First-principles study of a single-molecule magnet Mn$_{12}$ monolayer on the Au(111) surface
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The electronic structure of a monolayer of single-molecule magnets Mn$_{12}$ on a Au(111) surface is studied using spin-polarized density-functional theory. The Mn$_{12}$ molecules are oriented such that the magnetic easy axis is normal to the surface, and the terminating ligands in the Mn$_{12}$ are replaced by thiol groups (-SH) where the H atoms are lost upon adsorption onto the surface. This sulfur-terminated Mn$_{12}$ molecule has a total magnetic moment of 18$\mu_B$ in the ground state, in contrast to 20$\mu_B$ for the standard Mn$_{12}$. The Mn$_{12}$ molecular orbitals broaden due to the interaction of the molecule with the gold surface and the broadening is of the order of 0.1 eV. It is an order of magnitude less than the single-electron charging energy of the molecule so the molecule is weakly bonded to the surface. Only electrons with majority spin can be transferred from the surface to the sulfur-terminated Mn$_{12}$ since the gold Fermi level is well above the majority lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) but below the minority LUMO. The amount of the charge transfer is calculated to be 1.23 electrons from a one-dimensional charge density difference between the sulfur-terminated Mn$_{12}$ on the gold surface and the isolated sulfur-terminated Mn$_{12}$, dominated by the tail in the electronic distribution of the gold surface. A calculation of a level shift upon charging provides 0.28 electrons being transferred. The majority of the charge transfer occurs at the sulfur, carbon, and oxygen atoms close to the surface. The total magnetic moment also changes from 18$\mu_B$ to 20$\mu_B$, which is due to rearrangements of the magnetic moments on the sulfur atoms and Mn atoms upon adsorption onto the surface. The magnetic anisotropy barrier is computed including spin-orbit interaction self-consistently in density-functional theory. The barrier for the Mn$_{12}$ on the gold surface decreases by 6$\text{K}$ in comparison to that for an isolated Mn$_{12}$ molecule.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A nanoscale single-molecule magnet (SMM) comprises a few transition metal ions interacting through organic and/or inorganic ligands instead of direct exchange interactions. To reverse the magnetic moment of a SMM, a large energy barrier needs to be overcome. Experiments on bulk forms of SMMs exhibited quantum tunneling between different directions of magnetic moments, quantum interference between spin paths, and long spin dephasing time $T_2$. These properties of SMMs propelled interest in utilizing SMMs as information storage devices, spin-based devices, or materials for quantum computation. A great amount of experiments were conducted to accurately measure the magnetic properties of a single monolayer of Mn$_{12}$. In all experimental systems discussed little was known about the interface between the Mn$_{12}$ molecules and the surface or electrodes. For example, the following questions have not been answered: (i) How the Mn$_{12}$ molecules are oriented at the interface, (ii) whether the Mn$_{12}$ molecules remain chemically intact at the interface, and (iii) how strongly the molecules are coupled to a surface or electrodes. Therefore, it is still a controversy whether the electronic and magnetic properties of SMMs change due to the interaction with a surface.

To investigate mainly electronic transport and spin filtering through SMMs, theoretical models were proposed based on the assumption that individual SMMs were not strongly coupled to electrodes, in other words, the molecular orbitals remain sharp despite their interactions with metal electrodes. Then the Anderson Hamiltonian and an effective spin Hamiltonian were used with $a$ priori microscopic parameter values that need to be determined from atomic-scale simulations. To the best of our knowledge, SMMs deposited on a surface or bridged between electrodes, have not been yet studied using first-principles methods. We investigate...
Spin-polarized DFT calculations are performed with plane waves as basis sets, within the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) generalized-gradient approximation (GGA), using the Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package (VASP), Projector-augmented-wave (PAW) pseudopotentials are employed to take into account spin-orbit interaction (SOI) that induces large magnetic anisotropy in a Mn$_{12}$ molecule. Valence electrons considered in the PAW pseudopotentials for each atom are shown in Table I. 5$d^{10}$6$s^1$ orbitals are treated as valence states for Au, and 3$p^64$s$^23$p$^5$ orbitals are used for Mn. Hard pseudopotentials are used for C and O. All DFT calculations in this study are performed self-consistently using VASP (unless stated otherwise) until the total energy converges down to 1.0×10$^{-5}$ eV. To simulate a monolayer of Mn$_{12}$ molecules on a Au(111) surface (Fig. 1), we consider a monoclinic unit cell of 17.71×17.71×34.00 Å$^3$ in which a simplified form of a Mn$_{12}$ molecule (explained specifically in Sec. II B) is attached to a gold slab with six monolayers via thiol groups, and a vacuum layer of 10 Å is added above the Mn$_{12}$ molecule. In this geometry the magnetic easy axis of the Mn$_{12}$ molecules (z axis) is oriented normal to the surface. We first optimize a gold slab and a Mn$_{12}$ molecule geometry, separately, and then combine them to create what we call the “whole structure” illustrated in Fig. 1.

