

Acknowledgments

The completion of this thesis provides me with an occasion to express my gratitude to those without whom this project would not have been possible. I have been fortunate enough to receive a great deal of support and guidance from many people including my family, my friends, the faculty and staff of the Department of Philosophy and Religious Studies at Radford University, and the faculty and staff of the Department of Philosophy at Virginia Tech. While I cannot hope to express adequately how deeply indebted I am to each of these individuals, I would like to here acknowledge the role they have played in both my professional and my personal development.

I would first like to thank my parents who encouraged me wholeheartedly, both in word and in deed, to pursue my interests and to seek a career which would be both challenging and rewarding. For their love, their confidence, and their hard work I will always be grateful.

I would also like to thank my advisor and chair Eric Watkins for his support, his input, and, perhaps most importantly, for his patience. The many delays which I forced Eric to deal with in the course of this project would have tested anyone, but he always maintained a sympathetic and positive attitude. I look forward to a long and fruitful relationship with Eric, and wish him success in all of his endeavors.

Thanks go as well to Roger Ariew for being an excellent teacher and scholar, and for his interest in and support for this project. And to Joe Pitt for his dedication both to providing, and encouraging students to take advantage of, opportunities to prepare for careers in academia and elsewhere. I am indebted to the entire community of scholars, students, and staff who make Virginia Tech an excellent place to study philosophy, and I am grateful to have had the opportunity to be a member of this community.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments.....	iii
Table of Contents	iv
<u>Introduction</u>	1
<u>Chapter I: Kant's Pre-Critical Argument in Support of a Demonstration of the Existence of God</u>	3
1.1 The Argument of the <i>Nova Delucidatio</i>	4
1.2 The Argument of the <i>Only Possible Argument</i>	7
1.3 Existence in the <i>Only Possible Argument</i>	11
<u>Chapter II: The Ideal of Pure Reason and the "Critical Turn"</u>	16
2.1 The <i>Only Possible Argument</i> and the "Ideal of Pure Reason"	16
2.2 The "Critical Turn"	22
2.3 Röd and Wood on the Relationship Between the OPA and the first Critique	24
2.3.1 Röd's Explanation.....	24
2.3.2 Wood's Explanation	28
<u>Chapter III: Explanation of Kant's Critical Attitude Towards the <i>Only Possible Argument</i></u>	32
3.1 The Failure of Speculative Theology	33
3.1.1 The Ontological Argument.....	34
3.1.2 Space and the <i>Ens Realissimum</i>	36
3.1.3 Possible Objection to the Critical Position.....	39
3.2 Kant's Pre-Critical Attitude Towards Synthetic <i>a priori</i> Judgments ..	40
3.2.1 <i>A priori</i> Judgments in the <i>Only Possible Argument</i>	40
3.2.2 The <i>Inaugural Dissertation</i>	43
3.2.3 The <i>Letter to Herz</i>	39
3.3 Kant's Critical View on Synthetic <i>a priori</i> Judgments.....	46
3.3.1 The Objective Status of the Categories of the Understanding.....	46
3.3.2 The Objective Status of the Ideal of Reason	48
3.3.3 The Discipline of Pure Reason	52
<u>Conclusions</u>	56
<u>Bibliography</u>	58