Recyclable cellulose-containing magnetic nanoparticles: immobilization of cellulose-binding module-tagged proteins and a synthetic metabolon featuring substrate channeling
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Easily recyclable cellulose-containing magnetic nanoparticles were developed for immobilizing family 3 cellulose-binding module (CBM)-tagged enzymes/proteins and a self-assembled three-enzyme complex called the synthetic metabolon. Avicel (microcrystalline cellulose)-containing magnetic nanoparticles (A-MNPs) and two controls of dextran-containing magnetic nanoparticles (D-MNPs) and magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) were prepared by a solvothermal method. Their adsorption ability was investigated by using CBM-tagged green fluorescence protein and phosphoglucone isomerase. A-MNPs had higher adsorption capacity and tighter binding on CBM-tagged proteins than the two control MNPs because of the high-affinity adsorption of CBM on cellulose. In addition, A-MNPs were used to purify and co-immobilize a three-enzyme metabolon through a CBM-tagged scaffold containing three different cohesins. The three-enzyme metabolon comprised of dockerin-containing triosephosphate isomerase, aldolase, and fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase was self-assembled because of the high-affinity interaction between cohesins and dockerins. Thanks to spatial organization of the three-enzyme metabolon on the surface of A-MNPs, the metabolon exhibited a 4.6 times higher initial reaction rate than the non-complexed three-enzyme mixture at the same enzyme loading. These results suggested that the cellulose-containing MNPs were new supports for immobilizing enzymes, which could be selectively recycled or removed from other biocatalysts by a magnetic force, and the use of enzymes immobilized on A-MNPs could be very useful to control the On/Off process in enzymatic cascade reactions.

Introduction

Enzyme immobilization has been widely used for prolonging the lifetime of immobilized enzymes and separating and recycling immobilized enzymes from soluble substrates and products.1,2 Numerous enzyme immobilization technologies have been developed, such as physical adsorption,1 covalent bonding,3 encapsulation/entrapment,4 cross-linking enzyme aggregate (CLEA),5 and so on. The physical adsorption of an enzyme onto an insoluble support is attractive because it is simple, quick, cheap, and causes no or little damage to enzyme activity. However, this technology may suffer from the leakage of the enzyme from the support, non-specific binding, and steric hindrance by the support. Cellulose-binding module (CBM) tags, in particular, family 3, have been applied as affinity tags for the purification and immobilization of CBM-tagged fusion proteins on cellulosic supports because of their high affinity to cellulose, which is less costly, inert, stable, and biodegradable.8,9 A one-step protein purification and immobilization method has been developed to selectively adsorb CBM-tagged proteins on cellulose.10-12

Magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) are widely used in biotechnological and biomedical applications.12-14 Numerous synthesis and surface functionalization methods for iron oxide MNPs have been developed, such as co-precipitation,15 thermal decomposition,16 hydrothermal synthesis,17 microemulsion,18 and sonochemical synthesis.19 Also, MNPs can be applied to an easy separation of target materials in a liquid phase reaction. By using a magnetic force, MNPs are considered as controllable carriers for target materials, such as enzymes,20 drugs,21 antibodies,22 and so on. For enzyme immobilization on MNPs, it is important to functionalize the surface of MNPs for the selective attachment of target biomolecules. Huang et al. studied the properties of surface functional groups, biocompatibility, and bioapplication of three MNPs prepared using dextran, chitosan, or polyacrylic acid as surfactants while not including cellulose.23
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Cell-free biosystems comprised of (non-natural) synthetic enzymatic pathways can implement complicated biochemical reactions that microbes and catalysts cannot do, for example, high-yield hydrogen generation from sugars, enzymatic conversion of cellulose to starch. For the purpose of biomanufacturing, these cell-free biosystems could be used to produce high-yield hydrogen, fuels, organic acids, jet fuel, proteins, electricity, fine chemicals, saccharide drugs, and even to fix CO2. Cell-free biomanufacturing could be economically competitive with microbial fermentation for the production of biocommodities only when all of the enzymes in systems have high total turnover numbers and low-cost bulk enzyme production and purification are available. However, it is impossible that all enzymes in cell-free biosystems have the same lifetime because their turn-over numbers often vary by several orders of magnitude. It could be too costly to eliminate all enzymes when only a fraction of them with a short lifetime is deactivated. Therefore, it is essential to selectively remove deactivated enzymes or re-use active enzymes from other enzymes.

