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(ABSTRACT)

This thesis describes the development of the Hierarchical Behavioral Test Generator (HBTG) for the testing of VHDL behavioral models. HBTG uses the Process Model Graph of the VHDL behavioral model as the base for test generation. Test sets for individual processes of the model are precomputed and stored in the design library. Using this information, HBTG hierarchically constructs a test sequence that tests the functionality of the model. The test sequence generated by HBTG is used for simulation of the model. Various features present in HBTG and the implementation of the algorithm are discussed. The idea of an effective test sequence for a VHDL behavioral model is proposed. A system is presented to evaluate the quality of the test sequence generated by the algorithm. Test sequences and coverage results are given for several models. Some suggestions for future improvements of the tools are made. The HBTG forms part of a complete CAD system for rapid development and testing of VHDL behavioral models.
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Chapter 1. Introduction

1.1 Motivation

The complexity of integrated circuits has been increasing at a rapid rate over the past few years. Modern VLSI technology provides the ability to produce chips composed of over a million transistors [1]. For example, the Intel 486DX consists of 1.2 million transistors. In addition, chips consisting of up to a hundred million transistors are expected over the next few years. The design of such complex chips requires extensive use of computer tools. Computer simulation is one of the most powerful tools for design verification and test generation. A crucial factor in simulation is the capability to accurately describe the behavior of the actual circuit. Computer languages such as C and PASCAL are inadequate for this purpose, since they do not have the ability to model concurrency in the execution of the logic blocks. Furthermore, these languages cannot represent accurate timing relations between logic blocks. This has led to the development of special languages called Hardware Description Languages (HDLs).

Simulation and design verification using the VHSIC hardware description language (VHDL) is becoming extremely popular in industry. The main reason for this is that
VHDL has a rich set of constructs for the accurate modeling of digital systems, and is an IEEE standardized hardware description language [2]. Using VHDL, designs can be described in top-down or bottom-up fashion through varying levels of abstraction. Once the VHDL behavioral model of a circuit is developed, test patterns need to be generated and applied for simulation of the model. By observing the simulation output, the functionality of the model can be verified. The correct functioning of the VHDL behavioral model is a direct reflection on the correctness of the original design.

Traditionally, test development for behavioral models has relied on a design engineer or model developer to manually construct tests. This is a very time-consuming and labor-intensive task. Moreover, such an approach yields test sets that satisfy no formal definition of completeness. This is because the tests written reflect the writer's view about what the model should do. As a result, basic functions are frequently left untested. Several research efforts [15-18] have concentrated on test generation for VHDL models.

A preliminary software tool, the Hierarchical Behavioral Test Generator (HBTG), was developed [21] at Virginia Tech for the testing of VHDL behavioral models. HBTG accepts the process model graph of the VHDL behavioral model as input. Precomputed tests for each process of the PMG are stored in the design library. Using this information, the HBTG algorithm generates a test sequence for the entire entity. This algorithm is discussed in chapter-3 in more detail.

The above test generation algorithm was developed under many assumptions and had severe limitations that completely precluded its effective usage for testing of VHDL models. Some of the limitations are discussed below:
1. The algorithm did not permit the existence of *multiple-fanout condition*, i.e., the condition in which the output signal of a process is the input to more than one process. The algorithm assumed that all circuits are fanout-free. This limitation prevented any real system from being tested, since most real systems invariably contain multiple-fanout structure.

2. The algorithm assumed that all processes had a single output. i.e., it did not permit the existence of a *multiple-output condition*. Hence, processes having more than one output port were not allowed. This further restricted the kinds of models that could be tested, since several common primitives like decoders, adders, etc., have more than one output port.

3. The algorithm was not designed to incorporate sequential primitives in the test generation process. Only combinational primitive processes were allowed.

4. The ability to permit *feedback* within the VHDL models was not present in the algorithm, i.e., a signal could not be fed back from an output port to any input port in the model.

5. No technique was present to evaluate the quality of the tests generated by the HBTG algorithm. As a result, it was not possible to determine the effectiveness of the generated test sequence. Hence, a system to evaluate the quality of the test pattern was required.
6. At the end of the test generation process, there was no information provided to the user about the different functions that had been tested. Hence, the user was not aware of the capability of the test-sequence constructed by the algorithm. In order to prevent any misunderstanding, it was necessary to provide an indication about the test-sequence.

7. The program was written at a very basic stage. Crucial test generation procedures were not properly developed. The structure of the code was inefficient. Important subroutines for selecting tests and assigning values to signals during the activation, propagation and justification processes were very elementary or did not exist at all.

7. There were several bugs and hitches in the software that caused frequent program crashes and faults. Problems such as improper memory allocation for the data structures and incorrect handling of pointers caused the program to crash more often than not.

The above major limitations prevented the use of the HBTG algorithm in testing any real-time systems. In fact, only four - five models were ever completely tested. Very few primitive modules were used, and the ones used were predominantly gate-level primitives rather than high-level processes.
1.2 Contributions

The Hierarchical Behavioral Test Generator has been completely re-written in Sun-C and is now running on a SUN SPARCstation II. Only certain basic test generation procedures and the core data structure have been carried over from the previous algorithm. The current HBTG algorithm can run on any system on which the Modeler's Assistant is installed. A new program has been written to interface to the Modeler's Assistant in order to receive the PMG information. The current HBTG algorithm is versatile in the sense that models of reasonable size can be tested. Basic procedures for activation, justification and propagation have been changed and the algorithm enhanced to incorporate several new features.

The sensitive path construction and the test generation process have been optimized by taking multiple-fanout structure and processes with multiple-output structure into consideration. The presence of these features is essential in any system where models of any reasonable complexity are to be tested.

The current version of HBTG allows sequential primitives to be present in a model. Test generation is performed by first driving the primitives into an initial state by a combination of user-specified information and automatic test generation techniques. The characteristics of the precomputed tests stored in the design library have been examined, since they play an important role in this process.

An important feature of HBTG is its ability to generate tests for models with feedback. The presence of a feedback signal causes unknown initial states in a model and
must be initialized before the test generation process can begin. Another useful feature of HBTG is its ability to generate tests for models with resolved ports. Currently, ports of type TSL and TSL_VECTOR are supported, and provisions have been made to incorporate other types in the future.

A complete system has been developed to evaluate the quality of tests generated by the HBTG algorithm. The proposed system utilizes the coverage environment of the simulator to determine the quality of the generated test pattern. The presence of this feature is very important in any software testing system. Several results have been presented to illustrate this feature.

The Hierarchical Behavioral Test Generator consists of approximately 4000 lines of C code. Various macros have been defined in order to facilitate the manipulation of data-structures used in the program. Various bugs present in the previous algorithm have been removed. The C files have been appropriately documented and a programmer's manual has been developed, as is essential in a project of this magnitude.

1.3 Contents

Chapter 2, "Background and Literature Review", discusses modeling with VHDL along with gate-level and hierarchical test generation techniques.

Chapter 3, "Previous Development" explains the development of the Modeler's Assistant and the previous HBTG algorithm.
Chapter 4, "New Developments", briefly discusses the various new features incorporated in the current HBTG algorithm.

Chapter 5, "The Enhanced Hierarchical Test Generation Algorithm", describes the current hierarchical test generation algorithm with the new features. The extraction of information from the Modeler's Assistant, construction of sensitive paths, storage of precomputed tests and the entire test generation process are discussed in detail.

Chapter 6, "Evaluation of Test Quality", presents a system to evaluate the effectiveness of the tests generated by the HBTG algorithm. Several coverage results are provided.

Chapter 7, "Results", discusses the test sequence generated by HBTG for various models and the coverage results obtained from the test vectors.

Chapter 8, "Proposed Future Development", discusses possible future improvements to the hierarchical behavioral test generator.

Chapter 9, "Conclusion".

Appendix, "Programmer's manual for HBTG and its Environment" provides an overview of the various data structures and format of files used by HBTG along with an explanation of the compilation procedures.
Chapter 2. Background and Literature Review

2.1 Modeling with VHDL

The VHSIC hardware description language (VHDL) was standardized by the IEEE in 1987 [2]. VHDL has an effective set of constructs for chip-level modeling [3]. It can model concurrent execution of logic modules and can represent timing relations between modules accurately.

2.1.1 Structural and Behavioral Models

There are two kinds of VHDL models:

*Structural model:* in which the model is represented as an interconnection of lower-level primitives.

*Behavioral model:* in which the model is described in terms of the input/output response of the circuit.
A 2-TO-1 Multiplexer with enable is chosen to illustrate the VHDL model development for digital circuits. Figure-1 shows the 2-TO-1 multiplexer.

![2-TO-1 Multiplexer with Enable](image)

```vhdl
entity TWO_TO_ONE_MUX is
  port(I1, I0, EN1, EN2, SEL: in BIT;
       O: out BIT);
end TWO_TO_ONE_MUX;

architecture STRUCTURAL of TWO_TO_ONE_MUX is
  component MUX
    port (I1, I0, SEL, ENBL: in BIT; O: out BIT);
  end component;
  component AND2
    port (IN1, IN2: in BIT, OP: out BIT);
  end component;
  signal ENB: BIT;
  begin
    COMP_1, AND2
      port map (EN1, EN2, ENB);
    COMP_2, MUX
      port map (I1, I0, SEL, ENB);
  end STRUCTURAL;
```

Figure-2: VHDL Structural Model for the 2-TO-1-MUX with Enable
When the enable lines EN1 and EN2 are asserted to logic 1, the MUX gets enabled and depending on the select input SEL, it passes either I0 or I1 to the output O. The VHDL structural model of the 2-TO-1 mux with enable is shown in Figure-2. As we can see, the entity is described as an interconnection of two primitives: AND and MUX.

As opposed to the structural model, the VHDL behavioral model describes the operation of the circuit in terms of its I/O response without resorting to lower-level representations. A VHDL behavioral model for the 2-TO-1 MUX is shown in Figure-3.

```vhdl
entity TWO_TO_ONE_MUX is
  port(I1, I0, EN1, EN2, SEL: in BIT;
       O: out BIT);
end TWO_TO_ONE_MUX;

architecture BEHAVIOR of TWO_TO_ONE_MUX is
begin
  process(EN1, EN2, SEL)
  begin
    if ((EN1 and EN2) = '1') then
      case SEL is
        when '0' => O <= I0;
        when '1' => O <= I1;
      end case;
    end if;
  end process;
end BEHAVIOR;

Figure-3: VHDL Behavioral Model for the 2-TO-1 MUX with Enable
```

One advantage of the behavioral model is that it can be developed at different levels of abstraction. The model in Figure-3 is an algorithmic model consisting of one process. A model at a different level of abstraction can be developed by partitioning the
circuit either structurally or functionally. A behavioral model for the 2-TO-1 MUX at a different level of abstraction is shown in Figure-4. Each of the submodules in this model is a process. As we go down the abstraction hierarchy, the number of processes in the VHDL behavioral model increases and the model provides greater circuit detail.

```vhdl
entity TWO_TO_ONE_MUX is
    port(T, I0, EN1, EN2, SEL: in BIT;
         O: out BIT);
end TWO_TO_ONE_MUX;

architecture BEHAVIORAL of TWO_TO_ONE_MUX is
signal ENB: BIT;
begin
    AND: process (EN1, EN2)
    begin
        ENB <= EN1 and EN2;
    end process AND;

    MUX: process (ENB, SEL)
    begin
        if (ENB = '1') then
            case SEL is
            when '0' => O <= I0;
            when '1' => O <= I1;
            end case;
        end if;
    end process MUX;
end BEHAVIORAL;
```

**Figure-4: VHDL Behavioral Model for the 2-TO-1 MUX at a different level of abstraction**
2.1.2 The Graphical Representation of the VHDL Behavioral Model

The graphical representation of a system is used to illustrate the relationships between the various elements of the system. The Process Model Graph is the graphical representation of the VHDL behavioral model. Figure-5 shows an example process model graph. As can be seen, the process model graph (PMG) is a directed graph with the processes in the model as the nodes of the graph and the edges between the nodes representing the signals between processes. The arrows indicate the direction of flow of signals. Thus, the PMG represents a partitioning of the VHDL model in the behavioral domain. Figure-6 shows the PMG of the 2-TO-1 MUX.

Figure-5: An example Process Model Graph (PMG)
2.2 Test Generation for Digital Systems

*Testing* is the process of exercising a digital system and analyzing the resulting output to determine whether the system is behaving correctly [4]. *Test Generation* is the process of determining the set of test patterns required to test a digital system. Test generation can be classified into two basic categories:

(i) *Fault-Oriented Test Generation* in which tests are generated to detect specific faults in the model.

(ii) *Function-Oriented Test Generation* in which tests are generated which, when applied to the model, show whether the model performs a specific function or not.
2.2.1 Gate Level Test Generation

Traditional test generation algorithms generate tests using gate-level structural descriptions where the circuit is treated as an interconnection of primitive gate components like the AND gate, OR gate, XOR gate, etc. The signals in the circuit are treated as single-bit buses. A simple fault model like the \textit{stuck-at fault} (s-a-v, \( v \in \{0,1\} \)) is used to assume logic lines in the gate-level circuits are stuck at logic 1 or logic 0, and test patterns are generated to detect the fault. The test-vector generated to detect a fault must perform two basic operations:

(i) \textit{Fault Sensitization}: The test-vector must generate a value at the fault site that is opposite to the faulty value at the site.

(ii) \textit{Fault Propagation}: The test-vector must be able to propagate the fault to a primary output by assigning appropriate values to internal signals. The values assigned during creation of this propagation path must then be justified back towards the primary inputs.

Several algorithms have been developed for the gate-level test generation process. The D-Algorithm proposed by Roth [5] in 1966 was the one of the first algorithms for generating tests for gate-level combinational circuits. The D-Algorithm uses primitive d-cubes of faults to sensitize the fault at the fault site. The symbol \( D \) represents a good value of logic 1 and a faulty value of logic 0, and the symbol \( \overline{D} \) represents a good value of logic 0 and a faulty value of logic 1. During the test generation (TG) process, the fault
is sensitized by generating a $D$ or a $\overline{D}$ at the fault site depending on the stuck-at fault. The $D$ or $\overline{D}$ is then propagated to the primary output by assigning appropriate values and justifying these values to primary inputs.

PODEM (Path-Oriented Decision Making) was proposed by Goel [6] in 1981. The algorithm uses the symbols $D$ and $\overline{D}$ for fault sensitization. In the test generation process, values are first assigned to the Primary Inputs (PI) to set the output of the gate under test to $D$ or $\overline{D}$. It is then verified that these assigned values are sufficient to sensitize the fault. If the fault is not yet sensitized, additional values are assigned to other primary inputs. Once the fault has been sensitized with $D$ or $\overline{D}$, the value is propagated towards a primary output by assigning values to the primary inputs. The values of the internal signals due to the primary inputs are determined. Knowledge of the implied logic values at internal signals can avoid conflict in logic value assignment of a signal when continuing propagation of $D$ or $\overline{D}$ towards a primary output. Hence, the number of backtracking steps needed is greatly reduced as compared to the D-algorithm.

FAN (Fanout-Oriented Test Generation) was proposed by Fujiwara and Shimono [7] in 1983. FAN also uses the stuck-at fault model and the symbols $D$ and $\overline{D}$ for fault sensitization. The FAN algorithm improves upon PODEM by stopping the backtracking process at internal lines rather than at the primary inputs. Thus, the number of backtracking steps can be reduced and test generation time shortened.

The above gate-level test generation algorithms provide a systematic method to effectively generate tests for MSI and LSI circuits. They are widely used in industry.
2.2.2 High Level Test Generation

The gate-level schemes described in the previous section are suitable for relatively small circuits. However, the increasing complexity of modern VLSI digital circuits has made test generation at the gate-level extremely difficult. The test generation problem at the gate-level has been shown to be NP complete [8]. Hence, gate-level test generation becomes more and more time-consuming and expensive as the complexity of the circuit increases. Moreover, in many cases, the circuit to be tested is composed of submodules that are not directly decomposable into logic gates or the gate-level descriptions are not available. As a result, hierarchical techniques based on high-level primitives have gained prominence in the domain of VLSI testing. These techniques view the circuit with lesser structural detail as compared to gate-level methods.

The major goal of these high-level techniques is to reduce test generation complexity and computational time by the use of high-level primitives in the test generation process. i.e., the model of the circuit under test consists of high-level modules, rather than a complex interconnection of gate-level primitives. Also, the number of signals in the circuit is much smaller than that in the corresponding gate-level representation. Since the circuit has fewer primitive components, fewer module evaluations have to be performed during test generation [9]. A circuit consisting of over two hundred primitive gates can be represented as a circuit of only six components and a small number of buses by using a high-level representation [12]. This reduced complexity provides a great advantage over the traditional gate-level techniques. In addition, these high-level techniques can exploit any modularity involved in the design process itself, particularly in
cases where CAD tools are used for the design of the circuit. This section illustrates various high-level approaches to test generation.

