

**THE CREATION AND APPLICATION OF  
AN EVALUATION PROCESS  
FOR A TEEN SHELTER**

**By**

**Shelby Colleen Lake**

**Thesis submitted to the Faculty of the**

**Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University**

**In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of**

**MASTERS OF SCIENCE**

**In**

**Human Development**

Approved:

---

Karen H. Rosen, Ed.D. Committee Chair

---

Sandra M. Stith, Ph.D.

---

Eric E. McCollum, Ph.D.

September 1, 1999  
Blacksburg, Virginia

Keywords: Evaluation, At-Risk Adolescents, Shelter, Qualitative Study

Copyright 1999, Shelby C. Lake

THE CREATION AND APPLICATION OF  
AN EVALUATION PROCESS  
FOR A TEEN SHELTER

By

Shelby Colleen Lake

Karen H. Rosen, Chairperson

Human Development

(ABSTRACT)

This study examines a collaborative creation and application of an evaluation process for a teen shelter. An independent researcher collaborated with the key administrators of a teen shelter to create an agency-specific evaluation process that gathered both quantitative and qualitative data from three separate participant groups: teen clients of the shelter, their parents, and the staff who work at the shelter.

Results of the creation process indicate that collaborating with key administrators is imperative when developing agency-specific evaluations with the goal of program improvement. Application results indicate that the clients and staff at this particular shelter felt the program was very helpful. Responses included participants' ideas as to what was helpful and what aspects of the program made the experience beneficial. Participants offered positive feedback about the effective aspects of the program, as well as suggestions for improving some weaker aspects of the program. Shelter administrators were pleased with the evaluation process and results and were enthusiastic about applying the results toward program improvement.

To my husband-in-one-week, Tom, for loving me since I was just a girl. You have helped me grow into the woman I have become. Your enthusiasm and support of my goals has helped make this dream a reality.

And to Kirsten, for making my graduate school experience a life-changing event. You have been there for me in ways I never imagined possible.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Working on this thesis has been a highly collaborative process. Both professionally and personally, many people have helped me during the long and arduous process.

I first want to thank my advisor, Karen Rosen. She has been an amazing source of support, encouragement and frustration. She spent many long hours editing my text, whisking that bright red pen with intense deliberation across hundreds of pages. She made sure the final product was a quality one, and never settled for less than what she knew I could deliver. She has been there with hugs and words of encouragement when the going got tough, and with sincere praise when we managed to pull this off. She has been a mother, a cheerleader, and a friend. Karen, I am truly grateful for the time I got to spend with you.

I also want to thank Sandra Stith, Eric McCollum, Jean Coleman, Karen Rosen, and Ed Hendrickson for making my three years with Virginia Tech one of the best experiences of my life. You have each taught me the craft of therapy, each in a way that complimented and enriched the other. You have also each helped me through hard times, and taught me not only about yourselves, but about myself as well. I would not trade the time I spent with you for anything. You all have been excellent professors, of therapy and of life. And Eric, thank you for being a gracious victim of many practical jokes.

The process of creating and implementing an evaluation process is difficult and complex. I want to thank the administrators of Alternative House: David Grant, Peggy Easley, Judith Moore, Marc Baskin, Gina Capella, and Samir El-Sayed for their hard work and support throughout this project. I'd like to thank the staff for their participation both as distributors of the questionnaires, and as those supplying us with feedback. I'd also like to thank the clients of the shelter for so generously sharing their experiences with us.

My family deserves recognition for their integral part of making me the woman and scholar I am today. I would like to thank Doug, Sugar, Shannon and Shawn Lake, as well as the entire Riley family for their support and encouragement of both my personal and professional endeavors.

I must acknowledge Doug Smith, Steve Rader, and Phil Skomra for the computer help I was continually begging for. Thank you all for saving my proverbial butt more than once. And I must apologize for all of the tearful voice mails...you know me, it's always high drama.

And finally, to the best group of friends I have ever had. Aaron Dodini, Laurie Howell, Tracy Kellum, Deanna Linville, Lisa Locke, Elise Long, Kristen Lundberg, Kirsten Lundeberg, Russell Lundeberg, Caroline Martin, Crysta Mascovitz, Eleni Paris, Doug Smith, Alexis Taber, and Michelle Ward: I love you guys! Thank you for loving me so fully and letting me love you back so radically. I am a better person for having known you all. And I have never had more fun in all my days. There have been a few of you who have been the kind of friend a person never recovers from. You have become a permanent mark on my heart. I will miss you more than you know.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
INDEX OF TABLES AND FIGURES	ix
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
Statement of the Problem	1
Rationale for the Study	2
Theoretical Framework	5
Purpose of the Study	7
Objectives	8
Research Questions	8
CHAPTER TWO: Literature Review	10
At-Risk Adolescents	10
Parents of At-Risk Adolescents	15
Services and Programs for At-Risk Adolescents and their Families	19
Evaluations of Services for At-Risk Adolescents and their Families	25
CHAPTER THREE: The Alternative House Program	34
CHAPTER FOUR: METHODS	42
Participants	42
Procedures	44
Instruments	47
Design and Analysis	47
CHAPTER FIVE: Results	49
The Process of Creating and Administering the Teen Shelter Evaluation	49
Questionnaire Development	49
Questionnaire Distribution	51
Program Responsiveness	52
Data Analysis	53
Alternative House Evaluation Results	53
General Program Characteristics	53
Research Question: Reasons for Admission	53
Teen Responses	54
Parent Responses	55
Staff Responses	56

