

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The main credits for the completion of this study go to my three academic advisors, Dr. Darrell Bosch, Dr. Daniel Taylor, and Dr. James Pease. Both Dr. Bosch and Dr. Taylor were very kind to serve as the co-chairs of my advisory committee and to generously guide me during my course-work and thesis-writing stages. Dr. Bosch especially was extremely helpful, encouraging, and inspiring at every point during my two years of study at Virginia Tech and contributed greatly in improving the quality of my thesis. Dr. Taylor and Dr. Pease were also very encouraging with their helpful comments and suggestions. The opportunity to learn and enhance my knowledge and experience at Virginia Tech has been one of the most gratifying and fulfilling, and one that I will cherish throughout my life. I would also like to offer my sincere thanks to Catherine Kascak and Charles Barnard of the Economic Research Service (ERS) of the United States Department of Agriculture for their help and to the ERS for providing data used in this study.

A very special thanks to all my friends both in the department and outside who helped me, encouraged me, and provided moral support at different points to face the challenges of graduate student life in the United States. Finally, and above all, I would like to acknowledge the unconditional and continual support of my own family which made it possible for me to come to the United States and experience the wealth of knowledge and cultures of different people from all over the world.

## Table of Contents

<b>Chapter I: Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Brief overview of the Problem .....	1
1.2 Problem Statement .....	1
1.3 The Albemarle-Pamlico Watershed .....	4
1.4 Objectives.....	4
1.5 Evaluation of Pesticide Impacts .....	5
1.6 Data, and Techniques Involved in the Study.....	6
<i>1.6.1 Data Sources and Indexing .....</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>1.6.2 Hypotheses.....</i>	<i>7</i>
1.7 Conclusion .....	8
<b>Chapter II: A Review of Conceptual and Empirical Literature on Pesticide Use .....</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1 Introduction.....	10
2.2 Literature Review .....	10
<i>2.2.1 A Brief Background of Pesticides.....</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>2.2.2 Externalities from Chemical Pesticide Use.....</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>2.2.3 Environmental Risk Indices for Hazardous Chemical Pesticides .....</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>2.2.4 Influence of Socio-Economic Factors and Farm-advisory Services         on Pesticide Use - Some IPM Studies .....</i>	<i>17</i>
2.3 Economic Incentives for Pesticide Use.....	18

2.4 Concluding Remarks.....	24
<b>Chapter III: Empirical Procedures.....</b>	<b>26</b>
3.1 Introduction.....	26
3.2 Procedures and Relevance of Data Collection for the Study .....	26
3.3 The Procedures for Data Analysis .....	28
3.3.1 Procedure for Construction of the AGGINDEX Variable .....	29
3.3.2 Construction of LEACH for representing the leaching potential of the soil .....	31
3.3.3 Construction of the EXPEND variable for pesticide expenditures .....	32
3.4 Potential Estimation Problems and Suggested Remedies .....	32
3.5 Limitations of the Model.....	34
3.6 Concluding Remarks.....	35
<b>Chapter IV: Distribution of Data and Regression Results .....</b>	<b>36</b>
4.1 Frequency Distributions of the Explanatory Variables in the Model.....	36
4.2 Description of the Dependent Variables .....	43
4.3 Regression Analysis .....	46
4.3.1 Results for Cotton .....	46
4.3.2 Results for Peanuts .....	58
4.4 Concluding Remarks.....	63
<b>Chapter V: Summary, Conclusions, and Policy Implication.....</b>	<b>65</b>
5.1 Brief Summary of this Study .....	65

5.2 Conclusions and Some Policy Implications.....	66
<b>References.....</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>Appendices .....</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>Vita .....</b>	<b>90</b>

## List of Tables

Table 3.1 Toxicity and Persistence of Active Ingredients of Temik TSX .....	30
Table 4.1 Table 4.1. Description of the explanatory variables used in the study for constructing Model 1 (eqn. 3.1) and Model 2 (eqn. 3.2) specified in Chapter III.....	37
Table 4.2 Farm-advisory services: Most important rank and effect on pesticide usage .....	39
Table 4.3 Values assigned to <i>IGSALES</i> and <i>IGSALES1</i> along with their frequency distribution and average values for cotton and peanuts .....	42
Table 4.4 Distribution of the dependent variables in the regression models .....	44
Table 4.5 The ten most toxic chemicals in this study used on each crop, after including the rate of application of the respective pesticide products for which they are active ingredients .....	44
Table 4.6 Comparison of mean expenditures per acre on pesticide applications to cotton and peanuts in the Area Studies data and in the Virginia Cooperative Extension Budget.....	45
Table 4.7 Results of regressing the log of aggregate toxicity of pesticides used in cotton farming on socio-economic, and physical, and advisory services variables as represented by equation 4.2 .....	48
Table 4.8 Summary of results from misspecification tests <sup>a</sup> conducted on the regression models of Chapter IV .....	50
Table 4.9 Results of regressing aggregate pesticide expenditures in cotton farming on socio-economic, physical, and advisory services variables as represented by equation 4.3a.....	52
Table 4.10 Revised results of regressing aggregate pesticide expenditures in cotton	

farming on socio-economic, physical, and advisory services variables as represented by equation 4.3b.....	54
Table 4.11 Pearson product-moment correlation matrix for <i>LAGG</i> (log of aggregate pesticide toxicity) and <i>EXPEND</i> (aggregate pesticide expenditures) on cotton sites.....	55
Table 4.12 Summary of the hypotheses in Chapter I and the corresponding results obtained in Chapter IV.....	56
Table 4.13 Results of regressing the log of aggregate toxicity of pesticides used in peanut farming on socio-economic, physical, and advisory services variables as represented by equation 4.4.....	59
Table 4.14 Results of regressing aggregate pesticide expenditures in peanut farming on socio-economic, physical, and advisory services variables as represented by equation 4.5a.....	61
Table 4.15 Results of regressing aggregate pesticide expenditures in peanut farming on socio-economic, physical, and advisory services variables as represented by equation 4.5b.....	62
Table 4.16 Pearson product-moment correlation matrix for <i>LAGG</i> and <i>EXPEND</i> on peanut sites.....	64

## List of Figures

Figure 2.1 Optimum externality in agriculture .....	13
Figure 2.2 Change in pesticide use due to change in relative price of cotton .....	19
Figure 2.3 Relationship between pesticide-doses and toxicity .....	21
Figure 2.4 Relationship between price of cotton and toxicity .....	21
Figure 2.5 Change from pesticide-intensive to scouting-intensive technology .....	23
Figure 2.6 Change from toxic pesticide-intensive to non-toxic pesticide-intensive technology .....	23