.30 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

* Autocad
  
  | YS: | GMS: 2.90 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

* Cable television
  
  | YS: | GMS: 3.47 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

* Calculator (electronic)
  
  | YS: | GMS: 3.44 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

* Camcorder
  
  | YS: | GMS: 3.36 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

* Compact-disc player
  
  | YS: | GMS: 3.41 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

* Compact-disc recorder
  
  | YS: | GMS: 3.14 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

* Compact-disc ROM/interactive
YS: GMS: 3.66  
* Copier machine
YS: GMS: 3.08  
* Desktop/laptop computer (PC)
YS: GMS: 3.91  
* Digital camera (still motion)
YS: GMS: 3.31  
* Email
YS: GMS: 3.85  
* Facsimile (fax)
YS: GMS: 3.30  
* Fiber-optic interconnect
YS: GMS: 3.61  
* Film projector
YS: GMS: 1.55  
* Flex cam (video conferencing)
YS: GMS: 3.19  
* Hypermedia/hypertext
YS: GMS: 3.51  
* Internet
YS: GMS: 3.72  
* Key-response pad
YS: GMS: 2.91
* Laserdisc player
  YS: GMS: 2.72 1 2 3 4
* Liquid crystal display (LCD) projector
  YS: GMS: 2.97 1 2 3 4
* Local area network (LAN)
  YS: GMS: 3.74 1 2 3 4
* Microwave audio/video
  YS: GMS: 3.14 1 2 3 4
* Modem
  YS: GMS: 3.58 1 2 3 4
* Multimedia interactive
  YS: GMS: 3.80 1 2 3 4
* Overhead projector
  YS: GMS: 2.97 1 2 3 4
* Pager
  YS: GMS: 2.42 1 2 3 4
* Personal digital assistant (PDA)
  YS: GMS: 3.00 1 2 3 4
* Phonograph
  YS: GMS: 1.36 1 2 3 4
* Printer (dot/ink/laser)
  YS: GMS: 3.66 1 2 3 4
* Probeware

YS:  GMS: 3.36

* Quick-time digital video

YS:  GMS: 3.34

* Robotics

YS:  GMS: 3.11

* Satellite receiver (TVRO)

YS:  GMS: 3.44

* Scan converter

YS:  GMS: 3.37

* Scanner (print)

YS:  GMS: 3.25

* Slide projector

YS:  GMS: 1.77

* T1 voice/data/video transmission

YS:  GMS: 3.40

* Telephone (wired)

YS:  GMS: 3.41

* Television

YS:  GMS: 3.41

* Television production facilities

YS:  GMS: 3.14

* Video on demand
2. **Emerging** technologies you believe will be used by students, teachers, or administrators in the K-12 schools of the year 2000. Your score from Round Two and the Group Mean Score are indicated. For the final round, please circle the numerical ranking you feel best applies to each technology. The scale values are: (1) Very Unimportant, (2) Unimportant, (3) Important, (4) Very Important.

* Administrative management systems

YS: GMS: 3.61 1 2 3 4
YS: GMS: 3.12

* Broadband network
YS: GMS: 3.53

* Building-wide data network
YS: GMS: 3.80

* Cable television
YS: GMS: 3.44

* Cellular telephone
YS: GMS: 3.22

* Centralized retrieval system--home/school/business
YS: GMS: 3.78

* Compact-disc (interactive)
YS: GMS: 3.44

* Compact-disc ROM (play/record)
YS: GMS: 3.50

* Copier machine
YS: GMS: 3.00

* Covert/overt video surveillance
YS: GMS: 2.79

* Data-access systems
YS: GMS: 3.46

* Desktop computer video conferencing
YS: GMS: 3.23 1 2 3 4

* Desktop video/audio conferencing
YS: GMS: 3.14 1 2 3 4

* Digital camera
YS: GMS: 3.26 1 2 3 4

* Digital video editing/storage/playback
YS: GMS: 3.44 1 2 3 4

* Electronic bulletin board
YS: GMS: 3.48 1 2 3 4

* Electronic publishing
YS: GMS: 3.22 1 2 3 4

* Electronic universities
YS: GMS: 3.26 1 2 3 4

* Email
YS: GMS: 3.75 1 2 3 4

* Ethernet
YS: GMS: 3.37 1 2 3 4

* Facsimile (fax)
YS: GMS: 3.27 1 2 3 4

* Fiber-optic LANs/WANs
YS: GMS: 3.70 1 2 3 4

* Full-motion video on computer/CD ROM
YS: GMS: 3.72 1 2 3 4

* Global communication/telecomputing
YS: GMS: 3.66 1 2 3 4

* Hypermedia/hypertext
YS: GMS: 3.60 1 2 3 4

* Identification chip in teeth or other body part
YS: GMS: 1.90 1 2 3 4

* Improved graphics
YS: GMS: 3.30 1 2 3 4

* Interactive television
YS: GMS: 3.38 1 2 3 4

* Internet
YS: GMS: 3.75 1 2 3 4

* Knowbots
YS: GMS: 2.88 1 2 3 4

* Laptop computers
YS: GMS: 3.72 1 2 3 4

* Large-screen projection (computer, television, other video)
YS: GMS: 3.62 1 2 3 4