Spatial organization of cascade enzymes could greatly accelerate reaction rates. This phenomenon is called substrate channeling, a process of transferring the product catalyzed by one enzyme as the substrate to the adjacent cascade enzyme without fully equilibrating the bulk phase. For example, the optimized distance between the two enzymes controlled by DNA scaffolds results in over 20-fold improvement of the reaction rate compared to the free enzyme mixture. Enzyme complexes on specific DNA origami tiles with the controlled inter-enzyme spacing and position enhanced the activity more than 15 times higher than the free enzyme mixture. In addition to facilitating reaction rates, synthetic enzyme complexes called metabolons may avoid the degradation of labile metabolites.

As natural cellulase complexes called cellulosomes were formed through the high-affinity interaction between cohesins and dockerins, Bayer et al. proposed to construct designed enzyme complexes by utilizing species-specific dockerins and cohesins, where they can bind tightly at a molar ratio of 1:1. Later, a few synthetic mini-cellulosomes containing various extracellular glycoside hydrolases were constructed. Few efforts were made for constructing synthetic enzyme complexes containing cascade enzymes from a metabolic pathway by using dockerins and cohesins.

In this paper, we prepared cellulose-containing MNPs for the immobilization of CBM-tagged proteins and a synthetic metabolon for the first time. Avicel-containing MNPs (A-MNPs), dextran-containing MNPs (D-MNPs), and MNPs were prepared by a solvothermal method. Also substrate channeling of the synthetic metabolon on the surface of A-MNPs was investigated.

Materials and methods

Chemicals and strains

All chemicals were of reagent grade, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) and Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA), unless otherwise noted. Avicel PH105, microcrystalline cellulose, was purchased from FMC (Philadelphia, PA). Thermotoga maritima (ATCC 43589) genomic DNA was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). Oligonucleotides were synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (Corvalle, IA). Liquid glucose reagent based on hexokinase/glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase was purchased from Pointe Scientific Inc. (Canton, MI). Escherichia coli BL21 Star (DE3) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) containing a protein expression plasmid was used for producing the desired recombinant protein. Luria–Bertani (LB) medium supplemented with 100 μg mL−1 ampicillin or 50 μg mL−1 kanamycin was used for E. coli cell growth and recombinant protein expression.

Plasmid construction

The plasmids used are summarized in Table 1. Plasmid pNT02 encoding the thioredoxin-GFP-CBM (TGC) fusion protein was described elsewhere. Plasmid pET20b-tim has an expression cassette containing the T. maritima tim gene. Plasmid pET28a-ald whose expression cassette contains T. maritima ald gene was described elsewhere. The pGIF plasmid encoding the CBM-intein-FBP fusion protein and pCIP plasmid encoding the CBM-intein-PGI fusion protein were described elsewhere. PET20b-mini-scaf, PET20b-tim-ctdoc, PET20b-ald-cdoc, and PET20b-fbp-rdoc made by simple cloning were described elsewhere.