### II. MODEL AND METHODS

A DFT calculation is performed on bulk gold and convergence of the total energy is checked as a function of the number of k-points, fast Fourier transform (FFT) mesh, an energy cutoff for plane waves, and a cutoff in augmentation charges. With an energy cutoff of 260 eV and a cutoff in augmentation charges of 357 eV, the calculated equilibrium lattice constant is 4.175 Å that differs from the experimental value by 2.8%. In constructing the gold slab, in-plane separations among gold atoms are set to be 2.952 Å, obtained from the equilibrium geometry of bulk gold. With the in-plane separations fixed, the distance between monolayers is determined by atomic force relaxation until the magnitude of all force components is less than 0.01 eV/Å. To fully cover a Mn$_{12}$ molecule on a Au(111) surface, a 6×6×1 real-space

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atomic species</th>
<th>Valence electron configuration</th>
<th>Suggested energy cutoff range (eV)</th>
<th>Atomic sphere radii (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>5$d^{10}$6$s^1$</td>
<td>170–230</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>3$p^64$s$^23$p$^5$</td>
<td>202–270</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>2$s^22$p$^4$</td>
<td>500–700</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2$s^22$p$^2$</td>
<td>500–700</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>3$s^23$p$^4$</td>
<td>302–402</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>1$s^1$</td>
<td>200–250</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
supercell (36 surface Au atoms per monolayer) is used. The surface area covered by the molecule is stressed by the outermost dotted circle in Fig. 1(a). In addition, a gold slab should be thick enough to completely screen the Mn$_{12}$ molecule including thiol groups with height of 12.12 Å. This condition is satisfied with six gold monolayers 12.06 Å high. In the whole structure we include the optimized geometry for a six-monolayer gold slab with 36 surface atoms per monolayer that contains a total of 216 gold atoms.

**B. Isolated Mn$_{12}$: Geometries 1 and 2**

To reduce computational cost, a simplified form of a Mn$_{12}$ molecule, [Mn$_{12}$O$_{12}$(HCOO)$_{16}$] (denoted geometry 1), is used in our study. The structure of geometry 1 is the same as what is shown in Fig. 2(a) except that the S atoms are replaced by H. The simplified Mn$_{12}$ differs from the synthesized Mn$_{12}$ molecule in that the 16 acetate ligands were replaced by 16 formates (HCOO) and the four water molecules were removed because they may be lost via ligand-exchange during adsorption onto a surface.$^8$ Geometry 1 is placed in a unit cell of 25.0×25.0×20.0 Å$^3$, where the magnetic easy axis is along the $z$ axis. Then geometry 1 is relaxed with a fixed total magnetic moment of 20$\mu_B$ (Bohr magneton) and an energy cutoff of 600 eV until the magnitude of all force components becomes less than 0.08 eV/Å. Using $S_4$ symmetry of geometry 1, the twelve Mn ions are categorized into three classes marked as I, II, and III in Fig. 1(a). The four inner Mn ions (Mn$^{4+}$) belong to symmetry class I, while the two sets of the four Mn ions (Mn$^{3+}$) in the outer ring belong to symmetry classes II and III, respectively. The spin moments of the Mn$^{4+}$ ions are antiparallel to those of the Mn$^{3+}$ ions in the ground state. Our noncollinear calculation shows that a collinear spin approximation is good for geometry 1. The simplification made for the Mn$_{12}$ molecules does not significantly change the electronic and magnetic properties of the molecule. The gap between the majority highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the majority lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) is calculated to be 0.24 eV, while the minority HOMO-LUMO gap is 1.95 eV.