* Local-area networks (LANs)
YS: GMS: 3.76 1 2 3 4

* Modem
YS: GMS: 3.52 1 2 3 4

* Multicast television (internet M-bone)

YS: GMS: 3.36 1 2 3 4

* Multimedia computer systems

YS: GMS: 3.77 1 2 3 4

* Non-keyboard input devices (voice recognition)

YS: GMS: 3.34 1 2 3 4

* Optical disc (combines CD-ROM and laser disc)

YS: GMS: 3.52 1 2 3 4

* Personal digital assistant (PDA)

YS: GMS: 3.29 1 2 3 4

* Power-enhanced computer/printer/modem

YS: GMS: 3.39 1 2 3 4

* Quick-time digitized video/video conferencing

YS: GMS: 3.26 1 2 3 4

* Satellite television receive only (TVRO)

YS: GMS: 3.51 1 2 3 4

* Scanner (print)

YS: GMS: 3.20 1 2 3 4

* Simple-text sound
YS: GMS: 2.90 1 2 3 4
* Single-box laser/scanner/copier/fax
YS: GMS: 3.31 1 2 3 4
* Student work stations (multimedia)
YS: GMS: 3.65 1 2 3 4
* Teacher work stations with delivery to classrooms
YS: GMS: 3.74 1 2 3 4
* Telephone access to all classrooms
YS: GMS: 3.82 1 2 3 4
* Thumbprint/voiceprint/retinal readers
YS: GMS: 2.44 1 2 3 4
* Transparent multifunctional video via infrared with point & click and vox
YS: GMS: 3.06 1 2 3 4
* Very small aperture terminal (VSAT)
YS: GMS: 3.03 1 2 3 4
* Video editing
YS: GMS: 3.25 1 2 3 4
* Video conferencing
YS: GMS: 3.54 1 2 3 4
* Videotape player/recorder
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>*</th>
<th>YS:</th>
<th>GMS:</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* Videodisc player</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YS:</td>
<td>GMS:</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Video on demand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YS:</td>
<td>GMS:</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Videotext</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YS:</td>
<td>GMS:</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Video compression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YS:</td>
<td>GMS:</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Virtual reality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YS:</td>
<td>GMS:</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Voice mail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YS:</td>
<td>GMS:</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Voice-to-text transcriptions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YS:</td>
<td>GMS:</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Whiteboard/panaboard (stores/plays/sends electronically)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Wide-area networks (LANs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YS:</td>
<td>GMS:</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Wireless connectivity (modem/LAN)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* World-wide web (WWW)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YS:</td>
<td>GMS:</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GLOSSARY OF ELECTRONIC TECHNOLOGIES

Adaptive devices for special needs population. Devices configured to be used by those incapable of operating normally configured devices.

Administrative management systems. Computer based program designed to manage multiple tasks for school administrators.

Audiotape recorder/player. Device capable of recording and reproducing audio on magnetic tape.

Autocad. Computer system used for the design of mechanical, architectural, or industrial products.

Broadband network. A system for transmitting information over communications links capable of handling a greater range of frequencies than a regular voice-grade line.

Building-wide data network. A system for distributing a variety of data packages throughout an entire building.

Cable television. Television programming disseminated to multiple users via coaxial or fiber-optic cable.

Calculator (electronic). Electronic device capable of processing various mathematical functions.

Camcorder. A combination of camera and video recorder in a single unit.

Cellular telephone. A telephone using wireless transmitting and receiving capacity to interface with cell relay stations.

Centralized retrieval system for home/school/business. A networked system for extracting the same data base information at home, at school, or from a business location.

Compact-disc player. Device capable of reproducing audio from a standard 4.75" digital disc read by laser.

Compact-disc recorder. Device capable of recording audio onto a standard 4.75" digital disc by laser.
Compact-disc ROM/interactive. Digital disc containing Read Only Memory in excess of 600,000,000 bytes of information with the added capacity for the user to actively manipulate selected portions of the disc.