Recombinant protein expression and purification

For the preparation of recombinant proteins: TIM and ALD, two hundred milliliters of the LB culture containing 50 μg mL−1 of kanamycin was incubated in 1 L Erlenmeyer flask with a rotary shaking rate of 250 rpm at 37 °C. When the absorbance (A600) reached ca. 1.2, the recombinant protein expression was induced by adding isopropyl beta-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG, 0.1 mM, final concentration). The culture was induced at 37 °C for 4 h. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4 °C, washed twice with 50 mM Tris–HCl buffer (pH 7.5), and resuspended in 15 mL of 30 mM Tris–HCl buffer (pH 7.5) containing 0.5 M NaCl and 1 mM EDTA. The cell pellets were lysed using a Fisher Scientific Sonic Dismembrator Model 500 (5 s pulse on and off, total 360 s, at 20% amplitude) in an ice bath. After centrifugation, the target proteins (TIM and ALD) were purified through heat treatment at 60 °C for 20 min followed by gradient ammonium sulfate precipitation. The expression and purification of tag-free FBP, PGI and CBM-PGI were described elsewhere. The expression and purification conditions of mini-scaffoldin, TIM-CtDoc, ALD-CcDoc, and FBP-RDoc were described elsewhere. The TGC protein containing thioredoxin, green fluorescent protein, and cellulose-binding module was purified as described elsewhere.

Preparation of MNPs

A-MNPs and D-MNPs were synthesized by the solvothermal method. Briefly, 0.338 g of iron chloride (FeCl3·6H2O, 1.25 mmol) was completely dissolved in 10 mL of ethylene glycol to yield a clear yellow solution, followed by the addition of 1.36 g
Table 1 Plasmids and purification methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmid</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Purified protein and purification methods</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pNT02</td>
<td>Amp&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;, gfp-cbm expression cassette cloned, green fluorescence protein</td>
<td>GFP-CBM (TGC), bio-specific adsorption of CBM-tagged GFP on RAC followed by ethylene glycol elusion</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCP</td>
<td>Amp&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;, cbm-pgi expression cassette cloned, cbm gene from C. thermocellum</td>
<td>CBM-PGI, bio-specific adsorption of CBM on RAC followed by ethylene glycol elusion</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET33b-tim</td>
<td>Kan&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;, tim expression cassette cloned, tim gene from T. thermophilus</td>
<td>TIM, heat treatment and ammonium sulfate precipitation</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET20a-ald</td>
<td>Kan&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;, ald expression cassette cloned, ald gene from T. maritima</td>
<td>ALD, heat treatment and ammonium sulfate precipitation</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCIF</td>
<td>Amp&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;, cbm-intein-fbp expression cassette cloned, fbp gene from T. maritima</td>
<td>FBP, bio-specific adsorption of CBM tagged intein-FBP on RAC followed by intein self-cleavage</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCIP</td>
<td>Amp&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;, cbm-intein-pgi expression cassette cloned, pgi gene from T. maritima</td>
<td>PGI, bio-specific adsorption of CBM tagged intein-PGI on RAC followed by intein self-cleavage</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET20b-mini-scaf</td>
<td>Amp&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;, mini-scaffoldin expression cassette cloned, containing a CBM</td>
<td>CBM-CtCoh-CcCoh-RfCoh (mini-scaf), bio-specific adsorption of CBM on RAC followed by ethylene glycol elusion</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET20b-tim-ctdoc</td>
<td>Amp&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;, tim-ctdoc expression cassette cloned, tim gene from T. thermophilus, the C. thermocellum</td>
<td>TIM-CtDoc, bio-affinity interaction between CtDoc and mini-scaf followed by CBM adsorption on RAC and ethylene glycol elusion</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET20b-ald-ccdoc</td>
<td>Amp&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;, ald-ccdoc expression cassette cloned, ald gene from T. maritima, the C. cellulovorans</td>
<td>ALD-CcCoh, bio-affinity interaction between CcCoh and mini-scaf followed by CBM adsorption on RAC and ethylene glycol elusion</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET20b-fbp-rfdoc</td>
<td>Amp&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;, fbp-rfdoc expression cassette cloned, fbp gene from T. maritima, FBP, the R. flavaeuciens dockering module</td>
<td>FBP-RfDoc, bio-affinity interaction between RFDoc and mini-scaf followed by CBM adsorption on RAC and ethylene glycol elusion</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of sodium acetate (NaAc·3H2O, 10 mmol) and 0.125 of Avicel or dextran. The mixture was stirred vigorously for 30 min. The mixture was sealed in a pressure container and then heated at 200 °C for 12 h. The container was cooled down slowly at room temperature. The products collected by a magnet were washed with ethanol and then dried at 60 °C for 6 h. The MNPs without cellulose were synthesized as described above.

