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The contribution of impurity ions to the total optical absorption of a heavy metal fluoride glass has been determined at 532 and 1064 nm. Four ZrF$_4$-BaF$_2$-LaF$_3$-AlF$_3$-NaF glasses were prepared from various purity raw materials. The absorption coefficients of these glasses range from 0.92 to 45.4 x 10$^{-4}$ cm$^{-1}$ at 1064 nm and from 7.43 to 11.1 x 10$^{-4}$ cm$^{-1}$ at 532 nm as determined by laser calorimetry. The concentrations of Fe, Ni, Cu, and Co ions in each glass were determined by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectroscopy. These two measurements enable the absorption, due to transition metal ions to be differentiated from the intrinsic absorption of the glass. At 1064 nm, the absorption coefficient of these glasses is controlled entirely by the transition metal ion content. However, at 532 nm, the absorption by the transition metal ions accounts for 4-42% of the total absorption depending on impurity concentration. The intrinsic absorption of this fluoride glass calculated from these data at 532 nm is $(7.69\pm0.99)\times10^{-4}$ cm$^{-1}$.

Heavy metal fluoride (HMF) glasses are promising materials for optical applications in the near ultraviolet, visible, and infrared since they have a broad transmission window that extends from 200 nm to beyond 8 \mu m. In addition, these glasses have relatively low intrinsic scattering, small stress-optic coefficients, shallow dispersion curves, and very small intrinsic absorption.

The total optical absorption coefficient of any material can be expressed in terms of intrinsic and extrinsic contributions:

$$\beta_{\text{glass}} = \beta_{\text{int}} + \beta_{\text{ext}},$$

where $\beta_{\text{int}}$ is the absorption that arises from the intrinsic electronic and vibrational absorptions of the glass, and $\beta_{\text{ext}}$ is the extrinsic absorption of the impurities in the system. In most cases, the optical absorption of HMF glasses is dominated by the absorption by impurities. This letter reports on a study of the effect of raw materials purity on the absorption coefficient of HMF glasses in the visible and infrared. Comparison of direct measurements of the transition metal content with the absorption coefficient at both 532 and 1064 nm enable the extrinsic absorption to be separated from intrinsic absorption.

Four samples of a ZrF$_4$-BaF$_2$-LaF$_3$-AlF$_3$-NaF (ZBLAN) were obtained from industrial sources. These samples were prepared from raw materials ranging in purity from typical reagent grade to ultrahigh purity. The samples were cut and polished into the disk or bar-shaped specimens required for laser calorimetry, and a small portion of each glass was retained for analysis of impurity content. The critical surfaces were finished to a scratch/dig of 20/10 and a flatness of $\lambda/40$.

The total transition metal ion content of each glass was determined using graphite furnace atomic absorption spectroscopy (GFAAS). The details of this analysis are given elsewhere. The total transition metal (Fe$^{3+}$, Co$^{3+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, and Cu$^{2+}$) contents for the four ZBLAN glasses are given in Table I. The purity of the starting raw materials has a significant effect on the purity of the glass. For example, ZBLAN-1, which was made from low-purity materials, has 40 times more Fe and 35 times more Cu than ZBLAN-4, which was made with ultrapure materials. There is less of an effect of the materials purity on the Ni content, and all the materials used gave glasses with Co concentrations below the detectability limit.

Laser calorimeter measurements were performed on each sample using a Spectra Physics Model 3800 Nd:YAG laser source with a KTP frequency doubling crystal. For the 1064 nm experiment the laser was operated continuous wave (cw). The 532 nm experiment the laser was operated mode locked and Q-switched (with a duty cycle of 1 kHz) to facilitate frequency doubling. A typical Q-switched pulse consisted of a 180-ns-long train of 100 ps pulses at a 82 MHz repetition rate. If the nonlinearity in the optical absorption is assumed to be negligible, this complicated temporal output has the same effect on the sample as cw output. The average power for the measurements was 1 W and 300 mW at 1064 and 532 nm, respectively. A complete description of the laser calorimetry experiment is given elsewhere.

