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IV. SYMBOLS

A  reference area
a  \cos \alpha \cos \beta
B  reference length
b  body width at base of unsegmented cone

\( C_A \)  axial force coefficient, \( \frac{F_X}{q_\infty A} \)

\( C_D \)  drag coefficient, \( \frac{F_D}{q_\infty A} \)

\( C_L \)  lift coefficient, \( \frac{F_L}{q_\infty A} \)

\( C_l \)  rolling-moment coefficient, taken about center line of unsegmented cone, \( \frac{M_X}{q_\infty AB} \)

\( C_{i\beta} \)  \( \frac{\partial C_l}{\partial \beta} \), per degree

\( C_{L,\text{max}} \)  maximum lift coefficient

\( C_m \)  pitching-moment coefficient, \( \frac{M_Y}{q_\infty AB} \)

\( C_{m\alpha} \)  \( \frac{\partial C_m}{\partial \alpha} \), per degree

\( C_N \)  normal force coefficient, \( \frac{F_Z}{q_\infty A} \)

\( C_n \)  yawing moment coefficient, \( \frac{M_Z}{q_\infty AB} \)

\( C_{N\alpha} \)  \( \frac{\partial C_N}{\partial \alpha} \), per degree

\( C_{n\beta} \)  \( \frac{\partial C_n}{\partial \beta} \), per degree

\( C_P \)  pressure coefficient, \( \frac{P - P_\infty}{q_\infty} \)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_Y$</td>
<td>side-force coefficient, $\frac{F_Y}{q_\infty A}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{Y\beta}$</td>
<td>per degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$-\sin \beta$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>$\sin \alpha \cos \beta$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_D$</td>
<td>drag force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_L$</td>
<td>lift force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_X$</td>
<td>axial force in direction of X axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_Y$</td>
<td>side force in direction of Y axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_Z$</td>
<td>normal force in direction of Z axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f(x,y,z)$</td>
<td>equation of general surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\hat{i}, \hat{j}, \hat{k}$</td>
<td>unit vectors in direction of X, Y, and Z axes, respectively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sin \theta_{xz}$</td>
<td>$\sin \theta_{xy}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sin \theta_{xy}$</td>
<td>$\sin \theta_{xy}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>length of cone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>Mach number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>$\tan \theta_{xz}$, $\tan \theta_{xy}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_X$</td>
<td>moment about X axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_Y$</td>
<td>moment about Y axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_Z$</td>
<td>moment about Z axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\hat{n}$</td>
<td>unit vector normal to surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>local pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_\infty$</td>
<td>free-stream pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_\infty$</td>
<td>free-stream dynamic pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>base radius of circular cone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\hat{V}$</td>
<td>unit free-stream wind vector</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
$X,Y,Z$  rectangular Cartesian coordinate axes
$x,y,z$  rectangular Cartesian coordinates
$x,\rho,\phi$  cylindrical polar coordinates
$\alpha$  angle of attack
$\beta$  angle of sideslip
$\delta$  $1 - k^2$
$\eta$  angle between unit surface normal and wind vectors
$\theta$  circular cone semiapex angle
$\theta_{xy}$  elliptical cone semiapex angle in the horizontal direction
$\theta_{xz}$  elliptical cone semiapex angle in the vertical direction
$\varphi_1$  upper limit of integration
$\varphi_2$  lower limit of integration
$\psi$  $1 + (m^2 - 1) \sin^2\varphi$
$\Omega$  $1 + (m^2k^2 - 1) \sin^2\varphi$
V. INTRODUCTION

Newtonian impact theory, in recent years, has proven to be one of the more useful theories for the prediction of aerodynamic forces and moments on bodies in the hypersonic flow regimes \((5 < M < \infty)\). This theory predicts the pressure coefficient, for the part of the body exposed to the flow, to be given by twice the square of the cosine of the angle between the free-stream velocity vector and the normal vector to the body surface. It does not, however, specify the pressures on surfaces that are in the expansion region or "shadowed" region. For high speeds it is usually assumed that the pressure coefficient is zero in this region. The force and moment coefficients are obtained by integrating the incremental forces acting on the body over the part exposed to the flow.

Current interest in vehicles capable of reentry has indicated a definite need for closed expressions for the aerodynamic coefficients, obtained by Newtonian impact theory, of both elliptical and circular cone bodies.

A theoretical treatment of the full circular cone at angles of attack in Newtonian flow was performed in reference 1. This analysis was followed by reference 2 in which the full oblate elliptical cone at angles of attack was treated. A great deal of experimental work on the cones at hypersonic speeds followed the work mentioned above. Comparisons of experiment and Newtonian theory on the cones have been made in references 4, 5, 6, and 8.

This analysis intends to extend the above applications of the theory to the case of segments of these elliptical cones at both angles of attack and sideslip. The prolate as well as the oblate elliptical cone is
treated, and the circular cone segments are obtained as a special case of the general results.

Comparisons are made with available experimental results to provide some indication of the usefulness of this theory in the prediction of the aerodynamic coefficients. These comparisons include variations in some of the longitudinal characteristics and stability derivatives with change in angle of attack, cone half angle and body cross section. These experimental results were obtained at Mach numbers of 6.8 and 9.6 and are discussed in more detail in references 4 and 8.
VI. ANALYSIS

A. Development of General Expressions

for the Elliptic Conic Segment

Newtonian impact theory predicts the pressure coefficient for the part of the body exposed to the flow to be given by twice the cosine squared of the included angle between the normal to the body and the wind vectors (that is, \( C_p = 2 \cos^2 \eta \)). The angle, \( \eta \), is found by taking the dot product of the normalized wind and surface gradient vectors.

If \( f(x,y,z) = 0 \) is the equation of a general surface, then the surface gradient of this surface is

\[
\nabla f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \mathbf{i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \mathbf{j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \mathbf{k}
\]

(1)

and the unit normal vector is

\[
\hat{n} = \frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|} = \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \mathbf{i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \mathbf{j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \mathbf{k}}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\right)^2}}
\]

(2)

The coordinate system for a general body is shown in figure 1.

The normalized wind vector, \( \mathbf{\bar{V}} \), for a body inclined to the flow at both angles of attack and sideslip, is given as

\[
\mathbf{\bar{V}} = -\cos \alpha \cos \beta \mathbf{i} + \sin \beta \mathbf{j} + \sin \alpha \cos \beta \mathbf{k}
\]

(3)

The wind vector and its components are also shown in figure 1.
The pressure coefficient, $C_p$, is then written as

$$C_p = 2(n \cdot \vec{v})^2$$

(4)

When only pressure forces are considered, the general force coefficient is given as

$$C_F = \frac{F}{q_\infty S} = \frac{1}{S} \int_{S_F} \left( \frac{p - p_\infty}{q_\infty} \right) dS_F = \frac{1}{S} \int_{S_F} C_p dS_F$$

(5)

In the above expression, $S$ is a reference area and $S_F$ is the projected area over which the pressure coefficient, $C_p$, is defined.

The moment coefficient is similarly given as

$$C_m = \frac{1}{SR} \int_{S_F} r C_p dS_F$$

(6)

where $r$ is the moment arm and $R$ is a reference length.

