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ABSTRACT

Seafloor hydrothermal systems have been intensively studied for the past few decades; however, the location of recharge zones and details of fluid circulation patterns are still largely uncertain. To better understand the effects of anhydrite precipitation on hydrothermal flow paths, we conduct 2-D numerical simulations of hydrothermal circulation at a mid-ocean ridge using a NaCl-H₂O numerical code. The simulations focus on East Pacific Rise hydrothermal system at 9°50’N due to availability of key observational data to constrain the models. Seismicity data that is available suggests that fluid flow is primarily along axis and that recharge is focused into a small zone near a 4th order discontinuity in the ridge axis.

Simulations are carried out in an open-top square box 1500 m on a side maintained at a surface pressure of 25 MPa, and nominal seawater temperature of 10 °C. The sides of the box are assumed to be impermeable and insulated. A constant temperature distribution is maintained along the bottom of the box consisting of a 1000 m long central-heated region maintained at 450 °C to represent the axial magma chamber and ensure P-T conditions for phase separation; a linearly decreasing temperature profile from 450 to 300 °C is maintained along the 250 m long segments adjacent to the heated region to delineate the recharge zone. We constructed a homogeneous model with a uniform cell size of 25 m with a permeability of 10⁻¹³ m² and a similar model with a 200 m thick layer 2A region with a permeability of 10⁻¹² m². For the homogeneous model the
simulations were run for 100 years to approximate steady state conditions and the model with layer 2A was run for 50 years. Assuming that anhydrite precipitation resulted from the decrease in solubility with increasing temperature as downwelling fluid gets heated, the rate of porosity decrease and sealing time was calculated at 50 and 100 years. The results showed that sealing occurred most rapidly at the bottom of the recharge areas near the base of the high-temperature plumes, where complete sealing occurred after ~55-625 years for an initial porosity of 0.1. The simulations also suggested that sealing would occur more slowly at the margins of the ascending plumes, with times ranging between ~80 and 5000 years.

The sealing times in the deep recharge zone determined in these simulations are considerably greater than estimated from 1D analytical calculations, suggesting that with a 2D model, focused recharge at the EPR 9°50’N site may occur, at least on a decadal time scale. More detailed analyses are needed to determine whether such focused recharge can be maintained for longer times.
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Figure 1. Schematic sketch of hydrothermal flow geometry proposed for a fast-spreading ridge crest, consistent with vent distribution observed in the Venture Hydrothermal Fields, EPR crest 9°09' - 54' N. This diagram represents an integrated model of ridge crest magmatic/tectonic activity and hydrothermal flow that includes the following features: (1) shallow 3-D circulation in the more permeable volcanics, superimposed on top of (2) 2-D ridge-parallel circulation in the relatively impermeable sheeted dike complex along cracked zones of enhanced permeability (3) along-axis segmentation of deep hydrothermal flow, due to (a) the spacing of recent magmatic intrusions (represented as bumps on the magma reservoir and on the upper bound of dike complex) and (b) lateral spatial shifts along-strike in zones of enhanced permeability in the dikes: (4) greater abundance of high T vents above shallower segments of the axial magma reservoir. This model emphasizes that the interaction between thermal and permeability fields governs hydrothermal flow at MOR crests. [from Haymon et al., 1991]

Figure 2. Cartoon of “nested” model of the subcrustal hydrothermal fluid circulation at MEF. Uppermost thick dark line represents the seafloor. Green horizontal arrows represent cross-valley flow, which can supply either the deeply sourced upwelling high-temperature fluid or the recharge fluid for the same system. Bright red arrows near the seafloor are the shallow circulation cells that feed the diffuse low-temperature venting adjacent to the large vent fields. [from Johnson et. al., 2010]
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1. Introduction

Seafloor hydrothermal systems are an essential component of Earth’s heat transfer regime, accounting for approximately 33% of the heat loss from the oceanic lithosphere [Sclater et al., 1980; Elderfield and Schultz, 1996]. In addition, these complex circulation systems play an important role in cycling key chemical constituents [Wolery and Sleep, 1976; Edmond et al., 1979; Elderfield and Schultz, 1996] and host an array of microbial and macrofaunal biological systems [Jannasch, 1983, 1985, 1995]. Because the seafloor hydrothermal environment exhibits sharp thermal and biogeochemical gradients, these environments have been proposed as a site for the origin of life [Baross and Hoffman, 1985] and have been used as analogues for the search for life on other planetary bodies in the solar system [Nisbet and Sleep, 2001; Westall et al., 2013].