Table II shows the total absorption coefficients, $\beta_{\text{glass}}$, at 532 and 1064 nm for the four ZBLAN glasses. The laser calorimetry data follow the expected trend of decreasing absorption coefficient with decreasing transition metal content. However, the transition metal content appears to have a larger effect on the absorption at 1064 nm than at 532 nm. The absorption coefficient of ZBLAN-1 at 1064 nm is nearly 50 times larger than that of ZBLAN-4. However at 532 nm the absorption coefficient of ZBLAN-1 is
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only 1.5 times larger than that of ZBLAN-4. The intrinsic absorption, \( \beta_{\text{int}} \), at these wavelengths arises primarily from the Urbach tail and is given by

\[
\beta_{\text{int}} = A \exp\left(-\frac{E}{T}\right),
\]

where \( A \) and \( \alpha \) are constants.\(^{11} \) In fact, at 1 \( \mu \)m, the contribution from the multiphonon edge is less than \( 10^{-25} \) cm\(^{-1} \).\(^{11} \) The extrinsic absorption, \( \beta_{\text{ext}} \), arises from impurity ion absorption and is given by

\[
\beta_{\text{ext}} = \sum C_n \beta_{\text{ion},n}
\]

where \( C_n \) and \( \beta_{\text{ion},n} \) are the concentration and absorption coefficient of ion \( "n" \), respectively.

The GFAAS data from Table I were used to calculate the extrinsic absorption, \( \beta_{\text{ext}} \), that arises from the transition metal ions in each glass. The absorption coefficients for the transition metals, \( \beta_{\text{ion}} \), were taken from the literature.\(^{12} \)

Figure 1 shows the measured absorption coefficients, \( \beta_{\text{glass}} \), at 1064 nm for the four ZBLAN glasses as a function of the calculated extrinsic absorption coefficient, \( \beta_{\text{ext}} \). The fact that the measured values fall very close to the 45° line which defines \( \beta_{\text{glass}} = \beta_{\text{ext}} \) indicates that \( \beta_{\text{int}} < \beta_{\text{ext}} \), i.e., the absorption of 1064 nm light by ZBLAN glass is controlled entirely by the concentration of Fe, Co, Ni, and Cu. Regression analysis indicates that the values of \( \beta_{\text{glass}} \) actually fall on a line that is parallel to the line defined by \( \beta_{\text{glass}} = \beta_{\text{ext}} \).

Table III gives the calculated extrinsic absorption, \( \beta_{\text{ext}} \), at 532 nm that arises from the transition metal content at 532 nm. The values of \( \beta_{\text{int}} \), calculated using Eq. (1), are also given in Table III. This calculation assumes that there is no significant contribution from other ions to \( \beta_{\text{ext}} \), at 532 nm. Unlike the absorption at 1064 nm, \( \beta_{\text{int}} \) at 532 nm is not determined entirely by the transition metal content. In fact, the contribution of \( \beta_{\text{ext}} \) to \( \beta_{\text{glass}} \) ranges from 42.5% for ZBLAN-1 to 4.5% for ZBLAN-4. The average value of the intrinsic absorption at 532 nm is \( (7.69 \pm 0.99) \times 10^{-4} \) cm\(^{-1} \). This value agrees well with the value taken from the extrapolation of UV edge data for a ZrF\(_4\)-BaF\(_2\)-LaF\(_3\) glass, \( 2 \times 10^{-3} \) cm\(^{-1} \).\(^{13} \)

In general, the laser calorimetry data are in good agreement with the data from the GFAAS analysis of the transition metal. The scatter in the values of \( \beta_{\text{int}} \) at 532 nm and the fact that measured values of \( \beta_{\text{glass}} \) at 1064 nm fall below the \( \beta_{\text{glass}} = \beta_{\text{ext}} \) may result from a number of different factors including: (1) variation in oxidation state of the transition metals, (2) over estimation of the concentration of ions present at levels less than the detectability limit of GFAAS, (3) absorption by other ions, (4) errors in the values of \( \beta_{\text{int}} \), and (5) possible fluorescence of transition metals.