It is necessary to determine the part of the body that is shielded from the flow, since it will be assumed that the pressure on that part of the body has the free-stream value. This shadowed or shielded region is shown as the shaded region on the body in figure 1. The curve BAC, formed by the winds tangency to the surface, outlines the shadowed region on the surface $f$. The equation of this curve is given by the condition that

$$\cos \eta = 0$$

(7)

The analysis will be concerned with obtaining closed form expressions for equations (5) and (6) for the special case of an elliptical cone segment at angles of attack and sideslip.
The equation of the surface of an elliptic cone can be written as

$$f(x,y,z) = 0 = -x^2 + \left(\frac{2L}{b}\right)^2 y^2 + \left(\frac{2L}{t}\right)^2 z^2$$

(8)

where \(b\), \(t\), and \(L\) are the maximum thickness, width, and length, respectively, of the elliptic cone body. Another convenient form of this equation can be obtained by use of the angles, \(\theta_{xy}\) and \(\theta_{xz}\), shown in figure 2 and defined to be the cone half angles in the \(x\)-\(y\) and \(x\)-\(z\) planes, respectively. This equation is

$$f(x,y,z) = 0 = -x^2 + \frac{y^2}{\tan^2 \theta_{xy}} + \frac{z^2}{\tan^2 \theta_{xz}}$$

(9)

The analysis will, for convenience, be done using this notation but the final expressions will be written with the physical characteristics, \(t\), \(b\), and \(L\) contained in them. The ratios, \(\frac{t}{b}\), and \(\frac{t}{b} \sqrt{\frac{b^2 + 4L^2}{t^2 + 4L^2}}\) will be defined, for convenience, to be \(m\) and \(k\), respectively. \(m\) and \(k\) are also seen to be simply \(\frac{\tan \theta_{xz}}{\tan \theta_{xy}}\) and \(\frac{\sin \theta_{xz}}{\sin \theta_{xy}}\), respectively. The above definitions of \(m\) and \(k\) reveal that if \(m \geq 1\) so is \(k \geq 1\) or if \(m \leq 1\) so is \(k \leq 1\). It is also seen that a value of \(m < 1\) reveals that the ellipse is one such that its major axis is in the width direction and minor axes in the thickness direction. Similarly for \(m > 1\), the ellipse is such that the major axes are in the thickness direction and minor axes in width direction. A value of \(m = 1\) corresponds to a circular cone whose major and minor axes are equal. These three cases are shown in figure 5.

A convenient manner in which to choose arbitrary segments of this conic body is through the assignment of definite values of the angles,
φ, defined in figure 2. In this figure, φ is seen to be zero at upper vertical and increase positively in the counterclockwise direction. For this reason the Cartesian coordinates (x,y,z) will be replaced by cylindrical polar coordinates (x,φ,ρ). The transformation is

\[
\begin{align*}
  x &= x \\
  y &= \rho \sin \phi \\
  z &= \rho \cos \phi
\end{align*}
\]

The surface gradient vector is

\[
\nabla \mathbf{F} = -2x \hat{i} + zy \cot \theta_{xy} \hat{j} - 2z \cot \theta_{xz} \hat{k}
\]

The unit normal is

\[
\mathbf{n} = \frac{x \hat{i} - y \cot \theta_{xz} \hat{j} - z \cot \theta_{xz} \hat{k}}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 \cot^2 \theta_{xy} + z^2 \cot^2 \theta_{xz}}}
\]

At the surface f = 0, this gives the following relation between x, ρ, and φ:

\[
x = -\rho \sqrt{\sin^2 \phi \cot^2 \theta_{xy} + \cos^2 \phi \cot^2 \theta_{xz}}
\]

Then in terms of ρ and φ, the unit normal becomes

\[
\mathbf{n} = \frac{-\sin \theta_{xz} \sqrt{m^2 \sin^2 \phi + \cos^2 \phi} \hat{i} - mk \cos \theta_{xy} \sin \phi \hat{j} - \cos \theta_{xz} \cos \phi \hat{k}}{\sqrt{m^2 \sin^2 \phi + \cos^2 \phi}}
\]
The dot product of expressions (3) and (14) gives

$$\cos \eta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m^2k^2\sin^2\phi + \cos^2\phi}} \left[ \cos \alpha \cos \beta \sin \theta_{xz} \sqrt{m^2 \sin^2 \phi + \cos^2 \phi} ight]$$

$$-mk \sin \beta \cos \theta_{xy} \sin \phi - \sin \alpha \cos \beta \cos \theta_{xz} \cos \phi \right] \right)$$

If the following definitions are made,

$$a = \cos \alpha \cos \beta$$
$$c = -\sin \beta$$
$$d = \sin \alpha \cos \beta$$

then the pressure coefficient, $C_p$, is

$$C_p = \frac{2}{(m^2k^2\sin^2\phi + \cos^2\phi)} \left( a \sin \theta_{xz} \sqrt{m^2 \sin^2 \phi + \cos^2 \phi} \right)$$

$$\left. + mk \cos \theta_{xy} \sin \phi - d \cos \theta_{xz} \cos \phi \right)^2$$

The shadowed region on the surface can now be conveniently determined by letting equation (17) be zero. This gives the following expression for $\phi$ which is the variation of $\phi$ along the shadow plane

$$\phi = \cot^{-1}\left[ \frac{k^2cd \cos^2 \theta_{xy} \pm am \sin \theta_{xz} \sqrt{k^2c^2 \cos^2 \theta_{xy} + \left( d^2 \cos^2 \theta_{xz} - a^2 \sin^2 \theta_{xz} \right)}}{d^2 \cos^2 \theta_{xz} - a^2 \sin^2 \theta_{xz}} \right]$$

(18)
The plus and minus sign appearing in the above expression indicates two values of $\varphi$ for each angle of attack and conic body. Physically, this means that one sign is to be taken to give the value of $\varphi$, $\varphi_1$, at which shadow begins and the second sign is to be used with the value of $\varphi$, $\varphi_2$, at which shadow ends. The following sketch will serve to illustrate the manner in which the signs are to be taken for $\varphi_1$ and $\varphi_2$.

![Sketch 1.](image)

The sign that goes with $\varphi_1$ can be determined as follows: first, it can be seen that for positive values of $\beta$ (that is, the wind approaching the body from the right) the point $\varphi_1$ must fall in either the first or second quadrant. Similarly $\varphi_2$ must be in either the first or fourth quadrant since the curved surface associated with the third quadrant is always exposed to the wind. Then for positive values of $\beta$, $0 \leq \varphi_1 \leq \pi$ and $-\pi/2 \leq \varphi_2 \leq \pi/2$. Then for $\beta = 0$

$$\varphi = \cot^{-1} \left[ \pm \frac{\text{am} \sin \theta_{xz}}{\sqrt{a^2 \cos^2 \theta_{xz} - a^2 \sin^2 \theta_{xz}}} \right] \quad (19)$$
Thus it is seen that the positive sign is taken for \( \varphi_1 \) and the negative for \( \varphi_2 \). Then

\[
\varphi_1 = \cot^{-1} \left[ \frac{k^2 c^2 \cos^2 \theta_{xy} + a m \sin \theta_{xz} \sqrt{k^2 c^2 \cos^2 \theta_{xy} + d^2 \cos^2 \theta_{xz} - a^2 \sin^2 \theta_{xz}}}{d^2 \cos^2 \theta_{xz} - a^2 \sin^2 \theta_{xz}} \right]
\]

and

\[
\varphi_2 = \cot^{-1} \left[ \frac{k^2 c^2 \cos^2 \theta_{xz} - a m \sin \theta_{xz} \sqrt{k^2 c^2 \cos^2 \theta_{xy} + d^2 \cos^2 \theta_{xz} - a^2 \sin^2 \theta_{xz}}}{d^2 \cos^2 \theta_{xz} - a^2 \sin^2 \theta_{xz}} \right]
\]

These expressions give a simple and quick test to determine what angles of attack cause shadow to exist. For the above angles to exist physically, the quantity under the radical must be positive. Therefore, for

\[ k^2 c^2 \cos^2 \theta_{xy} + d^2 \cos^2 \theta_{xz} - a^2 \sin^2 \theta_{xz} > 0 \]

shadow exists. For

\[ k^2 c^2 \cos^2 \theta_{xy} + d^2 \cos^2 \theta_{xz} - a^2 \sin^2 \theta_{xz} < 0 \]

shadow does not occur on the body. For

\[ k^2 c^2 \cos^2 \theta_{xy} + d^2 \cos^2 \theta_{xz} - a^2 \sin^2 \theta_{xz} = 0 \]

then shadow exists if either \( \beta \) or \( \alpha \) are not zero. If shadow does exist, it is necessary to compute \( \varphi_1 \) and \( \varphi_2 \) from equations (20) and (21).
and compare these with the values of $\varphi_1$ and $\varphi_2$ imposed by the body limits.