To attach the Mn$_{12}$ molecules onto a gold surface, the H atoms in the two formates in geometry 1 are exchanged with surface-binding ligands, SH. Then the H atoms in SH are removed for the S atoms to be directly bonded to the gold surface. This determines the geometry 2, as shown in Fig. 2(a). The distance between the S atom and each bonding C atom is 1.88 Å, which was obtained from geometry optimization of Mn$_{12}$-alkane-S-Au$_{13}$. The Fermi level of the gold slab as well as molecular orbitals in its vicinity including HOMO and LUMO are depicted in Fig. 3 for geometries 1 and 2 and the whole structure. In geometry 2 the S atoms are highly reactive and their presence reduces both the majority and minority HOMO-LUMO gaps compared to geometry 1. Due to the lost H atoms, the HOMO and LUMO of geometry
The calculated total energy of geometry 2 decreases to $18\mu_B$. The calculated total energy of geometry 2 as a function of total magnetic moment is shown in Fig. 2(a). Magnetic moments for individual atoms are calculated over atom-centered spheres with radii given in Table I. See Table II. The S atoms bear substantial magnetic moments of $0.24\mu_B$ parallel to the inner Mn(I) ions. The magnetic moments of the Mn(I) and Mn(II) ions (denoted boldface in Table II) connected to the S atoms via the O and C atoms are considerably smaller than those for geometry 1.

C. Whole structure: Geometry 2 on Au(III)

Top and side views of the whole structure used in our DFT calculation are shown in Fig. 1. Each sulfur atom is bonded to the closest hollow (face-centered-cubic) site on the gold slab which is the most favorable configuration. Attaching a sulfur atom at a bridge site requires a 0.30 eV higher energy than at a hollow site. This result agrees with a calculation on alkane thiols on a gold surface, for which a hollow site was favored by 0.40 eV. A top site on a gold slab is the most expensive energetically. The distance from the sulfur atoms to the gold slab is optimized such that both sulfur atoms are as close as possible to hollow sites. The distances between the sulfur and the gold atoms range between 2.52 and 2.74 Å, where the longest bond is due to a slight mismatch of placing one of the sulfur atoms at the closest hollow site on the gold slab.

In the whole structure the closest distance between hydrogen atoms on periodic images of the Mn$_{12}$ (geometry 2) is 3.35 Å. The large intermolecular separation prevents different Mn$_{12}$ molecules from interacting with one another except for dipolar interactions. In the present study the dipolar interactions are not considered. The whole structure has a total of 304 atoms and 2886 valence electrons. Due to the presence of Mn atoms, an energy cutoff of 600 eV for plane waves and a cutoff in the augmentation charges of 800 eV are used. For the whole structure, we sample $2 \times 2 \times 1$ $k$-points, including the $\Gamma$ point. Notice that we used a $6 \times 6 \times 1$ real-space supercell per gold monolayer. Thus, on the plane parallel to the gold surface, our $k$-point sampling is equivalent to having $(6 \times 2) \times (6 \times 2) = 12 \times 12$ $k$-points in a $1 \times 1 \times 1$ real-space gold unit cell. A further increase in the number of $k$-points does not affect our results.

