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The interaction between a monolayer of single-molecule magnets and a metal surface
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We calculate within density functional theory (DFT) and the LSDA+U formalism the electronic properties of a nanostructure in which single-molecule magnets Mn12 are adsorbed via thiol groups onto the Au(111) surface. Our DFT calculation shows 1.23 electrons being transferred from the surface to the Mn12 molecule, dominated by the tail on the electronic charge distribution from the gold slab. LSDA+U calculations reveal that the on-site Coulomb repulsion U does not alter the direction of the electronic charge transfer obtained from DFT, because the gold Fermi level still lies above the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO). The U term opens up the energy gap between the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the LUMO for an isolated standard Mn12 but it minimally affects the gap for a sulfur-terminated Mn12.

The recent interest in single-molecule magnets (SMMs) deposited on various surfaces or bridged between electrodes arises from their potential use in magneto-electronic devices and materials for quantum computing. Among thousands of synthesized SMMs, [Mn12O12(CH3COO)16(H2O)4]9 (referred to as Mn12) (Ref. 9) was extensively studied in bulk and in molecular structures deposited onto a surface or bridged between electrodes, either through attractive van der Waals forces or via ligand exchange with the Mn12 molecules, due to its large magnetic anisotropy barrier of 65 K.10 Experimental studies on monolayers of Mn12 molecules on a surface showed that the Mn 3d partial density of states in valence bands remains the same as that for bulk Mn12, but that their magnetic properties change upon adsorption.1–5,11 Despite active experimental efforts in understanding the properties of SMMs adsorbed on surfaces, little is known about (i) the orientation of SMMs on surfaces and (ii) the characteristics of the interface between the molecules and surface. The lack of this crucial information demands to examine the electronic and magnetic properties of SMMs interacting with a surface, using atomistic-scale simulations.

Motivated by this need, we performed atomic-scale simulations of a monolayer of SMMs Mn12 on a Au(111) surface, using spin-polarized density-functional theory (DFT).12 We used the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) generalized-gradient approximation (GGA) and projector-augmented-wave (PAW) pseudopotentials within the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP).15,16 In our DFT calculation, Mn12 molecules [Fig. 1(a)] were oriented such that the magnetic easy axis is normal to the surface, and terminating ligands of the Mn12 molecule were exchanged with thiol groups (–SH), where the H atoms were lost to form a strong, direct bonding to a gold surface. These sulfur-terminated Mn12 molecules were attached to a 6 monolayer (ML) Au(111) slab, as shown in Fig. 2(a). The geometry shown in Fig. 2(a) is called the whole structure and the sulfur-terminated Mn12 molecule is called geometry 2 [Fig. 1(b)].

Conventional DFT calculations include spurious self-interactions among electrons, which result in delocalized electrons. d electrons in transition metal compounds are strongly localized due to strong correlation, and thus are highly affected by self-interaction. A practical way to include the self-interaction correction in DFT is to introduce an effective on-site Coulomb repulsion term U within the LSDA+U formalism (LSDA stands for local spin density approximation). The U term typically increases the electronic gap when compared to DFT results. Charge transfer and electronic transport properties are partly determined by the relative alignment of the lowest unoccupied molecular

FIG. 1. (Color online) Side views of (a) [Mn12O12(HCOO)16] (Mn2) molecule and (b) [Mn12O12(HCOO)16(SCOO)12] molecule (geometry 2). (c) Total energy calculated using DFT vs total magnetic moment for neutral and charged molecules with geometry 2.
Our DFT calculation showed that the total magnetic moment of a \( \text{Mn}_{12} \) molecule is 20\( \mu_B \). The HOMO and LUMO come from \( \text{p} \) orbitals of the S atoms (\( \text{d} \) orbitals of the Mn atoms). We calculated the single-electron charging energy for geometry 2 from the energy difference between a neutral molecule and a charged molecule. When one electron is added to geometry 2, the total magnetic moment is modified to 19\( \mu_B \). Considering this, we found a charging energy of 3.7 eV (3.9 eV) within GGA (LSDA), which is close to \( U=4 \) eV reported in Ref. 20. Geometry 2 was attached to the gold slab and we calculated the electronic charge transfer between the molecule and the surface in the \( z \) direction (integrated over the \( x-y \) plane), as shown in Fig. 2(b). The tail of the gold slab contributes 1.23 electrons to the molecule. The total magnetic moment is modified to 20\( \mu_B \) upon adsorption on the surface.