Kunda, Narain, Abraham and Rathi [10] propose an approach to speed up the test generation process by making use of high-level primitives. The circuit to be tested is modeled as a data-flow graph with the high-level primitives as the nodes of the graph. Primitives such as AND, NAND, XOR, BUFF, JOIN, NEGATE, MULTIPLY, EQUAL, SPLIT, etc., are supported. Dependency-directed backtracking mechanism is used to reduce the number of backtracks needed. According to experimental results for five circuits, this approach provides a significant speed-up and reduction in memory requirement for circuits composed of high-level primitives.

Somenzi et al [11] present a set of algorithms for high-level test generation. These techniques are similar to the D-Algorithm. The system to be tested consists of combinational macros interconnected by single-bit lines. Each macro performs a well-defined function based on functional partitioning. Tests are first generated locally as the involved macro is considered to be isolated. The algorithm regards the macros as the primitive elements instead of the boolean gates. The tests are then expressed in terms of primary inputs and outputs using a topological strategy and algebraic method for propagation of signals through macros.

Murray and Hayes [12] propose a hierarchical approach to test generation based on high-level primitives. Their view is similar to that of [10] except that no specific fault model is adopted. Test information for the modules is stored in the design library along with the design information for the modules. The tests are stimulus/response pairs for the
modules. There are two kinds of tests: the FTPs which are used to sensitize the faults in the module, and the PTPs which are used to perform signal propagation and justification. The test for the whole model is then hierarchically constructed using these precomputed tests. The algorithm is similar in nature to the D-Algorithm. Experimental results measuring the number of module evaluations indicate that this approach provides a great improvement over the traditional gate-level test generation.

Kruger [13] proposes a tool for hierarchical test generation. This test generator is part of a computer hardware design system (MIMOLA). For primitive and behavioral models, predefined test-packages similar to those in [12] are stored in the library and provide stimuli and response. If no input stimuli are present, the test generator applies default patterns and computes the responses. Test packages are generated and stored for all modules at one level, and are then used in the next level of hierarchy.

Sarfert, Markgraf, Schulz and Trischler [14] present the extension of an existing ATG system for hierarchical test pattern generation for combinational circuits. High-level primitives supported include the decoder, encoder, adder, etc. In order to perform ATG for faults inside a high-level primitive (HLP), the primitive is expanded dynamically to its gate-level representation. By expanding only one high-level primitive at a time, this method takes advantage of the use of HLPs in the ATG process. Experimental results indicate that the algorithm performs significantly better as compared to a gate-level ATG in terms of CPU time and memory requirements.
2.2.3 Test Generation from Hardware Description Languages

Test generation from hardware description languages is a special case of the high-level test generation explained in the previous section. Most high-level test generation schemes use their own specific circuit representations to describe the circuit during the test generation process. Describing the model in a standardized hardware description language saves time as well as provides compatibility between different approaches. A hardware description language is an excellent means of accurately modeling and simulating digital circuits. HDL models are excellent sources of information for test generation at the functional level. In this section, several approaches to test generation from hardware description languages are reviewed.

Levendel and Menon [15] use a generalization of the D-algorithm to generate tests for circuits containing modules described in a hardware description language. Both procedural (sequential) and non-procedural (concurrent) HDL representations are considered during the test generation process. In case of procedural descriptions, path functions representing successive HDL statements are used for D-propagation. For non-procedural descriptions, the path function is not required. The derivation of the D-propagation cubes and the path functions for large HDL functions is very complicated and may reduce test generation efficiency.

The FunTestIC test generation method (FUNctional TEST pattern generation for Integrated Circuits) proposed by Hummer, Veit and Topfer [16] derives functional tests for modules and systems described in VHDL. In this approach, the circuit model is represented in structures of a Control Flow Graph (CFG), Data Flow Graph (DFG), and
Sequence Graph (SG). The CFG is similar to a flow chart representation of the circuit model. The DFG represents the possible data flows between the variables of the models. The SG provides information about the sequence in which the data has to be passed in the CFG. Input/Output paths are derived from the description and activated, and the circuit is exercised with characteristic data.

A Behavioral Test Generator [17] has been developed at Virginia Tech using artificial intelligence techniques. The BTG operates directly on the VHDL description statements. High-level fault models such as the Stuck-Then, Stuck-Else, etc., are first developed to represent faults in the VHDL behavioral model. The algorithm, which is a modified version of the D-algorithm, then generates tests to detect the faults.

Cho and Armstrong [18] investigate the relationship between the VHDL semantics of event-driven simulation and the test-generation algorithm. Methods are presented to generate tests without being influenced by the VHDL semantics.
Chapter 3: Previous Development

CAD tools for rapid development and testing of VHDL behavioral models have been the focus of research at Virginia Tech over the last few years. One major product that has evolved out of this research is the Modeler's Assistant which is a tool for VHDL behavioral modeling. The other major tool that has been developed is the Hierarchical Behavioral Test Generator, which is the focus of this thesis.

3.1 The Modeler's Assistant

The Modeler's Assistant [19, 20] is a graphical CAD tool for the development of VHDL behavioral models. This tool accepts the Process Model Graph of the VHDL behavioral model, created interactively by the user, as input. The user also enters the functionality of each process in the process model graph. The Modeler's Assistant then uses this information to construct the entire VHDL behavioral model. It thus provides a mixed textual/graphical design capture to reduce the effort required in the development of a VHDL behavioral model.
Using the Modeler's Assistant, the user inputs the PMG of the VHDL model. Each process in the PMG is first defined and saved. The PMG is then constructed by loading (adding) the processes and then drawing the signals between them. Frequently used processes are stored as parametrized primitives in the library and used in the design process. This significantly reduces the time required to develop behavioral models [22].

Figure-7 shows an example Process Model Graph created on the Modeler's Assistant. In the figure, the large circles represent the processes. The smaller circles on the periphery of the process represent process ports\(^1\). Sensitive ports are depicted by shading the area inside the port. Small squares inside the processes indicate constants and variables. One or more processes together form the PMG. Figure-8 shows the VHDL "shell" produced by the Modeler's Assistant for the PMG in Figure-7. The VHDL model thus developed can be analyzed by any analyzer installed in the system.

Figure-9 shows the system block diagram of the Modeler's Assistant. The important components of the system are two databases, one containing the information to generate the graphics and the other containing information to produce the VHDL code. The PMG database contains the details of the geometry of the process model graph in terms of the coordinates of the various graphical constructs like modules, ports, signals, variables, etc. Information about modes of a port (in, out, inout), sensitivity, types of signals, etc., is also included. The process functionality database contains the text of the functionality of all the processes in the PMG. The HBTG algorithm described in this thesis extracts information from the PMG database and uses it in the test generation process.

\(^1\) The term 'port' refers to a process input or output.
Figure-7: Example PMG created using the Modeler's Assistant
use WORK.VHDLCAD.all, WORK.USER_TYPES.all;
-- ******************************************************************************
entity example-pmg is
port (OUTPUT2: out BIT;
  OUTPUT1: out BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7);
  Inputs: in BIT;
  Input4: in BIT;
  Input3: in BIT;
  Input2: in BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7);
  Input1: in BIT
);
end example-pmg,
-- ******************************************************************************
arichitecture BEHAVIORAL of example-pmg is

  signal signal2: BIT;
  signal signal4: BIT;
  signal signal3: BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7);
  signal signal1: BIT;
begin

  -- ******************************************************************************
  -- Process Name: C
  -- ******************************************************************************
  C_11: process (signal3,signal1)
  begin

    User-Specified VHDL

  end process C_11;

  -- ******************************************************************************
  -- Process Name: B
  -- ******************************************************************************
  B_16: process (Input4)
  begin

    User-Specified VHDL

  end process B_16;

  -- ******************************************************************************
  -- Process Name: D
  -- ******************************************************************************
  D_2: process (signal2,signal4)
  variable var1: BIT;
  constant const1: INTEGER;
  begin

    User-Specified VHDL

  end process D_2;

end BEHAVIORAL;

Figure-8: VHDL shell produced by the Modeler's Assistant for the example PMG
Figure-9: System Block Diagram of the Modeler's Assistant
3.2 The Hierarchical Behavioral Test Generator

The Hierarchical Behavioral Test Generator (HBTG) is a program developed for the automatic test generation for VHDL behavioral models. The process model graph of the VHDL model to be tested is created using the Modeler's Assistant described in the previous section. For each process in the process model graph, tests are precomputed and stored in the design library. Using these tests, the HBTG algorithm constructs a test sequence for the entire VHDL model automatically. The tests are used for simulation of the model.

3.2.1 Test Generation Approach

A hierarchical approach to test generation is used. We know that storage of primitive processes in the design library accelerates the model development process [23]. This is also true to a large extent in the test generation process. Test information for individual modules of the circuit is precomputed and stored in the design library, similar to the approach in [12]. The test sequence for the whole entity is then constructed from these primitive tests. The precomputed tests need to provide sufficient information about the functionality of the processes in the PMG.

In many traditional test generation techniques, specific fault models are used to represent faults in the circuit. For example, the s-a-v (v ∈ {0,1}) fault model is commonly
used to represent logical faults in gate level test generation as explained in chapter 2. In a higher level approach like the BTG [17], fault models like Stuck-Then, Stuck-Else, Assignment Control, etc., are used.

The HBTG does not adopt any specific fault models. Instead of generating a test sequence to detect a fault, the algorithm constructs a test sequence that exercises the model. i.e., when the test pattern is applied to the model for simulation, it will test various functions of the model.

### 3.2.2 Construction of Sensitive Paths

The HBTG follows the traditional test sequence of activation - propagation - justification. The term *activation* refers to the generation of an event on a port of the Process Model Graph. This creates a rise or a fall on a single-bit bus or assigns different bit-vectors to a multi-bit bus. The signal resulting from activation of a port has to be *propagated* to a primary output (PO) of the PMG to be observed. The internal values assigned in this process need to be *justified* towards the primary inputs (PIs) of the PMG.

In order to perform signal propagation and justification, sensitive paths are constructed through the process model graph.

**Definition:** A *Sensitive Path* is a directed path that starts at a sensitive primary input port (PI) and ends at a primary output port (PO) with the intermediate ports along the path consisting of as many sensitive ports as possible.
The activation of a port is done along a sensitive path. When the activation of a sensitive path is finished, the result of activation has been propagated to a primary output. The justification process then begins to justify the pre-assigned signal values assigned during propagation towards the primary inputs.

Figure-10 shows the PMG of the 2-TO-1 MUX with sensitive and non-sensitive ports appropriately represented. The Sensitive paths constructed by the algorithm through the PMG are shown in Figure-11. As can be seen, three sensitive paths have been constructed through the PMG.
No. of signals = 7
path 0:
sp[0][0]=7(SEL)
sp[0][1]=5(C)

path 1:
sp[1][0]=15(EN2)
sp[1][1]=13(ENB)
sp[1][2]=8(ENBL)
sp[1][3]=5(O)

path 2:
sp[2][0]=16(EN1)
sp[2][1]=13(ENB)
sp[2][2]=8(ENBL)
sp[2][3]=5(O)

No. of Spath = 3

Figure-11: Sensitive Path Construction for the 2-TO-1 MUX

3.2.3 Test generation Process

The HBTG was written in ANSI-C for an APOLLO DN 3500 workstation. In order to use HBTG, the process model graph of the VHDL model is first created using the Modeler's Assistant. Sensitive paths are constructed through the PMG as discussed in the previous section. Precomputed tests for each process of the PMG are assumed to be present in the design library. Once the sensitive paths have been constructed, the test generation process begins. During the test generation process, the sensitive paths are selected and activated one by one.
The basic test generation process is as follows:

Step 1: Select a sensitive path not yet activated.

Step 2: Activate the first port along the path, i.e., generate an event (change in signal value) on the first port on the sensitive path.

Step 3: Propagate the resulting signal value forwards till the primary output on the path is reached.

Step 4: Justify the assigned signal values backwards until the primary inputs are reached.

Step 5: Perform implication for modules with known inputs and unknown outputs.

Step 6: If all the sensitive paths have not yet been activated, go to step 1.

When the activation of all the sensitive paths is finished, the test generation process ends and the test-sequence for the model is obtained.

Table-1 on page-31 shows the test sequence generated by HBTG for the 2-TO-1 MUX in Figure-10.
Table-1: Test Sequence for the 2-TO-1 MUX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>frame</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>SEL</th>
<th>I2</th>
<th>I1</th>
<th>ENB</th>
<th>EN2</th>
<th>EN1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the above table, the signals of the PMG are on the horizontal axis and the vertical axis represents the time-frames for test generation. The signal values are in symbolic form. The meanings of the symbols are as follows:

'0': A constant '0' in a single-bit bus.

'1': A constant '1' in a single-bit bus.

'R': A transition from '0' to '1'.

'F': A transition from '1' to '0'.

'X': Unknown value or don't care.

'Z': High Impedance.

'D_i': Any chosen value in either a single-bit bus or a multi-bit bus. The values can be D1, D2, D3, D4, etc.

The test-sequence for the 2-TO-1 MUX in table-1 is converted into a test-bench and is used for simulation of the model. The test sequence is applied in lexical order.
Chapter 4. New Developments

As discussed in chapter 1, the previous test generation algorithm developed was a very elementary one. Models with more than three processes could not be tested, i.e., the algorithm could do only one step of propagation and justification. The previous test generation algorithm has been completely rewritten and several new ideas and techniques have been incorporated into the current HBTG algorithm. This chapter is devoted to the discussion of these new features. The enhanced algorithm is discussed in the next chapter.

4.1 Test Generation for Models with Multiple Fanout

Multiple fanout is the condition in which the output signal from a process is input to more than one process. i.e. given a process model graph $G$ as a triple $(\mathcal{P}(G), \mathcal{S}(G), \Psi_G)$ where $\Psi_G$ is an incidence function that associates every signal in the set $\{\mathcal{S}(G)\}$ with two processes in the set $\{\mathcal{P}(G)\}$, there is at least one signal $S_i \in \{\mathcal{S}(G)\}$ for which

$$\Psi_G(S_i) = (P_p, P_j) \quad \text{and}$$
$$\Psi_G(S_i) = (P_p, P_k)$$

where $i, j$ and $k$ are assumed distinct without loss of generality and $P_p, P_j, P_k \in \{\mathcal{P}(G)\}$. 

32
The problem with multiple-fanout structure is that there is more than one path for an event to be propagated to the output. If the test generation process does not consider fanout, propagation always takes place along the same path, irrespective of the existence of multiple paths. Hence, certain processes will not be executed at all. Since our aim is to exercise as many operations of the model as possible, it is necessary to optimize the test generation for multiple fanout structure.

In order to generate tests for models with a fanout structure, the sensitive path construction first needs to be optimized through the fanout structure(s). This is done by a procedure called Select_Destn_Port. The pseudo-code for this procedure is shown in Figure-12.

```
procedure Select_Destn_Port
begin
  if more than one signal is leaving port, then
    call nu_destn_ports;
    if a destin port has not yet been activated, then
      choose that port as the next port along sensitive path;
    else
      choose the least activated of all
      destination ports as next port along sensitive path;
    end if;
  else
    choose the destination port of
    the signal as next port along sensitive path;
  end if;
end procedure;
```

**Figure-12: Procedure Select_Destn_Port**

When a multiple fanout port is encountered, the above algorithm looks for a destination port that is not on any other path as yet. If such a port does not exist, it
chooses that port which is on the least number of other sensitive paths as the next port on the path. The function *nu_destn_ports* returns the total number of destination ports, and hence the total number of signals leaving a fanout port.

During the test generation process, events are propagated along the sensitive path by means of a procedure *Select_Fanout_Destination* that selects tests for that module which contains the destination port.

Figure-13 shows an example process model graph with a multiple fanout structure.

![Image](image.png)

**Figure-13: Example PMG showing Multiple Fanout Condition**
The sensitive paths constructed through the PMG of Figure-13 is shown in Figure-14 below. As we can see, the paths are constructed through as many fanout branches as possible. The test sequence generated by HBTG for the model is shown in table-2.

No. of signals = 11

path 0:
sp[0][0]=14(C)
sp[0][1]=11(Y)

path 1:
sp[1][0]=16(A)
sp[1][1]=11(Y)

path 2:
sp[2][0]=22(REG)
sp[2][1]=19(DO)

path 3:
sp[3][0]=28(NG3)
sp[3][1]=25(ENBL)
sp[3][2]=7(EN1)
sp[3][3]=5(BUF1)

path 4:
sp[4][0]=29(NG2)
sp[4][1]=25(ENBL)
sp[4][2]=15(B)
sp[4][3]=11(Y)

path 5:
sp[5][0]=30(G1)
sp[5][1]=25(ENBL)
sp[5][2]=21(EN8LD)
sp[5][3]=19(DO)

No. of Spath = 6

Figure-14: Sensitive Path Construction for the fanout model
Table-2: Test-Sequence for the Fanout Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>frame</th>
<th>BUF1</th>
<th>VECT1</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>REG</th>
<th>ENBL</th>
<th>NG3</th>
<th>NG2</th>
<th>G1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Test generation for Models with Multiple-Outputs

If one or more processes in a VHDL model have more than one output port, the model has a multiple-output condition. Several common primitives have more than one output port. For example, an adder has a SUM output and a CARRY output. Similarly, a 2-TO-4 decoder will have four outputs. Hence, it is crucial to consider the existence of more than one output in the test generation process.