The aerodynamic coefficients, $C_N$, $C_A$, $C_Y$, $C_m$, $C_n$, and $C_l$ can be obtained by integration of equation (5) with the expression for $C_p$ in equation (17) substituted into it.

It is also necessary to determine the elements of area, $dS_N$, $dS_A$, and $dS_Y$ in terms of the coordinates $(x, \varphi, \rho)$. The area elements are

\[
dl_N = dx \, dy = \left| \begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \varphi} & \frac{\partial x}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial y}{\partial \varphi} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial y} \end{array} \right| dx \, d\varphi = \frac{-x \tan \theta_{xz} \cos \varphi \, dx \, d\varphi}{(m^2 \sin^2 \varphi + \cos^2 \varphi)^{3/2}} \quad (22)
\]

\[
dl_Y = dx \, dz = \left| \begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \varphi} & \frac{\partial x}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial z}{\partial \varphi} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial z} \end{array} \right| dx \, d\varphi = \frac{x m^2 \tan \theta_{xz} \sin \varphi \, dx \, d\varphi}{(m^2 \sin^2 \varphi + \cos^2 \varphi)^{3/2}} \quad (23)
\]

and

\[
dl_A = dy \, dz = \left| \begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \varphi} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial z}{\partial \varphi} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial z} \end{array} \right| d\varphi \, d\rho = \rho \, d\varphi \, d\rho \quad (24)
\]

The force coefficients are then written

\[
C_N = \frac{1}{\rho} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \int_{\varphi_1}^{\varphi_2} -C_p \frac{x \tan \theta_{xz} \cos \varphi \, dx \, d\varphi}{(m^2 \sin^2 \varphi + \cos^2 \varphi)^{3/2}} \quad (25)
\]
\[ C_A = \frac{1}{A} \int_{\rho_1}^{\rho_2} \int_{\varphi_1}^{\varphi_2} C_p \rho \, d\rho \, d\varphi \quad (26) \]

\[ C_Y = \frac{1}{A} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \int_{\varphi_1}^{\varphi_2} \frac{c_p \times \tan \theta_{xz} \, m^2 \sin \varphi \, dx \, d\varphi}{(m^2 \sin^2 \varphi + \cos^2 \varphi)^{3/2}} \quad (27) \]

If it is assumed that the body exists in the x-direction from \( x_1 = 0 \) to \( x_2 = -L \) (a sharp cone), then the above expressions can be integrated in the x-direction to give

\[ C_N = -\frac{L^2}{2A} \int_{\varphi_1}^{\varphi_2} \frac{C_p \tan \theta_{xz} \cos \varphi \, d\varphi}{(m^2 \sin^2 \varphi + \cos^2 \varphi)^{3/2}} \quad (28) \]

\[ C_A = \frac{L^2}{2A} \int_{\varphi_1}^{\varphi_2} \frac{\tan^2 \theta_{xz} \, C_p \, d\varphi}{(m^2 \sin \varphi + \cos \varphi)} \quad (29) \]

\[ C_Y = \frac{L^2}{2A} \int_{\varphi_1}^{\varphi_2} \frac{C_p \, m^2 \tan \theta_{xz} \sin \varphi \, d\varphi}{(m^2 \sin^2 \varphi + \cos^2 \varphi)^{3/2}} \quad (30) \]

The pitching-moment coefficient \( C_m \) can be obtained by considering the moments of the normal and axial forces. Thus, if one chooses a point on the center line at the base
\[ c_m = \frac{1}{AB} \left[ \int_{\Phi_1}^{\Phi_2} \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} C_p \cos \phi \, d\phi \, d\phi ight] + \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \int_{\Phi_1}^{\Phi_2} C_p x(L - x) m^2 \tan \theta_{xz} \cos \phi \, dx \, d\phi \]  

If the \( x \) integration is performed from \( x_1 = 0 \) to \( x_2 = L \), then

\[ c_m = \frac{-L^3}{6AB} (1 - 2 \tan^2 \theta_{xz}) \int_{\Phi_1}^{\Phi_2} \frac{C_p \tan \theta_{xz} \, m^2 \cos \phi \, d\phi}{(m^2 \sin^2 \phi + \cos^2 \phi)^{3/2}} \]

Or since

\[ C_N = -\frac{L^2}{2A} \int_{\Phi_1}^{\Phi_2} \frac{C_p \tan \theta_{xz} \, m^2 \cos \phi \, d\phi}{(m^2 \sin^2 \phi + \cos^2 \phi)^{3/2}} \]

then

\[ c_m = \frac{L}{3B} (1 - 2 \tan^2 \theta_{xz}) C_N \]  

(32)

Similarly

\[ c_n = \frac{L}{3B} (1 - 2 \tan^2 \theta_{xy}) C_Y \]  

(33)

The rolling moment coefficient about the center line of the cone is
\[
C_l = \frac{L_1^3}{\frac{3}{A_B}(m^2 - 1)} \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} \frac{\tan^2 \theta_{xz} \sin \phi \cos \phi \, d\phi}{(m^2 \sin^2 \phi + \cos^2 \phi)^2}
\]

For brevity, the following definitions are made:

\[
\psi = 1 + (m^2 - 1) \sin^2 \phi
\]

\[
\Omega = 1 + (m^2 k^2 - 1) \sin^2 \phi
\]

If the expression for \( C_p \) in equation (17) with the above definitions are substituted into equations (28), (29), (30), and (34), the following is obtained:

\[
C_N = \frac{L^2}{2A} \sin^2 \theta_{xz} \left[ 2m^2 \tan \theta_{xz} \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} \frac{\cos \phi \, d\phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\psi}} \right. \\
+ 4acm^2 \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} \frac{\sin \phi \cos \phi \, d\phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\psi}} - 4ad \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} \frac{\cos^2 \phi \, d\phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\psi}} \\
- 4cdm^2 \cot \theta_{xz} \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} \frac{\sin \phi \cos \phi \, d\phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\psi}} + 2c^2 m^2 \cot \theta_{xy} \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} \frac{\cos \phi \sin^2 \phi \, d\phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\psi}} \right]
\]

\[
+ 2d^2 \cot \theta_{xz} \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} \frac{\cos^3 \phi \, d\phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\psi}} \right]
\]

(37)
\[ C_A = \frac{L^2 \tan^2 \theta_{xz} \sin^2 \theta_{xz}}{A} \left[ a^2 \int_{\varphi_1} \frac{\Phi_2 \sin \Phi \, d\Phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\Psi}} + 2acm \cot \theta_{xy} \int_{\varphi_1} \frac{\Phi_2 \sin \Phi \, d\Phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\Psi}} \right. \]

\[- 2ad \cot \theta_{xz} \int_{\varphi_1} \frac{\Phi_2 \cos \Phi \, d\Phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\Psi}} - 2cd \cot^2 \theta_{xy} \int_{\varphi_1} \frac{\Phi_2 \cos \Phi \sin \Phi \, d\Phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\Psi}} \]

\[+ c^2 m^2 \cot^2 \theta_{xy} \int_{\varphi_1} \frac{\Phi_2 \sin^2 \Phi \, d\Phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\Psi}} + d^2 \cot^2 \theta_{xz} \int_{\varphi_1} \frac{\Phi_2 \cos^2 \Phi \, d\Phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\Psi}} \right] \]  \(38\)