As a result of their importance, seafloor hydrothermal systems have been a focus of intense research for the past few decades [e.g., Lowell et al., 2014]. Of particular interest are high temperature systems in young crust at oceanic spreading centers, where high-temperature fluids (~350 °C) laden with metal-rich particles discharge on the seafloor. These systems occur when cold seawater enters the permeable rocks, flows horizontally as it gets heated conductively near the top of the axial magma chamber, rises up through the rocks due to its thermally generated buoyancy, and finally discharges on the seafloor through chimney-like structures called vents. Metalliferous sediments and minerals precipitate when these hot fluids come in contact with the seawater [Miller, 1964, 1969; Miller et al., 1966; Degens and Ross, 1969]. This circulation pattern is commonly referred to as the “single-pass” model because the fluid goes through the entire path once [Elder, 1965]; and it has often been used to model seafloor hydrothermal systems [e.g., Lowell and Burnell, 1991; Lowell and Germanovich, 2004; Lowell et al., 2013].
One key issue that has yet to be resolved concerns fluid recharge patterns in high-temperature system at ocean ridges. In contrast to hydrothermal discharge, which is evidenced by high-temperature black smokers and low temperature diffuse flow sites, hydrothermal recharge areas are difficult to detect. The locations and circulation patterns of the recharge or source of these hot fluids in any hydrothermal system are largely unclear or being debated upon. Structural considerations suggest that recharge may be largely along axis as suggested by Haymon et al. [1991] for the East Pacific Rise (Figure 1).

**Figure 1.** Schematic sketch of hydrothermal flow geometry proposed for a fast-spreading ridge crest, consistent with vent distribution observed in the Venture Hydrothermal Fields, EPR crest 9°09'–54' N. This diagram represents an integrated model of ridge crest magmatic/tectonic activity and hydrothermal flow that includes the following features: (1) shallow 3-D circulation in the more permeable volcanics, superimposed on top of (2) 2-D ridge-parallel circulation in the relatively impermeable sheeted dike complex along cracked zones of enhanced permeability (3) along-axis segmentation of deep hydrothermal flow, due to (a) the spacing of recent magmatic intrusions (represented as bumps on the magma reservoir and on the upper bound of dike complex) and (b) lateral spatial shifts along-strike in zones of enhanced permeability in the dikes: (4) greater abundance of high T vents above shallower segments of the axial magma reservoir. This model emphasizes that the interaction between thermal and permeability fields governs hydrothermal flow at MOR crests. [from Haymon et al., 1991].
Conversely, measurements of conductive heat flow using thermal blanket technology [Johnson et al., 2010] on the Juan de Fuca Ridge, suggest that recharge of the Main Endeavour field appear in a nested system of fluid circulation paths in the porous extrusive basalt (see Figure 2). In addition, local recharge may occur near high-temperature vents [Johnson et al., 2010], and numerical models indicate that deep recharge may occur near high temperature hydrothermal plumes [e.g., Coumou et al., 2008]. Also isotopic analysis of the vent fluids from the vent fields at Main Endeavour field show the presence of organic material leading Lilley et al. [1993] to suggest that the source of the organic material could be sediment ponds located along axis about 10 km away from the fields where the recharge zone may be possibly located.

Figure 2. Cartoon of “nested” model of the subcrustal hydrothermal fluid circulation at MEF. Uppermost thick dark line represents the seafloor. Green horizontal arrows represent cross-valley flow, which can supply either the deeply sourced upwelling high-temperature fluid or the recharge fluid for the same system. Bright red arrows near the seafloor are the shallow circulation cells that feed the diffuse low-temperature venting adjacent to the large vent fields. [from Johnson et al., 2010]
Although hydrothermal recharge zones may be controlled in part by faults and high permeability fracture networks associated with dikes, other factors may impact recharge and its evolution as a function of time. It has long been recognized that as seawater enters the crust and is heated, CaSO₄ (anhydrite) will tend to precipitate and clog the recharge zone [Mottl, 1983; Sleep, 1991; Lowell and Yao, 2002]. On the other hand, seismicity may open new cracks and create or enhance local permeability [Tolstoy et al., 2008]. Models of anhydrite precipitation during recharge using one dimensional models suggest that such mineral precipitation will rapidly clog the permeability of the recharge zone and thus lead to rapid decline of hydrothermal circulation [Lowell and Yao, 2002; Lowell and Germanovich, 2004; Lowell et al., 2012] unless the area of recharge is much larger than the area of hydrothermal discharge. These one-dimensional models using the single-pass modeling approach may be over-restrictive, however, because they require all the fluid to pass through a thin zone in which the temperature gradient is high, and anhydrite precipitation is rapid.