The problem with processes having multiple outputs is that there is more than one output port through which an event can be propagated. Ideally, all the output ports of a model should be on some sensitive path, particularly those which are not the primary outputs of a process.

In order to account for multiple outputs during the test generation process, we first need to examine each module during the sensitive path construction process to determine
if there is more than one output port. This is done by a function called *Only_One_Output*. A procedure called *Choose_Output_Port* then selects the port that is on the least number of sensitive paths as the next port on the current sensitive path. The pseudo-code is shown in Figure-15.

```
procedure Choose_Output_Port
begin
    select module;
    assign temp_port as the first port in the linked list of ports for the module;
    choose next port in the linked list of ports for the module;
    while all ports of the module have not been scanned, do
        if port is an output port or an inout port
            if port is on fewer sensitive paths than temp_port
                assign next_port to temp_port;
            end if;
        end if;
    end while;
    assign temp_port as the next port on the sensitive path;
end procedure;

Figure-15: Procedure Choose_Output_Port
```

During the test generation process, the *Assign_Value* procedures assign values to all output ports of the process under test. However, only for that port which is on the sensitive path being activated is the event considered and propagated to the primary output. An example PMG with a multiple output condition is shown in Figure-16. The decoder DECD has two output ports DEC1 and DECO. Figure-17 shows the sensitive paths constructed for the model. As we can see, sensitive paths have been constructed through both the output ports of the decoder.
Figure-16: Example PMG with multiple-output condition

No. of signals = 10

path 0:  
sp[0][0]=7(SEL)  
sp[0][1]=5(O)

path 1:  
sp[1][0]=16(REG)  
sp[1][1]=13(DO)

path 2:  
sp[2][0]=22(ENB1)  
sp[2][1]=19(DEC1)  
sp[2][2]=15(ENBLD)  
sp[2][3]=13(DO)

path 3:  
sp[3][0]=23(SL1)  
sp[3][1]=21(DEC0)  
sp[3][2]=8(ENBL)  
sp[3][3]=5(O)

No. of Spath = 4

Figure-17: Sensitive Path Construction for the PMG with Multiple Outputs
The test sequence generated by HBTG for the model is shown in table-3 below.

Table-3: Test Sequence for the model with multiple outputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>frame</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>SEL</th>
<th>I2</th>
<th>I1</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>REG</th>
<th>DEC0</th>
<th>DEC1</th>
<th>ENB1</th>
<th>SL1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3 Improved Activation Scheme

During the test generation process, the HBTG algorithm activates the sensitive paths by selecting tests from the predefined primitive tests. During the activation of a sensitive path, a test is selected that generates an event on the first port on the path. As a result, the process is executed and the resulting signal is propagated to the output.

The previous algorithm had a serious limitation in the sense that it could not activate ports of type BIT_VECTOR, MVL_VECTOR, TSL, etc. i.e., the algorithm could activate only ports of type BIT. The current HBTG algorithm uses a procedure Select_tst_set which selects appropriate values to activate sensitive primary input ports. This procedure makes use of functions Is_Event_Tst and functions Is_Event_Tst_Act to select tests which activate ports of type BIT and TSL by a 'R' of a 'F' on that port, and ports of type BIT_VECTOR, MVL_VECTOR by assigning a 'D1', 'D2', 'D3' or 'D4' on
that port. Moreover, in case of a port of type BIT_VECTOR, the selection process chooses a value different from the currently assigned value of that port.

![Diagram](image)

For example, if the sensitive port REG in the process OUTPUT shown above has already been assigned a value 'D1' in a previous time-frame, the HBTG algorithm will choose a value different from 'D1' (i.e., D2, D3, etc.) for activation of the port.

Furthermore, the HBTG algorithm selects a test which has an event both on the sensitive input port as well as the output port of the process. This ensures that the next process on the path will also be executed, and is hence a better test for the model. A constant value at the output is chosen by default, only when a test with an event on that port is not found.

### 4.4 New Propagation Scheme

In the test generation process, the activation of a sensitive path leads to the generation of a signal value which needs to be propagated to the primary output on the path. The previous algorithm could propagate only events through sensitive ports. The
new propagation scheme allows the propagation of constant signal values and propagation of signal values through non-sensitive ports.

![Diagram showing propagation schemes]

Case-A: Propagation of an event on sensitive port Q
Case-B: Propagation of an event on non-sensitive port Q
Case-C: Propagation of an constant on sensitive port Q

Figure-18: New Propagation Scheme

The new scheme is implemented in a procedure called Propagate(). In the new scheme, if the signal to be propagated is an event and the port is a sensitive port, then a constant value is assigned to the other ports of the module. This is shown in case-A of Figure-18.
If the signal to be propagated is a value on a non-sensitive port, then it is necessary to consider a sensitive port of the module and select a test that has the required signal value on the port to be propagated and an event on the selected sensitive port. This allows the required process to be executed and the value can be propagated to the output port. This is illustrated in case-B of the Figure-18.

If a constant signal is to be propagated on a sensitive port as shown in case-C, a test is selected that assigns the constant to the sensitive port and assigns an event to some other sensitive port of the model.

4.5 New Justification Scheme

During propagation, certain internal signals are assigned values which need to be justified to the primary input ports. The justification scheme has been totally changed. The new procedure `Justify()` incorporates the following features:

(1) The justification scheme allows more than one step of justification to take place, i.e., it allows models based on the structure shown below to be tested. In the example below, to propagate 'a' to the output, 'b' is assigned a constant value. This needs to be justified to process 3. As a result, 'c' is then assigned a value which further needs to be justified to process 4.
(2) The scheme allows more than one signal to be justified in the same time-frame. For example, models based on the structures shown below. In order to propagate 'a' to the output, both 'b' and 'c' are assigned constant values which need to be justified to processes 3 and 4 respectively.

(3) Combinations of 2 and 3 above.
4.6 The Philosophy of the Primitive Tests

In our hierarchical test generation approach, primitive tests for each process of the process model graph are assumed to be precomputed and stored in the design library. These precomputed test sets are based on the functionality of the processes. They contain both the set of input patterns to the process and the output response expected for a correctly functioning module. These tests are then used to assemble the test for the whole entity. Thus, the basic primitive test philosophy can be stated as follows:

*Given the set of precomputed tests containing a set of input test sequences and the corresponding expected output responses for each process in the PMG, the test sequence for the entire model can be hierarchically constructed from these primitive test sets.*

Figure-19 shows the test data file for the ENBL module. The first line indicates the order in which the ports are stored in the linked-list of ports for the module. This order can be determined by means of the *extract.c* program explained in chapter 5. The order of the tests for the ports is assumed to be the same as the order of the ports in the linked-list. The second line is an *initialization frame* where the user can specify if an output needs to be initialized or not. In the above case, the output ENB of the module will be preset to logic '1' during the test generation. This is discussed in the next section in greater detail.
Initialization frame =>

```
portorder: ENB PRESET NSTRB STRA
1
  000R
1
  00F
1
  R0F1
1
  F0R1
1
  R000
1
  F000
2
  R00R
1001
2
  F0R1
0011
```

Figure-19: Test Data File for the module ENBL

The test sequences are given starting from the third line of the data file. Each test set is preceded by a number indicating the number of time-frames needed to assign that test. Symbolic values are used to represent the various tests. The meaning of the symbols are as follows:
'0' : A constant '0' in a single-bit bus.

'1' : A constant '1' in a single-bit bus.

'R' : A transition from '0' to '1' on a single-bit bus.

'F' : A transition from '1' to '0' on a single-bit bus.

'X' : Unknown value or don't care.

'Z' : High Impedance.

'D_i' : Any chosen value in either a single-bit bus or a multi-bit bus. The values can be D1, D2, D3, D4, etc.

'P' : a value which is the same as the value of the signal in the previous time-frame.

'C_i' : Values used to represent control signals, like the output of a counter. The values can be C1, C2, C3, C4, etc.

Each test data file needs to satisfy certain requirements. They are:

[1] In case of processes whose outputs need to be initialized, the output value needs to be specified in the initialization frame. If no initialization is required, this frame is left blank.

[2] For each sensitive port of the process, there must be a test that generates an event on that port. The test should also generate an event on the output port of the process.

[3] In a particular time frame, only one port should have an event. All the other ports should be assigned constant values.
[4] For an internal signal whose destination port is a sensitive input port, it is required to have a test that generates an event on the signal as well as a test that generates a constant value on the signal. However, for an internal signal whose destination port is not a sensitive port, only a test that generates a constant value on the signal is required. Hence, a test that generates an event on the output port of the process as well as a test that generates a constant value on the output port should be present, since the information about internal signals is not available for a process in isolation.

[5] If a test is included where the output depends on the previous value, the test needs to have a symbolic value 'P' for the output port.

![Figure-20: Process OUTPUT](image)

The tests that have events on the sensitive signals guarantee that there is at least one test to activate each of the sensitive ports. Also, the requirement that in any time frame, only one input signal should have an event in the test data file, is important. For example, in the process OUTPUT in Figure-20, there are two sensitive input ports REG and ENBLD. In order to check the sensitivity of port ENBLD, a test with an event on ENBLD is needed. In addition, the test should have a constant signal value on port REG.
Otherwise, even if the process OUTPUT is executed, we cannot tell whether it is due to the event on port ENBLD or the event on port REG. Hence, the following test is selected to propagate the event on signal ENBLD to the output DO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D0</th>
<th>ENBLD</th>
<th>REG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.7 Incorporation of Sequential Primitives

A sequential primitive is one in whose output depends both on the present set of inputs to the primitive and its previous state. A general sequential primitive is shown in Figure-21. The use of purely gate-level structural detail makes the test generation problem for sequential circuits extremely complex and the test sequence very large. However, the use of high-level functional models reduces the complexity to a large extent.

In case of circuits containing sequential primitives, the test generation problem is similar to that of combinational circuits in that a set of test vectors has to be generated to activate a sensitive path and propagate the result to the output. However, in case of models containing sequential primitives, the sequential primitive first has to be placed in an initial state, and this state is used when propagating a value to the primary output [25]. This is because a particular set of inputs may cause the output of the process to change to a value which depends on the previous output. For example, in case of a counter, on the active edge of the clock, the output is incremented by one over its previous value.
In order to incorporate sequential primitives in the HBTG test generation process, a combination of automatic analytical techniques and user-interaction is adopted. Such a bilateral approach [26, 28] is commonly applied to provide the user with some steering capability. A provision is made for the user to specify the initialization value for each module in the process model graph. As explained in section 4.6, an initialization frame is created in the precomputed test data file stored in the library for each module. If this frame is left blank, no initialization is done for that module. If a specific value is indicated in the frame, the HBTG algorithm then proceeds to initialize the appropriate signal to that value before starting the actual test generation process. The initialization process is performed by the procedure Initialize_Module.
In case of the processes to be initialized, we assume the following:

(1) Synchronous primitives have a CLOCK port.

(2) Asynchronous controls such as PRESET and RESET are available wherever appropriate.

A macro called \textit{PortNameValue} has been defined which assigns unique integers to special ports. The ports recognized are RESET or RST, PRESET, CLOCK or CLK and CLEAR or CLR. This macro is crucial in referencing the ports during the test generation process.

In order to initialize single-bit values, the user specifies a '1' or a '0' in the initialization frame. For example, in the test-data file for the module ENBLD in Figure-19, there is a '1' in the initialization frame. The output port is then set to '1' when the test generation process starts. This is accomplished by the procedure \textit{Initialize_Single_Bit}().

During the test generation process, initially all the signals are assumed to be in unknown state. When a process is encountered whose output needs to be initialized, the initialization process starts in time-frame 0. For example, in order to initialize port ENB of the process ENBL, the preset port is used to set the output to 1 as shown below:

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
frame & ENB & PRESET \\
\hline
0 & R & R \\
1 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{center}
In order to initialize BIT_VECTOR outputs in case of modules such as latches, registers, etc., the user specifies the initial output value (D1, D2, D3, etc.). For example, in order to set the output of a latch module to 'D2', the following process is performed by the procedure Initialize_Vector:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>frame</th>
<th>REG</th>
<th>CLK</th>
<th>DATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In case of circuits where control signals are present, symbolic values C_i (C1, C2, C3, etc.) have been defined as explained in section 4.5. A hierarchy is assumed to be present among the control signals where C1 can be clocked to C2, C2 can be clocked to C3, etc. i.e. C1 differs in one bit from C2, C2 differs in one bit from C3 and so on. For example, the assignments could be:

\[
C1: 00 \quad C3: 10 \\
C2: 01 \quad C4: 11
\]

Any such set of assignments could be made, as long as they are consistent with the definition of the control signals.

In order to initialize a counter, we follow a typical approach [26, 27] in which the counter is first reset to an initial value and clocked to the required value specified in the initialization frame. For example, the initial state is assumed to be 'C1'. The following process is followed to initialize the counter to 'C3':
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>frame</th>
<th>COUNT</th>
<th>CLK</th>
<th>RESET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For a model containing sequential primitives, once the test sequence has been generated for one time-frame, this information is used as the initial state information for the next time-frame. In order to allow this feature to be accessed within the precomputed test-data files, a symbolic value 'P' has been defined. Hence, whenever a process has a 'P' at the output, it remains at the same value as the previous time-frame. This is a crucial factor in allowing the test generation process to reference previous state information.

### 4.8 Test Generation through Resolved Ports

We know that in VHDL, a signal may contain multiple containers called *drivers*, and the value of the signal is a function of all the drivers [3]. The value of the signal is computed by a *resolution function*.

A simple and commonly used resolved type is the type TSL defined as:
type MVL is ('X', '0', '1', 'Z');
type MVL_VECTOR is array (INTEGER RANGE <> ) of MVL;
function BUSFUNC(INPUT: MVL_VECTOR) return MVL;
subtype TSL is BUSFUNC MVL;
type TSL_VECTOR is array (INTEGER RANGE <> ) of TSL;

function BUSFUNC(INPUT: MVL_VECTOR) return MVL is
  variable RES_VAL: MVL := 'Z';
  begin
    for I in INPUT'RANGE loop
      if INPUT(I) /= 'Z' then
        RES_VAL := INPUT(I);
      end if;
    end loop;
    return RES_VAL;
  end BUSFUNC;

In order to perform test generation through resolved ports, it is necessary to recognize the existence of these ports in the model. Currently, the resolved types TSL and TSL_VECTOR are supported. In the figure below, the port A is a resolved port. Both the signals S1 and S2 make assignments to port A. Sensitive paths are constructed through port A from both processes P1 and P2. If an event occurs on S2, the event is propagated through port A to the output. A similar process occurs for an event on S1.
If a constant value is assigned to port A and needs to be justified, it is justified to the process which occurs earlier in the linked-list of processes. A similar procedure is followed if the port is of type TSL_VECTOR.

4.9 Test Generation for Models with Feedback

The presence of feedback is common in digital systems. In a VHDL model, the presence of a feedback signal causes unknown initial states in the model. Hence, it is necessary to initialize the feedback signal. Figure-22 shows feedback in a general VHDL behavioral model represented by its process model graph.

Figure-22A: A General VHDL Model with Feedback
In Figure-22, the signal S4 is fed back from port B to port A. Hence, it is necessary to initialize the signal S4 before the test generation process starts. This problem occurs due to the presence of sequential primitives in which the output depends on the initial state of the primitive.

The Modeler’s Assistant recognizes the existence of feedback signals by means of an 'external' property where the signals are tagged as external to the model. In order to generate tests for models with feedback, a two-step approach is followed. A macro called \textit{PortExt(port)} has been defined for each port of the PMG. This macro assigns a 1 to the source port of a feedback signal and a '2' to the destination port of the feedback signal. This macro allows us to reference primary inputs and outputs on the feedback path. The construction of sensitive paths is performed making use of the above macro. When a sensitive path reaches a primary output port that is the source of a feedback signal, the construction of the path stops at that point. This prevents the sensitive path construction from going into an infinite loop.

Once the sensitive paths have been constructed, the test generation process begins as the second step. During the TG process, the feedback signals are first selected and the user prompted for an initial value. The initial value is then reflected in the very first time frame, i.e. frame 0 of the test sequence. During the test generation process, the sequential primitives will use the initialized value as the previous state. The signal value assigned to the source of a feedback signal (output port) in one frame is used as the initial state for the destination of the feedback signal (input port) in the next time frame.
In the above register model with feedback, the output DO is feedback to the input II of the multiplexer. Table-4 below shows the test sequence generated by HBTG for the above model. There are two sensitive paths:

$$\text{SL} \Rightarrow \text{MX} \Rightarrow \text{REG} \Rightarrow \text{DO}$$
$$\text{STRB} \Rightarrow \text{DO}$$

In time frame 0, the user is prompted to enter an initial value, say '1'. Then, in time-frame 1, in order to activate the port SL, a test is chosen with an event on SL and MX, and having a value of '1' on the port II. A similar process is followed for subsequent time-frames, where the tests chosen for the MULTX module reflect the value of the feedback signal (port DO) in the previous time-frame.