\[ C_Y = \frac{L^2 \sin^2 \theta_{xz}}{A} \left[ a^2 \tan \theta_{xz} \int_{\varphi_1} \frac{\Phi_2 \sin \Phi \, d\Phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\Psi}} + 2acm^2 \int_{\varphi_1} \frac{\Phi_2 \sin^2 \Phi \, d\Phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\Psi}} \right. \]

\[- 2ad \int_{\varphi_1} \frac{\Phi_2 \cos \Phi \sin \Phi \, d\Phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\Psi}} - 2cdm^2 \cot \theta_{xz} \int_{\varphi_1} \frac{\Phi_2 \cos \Phi \sin^2 \Phi \, d\Phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\Psi}} \]

\[+ m^3 \cot \theta_{xy} \int_{\varphi_1} \frac{\Phi_2 \sin^3 \Phi \, d\Phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\Psi}} + d^2 \cot \theta_{xz} \int_{\varphi_1} \frac{\Phi_2 \sin \Phi \cos^2 \Phi \, d\Phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\Psi}} \right] \]  \(39\)

\[ C_1 = (m^2 - 1) \sin \theta_{xz} \tan \theta_{xz} \frac{L^3}{2 \mu B} \left[ 2a^2 \int_{\varphi_1} \frac{\Phi_2 \cos \Phi \sin \Phi \, d\Phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\Psi}} \right. \]

\[+ 4acm \cot \theta_{xy} \int_{\varphi_1} \frac{\Phi_2 \sin^2 \Phi \cos \Phi \, d\Phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\Psi}} - 4ad \cot \theta_{xz} \int_{\varphi_1} \frac{\Phi_2 \sin \Phi \cos \Phi \, d\Phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\Psi}} \]

\[- 4cd \cot^2 \theta_{xy} \int_{\varphi_1} \frac{\Phi_2 \cos \Phi \sin^2 \Phi \, d\Phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\Psi}} + 2c^2 m^2 \cot^2 \theta_{xy} \int_{\varphi_1} \frac{\Phi_2 \sin^3 \Phi \cos \Phi \, d\Phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\Psi}} \]

\[+ 2d \cot \theta_{xz} \int_{\varphi_1} \frac{\Phi_2 \cos^2 \Phi \sin \Phi \, d\Phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\Psi}} \right] \]  \(40\)
If the integrals in the foregoing equations are for brevity, called
$I_1, I_2, \ldots , I_6, J_1, J_2, \ldots , J_6, L_1, L_2, \ldots , L_6, M_1, M_2, \ldots , M_6,$ then these expressions can be written as

\[
C_N = -\frac{L^2 \sin^2 \theta_{xz}}{2A} \left[ a^2 \tan \theta_{xz} I_1 + 4acm^2 I_2 - 4ad I_3 - 4cdm^2 \cot \theta_{xz} I_4 \\
+ 2c^2 m^2 \cot \theta_{xz} I_5 + 2d^2 \cot \theta_{xz} I_6 \right] 
\]

(41)

\[
C_A = \frac{L^2 \tan^2 \theta_{xz} \sin^2 \theta_{xz}}{A} \left[ a^2 J_1 + 2acm \cot \theta_{xy} J_2 - 2ad \cot \theta_{xz} J_3 \\
- 2cd \cot^2 \theta_{xy} J_4 + c^2 m^2 \cot^2 \theta_{xy} J_5 + d^2 \cot^2 \theta_{xz} J_6 \right] 
\]

(42)

\[
C_Y = m^2 \sin^2 \theta_{xz} \frac{L^2}{A} \left[ a^2 \tan \theta_{xz} L_1 + 2acm^2 L_2 - 2ad L_3 - 2cdm^2 \cot \theta_{xz} L_4 \\
+ m^3 c^2 \cot \theta_{xy} L_5 + d^2 \cot \theta_{xz} L_6 \right] 
\]

(43)

\[
C_i = (m^2 - 1) \sin^2 \theta_{xz} \frac{L^2}{3AB} \tan^2 \theta_{xz} \left[ 2a^2 M_1 + 4acm \cot \theta_{xy} M_2 - 4ad \cot \theta_{xz} M_3 \\
- 4cd \cot^2 \theta_{xy} M_4 + 2c^2 m^2 \cot^2 \theta_{xy} M_5 + 2d^2 \cot^2 \theta_{xz} M_6 \right] 
\]

(44)

The above integrals are tabulated in the appendix. Some of these integrals have different expressions depending on whether $m$ is less than, equal to, or greater than unity. The coefficients then have different
representations according to whether the major axis of the ellipse lies along the horizontal or vertical axis.

B. General and Special Cases of the Static Stability Derivatives

The static stability derivatives $C_{u\alpha}$, $C_{m\alpha}$, $C_{n\beta}$, and $C_{l\beta}$ can be obtained from the force coefficients simply by differentiation. The differentiation is somewhat simple for the case of no shadow, that is, $c^2\cot^2\theta_{xy} + d^2\cot^2\theta_{xz} < a^2$, since the variable $\varphi$ appearing in the integrated expressions is not a function of $\alpha$ and $\beta$. For the case of shadow, however, $\varphi$ is a function of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ and hence differentiation is rather laborious. In this case, it is easier to get the derivatives directly from the plots of the force coefficients.

Then for the case of no shadow

$$C_{\alpha} = \frac{-2L^2\sin^2\theta_{xz}}{A} \left[ - ad\tan \theta_{xz} I_1 - dcm^2 I_2 - (a^2 - d^2) I_3 - cam^2 \cot \theta_{xz} I_4 ight]$$

$$+ ad \cot \theta_{xz} I_5 \right]$$

(45)

$$Cy_{\beta} = m^2\sin^2\theta_{xz} \frac{L^2}{A} \left[ + 2ac \cos \alpha \tan \theta_{xz} I_1 - 2 \cos \alpha m^2(1 - 2c^2) \right]$$

$$- 2cd \cos \alpha I_3 + 2m^2 \cot \theta_{xz} \sin \alpha (1 - 2c^2) I_5 - 2m^2 \cos \beta \cot \theta_{xy} I_5$$

$$+ 2cd \sin \alpha \cot \theta_{xz} I_6 \right]$$

(46)
\[ C_i^\beta = \left( \frac{a^2 - 1}{m^2} \right) \sin^2 \theta_{xz} \tan^2 \theta_{xz} \frac{L^3}{\beta AB} \left[ 4ac \cos \theta M_1 - 4m \cos \alpha \cot \theta_{xy}(1 - 2c^2) \right] \]

\[- 8 \sin \alpha \cot \theta_{xz} M_3 + 4 \sin^2 (1 - 2c^2) \cot^2 \theta_{xy} M_4 - 4 \cos \alpha \sin \alpha \cot^2 \theta_{xz} M_6 \]

\[+ 4 \alpha \sin \alpha \cot^2 \theta_{xz} M_6 \]  

(47)

\[ C_m^\alpha = \frac{L}{3B} (1 - 2 \tan \theta_{xz}) C_N^\alpha \]  

(48)

\[ C_n^\beta = \frac{L}{3B} (1 - 2 \tan \theta_{xy}) C_N^\beta \]  

(49)

For the very special case of \( \alpha = 0, \beta = 0 \), these expressions reduce to the following simple expressions

\[ C_N^\alpha = \frac{L^2}{A(k^2 - 1)} \sin 2\theta_{xz} \tan \theta_{xy} \left[ \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\cot \varphi}{m} \right) - k \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\cot \varphi}{mk} \right) \right] \phi_2 \]  

(50)

\[ C_N^\beta = \frac{2L^2}{A} \frac{m \sin \varphi_{xz}}{1 - k^2} \left[ \frac{1}{k} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\cot \varphi}{mk} \right) - \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\cot \varphi}{m} \right) \right] \phi_2 \]  

(51)