**TEM and SEM of MNPs**

Morphology and structure images of MNPs were examined with a FEI TITAN 300 field emission analytical electron microscope (FEI, Hillsboro, OR) and the LEO 1530 as a high-performance Schottky field-emission scanning electron microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, Jena, Germany).

**Adsorption of TGC or CBM-PGI on three MNPs**

For determining the maximum adsorption capacity of MNPs, the crude cell lysate containing TGC was mixed with MNPs at room temperature for 10 min. The protein mass concentration of the unbound protein and total protein was measured by the Bradford assay. The maximum adsorption capacity of MNPs was calculated following the Langmuir isotherm. The leakage of adsorbed CBM-PGI on MNPs was investigated as follows. The adsorbed CBM-PGI on MNPs was mixed by vortexing for 3 seconds in a 100 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.5) at room temperature. After MNPs were removed by using a magnet, the supernatant was decanted. The MNPs were re-suspended with a fresh HEPES buffer at a v/v ratio of 50. These washing steps were repeated several times. A small amount of the re-suspended MNPs containing adsorbed enzyme was withdrawn for the PGI activity assay.

**Enzyme activity assays**

The activity assay of enzymes was conducted based on the initial reaction velocity. For the TIM assay, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (G3P) was the substrate and dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHA) was the co-substrate. The activity assays were performed in a buffer (50 mM NaAc·3H2O, 10 mmol) and 0.125 of Avicel or dextran. The mixture was stirred vigorously for 30 min. The mixture was heated at 200 °C for 12 h. The container was cooled down slowly at room temperature. A 100 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.5) at room temperature for 10 min. The protein mass concentration of the unbound protein and total protein was measured by the Bradford assay. The maximum adsorption capacity of MNPs was calculated following the Langmuir isotherm. The leakage of adsorbed CBM-PGI on MNPs was investigated as follows. The adsorbed CBM-PGI on MNPs was mixed by vortexing for 3 seconds in a 100 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.5) at room temperature. After MNPs were removed by using a magnet, the supernatant was decanted. The MNPs were re-suspended with a fresh HEPES buffer at a v/v ratio of 50. These washing steps were repeated several times. A small amount of the re-suspended MNPs containing adsorbed enzyme was withdrawn for the PGI activity assay.

**Enzyme activity assays**

The activity assay of enzymes was conducted based on the initial reaction velocity. For the TIM assay, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (G3P) was the substrate and dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHA) was the co-substrate. The activity assays were performed in a buffer (50 mM NaAc·3H2O, 10 mmol) and 0.125 of Avicel or dextran. The mixture was stirred vigorously for 30 min. The mixture was heated at 200 °C for 12 h. The container was cooled down slowly at room temperature. A 100 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.5) at room temperature for 10 min. The protein mass concentration of the unbound protein and total protein was measured by the Bradford assay. The maximum adsorption capacity of MNPs was calculated following the Langmuir isotherm. The leakage of adsorbed CBM-PGI on MNPs was investigated as follows. The adsorbed CBM-PGI on MNPs was mixed by vortexing for 3 seconds in a 100 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.5) at room temperature. After MNPs were removed by using a magnet, the supernatant was decanted. The MNPs were re-suspended with a fresh HEPES buffer at a v/v ratio of 50. These washing steps were repeated several times. A small amount of the re-suspended MNPs containing adsorbed enzyme was withdrawn for the PGI activity assay.
phosphate (DHAP) was the product. DHAP was measured by using glycerol 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GPDH) in the presence of NADH and the consumption of NADH was measured at 340 nm. Because thermophilic glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase was not available and NADH was not stable at high temperatures, thermophilic TIM activity was measured by using a discontinuous means. Specifically, the generation of DHAP by TIM was measured on 2 mM G3P in 100 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.5) containing 10 mM MgCl2 and 0.5 mM MnCl2 at 60 °C. The reaction was stopped by adding 5.8 M HClO4 (final, 0.65 M) in an ice-water bath for 5 min followed by the addition of 5 M KOH until pH ~ 7 (ref. 51). After centrifugation, the supernatant was mixed with 0.2 mM NADH and GPDH. The consumption of NADH was measured at 340 nm.