Table-4: Test Sequence for Register Model with Feedback

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>frame</th>
<th>MX</th>
<th>SL</th>
<th>I0</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>STRB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.10 Information to the User

The main function of the HBTG algorithm is to exercise the VHDL behavioral model thoroughly, i.e., the test sequence should exercise as many functions of the VHDL model as possible. Once the test sequence has been constructed by HBTG, it is necessary that some information be provided to the user about the various functions that are exercised by the test sequence. This is because the test sequence is in the form of test-vectors which cannot be directly interpreted by the user.

The information is provided in two different steps. A macro called PortAct is defined for each port of the process model graph. This macro is used to reference activation information about each port. Initially, the PortAct for each port of the PMG is set to zero. In the test generation process, everytime an event is assigned to a sensitive port, the PortAct of that port is incremented by one. At the end of the test generation process, the PortAct of each port indicates how many times that port has been activated. This provides an indication of the number of times the corresponding process has been executed and is a representation of the capability of the generated test sequence.

Another system used to provide information to the user is the coverage environment of the VHDL system simulator. This system is discussed in detail in chapter 6. The results indicate the number of times each statement in the VHDL behavioral model is executed during simulation by applying the test patterns generated by HBTG.
Chapter 5. The Enhanced Hierarchical Test Generation Algorithm

5.1 Programming Environment

The previous algorithm was developed in ANSI-C on an APOLLO DN 3500 workstation. The current HBTG algorithm has been developed in Sun-C and is running on a Sun SPARCstation II. Since the Sun SPARC II does not directly support ANSI-C, a portion of the previous code had to be ported over to Sun-C.

The previous algorithm received information from the old version 2 of the Modeler's Assistant [19]. The Modeler's Assistant has since then been modified [20]. One of the features of the current HBTG algorithm is its ability to interface with the new version 3 of the Modeler's Assistant. The version 3 stores information in the PMG database in a different manner. Hence, a program had to be written to extract information from the PMG database of the Modeler's Assistant version 3.
Figure-23 shows the programming environment used in the development of the HBTG algorithm. Several tools were used to aid the software development effort. The Sun Source Browser [24] is a utility that allows the user to traverse and edit large pieces of code. For example, the user can reference all occurrences of a particular variable or pointer by simply performing a query on the item. This tool proved invaluable in maintaining the code which consists of 9 files and over 4000 lines of code.

The DbxTool was applied to debug the source code. This tool provides the basic debugging functions such as stepping through the code one line at a time and tracing the values of variables after each step. In case of a program crash, the DbxTool can also locate the line that caused the crash.
There are three executable files: `extract`, which extracts information from the
database of the Modeler's Assistant (source file: extract.c), `spath` which constructs
sensitive paths through the PMG (source file: spath.c) and `HBTG` which is the final test
generation program (source file: testgen.c). The above programs along with the additional
files are explained in the programmers manual in the appendix.

5.2 Test Generation Methodology

5.2.1 Assumptions

Certain assumptions have been made during the development of HBTG. They are
listed below:

- The model to be tested is developed using the Modeler's Assistant. Hence, it is a single
  entity, single architecture VHDL behavioral model and all the constructs inside the
  architectural body are processes.

- Primitive tests for each process of the model are assumed to be available in the design
  library.

- The model has no reconvergent fanout structure.

- All the signal assignment statements within the model have delta delays.
5.2.2 Usage of the PMG of the VHDL Model

The graphical representation of a VHDL model, the process model graph, provides all the information about the model such as sensitivity of the ports, modes of each port, interconnection between the processes, etc. The only information that the PMG does not convey is about the functionality of each process in the PMG.

A process model graph $G$ of a VHDL behavioral model is a directed-graph consisting of a non-empty set of processes $\{P(G)\}$ and a set of internal signals $\{S(G)\}$ such that each signal of $G$ is associated with a pair of processes of $G$. Thus, the PMG is a directed-graph representation of behavior rather than a structural description of the model. This ability to clearly describe behavioral interconnections enables the PMG to be a direct representation of the division of functionality within the VHDL behavioral model. i.e. there is a hierarchy inherent in a VHDL behavioral model described by its process model graph. The test generation technique described in this thesis exploits this hierarchy. Hence, the process model graph of the VHDL behavioral model is used as the base for test generation.

5.2.3 Notion of Effectiveness of a Test Sequence

In our approach to test generation, no specific fault models are adopted. The main aim of HBTG is to construct a test sequence that exercises the VHDL model thoroughly.
We wish to generate an efficient test pattern to test different operations of the model. In order for the test to be efficient, the test sequence must be as short as possible and should check as many operations of the model as possible.

According to the semantics of a VHDL behavioral model, a process inside the architectural body of the behavioral model will be executed only if there is an event (change in signal value) on at least one of its sensitive input ports [3]. Hence, a test that generates events on the sensitive ports of a model is better than one that does not for the purpose of exercising the model. One major criterion used by the HBTG algorithm is: The test sequence should activate as many sensitive ports of the model as possible. As explained in section 3.2.2, "activate a port" in this context refers to the creation of an event on that port which generates a rise or fall in the single-bit bus or assigns different bit-vectors to a multi-bit bus.

Definition: An effective test sequence for a VHDL behavioral model is a test sequence that activates all the sensitive input ports of the model at least once.

Thus, if all the sensitive ports of the PMG of the VHDL model are activated at least once, we say that the test sequence is an effective test sequence. The above notion of effectiveness of a generated test sequence is a major factor in evaluating test quality. This is described in chapter 6 in greater detail.
5.2.4 Interface to the Modeler's Assistant

The process model graph of the VHDL model is developed interactively using the Modeler's Assistant. As explained in section 3.1, the Modeler's Assistant has a PMG database that stores information about the geometry of the PMG in terms of the various PMG elements such like processes, ports, signals, etc.

The different constructs of the PMG are termed as nodes. Hence, a node could be a unit, process, port, signal, constant, variable or generic. Currently, the number of nodes in a process model graph can be anything upto 800. Each node of the PMG is stored in a data structure *a-node* defined as

```c
typedef struct
{
    char name[32];
    int type;
    int ptr[6];
    rect R;
} a_node;
```

The field *name* is used to store the name of the node. For example, if the node is a port called ENBLD, this field stores the string "ENBLD". The field *type* indicates the type of node i.e. whether the node is a port, module, signal, etc. The field *ptr[6]* is an array, each element of which points to a different related item in the PMG like the mode of a port, the next port in the linked list of ports, etc. The field *rect* is itself another data
structure which stores information about the coordinates of the display screen. The test generation algorithm HBTG does not make use of this field.

The PMG database of the Modeler's Assistant is actually a linked list of nodes. Figure-24 shows the linked list for the PMG created using the Modeler's Assistant in Figure-7 in chapter 3. A more general linked-list is shown in the appendix.

![Diagram of linked list structure](image)

Figure-24: Linked-List Structure used to store information about example-pmg
A program called *his.c* is used to store information into the database described above.

The HBTG algorithm extracts information from the PMG database and uses it in the TG process. In order to extract information from the database, a program called *extract.c* has been written. This program uses various macros defined in the file *macros.h* in order to retrieve the information from the PMG database. Since each node of the PMG is stored in a structure of *a_node*, the macros can obtain information by accessing the fields of the structure. Typical macros include *UnitName(unit)* which accesses the name of the top unit, *TopSignal(unit)* which points to the top signal in the linked list of signals and *NextPort(port)* which references the next port in the linked list of ports.

Figure-25 shows the PMG of an 8-bit latch created using the Modeler's Assistant. Figure-26 illustrates the VHDL code produced by the Modeler's Assistant for the model in Figure-25. The program *extract.c* that extracts information from the PMG database is shown in Figure-27. Figure-28 indicates the information retrieved from the database by the extract program.

In Figure-28, the modules in the top unit file and the ports in each module are shown along with their respective node numbers. The term *PortMode* indicates the mode of a port; ports with mode 2 are input ports, those with mode 4 are output ports and those with mode 0 are inout ports. The parameter *Sense* provides sensitivity information about the ports. If a port is sensitive, this parameter has a value of one. Else, it has a value of zero.
Figure-25: PMG of 8-bit Latch
use WORK.VHDLCAD.all, WORK.USER_TYPES.all;

-- *********************************************************************

entity LATCH8 is
  port (DO: out MVL_VECTOR(0 to 7); NG2: in BIT;
        G1: in BIT; CLK: in BIT;
        DATA: in BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7));
end LATCH8;
-- *********************************************************************

architecture BEHAVIORAL of LATCH8 is

  signal EN: BIT;
  signal REG: BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7);
begin

  -- ---------------------------------------------------
  -- Process Name: OUTPUT
  -- ---------------------------------------------------

  OUTPUT_4: process (EN,REG)
  begin
    if (EN = '1') then
      DO <= BY_to_MVL(REG);
    else
      DO <= "ZZZZZZZ";
    end if;
  end process OUTPUT_4;

  -- ---------------------------------------------------
  -- Process Name: ENB
  -- ---------------------------------------------------

  ENB_9: process (NG2,G1)
  begin
    EN <= G1 AND NOT NG2;
  end process ENB_9;

  -- ---------------------------------------------------
  -- Process Name: LTCH
  -- ---------------------------------------------------

  LTCH_14: process (CLK)
  begin
    if (CLK = '0') then
      REG <= DATA;
    else
      REG <= REG;
    end if;
  end process LTCH_14;

end BEHAVIORAL;

Figure-26: VHDL code produced by Modeler's Assistant for 8-bit Latch
This file is used to extract information from the PMG database.
The compile command is:
   cc his.c extract.c -o extract

#include <stdio.h>
#include "macrosrn.h"
#include "vhdlm.h"
#include "externs.h"

a_node Node[MAX_NODES];
int FreeNodeCount, FreeList, top_node;

main()
{
   int top_node, next_mod, next_port, sigptr; num_port = 0, num_mod = 0;
   char unit_name[20];

   init_nodeset();
   printf("Program to extract information from PMG database\n");
   printf("\n");
   printf("Please enter name of unit:\n");
   scanf("%s", unit_name);
   top_node = load_unit(unit_name);

   next_mod = TopOfModule(top_node);
   while (next_mod != __UnDefNode)
   {
      num_mod++;
      printf("ModuleName = %s", ModuleName(next_mod));
      printf("Module node number = %d", next_mod);
      next_port = NextPort(TopPort(next_mod));
      while (next_port != __UnDefNode)
      {
         num_port++;
         printf("PortName = %s, Node number= %d, PortMode = %s, Sense = %s\n", 
            PortName(next_port), next_port, PortMode(next_port), PortSense(next_port));
         sigptr = TopSignal(top_node);
         while (sigptr != __UnDefNode)
         {
            if (AbsPortLoc(SignalSrc(sigptr)) == next_port){
               printf("PortSignalNode = %d\n", sigptr);
            }
            sigptr = NextSignal(sigptr);
         }
      }
   }
   NumPort(next_mod) = num_port;
   printf("Number of ports in module %s = %d\n", ModuleName(next_mod), NumPort(next_mod));
   num_port = 0;

   (continued on next page)
next_mod = NextModRef(next_mod);
}
NumMod(top_node) = num_mod;
printf("Number of modules in the unit = %d\n", NumMod(top_node));
}

Figure-27: Program to extract information from the PMG database

Program to extract information from PMG database

Please enter name of unit:
LATCH8

ModuleName = OUTPUT
Module node number = 2
PortName= DO, Node number= 5, PortMode = 4, Sense = 0;
PortName= ENBLD, Node number= 7, PortMode = 2, Sense = 1;
PortName= REG, Node number= 8, PortMode = 2, Sense = 1;
Number of ports in module OUTPUT = 3

ModuleName = ENB
Module node number = 9
PortName= EN, Node number= 11, PortMode = 4, Sense = 0;
PortSignalNode = 22
PortName= NG2, Node number= 14, PortMode = 2, Sense = 1;
PortName= G1, Node number= 15, PortMode = 2, Sense = 1;
Number of ports in module ENB = 3

ModuleName = LTCH
Module node number = 16
PortName= OP, Node number= 18, PortMode = 0, Sense = 0;
PortSignalNode = 3
PortName= CLK, Node number= 20, PortMode = 2, Sense = 1;
PortName= DATA, Node number= 21, PortMode = 2, Sense = 0;
Number of ports in module LTCH = 3

Number of modules in the unit = 3

Figure-28: Information extracted from the database by extract.c
5.3 Improved Sensitive Path Construction

During the test generation process, tests are selected from the primitive test sets that activate a sensitive port of a process. This causes the process to be executed and a result assigned to an output port of the process. The result must now be propagated to a primary output port of the model to be observed. In order to perform the above activation, sensitive paths are constructed through the process model graph as explained in section 3.2. We have defined a sensitive path as a directed path that starts at a sensitive primary input port and ends at a primary output port of the process with the intermediate ports along the path consisting of as many sensitive ports as possible. The creation of these sensitive paths is a dominant activity in the test generation process.

Cho and Armstrong [18] state that two processes in a VHDL model may be either connected or not connected. Two connected processes may further be strongly connected or weakly connected as defined below:

\((I_S)_{Pj}\) : the set of signals which are inputs to process \(P_j\) and included in its sensitivity list.

\((I_{NS})_{Pj}\) : the set of signals which are inputs to process \(P_j\) but are not included in its sensitivity list.

\((O)_{P_i}\) : the set of signals that are outputs from \(P_i\).
Definition: Two processes $P_i$ and $P_j$ are \textbf{connected} if $(O)_{P_i}$ and the union of $(I_S)_{P_j}$ and $(I_{NS})_{P_j}$ have a common element.

i.e.  \[(O)_{P_i} \cap \{(I_S)_{P_j} \cup (I_{NS})_{P_j}\} \notin \Phi, \text{ where } \Phi \text{ represents the null set.} \]

Definition: Two processes $P_i$ and $P_j$ are \textbf{strongly connected} if $(O)_{P_i}$ and $(I_S)_{P_j}$ have a common element.

i.e.  \[(O)_{P_i} \cap (I_S)_{P_j} \notin \Phi \]

Definition: Two processes $P_i$ and $P_j$ are \textbf{weakly connected} if $(O)_{P_i}$ and $(I_{NS})_{P_j}$ have a common element, but $(O)_{P_i}$ and $(I_S)_{P_j}$ do not have a common element.

i.e.  \[(O)_{P_i} \cap (I_{NS})_{P_j} \notin \Phi \]
\[(O)_{P_i} \cap (I_S)_{P_j} \in \Phi \]

Thus, we can see that a sensitive path constructed through the PMG goes through a series of connected processes. In an ideal case, any two processes are strongly connected. When two connected processes are strongly connected, the test sequence for that path will be fairly short.

\subsection{5.3.1 The Algorithm for Sensitive Path Construction}

The improved algorithm for sensitive path construction through the process model graph is shown in Figure-29. The construction of the sensitive paths begins when a sensitive primary input port is found, and ends at a primary output port of the model. One important criterion is:
For the set of sensitive paths \( \{SP_i, i = 0, 1, 2 \ldots n\} \) constructed for a VHDL behavioral model, the first port on each sensitive path \( SP_i \) is always unique. i.e. the first port on a sensitive path \( x \) is always different from the first port on any other sensitive path \( y \) where \( (x,y \in \{SP_i\}) \).

```
program Construct_Sensitive_Path
begin
  while there is a sensitive input port not selected, do
    select the port as first port of the sensitive path;
  Repeat
    If process has only one output, then
      choose that output as next port along path;
      Select_Destn_Port;
    else
      choose that output port that is
        on the least number of sensitive paths;
      Select_Destn_Port;
    end if;
    Until primary output is reached;
  end while;
end program;

procedure Select_Destn_Port
begin
  if more than one signal is leaving port, then
    choose the least activated of all
      destination ports as next port along sensitive path;
  else
    choose the destination port of
      the signal as next port along sensitive path;
  end if;
end procedure;
```

**Figure-29 : Algorithm for Sensitive Path Construction**
During the construction of a sensitive path $sp[i]$, a sensitive input port that is not the first port on any other path, is selected as the first port on the path $sp[i]$. If the process containing this port has only one output port, then that output port is selected as the next port on the path $sp[i]$. If there is more than one output, the next port on the path is selected based on the $choose\_output\_port$ algorithm explained in section 4.2. This algorithm causes that output port which is on the least number of sensitive paths, to be selected as the next port on the path $sp[i]$. The procedure $Select\_Destn\_Port$ is then invoked in order to select a destination port in case of multiple fanout. This port is then assigned as the next port on the path $sp[i]$. This process is continued till a primary output port of the model is reached. Once the primary output is reaches, the construction of path $sp[i]$ is completed.