The value of \( C_i^\beta \) differs in case \( m < 1 \) or \( m > 1 \).
For $m < l$

$$C_{l \beta} = \left[ \frac{4k^3(m^2 - 1)}{3AB(l - k^2)} \cos \theta_x (1 - 2c^2) \tan \theta_x \sin^2 \theta_x \right]$$

$$\times \left[ \frac{1}{2m \sqrt{l - k^2}} \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{l} + \sqrt{l - k^2} \sin \varphi}{\sqrt{l} - \sqrt{l - k^2} \sin \varphi} \right) - \frac{\sin \varphi}{\sqrt{l}} \right]_{\varphi_1}^{\varphi_2} \quad (52)$$

and for $m > l$

$$C_{l \beta} = \left[ \frac{4k^3(m^2 - 1)}{3AB(l - k^2)} \cos \theta_x (1 - 2c^2) \tan \theta_x \sin^2 \theta_x \right]$$

$$\times \left[ \frac{1}{m \sqrt{k^2 - 1}} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{m \sqrt{k^2 - 1} \sin \varphi}{\sqrt{l}} \right) - \frac{\sin \varphi}{\sqrt{l}} \right]_{\varphi_1}^{\varphi_2} \quad (53)$$

The case of $m = l$ will be discussed in the next section.

C. Special Case of the Circular Cone Segment

The special case of $m = k = l$ corresponds to the circular cone segments. The previous general expressions can be reduced to the circular cone equations by applying a limiting process as $k$ approaches one. It is to be noted once again that both $m$ and $k$ approach $l$ together. The values of these limits are presented in the appendix. Only the final results will be given here.
\[ C_N = -\frac{R^2}{A} \left\{ a^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta \sin \varphi + ac \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \varphi \right. \]

\[ - ad \cos^2 \theta \left[ \varphi + \sin \varphi \cos \varphi \right] + \frac{1}{3} c^2 \cot \theta \cos^2 \theta \sin^3 \varphi \]

\[ + \frac{2}{3} dc \cos^2 \theta \cot \theta \cos^3 \varphi + \frac{1}{3} d^2 \cos^2 \theta \cot \theta \sin \varphi (\cos^2 \varphi + 2) \left\{ \frac{\varphi^2}{\varphi_1} \right\} \] (54)

\[ C_A = \frac{R^2}{2A} \left\{ 2a^2 \sin^2 \theta \cot \theta \cos \varphi - 4ac \sin \theta \cos \theta \cos \varphi - 4ad \sin \theta \cos \theta \sin \varphi \right. \]

\[ + c^2 \cos^2 \theta (\varphi - \sin \varphi \cos \varphi) - 2cd \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \varphi \]

\[ + d^2 \cos^2 \theta (\varphi + \sin \varphi \cos \varphi) \left\{ \frac{\varphi^2}{\varphi_1} \right\} \] (55)

\[ C_Y = \frac{R^2}{A} \left\{ -a^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta \cos \varphi + ac \cos^2 \theta (\varphi - \sin \varphi \cos \varphi) \right. \]

\[ - ad \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \varphi - \frac{1}{3} c^2 \cos^2 \theta \cot \theta \cos \varphi (\sin^2 \varphi + 2) \]

\[ - \frac{2}{3} dc \cos^2 \theta \cot \theta \sin^3 \varphi - \frac{1}{3} d^2 \cos^2 \theta \cot \theta \cos^3 \varphi \left\{ \frac{\varphi^2}{\varphi_1} \right\} \] (56)
\[ c_m = \frac{L}{3B} \cot \theta (1 - 2 \tan^2 \theta) c_N \]  

\[ c_n = \frac{L}{3B} \cot \theta (1 - 2 \tan^2 \theta) c_N \]  

\[ c_1 = 0 \]  

Equations (20) and (21) with \( m = k = 1 \) can be used to calculate the value of \( \varphi_1 \) and \( \varphi_2 \). For \( m = k = 1 \)

\[ \varphi_1 = \cot^{-1} \left[ \frac{-cd \cos^2 \theta - a \sin \theta \sqrt{\cos^2 \theta - a^2}}{a^2 \sin^2 \theta - d^2 \cos^2 \theta} \right] \]  

\[ \varphi_2 = \cot^{-1} \left[ \frac{-cd \cos^2 \theta + a \sin \theta \sqrt{\cos^2 \theta - a^2}}{a^2 \sin^2 \theta - d^2 \cos^2 \theta} \right] \]  

It is seen from the above equations that shadow exists if \( \cos^2 \theta \geq a^2 \).

D. Special Cases of \( \beta = 0 \) and Full and Half Cone Bodies

Relatively simple expressions result for the special case of \( \beta = 0 \), for both full and half cone bodies. If the reference area is used as the base area, then the expressions for the full cone are: For \( m \leq 1 \),
and no shadow \((\theta_{xz} \geq \alpha)\)

\[
C_N = 2 \sin 2\alpha \cos^2 \theta_{xz} \tag{62}
\]

\[
C_A = 2 \left[ \cos^2 \alpha \sin \theta_{xz} \sin \theta_{xy} + \sin^2 \alpha \frac{\cos^2 \theta_{xz}}{1 + k} \right] \tag{63}
\]

These expressions were obtained by using \(\beta = 0, \ \varphi_1 = 0, \ \text{and} \ \varphi_2 = 2\pi\)
in equations (41) and (42).

Whenever shadow occurs \((\theta_{xz} < \alpha)\), \(C_N\) and \(C_A\) are obtained from
equations (41) and (42) with values of \(\varphi_1\) and \(\varphi_2\) determined from
equations (20) and (21).

The flat top half cone leads to particularly simple results for
\(\beta = 0\) and \(0 \leq \alpha \leq 180 - \theta\). since the curved surface is never shadowed.

The proper values of \(\varphi_1\) and \(\varphi_2\) to use in equations (41) and (42) are
\(\varphi_1 = \frac{\pi}{2}\) and \(\varphi_2 = \frac{3\pi}{2}\). Then for \(m < 1\)

\[
C_N = -2 \frac{tL}{\frac{1}{2}^2 + L^2} \left[ - \frac{\cos^2 \alpha}{2 \sqrt{1 - k^2}} \log \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - k^2}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - k^2}} \right) - \frac{\pi L \sin 2\alpha}{t(k + 1)} \right]

+ \frac{4L^2 \sin^2 \alpha}{t^2(1 - k^2)} \left( \frac{k^2}{2 \sqrt{1 - k^2}} \log \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - k^2}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - k^2}} \right) - 1 \right) \tag{64}
\]
\[ C_A = \frac{b^2 k \cos^2 \alpha}{2 \left( \frac{b^2}{2} + L^2 \right)} + \frac{Lt \sin 2\alpha}{\pi \left( \frac{t}{2} \right)^2 + L^2} \log \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - k^2}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - k^2}} \right) \]

\[ + \frac{2L^2 \sin^2 \alpha}{\pi \left( \frac{t}{2} \right)^2 + L^2} (k + 1) \quad (65) \]

For \( m > 1 \)

\[ C_N = -\frac{2}{\pi} \frac{tL}{\left( \frac{t}{2} \right)^2 + L^2} \left[ -\frac{\cos^2 \alpha}{\sqrt{k^2 - 1}} \tan^{-1} \sqrt{k^2 - 1} - \frac{\pi L}{t(k + 1)} \sin 2\alpha \right. \]

\[ + \frac{4L^2 \sin^2 \alpha}{t^2(1 - k^2)} \left( \frac{k^2}{\sqrt{k^2 - 1}} \tan^{-1} \sqrt{k^2 - 1} - 1 \right) \quad (66) \]

\[ C_A = \frac{b^2 k \cos^2 \alpha}{2 \left( \frac{b^2}{2} + L^2 \right)} + \frac{Lt \sin 2\alpha}{\pi \left( \frac{t}{2} \right)^2 + L^2} \tan^{-1} \sqrt{k^2 - 1} + \frac{2L^2 \sin^2 \alpha}{\pi \left( \frac{t}{2} \right)^2 + L^2} (k + 1) \quad (67) \]