The ALD activity was measured by a continuous cascade reaction along with sufficient TIM, FBP, and PGI.31 G3P and DHAP were substrates and F6P was the product. After the cascade reaction, the reaction was stopped by the addition of HClO4.31 The final product of G6P was measured by the Pointe Scientific liquid enzymatic glucose kit at 37 °C. The absorbance was read at 340 mM with a reference of the blank ALD solution. FBP and PGI activities were measured as described elsewhere.38,45

For the 3-enzyme cascade reaction assay, G3P is the substrate and F6P is the product. The product F6P can be measured by the liquid glucose reagent kit supplemented with PGI at 37 °C for 3 min. Specifically, the generation of F6P can be done by using cascade enzymes with 2.5 mM of G3P in 200 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.5) containing 10 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM MnCl2, 0.5 mM thiamine pyrophosphate and 1 mM CaCl2 at 60 °C. The reaction was stopped by adding HClO4 in an ice-water bath followed by the neutralization of 5 M KOH. After centrifugation, the product F6P in the supernatant was assayed by the liquid glucose reagent supplemented with PGI at 37 °C for 3 min.

Other assays

The mass concentration of protein was measured using the Bio-Rad modified Bradford protein kit with bovine serum albumin as a standard protein.34 12% SDS-PAGE was performed in the Tris–glycine buffer as described elsewhere.31 The fitting curves of adsorption data in the Langmuir isotherm was obtained with software named Curve Expert 1.4 (http://www.curveexpert.net/). The error bars in the figures represent standard deviations.

Results and discussion

Preparation and characterization of MNPs

Three types of magnetic particles (A-MNPs, D-MNPs, and MNPs) were synthesized by using a solvothermal method. FeCl3, ethylene glycol, and sodium acetate were the source of magnetite, a solvent and high boiling point reducing agent, and an electrostatic stabilizer to prevent particle agglomeration, respectively.23,57 The addition of dextran as a surfactant can prevent particle agglomeration and facilitate the formation of nanocrystal particles.23,57 In this study, insoluble Avicel (microcrystalline nano-cellulose) powders with an average aggregated particle size of 20 μm were used instead of water-soluble dextran. Under optimized preparation conditions, the weights of A-MNPs, MNPs and D-MNPs were 97.5 ± 0.6, 94.4 ± 0.6 and 95.1 ± 0.8 mg, respectively, from 335 mg of FeCl3 (1.25 mmol). A-MNPs, D-MNPs, and MNPs were characterized by using SEM (Fig. 1a, c and e) and TEM (Fig. 1b, d and f). D-MNPs and MNPs had typical spherical shapes, as described elsewhere.23,57 The particle sizes of A-MNPs (Fig. 1a & b) were a little larger and they exhibited broader size distribution compared to MNPs (Fig. 1c & d) and D-MNPs (Fig. 1e & f). Their average sizes were ~600 nm for A-MNPs, ~300 nm for MNPs, and ~300 nm for D-MNPs (Fig. 1). Small cracks/holes were observed on the surface of A-MNPs and D-MNPs while MNPs have a smooth surface. These results implied that polymers (Avicel or dextran) were present at both the surface and the interior of the particles, which was reported in the cases of dextran, chitosan, or polyacrylic acid as surfactants.23