In a self-consistent calculation on the whole structure, the first-order Methfessel-Paxton scheme is used with the smearing parameter $\sigma=6.0$ meV. This choice of $\sigma$ is necessary to resolve individual energy levels in projected density of states (PDOS) and to compute the MAB caused by the SOI in the Mn$_{12}$ molecule. The total magnetic moment is set to $20\mu_B$ and the discussion in Sec. III suggests that $20\mu_B$ is the ground-state total magnetic moment for the whole structure. The combination of high energy cutoffs and a large number of valence electrons involved indicates the numerically expensive nature of the performed calculations so we do not further relax the whole structure. A comprehensive analysis of the forces exerted on the structure is provided. The average
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**TABLE II.** Atomically resolved magnetic moments for geometry 2 and atomically resolved difference of the magnetic moments between the whole structure and geometry 2 in units of Bohr magneton. The positive sign in the magnetic moment change denotes an increase in the magnetic moment upon adsorption. The three different Mn ions in terms of symmetry, Mn(I), Mn(II), and Mn(III), are depicted in Fig. 1(a). Significant increases in the magnetic moments occur at the atoms whose magnetic moments are boldfaced.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atomic species</th>
<th>Initial magnetic moment</th>
<th>Change of magnetic moment upon adsorption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mn (I)</td>
<td>$-2.621, -2.620, -2.573, -2.571$</td>
<td>$+0.019, +0.021, +0.002, +0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn (II)</td>
<td>$3.453, 3.461, 3.530, 3.516$</td>
<td>$+0.063, +0.060, +0.004, +0.004$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn (III)</td>
<td>$3.541, 3.543, 3.527, 3.534$</td>
<td>$+0.002, +0.003, +0.010, +0.010$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O closest to Mn and S</td>
<td>$-0.011, -0.011, -0.028, -0.027$</td>
<td>$+0.015, +0.014, +0.036, +0.035$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O (total)</td>
<td>0.328</td>
<td>+0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C closest to S</td>
<td>0.007, 0.007</td>
<td>$-0.008, -0.008$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (total)</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>$-0.016$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>$-0.236, -0.235$</td>
<td>+0.237, +0.238</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
age over absolute values of all of the $x$, $y$, and $z$ force components is $(0.03, 0.03, 0.05)$ eV/Å with standard deviation of $(0.08, 0.07, 0.08)$ eV/Å. Out of a total of 304 atoms, forces on only 25 atoms are greater than 0.15 eV/Å and the large forces occur near the sulfur atoms. The $x$ and $y$ components of the forces on the gold atoms bonded to the sulfur atoms are 0.60 eV/Å and the $z$ force components are 0.20 eV/Å with alternating signs. The forces on nearest neighbors to those gold atoms are 0.20 eV/Å along the $x$ and $y$ axes. The force on one of the sulfur atoms has components $(0.57, 0.29, 0.13)$ eV/Å because of the mismatch of placing the sulfur atom at a hollow site of the gold slab. The forces onto the two carbon atoms bonded to the sulfur atoms are 0.39 eV/Å along the $z$ axis. The oxygen atoms bonded to those carbon atoms have $z$ force components that fall between $-0.66$ and $-0.52$ eV/Å. The Mn ions connected to the S via C and O atoms [two of the Mn(I) and two of the Mn(II) ions] have $z$ force components of 0.24 eV/Å. The analysis of the forces indicates that relaxation of the whole structure may possibly break the symmetries of the Mn(I) and Mn(II) ions, and could further modify its magnetic properties.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The electronic structures of the six gold monolayers, geometries 1 and 2, and the whole structure are calculated and discussed using the PDOS onto particular orbitals of specific atoms. A comparison of the PDOS for the whole structure (Fig. 4) with those for geometry 2 (Fig. 5), computed under identical conditions, reveals that the molecule of geometry 2 is weakly coupled to the gold surface for the given link, and that the molecular orbitals broaden by at most an order of 0.1 eV. The Fermi level of the gold slab is well above the majority LUMO but below the minority LUMO of geometry 2.
2 (Fig. 3). As a result, only electrons with majority spin can be transferred from the gold slab to the molecule. The ground-state total magnetic moment can also change upon adsorption on the surface due to strong bonds formed between the sulfur atoms and the gold slab. The amount of the charge transfer is quantified from two methods: (i) A one-dimensional electronic charge density difference between the whole structure and geometry 2, and (ii) a shift in the lowest-lying molecular orbital as a function of extra partial charges. The charge transfer and spin magnetic moment change on the MAB is discussed from self-consistent SOI calculations.

A. Projected densities of states (PDOS)

The PDOS shown in Figs. 4–6 are generated with 100 data points/eV and smearing parameter $\sigma=6$ meV without the SOI, over atom-centered spheres with the radii given in Table I. The horizontal scale in Figs. 5 and 6 is shifted by 0.34 eV and 3.5 meV, respectively, such that the zero in the horizontal scale corresponds to the midpoint of the HOMO and LUMO energies. The PDOS onto the gold $s$ and $d$ orbitals (not shown) indicate a large density of states within 0.5 eV below and above the gold Fermi level. To directly compare the PDOS for the whole structure with those for geometries 1 and 2, exactly the same parameter values are used for the three systems, such as an identical unit cell, $k$-point sampling, energy cutoff, cutoff in the augmentation charges, PDOS sampling parameters, smearing parameter, and total energy convergence tolerance. For geometry 2 the HOMO and orbitals right below the HOMO are mostly from the Mn(III) ions, neighboring O anions, and S atoms, while the LUMO and orbitals right above the LUMO are from the Mn(II) ions, neighboring O anions, and the S atoms. As highlighted by ovals and squares in Fig. 5, the S $p$ orbitals are hybridized with the Mn $d$ and O $p$ orbitals. Within 0.5 eV below the midpoint of the HOMO and LUMO energies, the spin moments of the S atoms are antiparallel to those for the Mn(III) and O atoms. For geometry 1 the HOMO and orbitals right below the HOMO are mainly from the Mn(II) ions and O anions, while the LUMO and orbitals right above the LUMO are from the Mn(I) and Mn(III) ions and O anions (Fig. 6). These main differences of the PDOS between geometries 1 and 2 are linked to the difference of the total ground-state magnetic moment between them.