Summary of Responses	58
Research Question: Reasons for Discharge	58
Teen Responses	58
Parent Responses	60
Staff Responses	61
Summary of Responses	61
Research Question: Most Helpful Program Aspect	63
Teen Responses	63
Parent Responses	69
Staff Responses	72
Summary of Responses	73
Research Question: Least helpful Program Aspect	74
Teen Responses	74
Parent Responses	77
Staff Responses	78
Summary of Responses	78
Research Question: Suggestions for Additions	79
Teen Responses	79
Parent Responses	81
Staff Responses	81
Summary of Responses	82
Research Question: Rate Helpfulness	83
Teen Responses	83
Parent Responses	83
Staff Responses	83
Summary of Responses	83
Family Therapy at Alternative House	84
Research Question: Most Helpful Aspect	84
Teen Responses	84
Parent Responses	85
Staff Responses	87
Summary of Responses	87
Research Question: Least Helpful Aspect	88
Teen Responses	88
Parent Responses	89
Staff Responses	90
Summary of Responses	91
Other Program Aspects	92
Research Question: Most Helpful Aspect of Groups	92
Teen Responses	92
Staff Responses	98
Summary of Responses	99
Program Summary	101
Research Question: Recommendation of the Program	101

CHAPTER SIX: DISCUSSION	103
Introduction	103
Summary of the Findings	103
The Creation and Application of the Evaluation Process	103
Alternative House Evaluation Results	105
Limitations	110
Clinical Implications	110
Further Research	110
REFERENCES	113
APPENDICES	122
Appendix A: Preliminary Questionnaire for Key Administrators	122
Appendix B: Teen Questionnaire	125
Appendix C: Parent Questionnaire	131
Appendix D: Staff Questionnaire	135
Appendix E: Staff Memo	140
Appendix F: Client Instructions	141
Appendix G: Teen Informed Consent	142
Appendix H: Parent Informed Consent	144
Appendix I: Staff Informed Consent	145
Appendix J: Administrator Informed Consent	148
ADDENDUM: A Meeting with Alternative House Administrators and Staff To Share Results and Elicit Feedback	150
VITA	152



## INDEX OF TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1 <u>Teen Participant Demographics</u>	43
Figure 1 <u>Clients' Reasons for Admission as Reported by Teens, Parents, and Staff</u>	57
Figure 2 <u>Clients' Reasons for Discharge as Reported by Teens, Parents, and Staff</u>	62
Table 2 <u>Helpful Aspects of Teen Volunteers and Interns</u>	66
Table 3 <u>Not Helpful Aspects of Teen Volunteers and Interns</u>	66
Table 4 <u>Teen-Friendly Aspects of Alternative House</u>	67
Table 5 <u>Not Ten-Friendly Aspects of Alternative House</u>	67
Table 6 <u>Results of Scaled Ratings</u>	68
Table 7 <u>Parent-Friendly Aspects of Alternative House</u>	71
Table 8 <u>Not Parent-Friendly Aspects of Alternative House</u>	71
Table 9 <u>Most Helpful Aspects of Alternative House</u>	73
Table 10 <u>How the Alternative House Stay Improves the Teen/Parent Relationship</u>	76
Table 11 <u>Least Helpful Aspects of Alternative House</u>	79
Table 12 <u>Suggested Additions to the Alternative House Program</u>	82
Table 13 <u>Most Helpful Aspects of Family Therapy at Alternative House</u>	88

Table 14	92
<u>Least Helpful Aspects of Family Therapy at Alternative House</u>	
Table 15	95
<u>How Clients Learn Skills at Alternative House</u>	
Figure 3	96
<u>Types of Skills Clients Learn at Alternative House as Reported by Teens, Parents, and Staff</u>	
Table 16	97
<u>What Teens Find Helpful About Having Peers in the Program With Them</u>	
Table 17	97
<u>What Teens Do Not Find Helpful About Having Peers in the Program With Them</u>	
Table 18	100
<u>Most Helpful Aspects of the Evening and Education Groups</u>	
Table 19	100
<u>Least Helpful Aspects of the Evening and Education Groups</u>	
Figure 4	102
<u>Percentage of Participants Who Would Recommend the Alternative House Shelter as Reported by Teens, Parents, and Staff</u>	