For \( m = 1 \)

\[ C_N = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[ \cos^2 \alpha \sin 2\theta + \frac{\pi}{2} \sin 2\alpha \cos^2 \theta + \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \alpha \cos^2 \theta \cot \theta \right] \quad (68) \]
\[
C_A = 2 \cos^2 \alpha \sin^2 \theta + \frac{2}{\pi} \sin 2\theta \sin 2\alpha + \sin^2 \alpha \cos^2 \theta \quad (69)
\]

An approximation to the half cone case can be obtained for values of \(k^2\) near unity, for either \(k > 1\) or \(k < 1\), by expanding the \(\log\) and \(\tan^{-1}\) functions into an infinite series and dropping higher order terms. If \(1 - k^2\) is denoted by \(\delta\), then

\[
\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{1 - k^2}} \log \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - k^2}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - k^2}} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - k^2) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - k^2)^2 + \ldots
\]

\[
= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta^n}{2n + 1}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{k^2 - 1}} \tan^{-1} \sqrt{k^2 - 1} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}(k^2 - 1) + \frac{1}{4}(k^2 - 1)^2 + \ldots
\]

\[
= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta^n}{2n + 1}
\]

Thus for \(\delta\) small higher order terms may be dropped. Then

\[
C_N = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{tL}{\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^2 + L^2} \left[ \cos \alpha \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta^n}{2n + 1} \right) + \frac{\pi L \sin 2\alpha}{t \tan \alpha} 
\right.
\]

\[
\left. + \frac{4L^2}{t^2} \tan^2 \alpha \left( 1 - \frac{k^2}{\delta} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta^n}{2n + 1} \right] \quad (70)
\]
\[ c_A = \frac{b^2 k \cos^2 \alpha}{2 \left[ \left( \frac{a}{2} \right)^2 + L^2 \right]} + \frac{L t \sin 2\alpha}{\pi \left[ \left( \frac{t}{2} \right)^2 + L^2 \right]} \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s^n}{2n + 1} \right) \\
+ \frac{2L^2 \sin^2 \alpha}{\pi \left[ \left( \frac{t}{2} \right)^2 + L^2 \right]}(k + 1) \]
VII. DISCUSSION

To illustrate the agreement of Newtonian estimates with experimental values, figures 4 through 11 are presented. The experimental results were obtained at Mach numbers of 6.8 and 9.6. The Mach 9.6 data, plotted on figures 5 and 6, were taken from reference 4. The remaining Mach 6.8 and 9.6 data were obtained from reference 8. The theoretical values were obtained from the results of this analysis.

The variation of lift and drag coefficients with angle of attack for a half cone with different fineness ratios or cone half angle, $\theta_{xz}$, and different thickness-to-width ratios, $t/b$, are shown in figure 4. From this it is seen that, in general, the agreement is good. Both lift and drag coefficients are in excellent agreement with experiment for the lower angle-of-attack range ($\alpha < 25^\circ$). At the higher angles of attack, the lift coefficient is predicted with greater accuracy than the drag coefficient. This plot also shows that both coefficients are predicted with greater accuracy for each cone having its major axis, at the base, in the vertical direction ($t/b = 2$). This might indicate that the "bleeding" of the pressure from the lower to upper surface is not as great when the cone is "tall" as when it is "flat".

Important parameters for most reentry bodies are the maximum values of lift coefficient and lift drag ratio. These parameters are shown plotted for the case of a full circular cone ($t/b = 1$) against cone half angle, $\theta$, in figure 5. This plot reveals that the maximum lift coefficient is predicted by the theory with a great deal of accuracy for the cone half angles shown. The maximum lift-to-drag ratio is predicted well at the higher cone half angles ($\theta > 20^\circ$) but is overpredicted for the more slender cones.
The slopes $C_{m\alpha}$ and $C_{L\alpha}$, for the same full circular cone, are plotted versus cone half angle, $\theta$, in figure 6. Exact inviscid theory was available (ref. 7) for this case and is shown for comparison purposes. It is seen from this figure that there is good agreement between Newtonian theory and the experimental results as well as the exact inviscid theory.

In figure 7, $C_{L,max}$ and $(L/D)_{max}$ for the half cone case are plotted versus the ratio, $t/b$, for different fineness ratios, $t/2L$. The variation of $C_{L,max}$ is again predicted quite well. The values of $(L/D)_{max}$, however, are in poor agreement with experiment especially at the lower values of fineness ratio ($t/2L < .2$). This poor agreement is due, in part, to the under prediction of the drag coefficients for the more slender bodies.

Figure 8 shows a plot of $C_{m\alpha}$ and $C_{L\alpha}$ for the body under consideration versus $t/b$. The agreement is rather poor for the case of the more slender bodies but improves for the larger values of fineness ratio.

To complete the variation of $C_{L,max}$, $(L/D)_{max}$, $C_{m\alpha}$, and $C_{L\alpha}$ with body parameters, figures 9 and 10 show the variation with body cut-off angle, $\phi$ (a value of $\phi = 90^\circ$ corresponds to a flat-top half cone). Figure 9 shows that both $C_{L,max}$ and $(L/D)_{max}$ are in poor agreement with experiment for the case of the slender $10^\circ$ cone but improves with increasing cone angle, $\theta$. Figure 10 shows that the slopes, $C_{m\alpha}$ and $C_{L\alpha}$ are also in poor agreement for the $10^\circ$ cone but that the agreement is improved with increasing cone angle.

The lateral derivatives, $C_{Y\beta}$ and $C_{n\beta}$, for a half circular cone are plotted versus $\alpha$ in figure 11. Experimental data for $C_{l\beta}$ were not
available. Figure 11 indicates that Newtonian theory does a poor job of predicting the side-force derivative, \( C_{Y\beta} \), but is in excellent agreement with the yawing moment derivative, \( C_{n\beta} \), for both cone half angles. The value of \( C_{I\beta} \) (\( C_I \) taken about the center line) is predicted to be zero for this case. For the case of the elliptical cone, however, \( C_{I\beta} \) as well as the other derivatives are all dependent on the body parameters, \( m, k, \) and the body cut-off angle \( \varphi \).
VIII. CONCLUSIONS

Newtonian theory was applied, in this analysis, to the elliptic cone segment at angles of attack and sideslip. Closed form expressions for the aerodynamic coefficients and static stability derivatives were obtained. Expressions for the full and half conic bodies were given and approximate expression in powers of $1 - k^2$ were given for the half cone case. The circular cone results were obtained as a special case of the general results.

Comparisons of the theoretical calculation with experimental results indicate that this theory provides an invaluable tool in obtaining first approximations to the aerodynamic characteristics of segments of circular and elliptical cones in the hypersonic flow regime. Tabulated values from these expressions for various values of $t/b$, $t/2L$, and $\varphi$ through the angle-of-attack range of $0^\circ$ to $90^\circ$ and values of $\beta$ from $0^\circ$ to $15^\circ$ would be of great benefit to anyone with need of a quick approximation to the aerodynamic characteristics of these particular bodies. Such tabulated values will be available in the form of a NASA technical note by the author which is to be published at a later date.

Comparisons of the theory with experiment indicate that the Newtonian results are, in general, in very good agreement with experiment for the blunter cones at the smaller angles of attack ($\alpha < 25^\circ$ and blunter $\theta > 20^\circ$ or $t/2L > 0.3$). All coefficients except $(L/D)_{\text{max}}$ and $C_{\gamma\beta}$ were predicted with very good accuracy. For higher values of $\alpha$ the agreement was good only for the blunter cones ($t/2L > 0.3$). Predictions of $(L/D)_{\text{max}}$ and $C_{\gamma\beta}$ were the least successful of all cases shown.