Compact-disc ROM (play/record). A device which allows the user to record information to a CD-ROM as well as play and interact with the CD-ROM.

Copier machine. A machine capable of electronically scanning and reproducing on paper a copy of the material scanned.

Covert/overt video surveillance. Hidden or visible video devices used to achieve electronic surveillance of a given area.

Data-access systems. One or more software systems capable of handling the organization, storage, retrieval, and integrity of information stored in a database.

Desktop/laptop computer (PC). A personal computer (PC) small enough to fit on a desk top or a person’s lap.

Desktop computer video conferencing. A system combining desktop personal computers with video cameras and using slowscan video to effect a two-way video conference.

Desktop video conferencing. Person to person video communications using cameras connected to telephones, computers, transmitters, microwave links or satellite links to effect a two-way video conference.

Digital camera (still motion). A device capable of electronically recording a still visual image which can then be transferred to electronic storage or displayed immediately on a video monitor or computer screen.

Digital video editing/storage/playback. The conversion of original analog video material into digital (numerical) form which can be manipulated, saved, and reproduced back into analog form.

**Electronic publishing.** A paperless form of publishing using computer generated texts.

**Electronic universities.** Collected courses of study using computer networks to produce virtual classrooms.

**Email.** Electronic mail sent via a computer interconnect or network.

**Ethernet.** Baseband local-area network configuration using coaxial cable and with a capacity for transferring data at up to ten megabytes per second.

**Facsimile (fax).** The electronic scanning and transmission of documents via wired or wireless telephony.

**Fiber-optic interconnect.** The connection of two or more sites via optical fiber cable.

**Fiber-optic LANS/WANS.** Local-area networks and wide-area networks interconnected through fiber-optic cable.

**Film projector.** A device capable of displaying continuous running film on a surface.

**Flex cam (video conferencing).** Camera that can be manipulated to include a variety of shots (as opposed to a fixed camera) for videoconferencing.

**Full motion video on computer/CD ROM.** Video moving in real time (as opposed to slow scan) on computers through computer programs or played back on computers via CD-ROMs.

**Global communication/telecomputing.** The ability to interconnect on a global scale using computer technology.

**Hypermedia/hypertext.** A technique for connecting information in a free-form manner (hypertext), often enhanced by a combination of text, graphics, video, and audio (hypermedia).

**Identification chip (in teeth or other body parts).** An electronically encoded chip containing information obtainable by electronic scanning.

**Improved graphics.** High resolution video graphics.
**Interactive television.** Enhanced television service allowing the viewer to be an active rather than a passive participant in telecasts.

**Internet.** An aggregate of interconnected services available through global interconnected computer networks.

**Key response pad.** A keynote keyboard.

**Knewbots.** Robots with a capacity for learning.

**Laptop computers.** Portable internally powered computers.

**Large-screen projection (computer/television/other video).** Electronic projectors capable of taking a variety of video input sources and enlarging the video image for projection on a reflective surface.

**Laserdisc player.** Device capable of reproducing video and audio from a digital laser disc up to 12" in diameter.

**Liquid-crystal display (LCD) projector.** A device which displays video through a liquid crystal medium as opposed to the older video cathode tube display.

**Local-area network (LAN).** Two or more computers interconnected in order to share data but not extending across a public right of way (e.g., a public telephone system).

**Microwave audio/video.** Delivery of audio or video signals via high frequency wireless microwave signal.

**Modem.** A peripheral device which modulates a digital signal in analog fashion along a telephone transmission path and then demodulates the signal from analog to digital at the receiving point. The term "modem" is a contraction of modulate/demodulate.

**Multicast television (Internet M-bone).** Computer transmission of two or more video signals through broadband telephone lines.

**Multimedia computer systems.** Computers equipped with the necessary hardware for multiple tasks such as CD-ROM,
slowscan or real-time video, modem, audio processing, video or audio editing, voice commands, CD recording, floppy-disc storage or optical-disc storage.

**Multimedia interactive.** A multitude of capabilities (information storage, video, audio, scanning, transmission) that can be manipulated by the user in a variety of ways.

**Non-keyboard input devices (voice recognition).** An advanced command protocol bypassing keyboard-generated commands to allow commands by voice.

**Optical disc.** Mass storage device using light beams to store and retrieve information from a light-sensitive disc.

**Overhead projector.** A visual projector using light and a magnifying lens to enlarge and project an image.

**Pager.** Wireless receiver capable of storing data and alerting the user by vibration or audio of the reception of data.