5.3.2 Implementation

The implementation of the above sensitive path algorithm is done by a program called $spath.c$. This program uses the information extracted from the PMG database to perform the sensitive path construction. Various macros defined in the file $macrosm.h$ are used in the sensitive path construction process. The macros $NumMod(unit)$ and $NumPort(module)$ represent the number of processes in the unit and the number of ports in a process (module) respectively. The macro $PortOrder(port)$ assigns a value to each port of the PMG. Each primary input port and primary output port are assigned an unique port order. All ports connected to the same signal are assigned the same port order.
The above macros play a crucial role in the algorithm to optimize the sensitive path construction according to modules with multiple output and multiple fanout condition.

Figure-30 shows the sensitive paths constructed by the program `spath.c` for the PMG of the 8-bit latch in Figure-25. The paths are represented in two dimensional form. The first dimension indicates the sensitive path number. The order of the port on the sensitive path is represented by the second dimension. Thus, `sp[3][4]` is the third port on sensitive path 2.

---

Enter name of the unit:  
LATCH8

No. of signals = 6

path 0:
sp[0][0]=14(NG2)
sp[0][1]=11(EN)
sp[0][2]=7(ENBLD)
sp[0][3]=5(DO)

path 1:
sp[1][0]=15(G1)
sp[1][1]=11(EN)
sp[1][2]=7(ENBLD)
sp[1][3]=5(DO)

path 2:
sp[2][0]=20(CLK)
sp[2][1]=18(OP)
sp[2][2]=8(REG)
sp[2][3]=5(DO)

No. of Spath = 3

Figure-30: Sensitive Paths constructed for the 8-bit latch
5.4 Creation of the Primitive Test Database

The precomputed tests for each module of the process model graph are stored as .lst files in the design library. Once the sensitive paths have been constructed, a program called input.c reads the test data files and stores them in a primitive test database.

```
portorder: OP CLK DATA
D2
  1
P R D1
  1
D2 F D2
  1
D1 F D1
  2
D1 F D1
D1 0 D1
  2
D2 F D2
D2 0 D2
```

**Figure-31: Test Data File for Process LTCH**

Figure-31 above shows the test data file for the module LTCH of the 8-bit latch model in Figure-25. An array Init[MAX_MOD][81] is used to store the initialization information for each module of the process model graph. The test sets within the data file are stored in a linked-list of structures of struct test defined as:
```c
struct test{
    int tstorder;
    int nu_frame;
    char tst_buffer[MAX_NU_FRAME][MAX_LINE_CHAR];
    struct test *ptr_to_next;
}
```

For each test-set, the field `tstorder` indicates the order of the test-set in the linked list starting from 0; `nu_frame` indicates the number of time-frames needed for the test; the array `tst_buffer` is a string used to store the test-set itself; the pointer `ptr_to_next` points to the next test in the linked-list of tests. Figure-32 shows the linked-list database created for the module LTCH.
Figure-33: Linked-List Database of Test Sets
for process LTCH
5.5 The Test Generation Process

Once the sensitive paths have been constructed through the PMG and the precomputed tests have been stored in the linked list database, the test generation process starts. This section discusses the test generation process.

5.5.1 Algorithm HBTG

The HBTG algorithm interfaces with the Modeler's Assistant to receive the process model graph. The basic pseudo-code for the algorithm is listed in Figure-33.

```
program HBTG

begin
  do Construct_Sensitive_Path;
  do Input_Tst;
  while there is a process not yet initialized, do
    call Initialize_Module;
  end while;
  while there is a sensitive path in the PMG not activated, do
    Select the path;
    Decide put;
    Activate the first port along the path;
    Increase the portact of the port;
    while Primary output of the path is not reached, do
      Decide put;
      If the front signal on the path is an event, then
        if the signal is a sensitive signal, then
          do propagation of the event;
          Increase the portact of the port;
        else
          do propagation of the non-sensitive signal;
          Increase the portact of the port;
      end if
    end while
  end while
end
```

---( Continued on next page )------
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end if;
do implication;
else
   if the front signal on the path depends on the previous frame, then
      Decide the value of the signal in the current frame;
do propagation of the signal value;
      Increase portact of the port on which an event has been assigned;
do implication;
   else
      do propagation of a constant signal value;
      Increase portact of the port on which an event has been assigned;
do implication;
   end if;
end if;
end while;
while a process with known output (Os) and unknown input exists, do
   Select a sensitive path that traverses Os if possible;
   while the primary input on the path is not reached, do
      decide put;
do signal justification towards primary input;
do implication;
      Increase the portact of the port;
   end while;
end while;
end while;
end while;
end program HBTG;

program Input_Tst
begin
   while a module exists for which tests have not been stored in the database, do
      begin
         Store the Initialization frame into an array;
         Set the order of the test-sets to null;
         while there is a test-set not yet stored, do
            begin
               Save the number of frames needed for the test-set;
               Store the test-set into the data-structure;
               Increment the order of test-sets in the linked-list;
               Set pointer of previous test-set to point to current test-set;
            end while;
         end while;
      end program Input_Tst;

Figure-33: Algorithm HBTG
In the HBTG algorithm, sensitive paths are constructed through the PMG by the Construct_Sensitive_Path program explained in Figure-29. Primitive tests for each process are stored in the database by the program Input_Tst listed in the above figure. The processes are then initialized to the value specified in the precomputed test data files by the procedure Initialize_Module. In the test generation process, sensitive paths are selected and activated one by one until all the paths are activated. The activation of a path starts with activating the first port along the path. The signal value is then propagated to the primary output (PO) on the path, thereby activating the other sensitive ports along the path. Once the PO is reached, justification begins to justify the internal signal values specified during the forward activation process towards the primary inputs. Implication is performed to calculate the output values of a process when its inputs are specified. The test generation process is illustrated in Figure-34. Some terms used in the HBTG algorithm are defined below:

Definition: A front signal is the signal on the path selected that has been assigned a value most recently.

Definition: The put is the process under test. In the activation process, the put is the process in which the first port of the sensitive path is present. During propagation, the put is the process on the path that has the front signal as one of its input ports. During justification, the put is the process on the path selected that has the signal to be justified at its output port.
Figure-34: The HBTG Test Generation Process
Definition: The **portact** of a port is the number of times the test sequence has generated an event on the port. It is meaningful for sensitive input ports only.

During the test generation process, the HBTG algorithm selects appropriate test sequences from the primitive test files and instantiates them during the test generation process. For the different operations during the test generation process, different tests are selected. The selection of the tests are based on certain rules discussed below:

- For the activation of a sensitive port on the path selected, HBTG selects a test with an event on that port. The test should also have an event on the next port on the path (the output port of the **put**) as well. A test with a constant value at the output is selected only by default, i.e. if a test with an event at the output port is not present.

- For the propagation of an event on the path, a test with the same event on the port is needed. Again, a test that has an event on the next port of the path is always selected over one that does not.

- In order to propagate a constant signal value on a sensitive input port or a value on a non-sensitive input port, HBTG selects a test with an event on another sensitive input port of the **put**.

- HBTG may select a test whose output depends on the value of the signal in the previous time-frame. In such a case, the value on the output port is calculated based on the previous value in the test generation process.
• For the justification of a signal value, a test that can generate the same value on the signal is required.

• For the implication process, HBTG chooses a test with values on all the input ports of the process equal to the current values of the ports.

During the test generation process, the procedure Select_Tst_Set selects appropriate tests for the various operations based on the above principles. Once the tests have been selected, the Assign_Value procedures assign values to the various signals. The final test sequence is a double linked-list of time-frames. For each time-frame, the signals are stored in a data-structure of struct time_frame defined as:

```c
struct time_frame{  
    int frameorder;  
    struct time_frame *ptr_to_next;  
    struct time_frame *ptr_to_last;  
    int signal_value[100];  
}frame;
```

In the above data structure, the field frameorder indicates the number of the current time frame; the pointer ptr_to_next points to the next structure in the double linked-list; the pointer ptr_to_last points to the previous time frame; the array signal_value is used to store the entire test sequence for a particular time frame.

A time_frame is the period of time required to complete the execution of all processes in a sensitive path caused by the activation of the path. It is assumed that a time frame is sufficiently long for the result of an activation to be propagated to a primary output. Once the test sequence has been generated for one time-frame, this information is
used as the initial state information for the next time-frame. Every time a sensitive path is activated, a new time-frame is created. Similarly, in the justification process, when more than one frame is needed for the justification, new frames are created and inserted before the current time frame.

5.5.2 A Test Generation Example

This section discusses the construction of a test sequence for the 8-bit latch of Figure-25. The PMG is first inputted through the Modeler's Assistant. Next, sensitive paths are constructed through the PMG. There are three sensitive paths:

path 0 : NG2 => EN => ENBLD => DO;
path 1 : G1 => EN => ENBLD => DO;
path 2 : CLK => OP => REG => DO;

In the final test sequence, the signal values are on the horizontal axis and the vertical axis shows the time-frames. Initially, all the signal values are "unknown" or "don't care".

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>frame</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>EN</th>
<th>NG2</th>
<th>G1</th>
<th>OP</th>
<th>CLK</th>
<th>DATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first step in the test generation process is to initialize the modules in the PMG. According to the initialization frame in the precomputed test data file for the module LTCH in the PMG, the output of the process needs to be initialized to a value 'D2'. This is done in the first two time-frames, i.e. frames 0 and 1 as shown below.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>frame</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>EN</th>
<th>NG2</th>
<th>G1</th>
<th>OP</th>
<th>CLK</th>
<th>DATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Once the initialization has been completed, the actual test generation process begins. The first step in this process is the activation of sensitive path 0. Activation of path 0 starts with the activation of the first port on the path i.e. port NG2. From the precomputed test file for module ENB, a test with an event on NG2 is selected, which is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EN</th>
<th>NG2</th>
<th>G1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The test selected assigns an event 'R' to signal EN. This event now has to be propagated to the primary output on the path 0 i.e. DO. Hence, the following test is selected for the process OUTPUT:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DO</th>
<th>EN</th>
<th>REG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This test assigns a value 'D1' to the primary output DO. The justification process now begins to justify the signal value 'D1' on the internal signal REG. For this process, the following two time-frame test is selected from the precomputed test file for module LTCH:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OP</th>
<th>CLK</th>
<th>DATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A two time-frame test is needed to guarantee that a constant value is assigned to signal REG (output port OP) in frame 2 in the table shown below. Hence, the complete test sequence for activation of path 0 is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>frame</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>EN</th>
<th>NG2</th>
<th>G1</th>
<th>OP</th>
<th>CLK</th>
<th>DATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As can be seen, the justification process inserts a time frame (frame 3) between frame 2 and frame 1. The frame numbers indicate the order of selection.

The activation of paths 1 and 2 proceeds in a similar manner to the activation of path 0. To activate port G1 of path 1, the following test is selected:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EN</th>
<th>NG2</th>
<th>G1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The signal values after activation of port G1 are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>frame</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>EN</th>
<th>NG2</th>
<th>G1</th>
<th>OP</th>
<th>CLK</th>
<th>DATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In order to propagate the event on EN, the following test is chosen:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DO</th>
<th>EN</th>
<th>REG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The signal values after propagation and implication are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>frame</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>EN</th>
<th>NG2</th>
<th>G1</th>
<th>OP</th>
<th>CLK</th>
<th>DATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The final step is to activate path 2. This is done by activating port CLK. The test selected is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OP</th>
<th>CLK</th>
<th>DATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 'P' indicates that the output of the process LTCH depends on its previous value. Since each time-frame uses its previous time-frame as a initial reference, the port OP is assigned the same value 'D1' as in the previous time-frame. In order to propagate this value to the output, the following test is selected:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DO</th>
<th>EN</th>
<th>REG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hence, the signal values after activation of port CLK and propagation of the value to the output DO are:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>frame</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>EN</th>
<th>NG2</th>
<th>G1</th>
<th>OP</th>
<th>CLK</th>
<th>DATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to justify the signal on EN to process ENABLE, the following test is selected:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EN</th>
<th>NG2</th>
<th>G1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hence, the signal values after the first step of justification are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>frame</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>EN</th>
<th>NG2</th>
<th>G1</th>
<th>OP</th>
<th>CLK</th>
<th>DATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signal values after the second step of justification are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>frame</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>EN</th>
<th>NG2</th>
<th>G1</th>
<th>OP</th>
<th>CLK</th>
<th>DATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
During implication for module OUTPUT, the following test is selected:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DO</th>
<th>EN</th>
<th>REG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hence, the final test sequence generated by HBTG for the 8-bit latch model is as shown in table-4 below:

**Table-5: Test Sequence for 8-bit Latch**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>frame</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>EN</th>
<th>NG2</th>
<th>G1</th>
<th>OP</th>
<th>CLK</th>
<th>DATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are seven time-frames in the sequence. Frame 0 and 1 are the initial frames, where all the signal values are "unknown" or have been assigned values during initialization. Frames 3 and 2 are responsible for the activation of path 0. Frame 4 represents activation of path 1. Frames 6 and 5 are responsible for activation of path 2. During simulation, the test sequence is applied in lexical order.

Figure-35 shows the PMG of the model CNTR constructed using the Modeler's Assistant. Figure-36 shows the sensitive paths constructed through the PMG. There are
five sensitive paths through the PMG. Table-5 shows the test sequence generated by HBTG for the model CNTR. In the table, time-frames 0 to 6 are used to initialize the counter CNT to the value 'C4' specified in its test data file. In frame 0, the output port COUNT is reset to 'C1' and is then clocked to the required value 'C4' over the next 6 frames. Time frames 8 and 7 are used to activate sensitive path 0. Paths 1 and 2 are activated in frames 9 and 10 respectively. Frames 12 and 11 represent the activation of path 3. The last sensitive path, i.e., sensitive path 4, is activated in frame 13.
Figure-35: PMG of the model CNTR
Enter name of the unit: CNTR

No. of signals = 11

path 0:  
sp[0][0]=7(PRESET)  
sp[0][1]=5(ENB)  
sp[0][2]=14(ENBL)  
sp[0][3]=12(Y)

path 3:  
sp[3][0]=24(CLK)  
sp[3][1]=22(COUNT)  
sp[3][2]=15(SEL)  
sp[3][3]=12(Y)

path 1:  
sp[1][0]=8(NSTRB)  
sp[1][1]=5(ENB)  
sp[1][2]=14(ENBL)  
sp[1][3]=12(Y)

path 4:  
sp[4][0]=25(RESET)  
sp[4][1]=22(COUNT)  
sp[4][2]=15(SEL)  
sp[4][3]=12(Y)

path 2:  
sp[2][0]=9(STRA)  
sp[2][1]=5(ENB)  
sp[2][2]=14(ENBL)  
sp[2][3]=12(Y)

No. of Spath = 5

Figure-36: Sensitive Path Construction for the model CNTR.

Table-6: Test Sequence for model CNTR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>frame</th>
<th>ENB</th>
<th>PRESET</th>
<th>NSTRB</th>
<th>STRA</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>I4</th>
<th>I3</th>
<th>I2</th>
<th>I1</th>
<th>COUNT</th>
<th>CLK</th>
<th>RESET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>C4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.6 Databases used by HBTG

Figure-37 illustrates the various databases present in the HBTG environment. As we can see, there are three main databases. The first is the PMG database in the Modeler's Assistant which supplies information about the PMG. The second database is the primitive test database used to store the precomputed tests for each process in the PMG. The third database is the test-sequence database which stores the final test-sequence generated by HBTG.

The PMG database and the primitive test database are linked lists whereas the test sequence database is a double-linked list of data-structures.
Figure-37: Databases in the HBTG Environment
Chapter 6. Evaluation of Test Quality

Once the test sequence for the VHDL behavioral model has been generated by HBTG, it is necessary to evaluate the quality of the generated test sequence, i.e. We wish to determine the effectiveness of the generated test pattern. One of the most important considerations in software testing is the construction of effective tests. This chapter discusses a scheme to evaluate the quality of the test sequence generated by the HBTG algorithm.

6.1 Software Testing Principles

This section illustrates certain important principles in software testing that have been formulated over the years. Many of these ideas have been incorporated into the HBTG algorithm.
6.1.1 Criteria for Testing

The construction of a test sequence basically follows a simple concept: select the model to test or the attribute to exercise; generate the test patterns (cases) that exercise the required attribute of function; simulate the model by applying the test pattern and observe the results in comparison to a standard or expected output. However, in the context of software testing, it is impossible [29] to have a complete test. This is because the total number of test cases for even the simplest model is extremely large. Hence, it is not possible to test all cases in practice. As a result, the testing must be based on some criteria. The goal is to find a subset of all possible tests that exercises a large number of functions of the model. The quality of tests generated depends strongly on the criteria on which the test generation process is based. As explained in the previous chapter, the criterion used by the HBTG algorithm is to activate as many sensitive ports of the VHDL behavioral model as possible.

6.1.2 Basic Methodologies

Several methodologies have been laid out in the domain of software engineering. Traditionally, some of these methods are adopted as the ground rules on which testing is based.