Comparisons with Newtonian theory such as these are presented in more detail in references 4 and 8. These references indicate the above agreement between experiment and theory is typical for conic body shapes.
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The values of the integrals $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_5, I_6$ are tabulated below:

\[
I_1 = \int \frac{\cos \phi \, d\phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\psi}} = \frac{1}{m \sqrt{k^2 - 1}} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{m \sqrt{k^2 - 1} \sin \phi}{\sqrt{\psi}}\right) + C, \quad k > 1
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2m \sqrt{1 - k^2}} \log\left(\sqrt{\psi} + m \sqrt{1 - k^2} \sin \phi\right) + C, \quad k < 1
\]

\[
I_2 = \int \frac{\sin \phi \cos \phi \, d\phi}{\Omega \psi} = \frac{1}{\omega^2(k^2 - 1)} \log \frac{\Omega}{\psi} + C
\]

\[
I_3 = \int \frac{\cos \phi \, d\phi}{\Omega \psi} = \frac{1}{m(k^2 - 1)} \left[\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\cot \phi}{m}\right) - k \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\cot \phi}{mk}\right)\right] + C
\]

\[0 < \phi < \pi, \quad \pi < \phi < 2\pi\]

\[
I_4 = \int \frac{\sin \phi \cos^2 \phi \, d\phi}{\Omega \psi^{3/2}} = \frac{1}{m^2(1 - k^2)} \left[\frac{k}{\sqrt{1 - k^2}} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\cos \phi \sqrt{1 - k^2}}{k \sqrt{\psi}}\right)\right. - \left.\frac{\cos \phi}{\psi}\right] + C, \quad k < 1
\]
\[ I_4 = \frac{1}{m^2(1 - k^2)} \left[ \frac{k}{2 \sqrt{k^2 - 1}} \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{\psi} + \frac{\cos \varphi}{k} \sqrt{k^2 - 1}}{\sqrt{\psi} - \frac{\cos \varphi}{k} \sqrt{k^2 - 1}} \right) - \frac{\cos \varphi}{\sqrt{\psi}} \right] + C, \ k > 1 \]

\[ I_5 = \int \frac{\cos \varphi \sin^2 \varphi \, d\varphi}{\Omega_{\psi}^{3/2}} = \frac{1}{m^2(1 - k^2)} \left[ \frac{1}{m \sqrt{k^2 - 1}} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{m \sqrt{k^2 - 1} \sin \varphi}{\sqrt{\psi}} \right) - \frac{\cos \varphi}{\sqrt{\psi}} \right] + C, \ k > 1 \]

\[ I_5 = \frac{1}{m^2(1 - k^2)} \left[ \frac{1}{2m \sqrt{1 - k^2}} \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{\psi} + m \sqrt{1 - k^2} \sin \varphi}{\sqrt{\psi} - m \sqrt{1 - k^2} \sin \varphi} \right) - \frac{\sin \varphi}{\sqrt{\psi}} \right] + C, \ k < 1 \]

\[ I_6 = \int \frac{\cos \varphi \, d\varphi}{\Omega_{\psi}^{3/2}} = \frac{1}{1 - k^2} \left[ \frac{-k^2}{2m \sqrt{1 - k^2}} \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{\psi} + m \sqrt{1 - k^2} \sin \varphi}{\sqrt{\psi} - m \sqrt{1 - k^2} \sin \varphi} \right) + \frac{\sin \varphi}{\sqrt{\psi}} \right] + C, \ k < 1 \]

\[ I_6 = \frac{1}{1 - k^2} \left[ \frac{-k^2}{m \sqrt{k^2 - 1}} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{m \sqrt{k^2 - 1} \sin \varphi}{\sqrt{\psi}} \right) + \frac{\sin \varphi}{\sqrt{\psi}} \right] + C, \ k > 1 \]
\[ J_1 = \oint \frac{d\phi}{\Omega} = -\frac{1}{mk} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\cot \phi}{mk}\right), \quad 0 < \phi < \pi, \quad \pi < \phi < 2\pi \]

\[ J_2 = \int \frac{\sin \phi \, d\phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\psi}} = -\frac{1}{mk \sqrt{1 - k^2}} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\cos \phi \sqrt{1 - k^2}}{k \sqrt{\psi}}\right) + C, \quad k < 1 \]

\[ J_2 = -\frac{1}{2m^2k \sqrt{k^2 - 1}} \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{\psi} + \frac{\cos \phi}{k} \sqrt{k^2 - 1}}{\sqrt{\psi} - \frac{\cos \phi}{k} \sqrt{k^2 - 1}}\right) + C, \quad k > 1 \]

\[ J_3 = \int \frac{\cos \phi \, d\phi}{\Omega \sqrt{\psi}} = \frac{1}{m \sqrt{k^2 - 1}} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{m \sqrt{k^2 - 1} \sin \phi}{\sqrt{\psi}}\right) + C, \quad k > 1 \]

\[ J_3 = \frac{1}{2m \sqrt{1 - k^2}} \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{\psi} + m \sqrt{1 - k^2} \sin \phi}{\sqrt{\psi} - m \sqrt{1 - k^2} \sin \phi}\right) + C, \quad k < 1 \]

\[ J_4 = \int \frac{\cos \phi \sin \phi \, d\phi}{\Omega \psi} = I_2 \]

\[ J_5 = \int \frac{\sin^2 \phi \, d\phi}{\Omega \psi} = \frac{1}{m^3(k^2 - 1)} \left[ \frac{1}{k} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\cot \phi}{mk}\right) - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\cot \phi}{m}\right) \right] + C \]

\[ 0 < \phi < \pi, \quad \pi < \phi < 2\pi \]
\[ J_6 = \int \frac{\cos \Psi \, d\Psi}{\Omega \Psi} = I_3 \]

\[ L_1 = J_2 \]

\[ L_2 = J_5 \]

\[ L_3 = I_2 \]

\[ L_4 = I_5 \]

\[ I_5 = \int \frac{\sin \Psi \, d\Psi}{\Omega \Psi^{3/2}} = \frac{1}{m^4(k^2 - 1)} \left[ \frac{1}{k \sqrt{1 - k^2}} \tan^{-1} \frac{\cos \Psi \sqrt{1 - k^2}}{k \sqrt{\Psi}} - \frac{\cos \Psi}{\sqrt{\Psi}} \right] + C, \quad k < 1 \]

\[ I_5 = \frac{1}{m^4(k^2 - 1)} \left[ \frac{1}{2k \sqrt{k^2 - 1}} \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{\Psi} + \frac{\cos \Psi \sqrt{k^2 - 1}}{k}}{\sqrt{\Psi} - \frac{\cos \Psi \sqrt{k^2 - 1}}{k}} \right) - \frac{\cos \Psi}{\sqrt{\Psi}} \right] + C, \quad k > 1 \]

\[ L_6 = I_4 \]

\[ M_1 = I_2 \]

\[ M_2 = I_5 \]
\[ M_3 = I_4 \]

\[ M_4 = \int \frac{\sin \varphi \cos \varphi \, d\varphi}{\Omega^2} = \frac{1}{2m^2(k^2 - 1)} \left[ \frac{\sin \varphi \cos \varphi}{\psi} + \frac{1}{m} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\cot \varphi}{m} \right) \right] \]

\[ + \frac{k^2}{m^3(1 - k^2)^2} \left[ \frac{1}{k} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\cot \varphi}{mk} \right) - \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\cot \varphi}{m} \right) \right] + C, \quad 0 < \varphi < \kappa, \quad \pi < \varphi < 2\pi \]

\[ M_5 = \int \frac{\sin \varphi \cos \varphi \, d\varphi}{\Omega \psi} = -\frac{1}{2m^2(k^2 - 1)} \left[ \frac{1}{m^2(k^2 - 1)} \log \frac{\Omega}{\psi} + \frac{1}{m^2 - 1} \frac{1}{\psi} \right] + C \]

\[ M_6 = \int \frac{\cos^2 \varphi \sin \varphi \, d\varphi}{\Omega^2} = \frac{1}{2(k^2 - 1)} \left[ \frac{k^2}{m^2(k^2 - 1)} \log \frac{\Omega}{\psi} + \frac{1}{m^2 - 1} \frac{1}{\psi} \right] + C \]