**Personal digital assistant (PDA).** Small electronic device which can, depending on configuration, offer some services of computing, paging, facsimile transmission, and data transfer.

**Phonograph.** A device capable of reproducing audio by electromechanical means from a grooved disc.

**Power-enhanced computer/printer/modem.** Computer system using added peripheral devices to increase the range and diversity of operation.

**Printer.** A peripheral device that converts computer output to paper.

**Probeware.** An electronic measuring device that gathers measurements through physical contact.

**Quick time digital video.** Video that is not in smooth realtime but which does provide motion, albeit it with barely noticeable breaks in the transmission of the video material.
**Robotics.** Electronically controlled machinery capable of simulating human tasks.

**Satellite receiver (TVRO).** Television receive-only (TVRO) system consisting of a satellite dish and satellite receiver capable of C-band and Ku-band reception.

**Scan converter.** A device capable of converting any foreign or domestic television scanning medium: NTSC (National Television Systems Committee), PAL (phase alternation line), and SECAM (Sequential Couleur à Memoire), into another medium, e.g., National Television Systems Committee to phase alternation line. NTSC (National Television System Committee) scan is the television standard for the United States.

**Scanner (print).** A computer input device which takes paper input and converts the images on the paper to an electronic copy of the images.

**Simple-text sound** The addition of audio to alphanumeric text on a computer.

**Single-box laser/scanner/copier/fax.** A single device capable of storing, scanning, copying, and faxing visual/textual material.

**Slide projector.** A device which uses a high intensity light beamed through a photographic slide to project that slide onto a reflective surface, usually with the capacity to enlarge the original image.

**Student work stations (multimedia).** A workstation in a school using a combination of audiovisual technologies such as multimedia computers, satellite reception, local-area networks, wide-area networks, and portable data storage.

**T1 voice/data/video transmission.** A long-haul transmission medium which transmits voice, data, or video information at a rate of 1.544 megabits per second.

**Teacher work stations with delivery to classrooms.** Electronic work stations which allow teachers to access multimedia technology to prepare lessons and transmit those lessons to classrooms as well as store and retrieve records,
prepare audiovisual materials, and communicate with peers, administrators, and with various sources of information.

**Telephone (wired).** An instrument that transmits audio by wire or fiber-optic cable to remote locations by modulating audio carrier waves and reconverting the carrier waves to audible signals at the receiving point.

**Telephone access to all classrooms.** A school which has a telephone interconnection with every classroom.

**Television.** The transmission of stationary or moving video images via electromagnetic waves with the reconversion of the waves into visual images at the reception point.

**Television production facilities.** A facility for recording and editing video images.

**Thumbprint/voiceprint/retinal readers.** Scanning devices which allow precise identification of individuals through electronic scans of thumbprints, voice, or eyes.

**Transparent multifunctional video via infrared with point & click and VOX (voice actuated commands).** Multimedia video capacity (split screen/picture within picture, text/graphics over video) accessible by infrared signals transmitted by a hand-operated "point & click" device or by voice commands.

**Very small aperture terminal (VSAT).** Narrow beam receptor of video transmissions aimed at a limited number of fixed sites.

**Video conferencing.** Face-to-face communication from distant points by use of video.

**Video editing.** The flexible electronic manipulation of video images.

**Video on demand.** Video material which can be immediately and precisely accessed.

**Video projector.** A device used to project video images on a reflective surface, usually with the capacity to enlarge the original image.
Video player/recorder (VCR). A device which uses magnetic tape to record and reproduce video images.

Videotext. Text conveyed by a video-based medium.

Video compression. Digital technique squeezing a video signal into a smaller bandwidth, thereby allowing more video signals per pathway.

Virtual reality. An electronic simulation of things seen, heard, and felt. The process uses electronic goggles, earphone devices, and tactile manipulators or sensory mechanisms to generate a simulacrum of reality.

Voice mail. An electronic system for sorting, directing, and recording spoken messages received by telephone.

Voice-to-text transcriptions An electronic method for transforming spoken words into paper or electronic texts.

Whiteboard (electronic) A device similar to the traditional "blackboard" but which, in addition to being written on, can electronically store that writing plus be used to call up audio/visual materials. The device can be interfaced with a multimedia computer for further manipulation of the material such as transmission to distant points.

Wide-area network (WAN) A network using computers and other devices separated by wide distances.

Wireless connectivity (modem/LAN) Interfacing with a network or modem without using a hardwired connection (i.e. wireless).

Word processor Application software that allows electronic entry, formatting, manipulation, and printing of text.

World-wide Web (WWW) A global network of interconnected computers.
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