Anhydrite precipitation may also occur in regions where cold, seawater mixes with hot hydrothermal fluid [Lowell et al., 2003; Pascoe and Cann, 1995; Fontaine et al., 2007]. In this case anhydrite precipitation may eventually inhibit mixing between cooler seawater and the upwelling hydrothermal plume [Lowell et al., 2007]. This would result in the venting of fluids more reflective of conditions deep within the hydrothermal system.

In this paper, we construct a two-dimensional numerical model of hydrothermal circulation in a NaCl-H₂O fluid to better assess the rate of porosity and permeability reduction during anhydrite precipitation in a mid-ocean ridge hydrothermal system. The model focuses on the East Pacific Rise (EPR) hydrothermal system at 9°50’N for two reasons. First, a number of key data are available to constrain the numerical model; and secondly, seismicity data suggest that
the recharge zone for this system is small [Tolstoy et al., 2008]. Consequently, the modeling performed here will either confirm the hypothesis of Tolstoy et al. [2008] or argue that recharge may be more complex than proposed.

In the next section, we describe the main features of the EPR 9°50’N hydrothermal system. Then we describe the numerical model, present the results and discuss the model implications.

2. EPR 9°50’N

The East Pacific Rise between 8° and 11° N is a fast spreading mid-ocean ridge with a full spreading rate of 11 cm per year. It separates the Pacific plate from the North American, Riviera, Cocos, Nazca and Antarctic plates. The section of the ridge near 9°50’N was first studied intensively during a series of Alvin dives in April 1991 [Haymon et al., 1993] following a visual and acoustic survey [Haymon et al., 1991]. During this series of dives, it became apparent that a magmatic eruption had recently occurred; and to assess how hydrothermal activity and associated biota evolved following an eruption, the site became the focus of intense research as part of the RIDGE and RIDGE 2000 research programs. Another eruption in late 2005-early 2006 [Tolstoy et al., 2006; Soule et al., 2007] made this area one of the most magmatically active segments in the global mid-ocean ridge system. Consequently, the EPR 9°50’N hydrothermal system has become one of the best studied areas of the ridge system for providing a better understanding of the linkages between the dynamics of hydrothermal, biologic, magmatic, physical and chemical processes associated with the mid-ocean ridges. Fornari et al. [2012] provide a useful review of the past 20 plus years of research at EPR 9°50’N.
2.1. General Description

The EPR from 9°46′N to 9°56′N sits on an axial high at a depth of approximately 2500 m beneath the seafloor [White et al., 2006; Fornari et al., 2012; Figure 3].

Figure 3. The figure at the top shows a partial distribution of the global mid-ocean ridge system. The East Pacific Rise is boxed in black which is then blown up in the bottom left figure. The boxed figure on the bottom left shows a shaded-relief bathymetric maps derived from 30m x 50m gridded EM300 depth measurements. Depth is plotted with a histogram-equalized color palette optimized for each panel to emphasize local changes in terrain. [Modified from White et al., 2006]. The blown-up boxed figure on the bottom right shows bathymetric map of the EPR crest near 9°50′N made using 675 kHz scanning altimetric sonar on the autonomous underwater vehicle ABE (Autonomous Benthic Explorer) during cruise AT 7-4 on R/V Atlantis in 2001 [Fornari et al., 2004]. The dots represent the vent sites with the yellow dot showing the Q vent and the red dot showing the Bio 9 vent. [from Fornari et al., 2012].
A number of closely-spaced high-temperature vents are located along this axial high in an ~2 km zone extending from approximately 9°49'N to 9°51'N (see Figure 4). The vents tend to occur in a relatively narrow region near the axial summit trough, resulting in a vent field area of approximately 10⁴ m² [Lowell et al., 2013]. The vents can be broadly divided into a “Northern” and “Southern” group. The Northern group starts with the Biovent near 9°51’N and extends to just north of Bio9’. The other high-temperature vents in this group are M, Q and Tica vents. The Southern group starts with Bio9, Bio9’, and P and extends to Tube Worm Pillar (TWP) to the south. The other high-temperature vents of this group are Ty and Io. (see Figure 4).

