

GIS and Satellite Visibility: Viewsheds from Space

Matthew Ryan Germroth

Thesis submitted to the Faculty of Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science
In
Geography

Dr. Laurence W. Carstensen Jr., Chair
Dr. Christopher D. Hall
Dr. Charles W. Bostian

Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
Blacksburg, Virginia
April 28, 2005

Keywords: GIS, GPS, hillshade, satellite, urban canyon, viewshed, visibility

Copyright 2005, Matthew Ryan Germroth

GIS and Satellite Visibility: Viewsheds from Space

Matt Germroth

ABSTRACT

The Global Positioning System (GPS) has recently become the significant mapping tool for millions of customers worldwide, providing its users with accurate terrestrial positions almost instantaneously. The functionality of a GPS receiver depends on the number of satellites to which it can establish an unobstructed line of sight. Current satellite availability prediction tools perform satellite visibility predictions without considering terrain or structures that block GPS signals, a major issue in mountainous and urban areas. This paper describes a new Geographic Information Systems (GIS) tool, a customization of ArcGIS named *Satellite Viewsheds*, which predicts satellite visibility for any place and time while considering line of sight obstructions. *Satellite Viewsheds* requires a raster surface model, the test date and time, and the orbital properties of the satellites. It produces grids depicting the number of visible satellites and optimal viewing time for every cell in the grid. *Satellite Viewsheds* allows users to avoid areas of signal loss and choose the best time to map using their GPS receivers. The field test of *Satellite Viewsheds* proved that the tool performs satellite visibility predictions consistently and accurately. The research outlined in this paper indicates that *Satellite Viewsheds* is the first tool of its kind.

Acknowledgements

I would like to sincerely thank my committee for their guidance with this project. I have learned far more than I expected to due to their patience. I would especially like to thank Dr. Bill Carstensen for equaling my enthusiasm for this research; the same enthusiasm that has earned him respect in the GIS field nationwide. I would also like to thank Chris Stahl, Patrick Jarvis, Peter Sforza, Dr. James Campbell and Jon Laird for their volunteering in assisting me with this project.

My fellow graduate students, you have made my time here very enjoyable; so enjoyable, in fact, that you kept me around for another semester. Keep in touch.

To my family, I would not have reached this point without you. This accomplishment was only made possible by the support I have gotten from you over the years. Mary, I love you and I appreciate you putting up with me through two years of graduate school.

Most importantly, God has led me to this point and His unconditional support never lets me down. I took a chance when I decided to switch fields two years ago, without knowing anything about GIS or anyone even in the Geography field. But the Lord was guiding me the whole time. Looking back now, I could not have made a better decision.

Table of Contents

Title Page...	i
Abstract...	ii
Acknowledgements...	iii
Table of Contents...	iv
List of Figures...	vi
List of Tables...	viii
List of Appendices...	ix
Chapter 1: Introduction...	1
1.1 Geographic Information Systems...	1
1.2 Hillshades and Viewsheds...	4
1.3 Global Positioning System...	6
1.4 Purpose of <i>Satellite Viewsheds</i> ...	9
1.5 Fresnel Zones...	11
Chapter 2: Project Justification and Literature Review...	13
2.1 GPS Reception in Difficult Areas...	13
2.2 Current Satellite Visibility Tools...	17
2.3 Justification...	22
2.4 Relevance of Hillshades and Viewsheds...	23
2.5 Previous Works...	26
2.6 Look Angle Calculations...	29
2.7 Literature Review Summary...	34
Chapter 3: Data and Assumptions...	36
3.1 Two-Line Element Sets Described...	36
3.2 User Inputs...	39
3.3 Virginia Tech Campus Test Data...	43
3.4 Assumptions...	47
3.5 Fresnel Zone Considerations...	52

Chapter 4: Algorithm Design and Testing...	56
4.1 Algorithm Design...	56
4.2 Program Testing Methodology...	63
Chapter 5: Results...	70
5.1 Look Angle Computation Verification Against a Standard Program...	70
5.2 Field Test Results...	71
Chapter 6: Discussion...	79
6.1 <i>Satellite Viewsheds</i> ...	79
6.2 Future Research...	81
6.3 Conclusions...	83
References...	85
Appendices...	87
Vita...	92