Path Testing is the name given to the family of testing techniques in which a set of test paths is constructed through the program or model. Exhaustive path testing, i.e. the execution of all possible paths through the model, is impractical if not impossible, due to
the enormous number of paths through even trivial models. However, by selecting a set of
test paths properly, some measure of thoroughness can be achieved in the test generation
process [30]. This idea of path testing is fundamental to many testing techniques.

**Functional Testing**, from the software testing point of view, refers to those
techniques which construct test cases based on the functional description of the model.
i.e., the tests generated are independent of the actual structure of code within the model
itself. The entire model is viewed as a 'black box' and tests are typically constructed based
on functional input/output specifications.

**Structural Testing** refers to the techniques which generate test patterns based on
the actual structure of the model or the functionality within the model. An important
factor in structural testing is the idea of *coverage*.

The term *statement coverage* refers to the property by which every statement in
the model is executed at least once during simulation of the model. According to Myers
[29], executing every statement is a necessary, though not sufficient, condition for test
generation. Statement Coverage has been established as a minimum requirement in the
IEEE unit test standard [30]. Thus, executing every statement in the model is an direct
indication of the quality of the test sequence.

A stronger criterion to test generation than statement coverage is *decision
coverage* which requires every decision within the module to have a true or false decision,
in addition to every statement being executed. However, the test sequence to obtain
decision coverage is invariably much longer than that for statement coverage.
6.2 System to Evaluate HBTG Test Quality

The HBTG algorithm incorporates several of the ideas explained in the previous section. In this section, a system is presented to evaluate the quality of the test pattern generated by HBTG.

A combination of path testing and statement coverage is used to determine test quality. The major criterion used by the HBTG algorithm is to activate as many sensitive ports of the model as possible, and the test generation process based on this criterion proceeds along the sensitive paths through the PMG. The test generation process is a kind of top-down testing, in which the TG starts at the topmost node (unit) and proceeds through each module (process). Within each process, various ports (sub-ordinate nodes) are activated and this process makes use of information within the ports such as sensitivity, etc.

An effective test sequence for a VHDL behavioral model has been defined as one that generates an event on every sensitive port of the model at least once. This notion of effectiveness is used to evaluate the test sequence. As explained, it is impossible to have a 'complete' test. An effective test is one that reduces this incompleteness as much as possible. An effective test sequence for a VHDL model must satisfy the following conditions:

1. It must test as many different functions of the model as possible.
2. It must be 'efficient' in the sense that it must be as short as possible.
The test sequence generated by HBTG is converted into a test-bench file for simulation of the model. The rules to convert the test sequence into a test-bench are shown below. These rules are consistent with the criterion on which the test generation is based. During simulation, the test sequence is applied in lexical order.

- A time period of 5 ns is chosen for each time-frame. Since all the signal assignment statements within the model have delta delays, this value is sufficient to distinguish between the occurrence of different events. Thus, the time period for frame 0 is (1 ns to 5 ns), the frame 1 is assigned values in the period (6 ns to 10 ns), and so on. All the signals in one time-frame are assigned values during the corresponding time period.

- In each time period, those signals in the test sequence which are primary inputs (PIs) are assigned values.

- The various PIs are assigned values at times 1 ns, 4 ns, 6 ns, 9 ns, 11 ns, 14 ns, etc. This means that signal assignments are made at times \((5T + 1)\) ns and \((5T + 4)\) ns where \(T \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots \}\) is the lexical order of the time frame starting from 0.

- A constant value such as a BIT, BIT_VECTOR, TSL, etc. is assigned at time \((5T + 1)\) ns. A BIT_VECTOR signal can be assigned any bit_vector value of appropriate size. However, signals assigned 'D1' must be assigned a different value from those assigned 'D2', and so on. Thus, a signal 'REG' may be assigned a value '00001111' at time 21 ns in time-frame 4.
• In order to assign a 'F' to a signal, if the value of the signal is not a '1' in the previous time-frame, a '1' is assigned at time (5*T +1) ns and a '0' is assigned at time (5*T + 4) ns. Thus, in order to assign a 'F' to a signal ENBLD in time frame 3, a '1' is assigned to ENBLD at 16 ns and a '0' is assigned at 19 ns.

To assign a 'R' to a signal, if the value of the signal is not '0' is the previous time-frame, the same procedure above is repeated with the 1s and 0s interchanged.

• In order to assign a 'F' to a signal, if the value of the signal is a '1' in the previous time frame, only a '0' is assigned to the signal at time (5*T + 4) ns.

• In case of control signals C1, C2, C3, etc., the signals are assigned values at time (5*T + 1) ns. The values assigned to the control signals must follow a definite order, where each value differs from its preceding value by one bit. Hence, for control signals C1, C2, C3, C4, a set of assignments could be:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Since the last event in a time-frame occurs at time (5*T + 4) ns, the simulation results should be observed at that time.

For example, the test-bench file for the 8-bit Latch model using the test sequence in table-4 is shown in Figure-38.
use work.VHDL_CAD.all, work.all;

entity LATCH8_TEST is
end LATCH8_TEST;

architecture TEST of LATCH8_TEST is
signal DS2, DS1, CK: BIT;
signal DATA: BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7);
signal DO: MVL_VECTOR(0 to 7);

component LATCH8
port (DO: out MVL_VECTOR(0 to 7);
     DS2: in BIT;  DS1: in BIT;
     CK: in BIT,  DATA: in BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7)
    );
end component;
for all: LATCH8 use entity work.LATCH8(BEHAVIORAL);
begin
  R1: LATCH8
    port map(DO, DS2, DS1, CK, DATA);

  process
    begin
      CLK <= transport '1' after 1 ns;
      CLK <= transport '0' after 4 ns;
      DATA <= transport "11110000" after 1 ns;

      CLK <= transport '1' after 11 ns;
      CLK <= transport '0' after 14 ns;
      DATA <= transport "00110011" after 11 ns;

      DS1 <= transport '1' after 16 ns;
      DS2 <= transport '1' after 16 ns;
      DS2 <= transport '0' after 19 ns;

      DS1 <= transport '0' after 24 ns;
      DS1 <= transport '0' after 26 ns;
      DS1 <= transport '1' after 29 ns;

      CLK <= transport '0' after 31 ns;
      CLK <= transport '1' after 34 ns;
    wait;
  end process;
end TEST;

Figure 38: Test-Bench for the 8-bit Latch.
The property of statement coverage is used to evaluate test quality. The test-bench file is used to simulate the model using the Synopsys VHDL system simulator [31]. To determine the effectiveness of the generated test sequence, the coverage property of the simulator is utilized. This property provides an environment to evaluate the number of times each statement in the VHDL model is executed during simulation. In order to incorporate the coverage environment, the following process is followed:

```
% vhdlan filename.vhd
% vhdl test-bench.vhd
% vhdlsim test-bench
# include .con file (optional)
# coverage filename.vhd
# run
# quit
% coverage filename.cov
```

The % above refers to the general unix prompt while the # refers to the simulator prompt. Simulation results for the 8-bit Latch are shown in Figure-39. The coverage results for the 8-bit latch are shown in Figure-40. The number in the second column indicates the number of times the corresponding statement has been executed during simulation. As we can see, all the statements have been executed several times when the model is simulated using the test sequence generated by HBTG.
/
0 NS
  SMON4: ACTIVE /LATCH8_TEST/DO (value = 'ZZZZZZZ')
1 NS
  SMON3: ACTIVE /LATCH8_TEST/DATA (value = X'F0')
  SMON2: ACTIVE /LATCH8_TEST/CLK (value = '1')
4 NS
  SMON2: ACTIVE /LATCH8_TEST/CLK (value = '0')
  SMON4: ACTIVE /LATCH8_TEST/DO (value = 'ZZZZZZZ')
11 NS
  SMON3: ACTIVE /LATCH8_TEST/DATA (value = X'33')
  SMON2: ACTIVE /LATCH8_TEST/CLK (value = '1')
14 NS
  SMON2: ACTIVE /LATCH8_TEST/CLK (value = '0')
  SMON4: ACTIVE /LATCH8_TEST/DO (value = 'ZZZZZZZ')
16 NS
  SMON: ACTIVE /LATCH8_TEST/NG2 (value = '1')
  SMON1: ACTIVE /LATCH8_TEST/G1 (value = '1')
19 NS
  SMON: ACTIVE /LATCH8_TEST/NG2 (value = '0')
  SMON4: ACTIVE /LATCH8_TEST/DO (value = '00110011')
24 NS
  SMON1: ACTIVE /LATCH8_TEST/G1 (value = '0')
  SMON4: ACTIVE /LATCH8_TEST/DO (value = 'ZZZZZZZ')
26 NS
  SMON1: ACTIVE /LATCH8_TEST/G1 (value = '0')
29 NS
  SMON1: ACTIVE /LATCH8_TEST/G1 (value = '1')
  SMON4: ACTIVE /LATCH8_TEST/DO (value = '00110011')
31 NS
  SMON2: ACTIVE /LATCH8_TEST/CLK (value = '0')
34 NS
  SMON2: ACTIVE /LATCH8_TEST/CLK (value = '1')

Figure-39: Simulation Results for the 8-Bit Latch
use WORK.VHDLCAD.all, WORK.USER_TYPES.all;
-- ********************************************************************
entity LATCH8 is
port (DO: out MVL_VECTOR(0 to 7);
NG2: in BIT;
G1: in BIT;
CLK: in BIT;
DATA: in BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7));
end LATCH8;
-- ********************************************************************
architecture BEHAVIORAL of LATCH8 is
signal EN: BIT;
signal REG: BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7);
begin
-- -----------------------------------------------------------------
-- Process Name: OUTPUT
-- -----------------------------------------------------------------
OUTPUT_4: process (EN,REG)
begin
if (EN = '1') then
DO <= BV_to_MVL(REG);
else
DO <= "Z";
end if;
end process OUTPUT_4;
Figure-40: Coverage Results for the 8-bit Latch

In the same manner as above, the test sequence for the model CNTR in table-5 is converted into a test-bench file and used for simulation of the model. The coverage results obtained are shown in Figure-41.
use WORK.VHDLCAD.all, WORK.USER_TYPES.all;
-- ******************************************************************************
entity CNTR is
port (PRESET: in BIT;
NSTRB: in BIT;
STRA: in BIT;
Y: out BIT;
I4: in BIT;
I3: in BIT;
I2: in BIT;
I1: in BIT;
CLK: in BIT;
RESET: in BIT);
end CNTR;
-- ******************************************************************************
architecture BEHAVIORAL of CNTR is
signal ENBL: BIT;
signal CNT: BIT_VECTOR(0 to 1);
begin
-- ******************************************************************************
-- Process Name: ENBL
-- ******************************************************************************
ENBL_4: process (PRESET,NSTRB,STRA)
begin
if (PRESET = '1') then
    ENBL <= '1';
else
    ENBL <= STRA and not NSTRB;
end if;
end process ENBL_4;

-- --------------------------------------------------------
-- Process Name: MUX_4TO1
-- --------------------------------------------------------
MUX_4TO1_10: process (ENBL,CNT)
begin
  if (ENBL = '1') then
    case CNT is
      when "00" => Y <= i1;
      when "01" => Y <= i2;
      when "10" => Y <= i3;
      when "11" => Y <= i4;
    end case;
  end if;
end process MUX_4TO1_10;

-- --------------------------------------------------------
-- Process Name: CNT
-- --------------------------------------------------------
CNT_19: process (CLK,RESET)
begin
  if (RESET = '1') then
    CNT <= "00";
  else
    if (CLK = '0') then
      CNT <= INC(CNT);
    end if;
  end if;
end process CNT_19;
end BEHAVIORAL;

Figure-41: Coverage Results for model CNTR
Chapter 7. Results

The Hierarchical Behavioral Test Generator (HBTG) and the scheme to evaluate test quality have been discussed in the previous chapters. This chapter discusses the results obtained for various models.

The Process Model Graph of the models are created using the Modeler's Assistant. The primitive tests for each process of the PMG are precomputed and stored as .tst files in the library. After extraction of information from the database, sensitive paths are constructed through the PMG. The precomputed tests are then stored into the linked-list database. Once this is done, HBTG then generates the test sequence for the model. The test sequence is converted into a test-bench file based on the rules laid out in the previous chapter. The Synopsys VHDL system simulator is then used to estimate statement coverage obtained by simulating the model with the given test sequence.
Figure-42: Model MSD (Part of a Microprocessor Development Board System)
Unit name is msd3
No. of signals = 21

Sensitive path 0:
sp[0][0]=8(ENB3)
sp[0][1]=5(DECR1)
sp[0][2]=34(EN5)
sp[0][3]=32(BUF5)

Sensitive path 1:
sp[1][0]=9(SL3)
sp[1][1]=7(DECR0)
sp[1][2]=28(EN6)
sp[1][3]=26(BUF6)

Sensitive path 2:
sp[2][0]=15(ENB2)
sp[2][1]=12(DECD1)
sp[2][2]=46(EN3)
sp[2][3]=44(BUF3)

Sensitive path 3:
sp[3][0]=16(SL2)
sp[3][1]=14(DECD0)
sp[3][2]=40(EN4)
sp[3][3]=38(BUF4)

Sensitive path 4:
sp[4][0]=22(ENB1)
sp[4][1]=19(DEC1)
sp[4][2]=58(EN1)
sp[4][3]=56(BUF1)

Sensitive path 5:
sp[5][0]=23(SL1)
sp[5][1]=21(DEC0)
sp[5][2]=52(EN2)
sp[5][3]=50(BUF2)

Sensitive path 6:
sp[6][0]=64(STRB)
sp[6][1]=62(REG)
sp[6][2]=29(VECT6)
sp[6][3]=26(BUF6)

No. of Spath = 7

Figure-43: Sensitive Path Construction for model MSD
Table 7: Test-Sequence for model MSD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>frame</th>
<th>DEC0</th>
<th>DEC1</th>
<th>ENB1</th>
<th>SL3</th>
<th>DEC0</th>
<th>SL2</th>
<th>DEC1</th>
<th>ENB1</th>
<th>SL1</th>
<th>BUF5</th>
<th>BUF5</th>
<th>BUF4</th>
<th>BUF3</th>
<th>BUF2</th>
<th>BUF1</th>
<th>REG</th>
<th>STRB</th>
<th>DATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
use WORK.VHDLCAD.all, WORK.USER_TYPES.all;

entity msd is
  port (ENB3: in BIT;
  SL3: in BIT;
  ENB2: in BIT;
  SL2: in BIT;
  ENB1: in BIT;
  SL1: in BIT;
  BUF6: out MVL_VECTOR(0 to 7);
  BUF5: out MVL_VECTOR(0 to 7);
  BUF4: out MVL_VECTOR(0 to 7);
  BUF3: out MVL_VECTOR(0 to 7);
  BUF2: out MVL_VECTOR(0 to 7);
  BUF1: out MVL_VECTOR(0 to 7);
  STRB: in BIT;
  DATA: in BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7));
end msd;

architecture BEHAVIORAL of msd is

signal SIG5: BIT;
signal SIG6: BIT;
signal SIG3: BIT;
signal SIG4: BIT;
signal SIG1: BIT;
signal SIG2: BIT;
signal BUS6: BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7);
signal BUS5: BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7);
signal BUS4: BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7);
signal BUS3: BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7);
signal BUS2: BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7);
signal BUS1: BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7);
begin

  -- Process Name: DECD
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DECD_4: process (ENB3, SL3)
begin
  if ENB3 = '1' then
    case (SL3) is
      when '0' => SIG6 <= '1';
      when '1' => SIG5 <= '1';
    end case;
  end if;
end process DECD_4;

DECD_10: process (ENB2, SL2)
begin
  if ENB2 = '1' then
    case (SL2) is
      when '0' => SIG4 <= '1';
      when '1' => SIG3 <= '1';
    end case;
  end if;
end process DECD_10;

DECD_16: process (ENB1, SL1)
begin
  if ENB1 = '1' then
    case (SL1) is
      when '0' => SIG2 <= '1';
      when '1' => SIG1 <= '1';
    end case;
  end if;
end process DECD_16;

BUFFER_22: process (SIG6)
begin
  if SIG6 = '1' then
    -- Process Name: BUFFER
  end if;
end process BUFFER_22;
96 1       BUF6 <= BV_to_MVL(BUS6);
97 1       else
98 1       BUF6 <= "LLLLLLLL";
99 2       end if;
100
101
102       end process BUFFER_22;
103
104
105
106       -- Process Name: BUFFER
107
108       BUFFER_27: process (SIG5)
109       begin
110 2       if SIG5 = '1' then
111 1       BUF5 <= BV_to_MVL(BUS5);
112 1       else
113 1       BUF5 <= "LLLLLLLL";
114 2       end if;
115
116
117       end process BUFFER_27;
118
119
120
121       -- Process Name: BUFFER
122
123       BUFFER_32: process (SIG4)
124       begin
125 2       if SIG4 = '1' then
126 1       BUF4 <= BV_to_MVL(BUS4);
127 1       else
128 1       BUF4 <= "LLLLLLLL";
129 2       end if;
130
131
132
133       end process BUFFER_32;
134
135
136
137       -- Process Name: BUFFER
138
139       BUFFER_37: process (SIG3)
140       begin
141 2       if SIG3 = '1' then
142 1       BUF3 <= BV_to_MVL(BUS3);
143 1       else
144 1       BUF3 <= "LLLLLLLL";
145 2       end if;
146
147
148
149
150       end process BUFFER_37;
-- Process Name: BUFFER