For the whole structure the PDOS (Fig. 4) onto the Mn $d$ and O $p$ orbitals noticeably differ from those for geometry 2 because of the difference in the total magnetic moment between them. Although the PDOS for the whole structure are similar to those for geometry 1, the peak heights in the former are reduced and the orbitals broaden compared to the latter. The broadening is of an order of 0.1 eV (Figs. 3, 4, and 6). The single-electron charging energy for geometry 2 is calculated from the energy difference between a neutral molecule and one with an extra-electron added. When one extra electron is added to geometry 2, the total ground-state magnetic moment increases to 19 $\mu_B$. Considering the change in the total magnetic moment, we obtain the charging energy of 3.7 eV. This energy is one order of magnitude greater than the estimated broadening of the molecular orbitals so the molecule is weakly bonded to the surface.

B. Charge transfer

The charge transfer between the surface and the molecule of geometry 2 is computed from a one-dimensional electronic charge density difference between the whole structure and geometry 2 along the $z$ axis. The positive sign in the charge difference means an electronic charge is transferred from the gold surface to the molecule. The charge difference shown as the curve with filled circles in Fig. 7, increases abruptly towards the sulfur atoms (vertical line A) due to the electronic contribution of the 36 gold surface atoms as well as the sulfur atoms. (Each gold atom has 11 valence electrons.) The deep penetration of the charge of the gold atoms beyond the sulfur atoms makes it difficult to precisely calculate the amount of the charge transfer. Including the long tail...
FIG. 7. (Color online) Filled circles: Charge density difference between the whole structure and geometry 2 vs the \( z \) coordinate. A, B, and C denote the locations of the S atoms (at \( z=0.09 \) Å), the bonding C atoms, and the Mn ions illustrated in the inset of Fig. 9. Upon integration from \( z=0.09 \) (\( z=-0.93 \)) to \( z=12.00 \) Å, a total of 1.23 (23.15) electrons is transferred from the surface to the molecule. Empty squares: Charge density difference between geometries 3 and 2. Dashed curve: Charge density difference between a molecule with extra 0.3 electrons added to geometry 2 (denoted geometry 4) and a neutral molecule with geometry 2.

of the charge of the gold surface atoms, a charge of 1.23 electrons (23.15 electrons) is transferred from the surface to the molecule when the integration is performed from the sulfur atoms, \( z=0.09 \) (the mid-distance between the first gold layer and sulfur atoms, \( z=-0.93 \)) to \( z=12.00 \) Å (Fig. 7). It is found that the transferred charge is mostly localized in the sulfur and bonding carbon and oxygen atoms, while little charge is transferred to the Mn ions. A similar trend is shown for the charge density difference between geometry 2 and the structure where two H atoms are bonded to the S atoms [denoted geometry 3, Fig. 2(b)]. In this case, the charge difference obtained from integration from \( z=0.09 \) to \( z=12.00 \) Å, is 0.17 electrons. Notice that these two sets of the charge density difference match well above vertical line B in Fig. 7, indicating that the electronic environment around the molecular magnetic core is very similar in the whole structure and geometry 3. To further stress this point, we calculate the charge density difference between a neutral molecule with geometry 2 and the same molecule with 0.3 free electrons added (denoted geometry 4). The extra free electrons are uniformly distributed over all atoms, as shown in Fig. 7.

The charge difference is also calculated from the charge of the lowest-lying occupied molecular orbital (MO1) of geometry 2 as a function of extra partial electrons added to the molecule, following the procedure described in Ref. 34. As shown in Fig. 8, the MO1 changes linearly with extra partial charges. Here a correction due to the discontinuity in the derivative of the exchange-correlation potential with respect to electron density within DFT is not taken into account. The molecular orbitals of geometry 2 are modified upon adsorption onto the gold surface due to the interaction with the surface. Lying deeper in energy than the first occupied orbital of the gold slab, the MO1 is not hybridized with the gold surface. Thus the shift of the MO1 in energy upon adsorption on the surface can be considered purely due to the charge transfer from the surface to the molecule, assuming that the Coulomb repulsion is small. The calculated shift of the MO1 between the whole structure and neutral geometry 2, 363 meV, leads to a charge transfer of 0.28 electrons, as depicted in Fig. 8.