**Figure 4.** Location of all high- (red stars) and low-temperature (blue stars) hydrothermal vents along the EPR axis between 9°49’N to 9°51’N. [from Fornari et al., 2004].
2.2. Observational Constraints

Temperature and salinity of the high-temperature vents at EPR 9°50′N have been measured on a number of cruises since 1991. Figure 5 shows the most complete data, which are from Bio 9, Bio9’ and P vent. These data show that vent temperatures have fluctuated about a mean of approximately 370 °C and that the vent salinity is generally less than seawater, indicating phase separation must occur at some depth beneath the seafloor.

![Graph showing temperature and salinity data](image)

**Figure 5.** Discrete measurements of (a) temperature and (b) salinity at Bio9, Bio9’ and P vents at EPR 9°50′N between 1991 and 2008, spanning two eruptive events in 1991-1992 and 2005-2006. (from compilation of N. EPR vent fluid temperature, chloride, pH and Min. Mg values K.L. Von Damm, J.G. Bryce, and M.F. Prado, MGDS database) [from Choi and Lowell, 2015]
Ramondenc et al. [2006], estimated the heat output of the EPR 9°50’N hydrothermal system from a number of point measurements of high-temperature vents and a single measurement on a patch of diffuse flow. By extrapolation they estimated the heat output from Biovent to TWP to be 325 MW +/- 160 MW. The heat output appears to be evenly divided between the Northern and Southern vents and approximately 90% of the heat output appears as diffuse flow.

A seismic reflection survey [Detrick et al., 1987] detected a thin ribbon-like layer of melt present nearly continuously beneath the ridge axis between 9° 03’N and ~ 10° N. This melt layer, called the Axial Magma Chamber (AMC), lies at a depth ranging between 1.2 km and 2.4 km, has an across-axis width of ~ 0.1 km and a thickness ~ 10-50 m [Kent et al., 1993]. Near 9°50’N its depth is ~ 1.5 km beneath the seafloor, but it may fluctuate by +/- 90 m. A repeat 3D seismic reflection survey [Carbotte et al., 2013] suggests that the magma lens is uninterrupted between 9°48’N and 9°51’30”N; however there is a 4th order discontinuity (slight deviation in axial linearity; Macdonald et al., 1991) near 9°49.25’ [Carbotte et al., 2013; Figure 6]. The AMC, which appears to undergo relatively frequent episodes of replenishment [Soule et al., 2007; Goss et al., 2010] and perhaps non-eruptive diking events [Germanovich et al., 2011] supplies the heat that drives the 9°50’N hydrothermal vents.
2.3 Seismicity and Hydrothermal Recharge

A nine two-component OBS array recorded >7,300 microearthquakes at the EPR 9°50′N between October 2003 and April 2004 [Tolstoy et al., 2008; see Figure 7]. Some of the earthquakes, which are interpreted to be due to tectonic stresses, were located in a vertical narrow pipe-like zone near a 4th-order axial discontinuity at ~9° 49.25′N. Other earthquakes occurred in a horizontal band-like formation, around 500m thick, just below the vertical cluster and right above the AMC. This band could be the area of hydrothermal cracking where downwelling seawater get heated. The occurrence of less dense cloud of hypocenters right above Ty, Io and P vents is because of the high T fluid upflow (see Figure 8). All these observations lead to the hypothesis that the circulation is focused along-axis and that the vertical zone of hypocenters

![Figure 7](image_url)

**Figure 7.** Bathymetric site map showing earthquake epicentres (black dots) within the OBS array (blue circles). Dashed box outlines recharge area. [from Tolstoy et al., 2008]. Reprinted with permission from Nature Geoscience: Tolstoy, M., F. Waldhauser, D.R. Bohnenstiehl, R.T. Weekly, and W.-Y. Kim, doi: 10.1038/nature06424, copyright (2008).
depicts the recharge zone for the southern group of hydrothermal vents at EPR 9°50’N. The area of approximately 500m by 200m (see Figure 7), delineates a relatively focused region of hydrothermal recharge [Tolstoy et al., 2008]. Figure 8 shows the circulation pathways proposed by Tolstoy et al. [2008], which also suggests that the northern and southern hydrothermal vents are part of two separate circulation cells.