First, it is possible that the oxidation states of the transition metals are not all the same. The calculation of \( \beta_{\text{ext}} \) assumed that all of the transition metals are present in the 2\(^{+}\) oxidation state. Reduction of iron to the Fe\(^{3+}\) state, oxidation of copper to the Cu\(^{2+}\) state, or the reduction of cobalt and nickel to the metallic state would reduce the absorption coefficient by an amount proportional to the concentration of these alternate oxidation states. France et al.\(^{14} \) studied the effect of melting atmosphere on the oxidation state of transition metals at the 400–1000 ppm level in a ZBLAN glass containing PbF\(_2\). Their work indicates that while iron remains almost entirely in the Fe\(^{3+}\) state, copper is reduced to the Cu\(^{2+}\) state unless the glass is melted under O\(_2\) atmosphere. Additionally, they found no reduction of cobalt and nickel under normal melting conditions. Although some variation in the oxidation state is possible, differences in the oxidation state probably do not
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**TABLE I. Results of GFAAS analysis for transition metals concentrations in ppb. The sign indicates that the value was below the detectability limit of the GFAAS measurement.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glass ID</th>
<th>Fe (X10^4 cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Co (X10^4 cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Ni (X10^4 cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Cu (X10^4 cm(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZBLAN-1</td>
<td>14866</td>
<td>&lt;7</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>1760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZBLAN-2</td>
<td>1361</td>
<td>&lt;7</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZBLAN-3</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>&lt;7</td>
<td>&lt;19</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZBLAN-4</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>&lt;7</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**TABLE II. Absorption coefficients, \( \beta_{\text{glass}} \), for ZBLAN glasses.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glass ID</th>
<th>( \beta_{\text{glass}} ) (X10^4 cm(^{-1})) at 532 nm</th>
<th>( \beta_{\text{glass}} ) (X10^4 cm(^{-1})) at 1064 nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZBLAN-1</td>
<td>11.1±0.37</td>
<td>44.0±0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZBLAN-2</td>
<td>9.32±0.46</td>
<td>3.72±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZBLAN-3</td>
<td>9.14±0.25</td>
<td>1.53±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZBLAN-4</td>
<td>7.43±0.19</td>
<td>0.92±0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**TABLE III. Calculated extrinsic absorption, \( \beta_{\text{ext}} \), and intrinsic absorption, \( \beta_{\text{int}} \), for ZBLAN glasses at 532 nm.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glass ID</th>
<th>( \beta_{\text{ext}} ) (X10^4 cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( \beta_{\text{int}} ) (X10^4 cm(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZBLAN-1</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>6.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZBLAN-2</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>8.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZBLAN-3</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>8.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZBLAN-4</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>7.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
contribute significantly to the discrepancies mentioned above. However, these changes in oxidation would shift the value of $\beta_{\text{glass}}$ toward the line which defines $\beta_{\text{glass}} = \beta_{\text{ext}}$.

Second, the cobalt concentration of each glass was below the detectability limit of GFAAS, and the nickel concentration of ZBLAN-3 was also below the detectability limit. Because all of the $\beta_{\text{ext}}$ were calculated assuming that these transition metals were present at levels equal to the detectability limit, the calculation gives an upper limit for the calculation of $\beta_{\text{ext}}$ at 1064 nm. Therefore this factor cannot explain why $\beta_{\text{glass}} < \beta_{\text{ext}}$ at 1064 nm. However, the inability to determine the concentration of cobalt to less than 7 ppb could be a significant contributing factor to the scatter in the value $\beta_{\text{int}}$ at 532 nm. For example, 7 ppb of Co$^{3+}$ contributes 4% of the $\beta_{\text{ext}}$ for ZBLAN-1, 21% of the $\beta_{\text{ext}}$ for ZBLAN-2, 40% of the $\beta_{\text{ext}}$ for ZBLAN-3, and 48% of the $\beta_{\text{ext}}$ for ZBLAN-4.

The possibility of absorption by ions other than Fe, Co, Ni, and Cu must also be considered. There are a number of ions, including Ti$^{3+}$, V$^{3+}$, Cr$^{3+}$, Nd$^{3+}$, Er$^{3+}$, and Pr$^{3+}$, that give rise to absorptions at 532 and/or 1064 nm. If significant concentrations of V$^{3+}$, Pr$^{3+}$, and Er$^{3+}$ were present, the $\beta_{\text{glass}}$ at 1064 nm would be greater than the calculated value of $\beta_{\text{ext}}$. However, Nd$^{3+}$, Ti$^{3+}$, and Cr$^{3+}$ have no absorptions at 1064 nm, and therefore may be present at concentrations which could contribute to the scatter in $\beta_{\text{int}}$ at 532 nm.

The values used for $\beta_{\text{ion}}$ are also a critical factor for the calculation of $\beta_{\text{ion}}$ at 532 nm and $\beta_{\text{ext}}$ at 1064 nm. The values used here were determined for the transition metal in GdF$_3$ containing ZrF$_4$-based glasses. Although the composition of the ZBLAN glass in this study is different, the work of France et al. indicates that the coordination of the Fe, Co, Ni, and Co ions will not be changed significantly. However, it is very plausible that differences in density and molar volume could alter the ligand field strength and therefore shift the position of the absorption bands. A shift in the absorption band would change the $\beta_{\text{ion}}$ at both 532 and 1064 nm. Analogous effects have been observed in oxide glasses.

The final factor to consider is fluorescence. Fluorescence has been observed in HMF glasses for a number of ions including Fe, Co, Ni, and Cu, and must be considered as a possible source of error in the calculation of $\beta_{\text{ext}}$. If some fraction of the power is absorbed by the transition metal ions and then released as photons, the measured $\beta_{\text{glass}}$ will be reduced by a factor proportional to the quantum efficiency of this radiative decay for the transition metal ions.
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