Now we present our LSDA+\( U \) calculations on bulk Au, an isolated \( \text{Mn}_{12} \) molecule, and a molecule with geometry 2. For LSDA calculations we use the exchange correlation as parametrized from Ceperley-Alder data\(^{21} \) and PAW pseudopotentials in \text{VASP}. We explore suitable effective screened Coulomb \( U \) and exchange \( J \) parameters from the LSDA+\( U \) formalism\(^{17,18} \) for \( d \)-electron atoms. The choice of parameters is justified by experimental data, such as the bulk lattice constant and the HOMO-LUMO gap, as well as our DFT calculation on the charging energy for geometry 2. For bulk gold, only \( 5d \) orbitals are affected by the \( U \) parameter. The lattice constant obtained from LSDA is 4.063 Å, which is closer to the extrapolated \( (T \rightarrow 0) \) experimental value,\(^{22} \) 4.059 Å, than the GGA value, 4.175 Å. Using the LSDA+\( U \) formalism introduced in Ref. 17, we obtain the lattice constant and the Fermi energy as a function of \( U \) (Table 1). We find that \( U=0.6 \) eV brings the lattice constant to the extrapolated experimental value. As long as \( (U−J)=0.6 \), the screened exchange \( J \) term does not significantly affect the electronic structure, and thus we use \( U=0.6 \) eV and \( J=0 \) eV for bulk Au, with the LSDA+\( U \) method as described in Ref. 17. With the relative small \( U=0.6 \) eV the Fermi energy decreases by only 0.1 eV compared to that with \( U=0 \).

For a \( \text{Mn}_{12} \) molecule and geometry 2 we calculate their electronic structures with \( U=4.0 \) and 6.0 eV \((J=0.0 \) eV throughout). The LSDA+\( U \) method of Ref. 18 was employed. Photoemission spectra and other LSDA+\( U \) calculations suggested to use \( U=4.0 \) eV for \( \text{Mn}_{12} \), and our GGA (LSDA) calculation indicated 3.7 (3.9) eV for geometry 2. As shown in Table II, for \( \text{Mn}_{12} \) both majority and minority HOMOs are greatly lowered with \( U \) to provide a large HOMO-LUMO gap of the order of 1 eV, while the majority and minority LUMOs do not change much with \( U \). With \( U=4 \) and 6 eV, the total magnetic moment is found to be 20\( \mu_B \) in the ground state. For geometry 2 the majority and minority HOMO and LUMO are all affected by the \( U \) term, but the HOMO-LUMO gaps for majority and minority spins do not change significantly. At \( U=4 \) eV, the majority HOMO-LUMO gap becomes 0.28 eV, which is 0.13 eV larger than without \( U \) but still much smaller than the gap found for \( \text{Mn}_{12} \). This is due to the nature of the HOMO and LUMO in geometry 2, dominated by the sulfur \( p \) orbitals. The overall shift of the orbitals is caused by the effect of \( U \) on the Mn \( d \) orbitals. Within the LSDA+\( U \) formalism, the gold Fermi level is \(-4.81 \) eV with \( U_{\text{Au}}=0.6 \) eV, so the gold Fermi level lies well above both majority LUMO and minority LUMO. This indicates that the electronic charge is transferred from...
the surface to the molecule. However, since now the gold Fermi level is also below minority LUMO, the spin polarization in the charge transferred is not maintained. This is in contrast to the PBE-GGA result where the gold Fermi level (~4.95 eV) was well below the majority LUMO but above the minority LUMO, so only electrons with majority spin were transferred.12

In conclusion, we have studied, by means of relative level alignments, the interaction between a monolayer of single-molecule magnets Mn12 and a gold surface within the LSDA+U formalism, and compared with our previous DFT calculations. The electronic structure and magnetic properties of the whole structure using the LSDA+U formalism are currently being investigated.
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