In the limiting case of \( m, k \to 1 \) it is necessary to take the limit of each term of the coefficients as \( m, k \) approaches unity. These are shown below:

\[ \lim_{k \to 1} \frac{1}{m \to 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - k^2}} \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{\psi + m \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin \varphi}}}{\sqrt{\psi - m \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin \varphi}}} \right) = 2 \sin \varphi \]

\[ \lim_{k \to 1} \frac{1}{m \to 1} \frac{1}{k^2 - 1} \log \frac{\Omega}{\psi} = \sin \varphi \]
\[
\lim_{k \to 1} \frac{1}{m \to 1 k^2 - 1} \left[ \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\cot \varphi}{m} \right) - k \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\cot \varphi}{mk} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{2} (\varphi - \frac{x}{2} + \sin \varphi \cos \varphi)
\]

\[
\lim_{k \to 1} \frac{1}{m \to 1 k^2 - 1} \left[ \frac{k}{\sqrt{1 - k^2}} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 - k^2 \cos \varphi}}{k \sqrt{\psi}} \right) - \cos \varphi \right] = -\frac{1}{3} \cos \varphi
\]

\[
\lim_{k \to 1} \frac{1}{m \to 1 l - k^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2m \sqrt{1 - k^2}} \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{\psi + m \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin \varphi}} - \sin \varphi}{\sqrt{\psi - m \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin \varphi}}} \right) - \sin \varphi \right] = \frac{1}{3} \sin^2 \varphi
\]

\[
\lim_{k \to 1} \frac{1}{m \to 1 l - k^2} \left[ \frac{-k^2}{2m \sqrt{1 - k^2}} \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{\psi + m \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin \varphi}} + \sin \varphi}{\sqrt{\psi - m \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin \varphi}}} \right) + \sin \varphi \right] = \frac{1}{3} \sin \varphi (\cos^2 \varphi + 2)
\]

\[
\lim_{k \to 1} \frac{1}{m \to 1 \sqrt{k^2 - 1}} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{m \sqrt{k^2 - 1 \sin \varphi}}{\sqrt{\psi}} \right) = \sin \varphi
\]

\[
\lim_{k \to 1} \frac{1}{m \to 1 l - k^2} \left[ \frac{k}{2 \sqrt{k^2 - 1}} \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{\psi + \sqrt{k^2 - 1 \cos \varphi}} - \cos \varphi}{\sqrt{\psi - \sqrt{k^2 - 1 \cos \varphi}}} \right) - \cos \varphi \right] = -\frac{1}{3} \cos^2 \varphi
\]

\[
\lim_{k \to 1} \frac{1}{m \to 1 l - k^2} \left[ \frac{1}{m \sqrt{k^2 - 1}} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{m \sqrt{k^2 - 1 \sin \varphi}}{\sqrt{\psi}} \right) - \sin \varphi \right] = \frac{1}{3} \sin^2 \varphi
\]

\[
\lim_{k \to 1} \frac{1}{m \to 1 l - k^2} \left[ \frac{-k^2}{m \sqrt{k^2 - 1}} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{m \sqrt{k^2 - 1 \sin \varphi}}{\sqrt{\psi}} \right) + \sin \varphi \right] = \frac{1}{3} \sin \varphi (\cos^2 \varphi + 2)
\]
\[ \lim_{k \to 1} \frac{1}{m k} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\cot \phi}{m k} \right) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \phi \]

\[ \lim_{k \to 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - k^2}} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 - k^2} \cos \phi}{k} \right) = \cos \phi \]

\[ \lim_{k \to 1} \frac{1}{k^2 - 1} \left[ \frac{1}{k} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\cot \phi}{m k} \right) - \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\cot \phi}{m} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{2} (\phi - \frac{\pi}{2} - \sin \psi \cos \phi) \]

\[ \lim_{k \to 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^2 - 1}} \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{\psi} + \frac{\sqrt{k^2 - 1}}{k} \cos \phi}{\sqrt{\psi} - \frac{\sqrt{k^2 - 1}}{k} \cos \phi} \right) = 2 \cos \phi \]

\[ \lim_{k \to 1} \frac{1}{k^2 - 1} \left[ \frac{1}{k} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 - k^2} \cos \phi}{k} \right) - \frac{\cos \phi}{\sqrt{\psi}} \right] = \frac{1}{3} \cos \phi (\sin \phi + 2) \]

\[ \lim_{k \to 1} \frac{1}{2k \sqrt{k^2 - 1}} \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{\psi} + \frac{\sqrt{k^2 - 1}}{k} \cos \phi}{\sqrt{\psi} - \frac{\sqrt{k^2 - 1}}{k} \cos \phi} \right) - \frac{\cos \phi}{\sqrt{\psi}} = \frac{2}{3} \cos \phi (\sin^2 \phi + 2) \]
In the case of $C_1$, the presence of the term $(m^2 - 1)$ multiplied out front causes the entire expression to be zero when $k \to 1$ and $m \to 1$. Thus $\lim_{k \to 1} \lim_{m \to 1} C_1 = 0$. 
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Figure 1.- General body coordinate system and wind components.
Figure 2.- Coordinate system and characteristic lengths and angles for elliptical cone body.
Figure 3.- Cross-sectional views at station $X = -L$ for cases of $m < 1$, $m = 1$, and $m > 1$. 
Figure 4.- Variation of lift and drag coefficients with angle of attack for half cone at \( \beta = 0 \). Experimental data obtained at \( M = 9.6 \).
Figure 5.- Variation of $C_{L,\text{max}}$ and $(L/D)_{\text{max}}$ with cone semiapex angle for full cone with $t/b = 1$. Experimental values obtained at $M = 6.8$. 
Figure 6.- Variation of lift and moment slopes with cone semiapex angle for full cone with \( t/b = 1 \). Experimental values obtained at \( M = 6.8 \).
Figure 7.- Variation of $C_{l,\text{max}}$ and $(L/D)_{\text{max}}$ with thickness ratio for half cone bodies. Experimental values obtained at $M = 9.6$. 
Figure 8.- Variation of lift and moment slopes with cone thickness ratio for half cone bodies at $\beta = 0$. Experimental values obtained at $M = 9.6$. 
Figure 9.- Variation of $C_{L,\text{max}}$ and $(L/D)_{\text{max}}$ with body segment for different cone semiapex angles with $t/b = 1$. Experimental values obtained at $M = 9.6$. 
Figure 10.- Variation of lift and moment slopes with body segment for different cone semiapex angles at $\beta = 0$ and $t/b = 1$. Experimental values obtained at $M = 9.6$. 
Figure 11.- Variation of the slopes, $C_{Y_{B}}$ and $C_{n_{B}}$ with angle of attack for half cone with $t/b = 1$. Experimental values obtained at $M = 6.8$. 
THE PREDICTION OF AERODYNAMIC FORCE AND MOMENT COEFFICIENTS
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ABSTRACT

Newtonian theory was applied, in this analysis, to the elliptic cone segment at angles of attack and sideslip. Closed form expressions for the aerodynamic coefficients and static stability derivatives were obtained. Expressions for the full and half conic bodies were given and approximate expressions were given for the half cone case. The circular cone results were obtained as a special case of the general results.

Comparisons of the theoretical calculations with experimental results at hypersonic speeds were made of the aerodynamic coefficients and static derivatives for several conic segments. Generally, good agreement was observed for specified ranges of fineness ratios and angles of attack.