![Cartoon illustrating hydrothermal cell structure.](image)

**Figure 8.** Cartoon illustrating hydrothermal cell structure. The blue dots represent the vertical pipe-like zone of earthquakes by tectonic stresses. The cluster of grey dots represent the horizontal band of seismicity due to hydrothermal stresses. The very less dense cloud of hypocenters below Ty and Io can also be seen here. TWP and Biovent, the closest vents to the inferred down-flow zones, both show decreases in temperature with time, whereas the central vents have been steadily increasing in temperature since about 1994. [from Tolstoy et al., 2008]. Reprinted with permission from Nature Geoscience: Tolstoy, M., F. Waldhauser, D.R. Bohnenstiehl, R.T. Weekly, and W.-Y. Kim, doi: 10.1038/nature06424, copyright (2008).

*Tolstoy et al.* [2008] also argue that the lack of seismicity under TWP and also it’s rapid decline in vent temperature leading to its inactivity in 2003 supports this interpretation for the
location of the recharge zone. They recognize that Lowell and Yao [2002] argued that the permeability of such a narrow recharge zone would clog rapidly due to anhydrite precipitation, but counter that the tectonic and hydrothermal stresses indicated by the high level of seismicity could open up new fractures thereby maintaining permeability.

**Table 1.** Observational data at EPR 9°50’N used in 2D numerical modeling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observational Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vent field length</td>
<td>~1.5 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vent field area</td>
<td>1.0E+04 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth to magma chamber</td>
<td>~1.5 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMC area</td>
<td>1.0E+06 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean vent temperature</td>
<td>371 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured heat output</td>
<td>160 MW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 The values in Table 1 assume the circulation pattern for just the southern vents as suggest by Tolstoy et al. [2008] and not the full system suggested by the more recent seismic data of Carbotte et al. [2013].

To test the hypothesis of Tolstoy et al. [2008] that hydrothermal recharge at EPR 9°50’N occurs in a relatively small area and that circulation is primarily along axis, we construct 2D numerical models of two-phase flow in a permeable medium using the numerical simulator FISHES [Lewis et al., 2009a,b]. Table 1 shows the key observational data that constrains the model. We note that a simple single-pass modeling approach [Lowell et al., 2013] using values of measured heat output, mean vent temperature, AMC area, and vent field area provide constraints on mass flow rate (Q), mean permeability of the hydrothermal discharge zone (kₐ), and thickness of the conductive boundary layer (δ) between the AMC and the base of the hydrothermal system. From Lowell et al. [2013], Q ~ 86 kg/s, kₐ ~ 3 x 10⁻¹³ m² and δ ~13 m.
3. Mathematical Formulation for EPR 9°50’N Circulation Models

3.1. FISHES – A Numerical Code

FISHES code combines a finite volume equation solver [Patankar, 1980] with the equations of state for a NaCl-H₂O solution composed of thermodynamic lookup tables. Faust and Mercer [1979] gives the equations that govern the conservation of mass, momentum and energy in the NaCl-H₂O system. The mass continuity equation is

$$\frac{\partial (\phi \rho)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_v \vec{v}_v + \rho_l \vec{v}_l) = 0$$  \[1\]

where $\phi$ is the porosity, $\rho$ is the bulk density, $v$ is the Darcian velocity and the subscripts $v$ and $l$ refer to vapor and liquid phases respectively. The momentum conservation equations are

$$\vec{v}_v = -\frac{k k_{rv}}{\mu_v} (\nabla P - \rho_v g \nabla z)$$  \[2\]

$$\vec{v}_l = -\frac{k k_{rl}}{\mu_l} (\nabla P - \rho_l g \nabla z)$$  \[3\]

where $k$ is the permeability, $k_r$ is the relative permeability, $P$ is the pressure, $z$ is the depth, $\mu$ is the dynamic viscosity and $g$ is the gravitational acceleration. The energy conservation is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\phi h + (1 - \phi) \rho_r c_r T] + \nabla \cdot (\rho_v h_v \vec{v}_v + \rho_l h_l \vec{v}_l) = \nabla \cdot (\lambda_m \nabla T)$$  \[4\]

where $h$ is the specific enthalpy, $T$ is the temperature, $\lambda_m$ is the effective medium thermal conductivity and the subscript $r$ refers to the rock; $\rho$ and $h$ without subscripts refer to bulk quantities.