C. Spin magnetic moment difference

The spin magnetic moment difference between the whole structure and geometry 2 is shown in Fig. 9. The increase in the total magnetic moment of the molecule upon adsorption amounts to 1.80\( \mu_B \) when the one-dimensional spin density difference is integrated from \( z=-0.93 \) to \( z=12 \) Å. As shown in Fig. 9 and Table II, the major difference arises from the sulfur atoms due to the strong bonds to the gold surface, while the next considerable difference occurs at the O anions and Mn ions close to the surface. Since only electrons with majority spin can be transferred to the molecule, the Mn(I) and Mn(II) ions close to the surface have higher magnetic moments than those for geometry 2. The calculated differ-
ence of the spin magnetic moment corroborates the difference of the total ground-state magnetic moment between the whole structure and geometry 2. It is emphasized that this large change of the total magnetic moment is mainly caused by rearrangement of the magnetic moments of the S atoms and Mn ions due to the strong bonds to the gold surface, rather than by the charge transfer. Note that the total electronic charge must be conserved but not necessarily the total spin magnetic moment. A spin magnetic moment of $0.20 \mu_B$ is obtained for the gold slab up to $z = -0.93$ Å. As illustrated in Fig. 9, the magnetic moment difference between geometries 3 and 2, is remarkably similar to that between the whole structure and geometry 2. The two sets of the magnetic moment differences are, however, qualitatively dissimilar to the magnetic moment difference caused by 0.3 free electrons, shown by the dashed curve in Fig. 9. The extreme similarity in the one-dimensional charge and magnetic moment distributions in geometry 3 and the whole structure, as well as the moderate level broadening in the presence of gold, suggest that one estimate the MAB for the whole structure from a calculation on geometry 3.

D. Magnetic anisotropy barrier (MAB)

The magnetic anisotropy comes from Jahn-Teller distortion around the eight Mn$^{3+}$ ions at the outer ring. It is known experimentally that for geometry 1 the second-order magnetic anisotropy contributes to the total MAB by 55 K and the fourth-order anisotropy by 10 K. With the total magnetic moment fixed, the MAB is computed from the change of the total energy upon a rotation of the spin quantization axis from the $z$ to the $x$ axis. The MAB calculated from a non-self-consistent SOI calculation is 57.2 K for geometry 1, while the barrier from a self-consistent SOI calculation increases up to 66.7 K. The latter is more precise and may include higher-order magnetic anisotropy. This result agrees with the all-electron DFT-calculated barrier and experimental values. Henceforth we report only the MAB obtained self-consistently. The MAB with total magnetic moment of 18$\mu_B$ is 66.9 K for geometry 2 so it remains the same as that for geometry 1. The transverse magnetic anisotropy is calculated to be 0.02 K, which is caused by the sulfur atoms. As discussed, geometry 3 is used to calculate the MAB for the whole structure. With the total magnetic moment of 20$\mu_B$, the calculated MAB for geometry 3 is 60.7 K that is 6 K lower than the MAB for geometries 1 and 2 (Table III). Thus, a reasonable value for the whole structure would be also

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geometry 1</th>
<th>Geometry 2</th>
<th>Geometry 3, whole structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>60.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

60.7 K. The reduction in the barrier is due to the decrease in the single-ion anisotropy for the two Mn(II) ions close to the surface.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have investigated, within DFT, the interaction between a single-molecule magnet Mn$_{12}$ monolayer and a Au(111) surface through thiol bonds without long alkane chains. Despite a very short bond length between the sulfur-terminated Mn$_{12}$ molecule and the gold slab, the broadening of the molecular orbitals was much less than the single-electron charging energy of the molecule. In the ground state the sulfur-terminated Mn$_{12}$ molecule has a total magnetic moment of 18$\mu_B$, but its total magnetic moment increases to 20$\mu_B$ upon adsorption onto the gold surface. The noticeable charge transfer from the surface to the Mn$_{12}$ is attributed to (i) the relative position of the gold Fermi level to the LUMO and (ii) the long tail in the electronic cloud at the boundary of the gold surface. The self-consistent SOI calculation suggested a decrease in the MAB by 9% and a significant transverse magnetic anisotropy for the whole structure compared to the isolated Mn$_{12}$. Although a relaxation of the whole structure may bring additional transverse magnetic anisotropy caused by minor symmetry breaking of the Mn ions close to the surface, it is unlikely that the molecules are completely collapsed upon adsorption. The analysis and results given in this study are not limited to the Mn$_{12}$ molecules and may be applicable to systems where other types of magnetic molecules or SMMs are adsorbed onto nonmagnetic surfaces or bridged between nonmagnetic electrodes.
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