List of Figures

1. Sample DEM...3
2. Example of a viewshed...4
3. Example of a hillshade with sample inputs for ArcGIS Hillshade...6
4. Illustrations of a single GPS satellite and the GPS constellation...7
5. Picture and schematic of “urban canyon”...11
6. Online reviews of popular GPS units...13
7. Illustration of GPS signal multipathing...14
8. Results of field test of SBR-LS GPS receiver by U-Blox...16
9. GPS satellite visibility prediction by Leica’s *Satellite Availability Program*...17
10. Example horizon mask input to *Satellite Availability Program*...18
11. GPS satellite visibility prediction by Trimble’s *Planning Software*...19
12. Trimble GeoXT GPS receiver with TerraSync software...20
13. GPS receiver performance tests in Calgary, Alberta...27
14. Illustration of single knife-edge geometry...28
15. Target-satellite geometry used in look angle calculations...34
16. Two-Line Element Sets provided by CelesTrak.com...36
17. Sample GPS Two-Line Element Set...37
18. User interface for *Satellite Viewsheds* in ArcMap...39
19. Appended 1- and 30-meter DEMs of the Virginia Tech campus...44
20. Vector data of the Virginia Tech campus obtained from CDCD...45
21. TIN of 1-foot elevation contours of Virginia Tech campus...45
22. Bare-earth elevation grid of the Virginia Tech campus...45

23. 1-foot resolution surface model grid of the Virginia Tech campus...46
24. Sample output of *Satellite Viewsheds*' time-range option...50
25. 24-hour satellite visibility and PDOP predictions created by *Satellite Availability Program*...52
26. Diffraction loss for signal propagation paths with various clearances over a knife-edged obstacle...53
27. Schematic diagram illustrating first Fresnel zone clearance...54
28. Illustration representing assumption made by *Satellite Viewsheds* of yes/no LOS contact between a GPS receiver and a satellite...55
29. ArcMap button that loads *Satellite Viewsheds*...56
30. Sample of hillshade array reclassification for a 4 x 4 grid...59
31. Sample summation of reclassified hillshade arrays for a 4 x 4 grid...59
32. Sample *Satellite Viewsheds* visibility prediction for single test time option...60
33. Sample *Satellite Viewsheds* visibility prediction for time range option...62
34. Aerial photograph of the Virginia Tech campus...64
35. Trimble GeoXT GPS receiver used for data collection during the field test of *Satellite Viewsheds* ...65
36. Images of GPS data collection for field test of *Satellite Viewsheds*...66
37. Sample of one of 44 manual field test recordings...66
38. Field test GPS points laid on top of Virginia Tech surface model grid...67
39. Field test GPS points laid on top of *Satellite Viewsheds* visibility predictions for the Virginia Tech campus...68
40. Observed PDOP versus observed number of visible satellites...74
41. Frequency distribution of discrepancies between predicted and observed satellite look angles...76

List of Tables

1. Two-Line Element Sets and description of items...38
2. Comparison of look angle calculations performed with current and six-week old Two-Line Element Sets...38
3. Z factor calculations for all coordinate systems and vertical units accommodated by *Satellite Viewsheds*...43
4. Comparison of satellite elevation angles calculated from cells 1 and 10 miles apart...49
5. Comparison of satellite elevation angles calculated from cells with a 10,000 feet altitude difference...49
6. Calculations of the width of the first Fresnel zone for an obstruction 50, 500 and 1000 meters from a GPS receiver...53
7. Comparison of satellite look angle predictions by WinOrbit's SG4 model, WinOrbit's Ideal model, and *Satellite Viewsheds*...70
8. Frequency table of discrepancies between predicted look angles of WinOrbit's Ideal model and *Satellite Viewsheds*...71
9. Summary statistics of discrepancies between predicted look angles of WinOrbit's Ideal model and *Satellite Viewsheds*...72
10. Error matrix comparing predicted and observed number of visible satellites...73
11. Summary statistics of discrepancies between predicted and observed satellite look angles...75
12. Frequency table of discrepancies between predicted and observed satellite look angles...76
13. Critical values of chi-squared for various degrees of freedom and selected levels of significance...77

List of Appendices

- A. Sample program summary report of *Satellite Viewsheds*...87
- B. Field test data: observed and predicted number of visible satellites...88
- C. Field test data: observed and predicted satellite look angles...89