BUFFER_42: process (SIG2)
begin
if SIG2 = '1' then
  BUF2 <= BV_to_MVL(BUS2);
else
  BUF2 <= "ZZZZZZZZ";
end if;
end process BUFFER_42;

-- Process Name: BUFFER

BUFFER_47: process (SIG1)
begin
if SIG1 = '1' then
  BUF1 <= BV_to_MVL(BUS1);
else
  BUF1 <= "ZZZZZZZZ";
end if;
end process BUFFER_47;

-- Process Name: REGST

REGST_52: process (STRB)
begin
if (STRB = '1') then
  BUS1 <= DATA;
end if;
end process REGST_52;

end BEHAVIORAL;

Figure-44: Coverage Results for model MSD
Figure-45: Model ADMX (an Adder-Multiplexer unit)
Enter name of the unit:
ADMX

Unit name is ADMX
No. of signals = 25

Sensitive path 0:
sp[0][0]=14(CC2)
sp[0][1]=11(CCOP)

Sensitive path 1:
sp[1][0]=19(NDS2)
sp[1][1]=17(ENBLD)
sp[1][2]=51(B1)
sp[1][3]=46(SUM1)
sp[1][4]=13(CC2)
sp[1][5]=11(CCOP)

Sensitive path 2:
sp[2][0]=20(DS1)
sp[2][1]=17(ENBLD)
sp[2][2]=42(B2)
sp[2][3]=37(SUM2)

Sensitive path 3:
sp[3][0]=25(PRESET)
sp[3][1]=23(ENB)
sp[3][2]=34(P)
sp[3][3]=30(Y)

Sensitive path 4:
sp[4][0]=26(NSTRB)
sp[4][1]=23(ENB)
sp[4][2]=34(P)
sp[4][3]=30(Y)

Sensitive path 5:
sp[5][0]=27(STRA)
sp[5][1]=23(ENB)
sp[5][2]=34(P)
sp[5][3]=30(Y)

Sensitive path 6:
sp[6][0]=33(Q)
sp[6][1]=30(Y)

Sensitive path 7:
sp[7][0]=52(A1)
sp[7][1]=49(COUT1)
sp[7][2]=7(C2)
sp[7][3]=5(CON)

Sensitive path 8:
sp[8][0]=58(SM)
sp[8][1]=55(MPX)
sp[8][2]=41(CIN2)
sp[8][3]=40(COUT2)

Sensitive path 9:
sp[9][0]=59(J0)
sp[9][1]=55(MPX)
sp[9][2]=50(CIN1)
sp[9][3]=46(SUM1)
sp[9][4]=13(CC1)
sp[9][5]=11(CC0)

Sensitive path 10:
sp[10][0]=60(J1)
sp[10][1]=55(MPX)
sp[10][2]=50(CIN1)
sp[10][3]=49(COUT1)
sp[10][4]=7(C2)
sp[10][5]=5(CON)

Sensitive path 11:
sp[11][0]=66(SL)
sp[11][1]=63(MX)
sp[11][2]=8(C1)
sp[11][3]=5(CON)

Sensitive path 12:
sp[12][0]=67(I0)
sp[12][1]=63(MX)
sp[12][2]=32(R)
sp[12][3]=30(Y)

Sensitive path 13:
sp[13][0]=68(I1)
sp[13][1]=63(MX)
sp[13][2]=43(A2)
sp[13][3]=57(SUM2)

No. of Spath = 14

Figure-46: Sensitive Path Construction for model ADMX
|    | S00 | S01 | S02 | S03 | S04 | S05 | S06 | S07 | S08 | S09 | S10 | S11 | S12 | S13 | S14 | S15 | S16 | S17 | S18 | S19 | S20 | S21 | S22 | S23 | S24 | S25 | S26 |
|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 0  | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   |
| 1  | X   | X   | X   | X   | F   | X   | X   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | F   | F   |
| 2  | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | F   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | F   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | F   | X   | X   | X   | X   |
| 3  | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | F   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | F   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   |
| 4  | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | 0   | F   |
| 5  | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | X   | F   | F   | F   | F   | F   | F   | F   | F   | F   | F   | F   | F   | F   | F   | F   | F   | F   | F   |
| 6  | F   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | X   | X   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   |
| 7  | F   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | X   | X   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   |
| 8  | F   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | X   | X   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   |
| 9  | F   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | X   | X   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   |
| 10 | F   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | X   | X   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   |
| 11 | F   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | X   | X   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   |
| 12 | F   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | X   | X   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   |
| 13 | F   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | X   | X   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   |
| 14 | F   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | X   | X   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   |
| 15 | F   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | X   | X   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   |
| 16 | F   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | X   | X   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   |
| 17 | F   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | X   | X   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   |
| 18 | F   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | X   | X   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   |
| 19 | F   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | X   | X   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   |
| 20 | F   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | X   | X   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   |
| 21 | F   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | X   | X   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   |
| 22 | F   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | X   | X   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   |
| 23 | F   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | X   | X   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   |
| 24 | F   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | X   | X   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   |
| 25 | F   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | X   | X   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   |
| 26 | F   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | X   | X   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   | F   | F   | 0   |
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Legend for table-8:

SG0 = CC2
SG1 = CCOP
SG2 = CON
SG3 = ENBLD
SG4 = NDS2
SG5 = DS1
SG6 = ENB
SG7 = PRESET
SG8 = NSTRB
SG9 = STRA
SG10 = Y
SG11 = Q
SG12 = SUM2
SG13 = COUT2
SG14 = SUM1
SG15 = COUT1
SG16 = A1
SG17 = MPX
SG18 = SM
SG19 = J0
SG20 = J1
SG21 = MX
SG22 = SL
SG23 = l0
SG24 = l1
use WORK.VHDLCAD.all, WORK.USER_TYPES.all;

entity ADMX is
  port (CCOP: out BIT;
       CC2: in BIT;
       CON: out BIT;
       NDS2: in BIT;
       DS1: in BIT;
       PRESET: in BIT;
       NSTRB: in BIT;
       STRA: in BIT;
       Y: out BIT;
       Q: in BIT;
       SUM2: out BIT;
       COUT2: out BIT;
       A1: in BIT;
       SM: in BIT;
       J0: in BIT;
       J1: in BIT;
       SL: in BIT;
       I0: in BIT;
       I1: in BIT);
end ADMX;

architecture BEHAVIOPAL of ADMX is

signal S8: BIT;
signal S9: BIT;
signal S2: BIT;
signal S7: BIT;
signal S6: BIT;
signal S4: BIT;
signal S3: BIT;
begin

-- Process Name: or2s
--
or2s_8: process (CC2,S8)
begin
  CCOP <= (S8 or CC2);
end process or2s_8;

-- -------------------------------
-- Process Name: AND2
-- -------------------------------
AND2_4: process (S9,S2)
begin
  CON <= S2 and S9;
end process AND2_4;

-- -------------------------------
-- Process Name: ENABLE
-- -------------------------------
ENABLE_14: process (NDS2,DS1)
begin
  S7 <= DS1 and not NDS2;
end process ENABLE_14;

-- -------------------------------
-- Process Name: ENBL
-- -------------------------------
ENBL_19: process (PRESET,NSTRB,STRA)
begin
  if (PRESET = '1') then
    S6 <= '1';
  else
    S6 <= STRA and not NSTRB;
  end if;
end process ENBL_19;

-- -------------------------------
-- Process Name: FN1
-- -------------------------------
FN1_25: process (S2,Q,S6)
begin
  Y <= S6 and Q and not S2;
end process FN1_25;
end process FN1_25;

-- ------------------------------------------------------
-- Process Name: ADDER
-- ------------------------------------------------------
ADDER_31: process (S4, S7, S2)
begin
  11 SUM2 <= S2 xor S7 xor S4;
  11 COUT2 <= (S2 and S7) or (S2 and S4) or (S7 and S4);
end process ADDER_31;

-- ------------------------------------------------------
-- Process Name: ADDER
-- ------------------------------------------------------
ADDER_38: process (S3, S7, A1)
begin
  16 S8 <= A1 xor S7 xor S3;
  16 S9 <= (A1 and S7) or (A1 and S3) or (S7 and S3);
end process ADDER_38;

-- ------------------------------------------------------
-- Process Name: MUX
-- ------------------------------------------------------
MUPX_45: process (SM, J0, J1)
begin
  13 case SM is
  12 when '0' => S3 <= J0;
  14 when '1' => S3 <= J1;
  end case;
end process MUPX_45;

-- ------------------------------------------------------
-- Process Name: MUX
-- ------------------------------------------------------
MUPX_51: process (SL, L0, L1)
begin
  10 case SL is
  16 when '0' => S2 <= L0;
  4  when '1' => S2 <= L1;
  end case;
end process MUPX_51;
end process MUPX_51;

end BEHAVIORAL;

Figure-47: Coverage Results for model ADMX
Figure-48: Model IOSYS (Part of an Input/Output System)
Enter name of the unit:
IOSYS

Unit name is IOSYS
No. of signals = 22

Sensitive path 0:
sp[0][0]=12(SEL0)
sp[0][1]=5(C3)
sp[0][2]=56(EN1)
sp[0][3]=54(BUF1)

Sensitive path 1:
sp[1][0]=13(SEL1)
sp[1][1]=9(C2)
sp[1][2]=50(EN2)
sp[1][3]=48(BUF2)

Sensitive path 2:
sp[2][0]=14(ENB1)
sp[2][1]=10(C1)
sp[2][2]=38(EN3)
sp[2][3]=36(BUF3)

Sensitive path 3:
sp[3][0]=15(ENB2)
sp[3][1]=11(C0)
sp[3][2]=32(EN4)
sp[3][3]=30(BUF4)

Sensitive path 4:
sp[4][0]=26(NDS2)
sp[4][1]=24(ENBLD)
sp[4][2]=20(EN5)
sp[4][3]=18(BUF5)

Sensitive path 5:
sp[5][0]=27(DS1)
sp[5][1]=24(ENBLD)
sp[5][2]=20(EN5)
sp[5][3]=18(BUF5)

Sensitive path 6:
sp[6][0]=44(STRB2)
sp[6][1]=42(REG2)
sp[6][2]=21(VECT5)
sp[6][3]=18(BUF5)

No. of Spath = 8

Figure-49: Sensitive Path Construction for model IOSYS
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Table-9: Test-Sequence for model IOSYS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>frame</th>
<th>C0</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C9</th>
<th>SEL0</th>
<th>SEL1</th>
<th>ENB1</th>
<th>ENB2</th>
<th>BUF5</th>
<th>ENBLD</th>
<th>NDS2</th>
<th>DS1</th>
<th>BUF4</th>
<th>BUF3</th>
<th>REG2</th>
<th>STRB2</th>
<th>DATA2</th>
<th>BUF2</th>
<th>BUF1</th>
<th>REG1</th>
<th>STRB1</th>
<th>DATA1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
use WORK.VHDLCAD.all, WORK.USER_TYPES.all;

entity IOSYS is
port (SEL0: in BIT;
SEL1: in BIT;
ENB1: in BIT;
ENB2: in BIT;
BUF5: out MLVECTOR(0 to 7);
NDS2: in BIT;
DS1: in BIT;
BUF4: out MLVECTOR(0 to 7);
BUF3: out MLVECTOR(0 to 7);
STRB2: in BIT;
DATA2: in BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7);
BUF2: out MLVECTOR(0 to 7);
BUF1: out MLVECTOR(0 to 7);
STRB1: in BIT;
DATA1: in BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7));
end IOSYS;

architecture BEHAVIORAL of IOSYS is

signal S1: BIT;
signal S2: BIT;
signal S3: BIT;
signal S4: BIT;
signal S5: BIT;
signal BUS5: BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7);
signal BUS4: BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7);
signal BUS3: BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7);
signal BUS2: BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7);
signal BUS1: BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7);
begin

-- Process Name: DECODER

Chapter 7. Results
DECODER_4: process (SEL0,SEL1,ENB1,ENB2)

variable SLCT: BIT_VECTOR(1 downto 0);
begin

  if ((ENB1 and ENB2) = '1') then
    SLCT(0) := SEL0;
    SLCT(1) := SEL1;
  case SLCT is
    when "00" => S4 <= '1';
    when "01" => S3 <= '1';
    when "10" => S2 <= '1';
    when "11" => S1 <= '1';
  end case;
end if;
end process DECODER_4;

-- Process Name: BUFFER

BUFFER_15: process (S5)
begin
  if S5 = '1' then
    BUF5 <= BV_TO_MVL(BUS5);
  else
    BUF5 <= "ZZZZZZZZ";
  end if;
end process BUFFER_15;

-- Process Name: ENABLE

ENABLE_20: process (NDS2,DS1)
begin
  S5 <= DS1 and not NDS2;
end process ENABLE_20;

-- Process Name: BUFFER

BUFFER_25: process (S4)
begin
  if S4 = '1' then
    BUF4 <= BV_TO_MVL(BUS4);
end process BUFFER_25;
else
    BUF4 <= "ZZZZZZZZ";
end if;

end process BUFFER_25;

-- ------------------------------------------------------------------------
-- Process Name: BUFFER
-- ------------------------------------------------------------------------

BUFFER_30: process (S3)
begin
    if S3 = '1' then
        BUF3 <= BV_TO_MVL(BUS3);
    else
        BUF3 <= "ZZZZZZZZ";
    end if;

end process BUFFER_30;

-- ------------------------------------------------------------------------
-- Process Name: REGST
-- ------------------------------------------------------------------------

REGST_35: process (STRB2)
begin
    if (STRB2 = '1') then
        BUS3 <= DATA2;
    end if;

end process REGST_35;

-- ------------------------------------------------------------------------
-- Process Name: BUFFER
-- ------------------------------------------------------------------------

BUFFER_40: process (S2)
begin
    if S2 = '1' then
        BUF2 <= BV_TO_MVL(BUS2);
    else
        BUF2 <= "ZZZZZZZZ";
    end if;

end process BUFFER_40;

-- ------------------------------------------------------------------------
-- Process Name: BUFFER
-- 

BUFFER_45: process (S1)
begin
  if S1 = '1' then
  BUF1 <= BV_TO_MVL(BUS1);
  else
  BUF1 <= "ZZZZZZZZ";
  end if;
end process BUFFER_45;

-- 

-- Process Name: REGST
-- 

REGST_50: process (STRB1)
begin
  if (STRB1 = '1') then
  BUS1 <= DATA1;
  end if;
end process REGST_50;

end BEHAVIORAL;

Figure-50: Coverage Results for model IOSYS
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Figure-51: Model SEQ (Model containing sequential macros)
Enter name of the unit: seq

Unit name is seq
No. of signals = 26

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensitive path 0:</th>
<th>Sensitive path 8:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sp[0][0]=8(ENB1)</td>
<td>sp[8][0]=61(CLK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp[0][1]=5(DEC1)</td>
<td>sp[8][1]=59(COUNT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp[0][2]=45(NSTRB)</td>
<td>sp[8][2]=52(SEL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp[0][3]=42(ENB)</td>
<td>sp[8][3]=49(Y)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp[0][4]=51(ENBL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp[0][5]=49(Y)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensitive path 1:</th>
<th>Sensitive path 9:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sp[1][0]=9(SL1)</td>
<td>sp[9][0]=62(RESET)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp[1][1]=7(DEC0)</td>
<td>sp[9][1]=59(COUNT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp[1][2]=14(EN1)</td>
<td>sp[9][2]=52(SEL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp[1][3]=12(BUF1)</td>
<td>sp[9][3]=49(Y)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensitive path 2:</th>
<th>No. of Spath = 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sp[2][0]=21(STRB)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp[2][1]=18(REG)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp[2][2]=33(REG)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp[2][3]=30(DO)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensitive path 3:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sp[3][0]=26(CLK1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp[3][1]=24(OP1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp[3][2]=15(VECT1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp[3][3]=12(BUF1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensitive path 4:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sp[4][0]=32(ENBLD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp[4][1]=30(DO)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensitive path 5:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sp[5][0]=38(EB1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp[5][1]=36(BF1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensitive path 6:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sp[6][0]=44(PRESET)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp[6][1]=42(ENB)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp[6][2]=51(ENBL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp[6][3]=49(Y)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensitive path 7:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sp[7][0]=46(STRA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp[7][1]=42(ENB)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp[7][2]=51(ENBL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp[7][3]=49(Y)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure-52: Sensitive Path Construction for model SEQ**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vtes</th>
<th>S00</th>
<th>S01</th>
<th>S02</th>
<th>S03</th>
<th>S04</th>
<th>S05</th>
<th>S06</th>
<th>S07</th>
<th>S08</th>
<th>S09</th>
<th>S10</th>
<th>S11</th>
<th>S12</th>
<th>S13</th>
<th>S14</th>
<th>S15</th>
<th>S16</th>
<th>S17</th>
<th>S18</th>
<th>S19</th>
<th>S20</th>
<th>S21</th>
<th>S22</th>
<th>S23</th>
<th>S24</th>
<th>S25</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>C4</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table-10: Test-Sequence for model SEQ**
Legend for table 10:

SG0 = DEC1
SG1 = DEC0
SG2 = ENB1
SG3 = SL1
SG4 = BUF1
SG5 = REG
SG6 = DI
SG7 = STR8
SG8 = CP1
SG9 = CLK1
SG10 = DATA1
SG11 = DO
SG12 = ENBLD
SG13 = BF1
SG14 = EB1
SG15 = ENB
SG16 = PRESET
SG17 = STRA
SG18 = Y
SG19 = I4
SG20 = I3
SG21 = I2
SG22 = I1
SG23 = COUNT
SG24 = CLK
SG25 = RESET
data date: Sun Apr 11 17:17:29 1993
simulation time: 104
coverage data: seq.cov
VHDL source: seq.vhd

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>use WORK.VHDLCAD.all, WORK.USER_TYPES.all;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>_--*******---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>entity SEQ is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>port (ENB1: in BIT;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>SL1: in BIT;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>BUF1: out MVL_VECTOR(0 to 7);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>STRB: in BIT;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>CLK1: in BIT;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>DATA1: in BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>DO: out MVL_VECTOR(0 to 7);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>ENBLD: in BIT;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>EB1: in BIT;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>PRESET: in BIT;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>STRA: in BIT;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y: out BIT;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>l4: in BIT;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>l3: in BIT;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>l2: in BIT;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>l1: in BIT;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>CLK: in BIT;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>RESET: in BIT;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dl: inout TSL_VECTOR(0 to 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>end SEQ;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>_--*******---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>architecture BEHAVIORAL of SEQ is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td>architecture BEHAVIORAL of SEQ is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>signal S1: BIT;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>signal s2: BIT;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>signal L1: BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>signal L2: BIT_VECTOR(0 to 7);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>signal ENBL: BIT;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>signal CNT: BIT_VECTOR(0 to 1);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>begin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>begin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td>-- Process Name: DECD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>-- Process Name: DECD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 40   |       | }
DECD_23: process (ENB1,SL1)
begin
  if ENB1 = '1' then
    case (SL1) is
      when '0' => s2 <= '1';
      when '1' => S1 <= '1';
    end case;
  end if;
end process DECD_23;

BUF_29: process (s2,L1)
begin
  if s2 = '1' then
    BUF1 <= BV_TO_MVL(L1);
  else
    BUF1 <= "ZZZZZZZZ";
  end if;
end process BUF_29;

PREG_4: process (STRB)
begin
  if (STRB = '1') then
    L2 <= DI;
  end if;
end process PREG_4;

LTCH1_9: process (CLK1)
begin
  if (CLK1 = '0') then
    L1 <= DATA1;
  else
    L1 <= L1;
  end if;
end process LTCH1_9;
end process LTCH1_9;

-- Process Name: OUTPUT

OUTPUT_14: process (ENBL,L2)
begin
  if (ENBL = '1') then
    DO <= BV_to_MVL(L2);
  else
    DO <= "ZHZHZHZH";
  end if;
end process OUTPUT_14;

-- Process Name: BUFF

BUFF_19: process (EB1)
begin
  if EB1 = '1' then
    DI <= L1;
  end if;
end process BUFF_19;

-- Process Name: ENBL

ENBL_35: process (PRESET,S1,STRA)
begin
  if (PRESET = '1') then
    ENBL <= '1';
  else
    ENBL <= STRA and not S1;
  end if;
end process ENBL_35;

-- Process Name: MUX_4TO1

MUX_4TO1_41: process (ENBL,CNT)
begin
151 14  if (ENBL = '1') then
152 7 case CNT is
153 4 when "00" => Y <= i1;
154 4 when "01" => Y <= i2;
155 4 when "10" => Y <= i3;
156 2 when "11" => Y <= i4;
157 end case;
158 14 end if;
159
160
161 end process MUX_4TO1_41;
162
163
164 -- -------------------------------------------------------------
165 -- Process Name: CNT
166 -- -------------------------------------------------------------
167
168 CNT_50: process (CLK,RESET)
169 begin
170 16 if (RESET = '1') then
171 2 CNT <= "00";
172 14 else
173 14 if (CLK = '0') then
174 8 CNT <= INC(CNT);
175 14 end if;
176 16 end if;
177
178
179 end process CNT_50;
180
181 end BEHAVIORAL;

Figure-53: Coverage Results for model SEQ

Several other models were simulated and their coverage results have been summarized in table-10 on the next page. In this table, the terms MIN, MAX and AVG indicate the minimum, maximum and average number of times respectively that each statement in the model is executed during simulation.
Table-11: Coverage Results for Other Models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MODEL</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>AVG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fan</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>regstr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brfd</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gt1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gt2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>msp3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>comprtr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gts1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gts2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>addertst</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fig694</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>muxckt</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gt3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gt4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gt5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>regs1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>regs2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>admx1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bfr1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bfr2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sq1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sq2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>msda</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cntr1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 8. Proposed Future Development

A hierarchical approach to systematically generate tests for VHDL behavioral models has been discussed in this thesis. An system has been proposed to evaluate the quality of tests generated by the program. This chapter suggests methods by which HBTG and the system for test quality evaluation can be improved.

8.1 Implementation of a Process-Level Test Generator

In our approach, the primitive tests for each process of the PMG are currently being manually precomputed and stored in the design library. The characteristics of these primitive tests play a crucial role in the generation of the final test sequence. If these tests can be automatically precomputed by implementing a process-level test generator, the HBTG test generation process will be more efficient and systematic. One method of implementing such a program is to analyze the various VHDL constructs in each process of the process model graph. This information is available in the PMG functionality.
database of the Modeler's Assistant. A second possibility is to modify the Behavioral Test Generator to develop tests for the processes.

8.2 Test Generation for Models with Reconvergent Fanout

The reconvergent fanout problem occurs more at the gate levels than at higher levels in the abstraction hierarchy. However, in order to make HBTG more versatile, it is necessary to consider reconvergent fanout in the test generation process. For models with reconvergent fanout structure, conflicts may occur in the justification process. When such inconsistencies occur in signal values, backtracking needs to be done in order to make a new decision. The HBTG algorithm uses a double linked-list to store the test sequences which could be used as the base on which backtracking is done.

8.3 Automatic Generation of Test-Bench

The test-bench file used to simulate the VHDL model is currently being manually constructed from the test sequence generated by HBTG based on the rules laid out in chapter 6. This is a time-consuming and error-prone process, and is extremely labor-intensive. The automatic construction of the test-bench file from the test vectors would be a major step in making the HBTG process fully automatic. Since symbolic values are used to represent signals, an automatic test-bench generator would need to convert these to appropriate values in the test-bench file. A test bench generator (TBG) has been
developed to construct test benches for the simulation of faulty VHDL models produced by the Behavioral Fault Mapper [32]. This test-bench generator could be modified to generate a test-bench based on the test vectors generated by HBTG.

8.4 Decision Coverage as the Test Generation Criterion

Currently, the criterion of statement coverage is being used to estimate quality of the HBTG test sequence. In this property, every statement in the VHDL model is executed at least once. As explained in chapter 6, a stronger criterion to test generation than statement coverage is decision coverage which requires every decision within the module must have a true or false decision, in addition to every statement being executed. By modifying HBTG to generate tests based on this criterion, it may be possible to obtain more effective tests.

8.5 Supernode Primitives

If some method of hierarchical representation above that of a process is available in the test generation process, similar to the existence of supernodes in the Modeler's Assistant, the test generation process could be enhanced. Test sequences generated by HBTG at one level of hierarchy could be modified to be used as the primitive tests for the next higher level.
8.6 Library of Primitive Tests

The presence of frequently used processes as primitives in the design library enhances the model development process in the Modeler's Assistant. This is also true to a large extent in the test generation process. A suite of primitive tests with appropriate characteristics could be developed and stored in the design library. These tests could be used by HBTG to construct test sequences for VHDL models. The presence of these tests will reduce the time spent in constructing the primitive tests each time they are needed.
Chapter 9. Conclusion

This thesis has presented an enhanced hierarchical test generation algorithm (HBTG) that can systematically generate tests for VHDL behavioral models. Given the Process Model Graph of the VHDL model and the primitive tests for each process of the PMG, the HBTG algorithm hierarchically constructs the test sequence for the whole entity. When used for simulation of the model, results show that the test sequence exercises the model thoroughly.

HBTG along with the Modeler's Assistant forms a CAD system for the rapid development and testing of VHDL behavioral models. The CAD system is shown in Figure-54. A design engineer or model developer using this system enters the process model graph of the VHDL behavioral model and the functionality of the processes using the Modeler's Assistant. The Modeler's Assistant automatically generates the VHDL behavioral model. Simultaneously, the HBTG algorithm constructs a test sequence for the model using the PMG database and the precomputed tests stored in the design library. The test sequence is then used for simulation of the model. Results obtained using the coverage measure during simulation indicate that the test sequence effectively exercises the model.
Figure-54: A Complete CAD System for VHDL Model Development and Testing
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Appendix: Programming Guide for HBTG and its Environment

Introduction

This programming guide has been written to (1) Explain the various source code and header files used by HBTG (2) Illustrate the various data structures referenced by HBTG (3) Explain the compilation procedures to create the various executables.

The source code for the extraction of information from the PMG database, construction of sensitive paths and the test generation process is contained in six C files and four header files. The following is a brief description of the various file contents:

his.c
This is the file that stores information about the process model graph created on the Modeler’s Assistant into the PMG database. It contains the function Init_nodes which initializes the pointers in the nodes and creates an elementary linked-list. The function load_unit stores information about the top PMG node: the unit. Functions load_subunit and load_module are then called to store information about individual processes.
extract.c
This file is used to extract information about the PMG from the PMG database making use of the functions defined in his.c. The file provides information about the order in which modules are stored in the linked-list of modules and the order in which ports are stored as a linked-list of ports. It also provides information about the modes and sensitivity of the various ports in the PMG.

spath.c
This is the file that contains the main function. This file contains functions Assign_Signal_Order, which assigns a port order to the various ports, and Construct_Sensitive_Path, which constructs sensitive paths through the PMG. Several functions are also present which are used during the sensitive path construction process.

stpath.c
This file also contains the main function. It contains all the information that is present in spath.c. In addition to performing the sensitive path construction, this file also calls the procedure to start the test generation process.

input.c
This file contains functions that read information from the primitive .tst files stored in the design library for each process and store them in the linked-list of structures: struct test. The main function is the Input_tst function which creates the linked-list test database of test-sets.
testgen.c
This file contains the procedures to perform the test generation process. This is the largest file and it contains numerous functions that perform selection of tests for activation, propagation and justification, functions to assign values during these activities, etc.

externsm.h
This file is included in all the six files described above. It contains various extern declarations for functions that are called from files other than that in which the functions are declared.

vhdlm.h
Various '#define' statements for constants are declared in this files. Globally-used data structures are also defined. Some variables which are used in different files are declared as externs.

macrosm.h
This file contains various macros which are used to reference the fields of the data structure a_node. The macros are used in the test generation process. These macros play a crucial role in manipulating the data structure fields.

The Data Structure of the Modeler's Assistant PMG Database

The Modeler's Assistant stores information about the process model graph as a linked-list of nodes. Each node is a data-structure of type a-node defined as:
typedef struct{
  char name[32];
  int type;
  int ptr[6];
  rect R;
} a_node;

typedef struct{
  int Xmin;
  int Ymin;
  int Xmax;
  int Ymax;
} rect;

Each node stores information about an object. Objects can be units, processes, ports, supernodes, etc. In the data structure `a_node`, the field name stores the name of the object, type is an integer code used to identify the type of object (signal, variable, etc.); rect stores information about the location of the object on the screen in terms of screen coordinates; the array elements ptr[0-5] are used as pointers to different elements in the PMG.

Table-12: List of Pointer References

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit (12)</td>
<td>TopModRef</td>
<td>TopSignal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TopSuperNode Ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Module (1)</td>
<td>TopPort</td>
<td>TopVariable</td>
<td>TopGeneric</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TopConstant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Module ref (14)</td>
<td>TopOfModule</td>
<td>NextModRef</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port (2)</td>
<td>NextPort</td>
<td>PortType</td>
<td>PortSignal</td>
<td>PortStatus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable (4)</td>
<td>NextVariable</td>
<td>VariableType</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VarAggString</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generic (3)</td>
<td>NextGeneric</td>
<td>GenericType</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant (32)</td>
<td>NextConstant</td>
<td>ConstantType</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ConstAggString</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal (13)</td>
<td>NextSignal</td>
<td>SignalSrc</td>
<td>SignalDest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrcNode (15)</td>
<td>PortModRef</td>
<td>AbsPortLoc</td>
<td>RelPortLoc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DstNode (16)</td>
<td>PortModRef</td>
<td>AbsPortLoc</td>
<td>RelPortLoc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SuperNodeRef (38)</td>
<td>SuperNodeOf Ref</td>
<td>NextSuper NodeRef</td>
<td></td>
<td>TopPortMap</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SuperNode (37)</td>
<td>TopModRef</td>
<td>TopSignal</td>
<td>TopSNPort</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SuperNodePort (39)</td>
<td>NextPort</td>
<td>PortType</td>
<td>PortSignal</td>
<td>PortStatus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PortMap (40)</td>
<td>NextPortMap</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure-55: Generalized linked-list database
Figure-55 shows a general linked-list database. Here, each circle represents one element of the array *node* (each *node* is a data structure of type *a_node*). The lines indicate how the pointers ptr[0-5] are used to point to other nodes. For example, for a unit node, ptr[0] points to the top module in the linked list and ptr[1] points to the top signal in the linked-list. The table-11 shows the functions of the pointers for different types of nodes.

The data structure *a-node* as seen by HBTG is:

```c
typedef struct
    char name[32];
    int type;
    int ptr[8];
    rect R;
} a_node;
```

The number of pointers has been increased to 8 in order to incorporate more macros needed during the test generation process. Hence, when the information is read into the structure, the size of the information read is optimized in the *sizeof* field of the file read command *fread*.

**Data Structure used to Store Primitive Tests**

The primitive tests for each process of the PMG are stores in a linked list of data-structure. This primitive test database uses a data structure *struct test* defined as:
struct test{
    int tsorder;
    int nu_frame;
    char tst_buffer[MAX_NU_FRAME][MAX_LINE_CHAR];
    struct test *ptr_to_next;
}

In the above data structure, the field tsorder indicates the order of a particular test-set; nu_frame indicates the number of time-frames in the test; the array tst_buffer is a string used to store the test-set; the pointer ptr_to_next points to the next structure in the linked-list of test-sets.

Data Structure used for the generated test sequence

The test sequence generated by HBTG is stored in a linked-list of time frames. Each element in the double linked list is one time frame. Each element in the database is a data structure of type time_frame defined as:

struct time_frame{
    int frameorder;
    struct time_frame *ptr_to_next;
    struct time_frame *ptr_to_last;
    int signal_value[100];
}frame;

In the above data structure, the field frameorder indicates the number of the current time frame; the pointer ptr_to_next points to the next structure in the double linked-list; the
pointer ptr_to_last points to the previous time frame; the array signal_value is used to store the entire test sequence for a particular time frame.

**Format of files used by HBTG**

The process model graph information created on the Modeler's Assistant is mainly stored in two kinds of files: the .mod file and the .unt file. A .mod file stores information about an individual process, whereas a .unt file stores information about the entire unit. When a .unt file is opened while extracting information for test generation, it invokes the .mod files for each process in the PMG. Both the .mod and the .unt files are binary files. Hence, the fopen command in C uses a "wb" option. Data for each node is read using the fread command and is written using the fwrite command.

Figure-56 shows the structure of a .mod file. This file contains information about the functionality of the process followed by information of the node structure used to store ports, generics, etc. The structure of a .unt file is shown in Figure-57. This file contains a series of node numbers and node data.

In addition to the above files, precomputed test files for each process in the process model graph are stored as .tst files in the same directory as the .unt and .mod files. i.e. for a process ENABLE stored in ENABLE.mod, the primitive test sets must be stored in ENABLE.tst. The .tst files are simple ASCII files.

Appendix
Figure 56: Structure of a .mod (process) File

Figure 57: Structure of a .unt (unit) File

Compilation Procedures

There are three executable files in the HBTG environment:

extract : extracts information from the database of the Modeler's Assistant.
spath: constructs sensitive paths through the PMG.
HBTG: performs the test generation process.
In order to generate the above executables, the following compilation procedure is followed.

```
cc his.c extract.c -o extract
cc his.c spath.c -o spath
cc his.c stpath.c input.c testgen.c -o HBTG
```
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