Protein adsorption and immobilization on MNPs

The protein adsorption profiles on MNPs were studied based on CBM-tagged proteins: thioredoxin-GFP-CBM protein (TGC)39 and CBM-tagged phosphoglucone isomerase (PGI).49 It is well-known that family 3 CBM tags can be bound on the surface of cellulose through biospecific adsorption (Fig. 2a & c).13 The green aqueous solution containing TGC turned colorless after A-MNPs were added and a magnet was applied, suggesting that A-MNPs can bind with TGC (Fig. 2b). TGC adsorption by A-MNPs was very fast, reaching equilibrium within 5 min (data not shown).

The adsorption profiles of TGC were examined on A-MNPs, MNPs, and D-MNPs. The TGC adsorption profiles on MNPs and D-MNPs obeyed typical Langmuir isotherms due to simple physical adsorption (Fig. 3). The maximum binding capacity of MNPs and D-MNPs was 9.6 and 5.4 mg g⁻¹, respectively. In contrast, the adsorption of TGC onto the surface of A-MNPs could be attributed to a combination of two adsorption forces: (1) simple physical adsorption between a protein and the large surface of MNPs and (2) high-affinity binding between the CBM tag and cellulosic materials.10,59 The lumped adsorption profile of TGC on A-MNPs may be fitted into a simple Langmuir isotherm with a relatively large deviation. The maximum binding capacity of A-MNPs was 13.1 mg g⁻¹, nearly 1.4 and 2.4 times those of MNPs and D-MNPs.

Because physically adsorbed proteins on MNPs may be washed out, the leakage of CBM-PGI immobilized on MNPs was investigated (Fig. 4). By using the same amounts of MNPs, excess cell lysate of CBM-PGI was mixed with different MNPs (i.e., MNPs were saturated by proteins). The absolute specific activities of A-MNPs, MNPs and D-MNPs before the first washing were 0.73 ± 0.01, 0.38 ± 0.02, and 0.30 ± 0.01 U mg⁻¹ of MNPs, respectively. It was found that the remaining PGI activity on A-MNPs decreased by only 20% after 8 washes, while the remaining PGI activities on D-MNPs and MNPs were 34 and
46% of initial activities, respectively. This result suggested that CBM-tagged enzymes can be bound more tightly with A-MNPs through the CBM tag than physical adsorption on D-MNPs and MNPs. Similarly, immobilized CBM-tagged PGI on regenerated amorphous cellulose was strong enough to retain enzyme activity even after a number of washes.10

Fig. 1 Images of different types of MNPs under SEM (a, c, and e) and TEM (b, d, and f). A-MNPs (a & b); MNPs (c & d); and D-MNPs (e & f). The bars indicate 100 nm in SEM and 500 nm in TEM images, respectively.

Fig. 2 Scheme of CBM-tagged proteins adsorbed on A-MNPs. (a) TGC adsorbed on A-MNPs, (b) the process of collecting TGC adsorbed on A-MNPs by using a magnetic field and (c) PGI adsorbed on A-MNPs.
The immobilization of a self-assembled three-enzyme complex called metabolon containing TIM, ALD and FBP through a synthetic trifunctional scaffoldin was investigated on A-MNPs (Fig. 5a). This synthetic metabolon was comprised of a dockerin-containing *Thermus thermophilus* triose phosphate isomerase (TIM), a dockerin-containing *Thermotoga maritima* fructose biphosphatase aldolase (ALD), a dockerin-containing *T. maritima* fructose bisphosphatase (FBP) and a mini-scaffold containing a family 3 cellulose-binding module at the N-terminus followed by three different types of cohesins from the *Clostridium thermocellum* CipA, *Clostridium cellulovorans* CbpA and *Ruminococcus flavefaciens* ScaB. This synthetic three-enzyme complex was assembled *in vitro* through the high-affinity interaction between cohesins and dockerins at a molar ratio of 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 when the cell extracts containing four proteins were mixed.

When A-MNPs were applied, the synthetic three-enzyme complex was adsorbed onto the surface of A-MNPs for their fast purification and co-immobilization (Fig. 5a). The mini-scaffoldin, TIM, ALD, and FBP ratio was approximately 1 : 1 : 1 : 1, as shown in SDS-PAGE gel (Fig. 5b, Lane 1). The activities of dockerin-containing TIM, ALD, and FBP in the presence of mini-scaffoldin were similar to those of dockerin-free enzymes (data not shown), suggesting that the dockerin addition did not influence the activity of each enzyme.

Furthermore, the reaction rates of the synthetic metabolon immobilized on A-MNPs, the non-immobilized synthetic metabolon and the free enzyme mixture were investigated from 2.5 mM G3P at 60 °C (Fig. 6). The purified three free enzymes (Lanes 2, 3, and 4) are shown in Fig. 5. Another purified PGI (Lane 5) was used to measure fructose-6-phosphate formation. The metabolon immobilized on A-MNPs had an initial reaction rate of 0.285 ± 0.062 μmol L⁻¹ s⁻¹, 4.6 times that of the free enzyme mixture (*i.e.*, 0.062 μmol L⁻¹ s⁻¹). Such a rate enhancement was attributed to the fact that DHAP generated by TIM can be rapidly transferred to an adjacent ALD to yield F16P, as reported previously. Also, the immobilized synthetic metabolon exhibited 1.75 times higher initial reaction rate than that of the free synthetic metabolon (*i.e.*, 0.163 μmol L⁻¹ s⁻¹), which was possibly due to shorter enzyme–enzyme distances when the metabolon was immobilized on the surface of the solid adsorbent than those in the aqueous solution. A similar observation
was reported for metabolons immobilized on regenerated amorphous cellulose.\textsuperscript{11}

Whether substrate channelling among synthetic metabolons comprised of an enzyme cascade was observed or not was complicated, depending on a number of factors: enzymes used and reaction conditions (e.g., substrate concentration, enzyme loading, temperature, pH, etc.).\textsuperscript{41,51} In our another study, a two-enzyme complex containing cellobiose phosphorylase and pho glucan phosphorylase through the same synthetic scaffoldin was constructed and immobilized on A-MNPs. We did not observe any substrate channelling among adjacent cellobiose phosphorylase and potato glucan phosphorylase.\textsuperscript{27}

Cell-free biosystems comprised of synthetic enzymatic pathways could become an innovative biomanufacturing platform. It was essential to ensure that all enzymes reached their maximum total turn-over numbers before their replacement. Immobilized CBM-tagged enzymes or their complexes on A-MNPs can be recycled easily from other free enzymes or immobilized enzymes by using a magnetic force. Therefore, it was highly operative to selectively separate deactivated enzymes from active enzymes. As a result, it could greatly decrease enzyme costs in cell-free biosystems. On the other hand, selective removal of enzymes immobilized on MNPs could be very effective in stopping cascade enzymatic reactions within a short time. For example, it will be important to stop and resume enzymatic hydrogen generation of biotransformers in hypothetical sugar-fuel cell vehicles.\textsuperscript{40} We envisioned that the selective removal and addition of some key enzymes immobilized on A-MNPs by a switchable magnetic force could stop and resume reactions rapidly.

**Conclusions**

The cellulose-containing magnetic nanoparticles were prepared for the immobilization of CBM-tagged proteins or the metabolons. Although A-MNPs exhibited higher adsorption capacity, they did not impair the activity of immobilized enzymes, and retained more enzyme activity after washing, compared to MNPs and D-MNPs because of the high-affinity binding of CBM tags on A-MNPs. Substrate channelling among the synthetic metabolons immobilized on the surface of A-MNPs could not only increase cascade reaction rates greatly but also decrease enzyme costs in cell-free biosystems. The use of enzymes immobilized on A-MNPs could be very useful in controlling the On/Off process in cascade enzymatic reactions.
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