The salt conservation equation is given by [Bai et al., 2003]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\phi pX) + \nabla \cdot (\rho_v X_v \vec{v}_v + \rho_l X_l \vec{v}_l) = \nabla \cdot (\phi \rho_v D \nabla X_v + \phi \rho_l D \nabla X_l)$$  \[5\]
where $X$ is the bulk salinity and $D$ is the salt chemical diffusivity.

As the system consists of two components, three independent variables are needed to characterize the state of the system. Pressure ($P$), Temperature ($T$) and Bulk Salinity ($X$) are chosen as the three primary variables and the derived equations from these variables are solved in FISHES using a fully implicit finite volume method. $P$, $T$, and $X$ are solved at the centroid of each control volume. Lewis [2007] gives detailed derivations of the discretized equations. The code FISHES is available for download at http://wlb-physics-01.monmouth.edu/fishes.htm and its manual is available for download at http://www.geophys.geos.vt.edu/rlowell/kaylal/FishMan-1.0.pdf

FISHES uses the finite volume method patterned after Patankar [1980] where initially the domain is partitioned into a grid of control volumes (see Figure 9). The next step is to integrate

**Figure 9.** A magnified portion of an example space discretization, with a shaded internal control volume. Nodes labels are as follows: P refers to the position of the current node; W, E, T, and B refer to the nodes immediately westward, eastward, upward, and downward, respectively. The primary variables (T, P, and X) are calculated at the shaded control volume node, while fluid velocities ($v_p$, $v_t$), salt, mass, and energy fluxes (collectively labeled as $F_p$, $F_t$) are calculated at the control volume boundaries. Upstream weighting is applied to the variables in dashed boxes. [Lewis et al., 2009a]
the governing equations over the control volume for a time step $\Delta t$. Then approximations are made that result in a system of linear equations where the primary variables ($P$, $T$, $X$) at each centroid is a function of those at the adjacent centroids. Finally, the set of linear equations are solved subject to time step and grid size constraints. Figure 10 shows a schematic of the algorithm discussed above.

Figure 10. Schematic outline of the main algorithm for FISHES. [from Lewis et al., 2009a]

3.2. Parameters and Model Geometry

Based on the vent field scale parameters in Table 1, we construct a square 2D box with dimensions 1500m across and 1500m deep. The temperature at the top of the system is kept at 10°C to approximate the temperature of the seawater that enters the oceanic crust. The salinity is initially at 3.2wt% NaCl-H$_2$O solution to approximate seawater salinity [Bischoff and Rosenbauer, 1984]. The pressure at the top of the system/seafloor is maintained at 25MPa and
the initial pressures increase hydrostatically with depth [Lewis and Lowell, 2009a]. The initial porosity is taken as 0.1 (10%). For models with homogeneous permeability we use $k = 10^{-13}$ m$^2$; when layer 2A is included we assume its permeability is $10^{-12}$ m$^2$ (see Figure 11). These values give reasonable agreement with the results of the single pass model [Lowell et al., 2013; Table 1].

As a basic model for EPR 9°50’N, we consider a central-heated model with a 25 m cell size. We assume that the temperature at the bottom of the system increases uniformly from 300 °C ($T_{\text{bot min}}$) at each end of the box to 450 °C over a distance of 250 m and is maintained at 450 °C ($T_{\text{bot max}}$) for the 1000m in the center of the box, to correspond to the axial magma chamber. The maximum bottom temperature ensures that phase separation occurs near the base of the heated zone [Han et al., 2013]. Using an isothermal basal boundary condition simplifies the physics of the hydrothermal system, which is driven by heat transfer from a convecting, crystallizing, replenished magma sill [e.g., Liu and Lowell, 2009]. Such a boundary condition would potentially result in complex spatially and temporally varying temperature and flow conditions in the model, however. Since a primary goal of this work is to estimate how porosity and permeability change results from anhydrite precipitation, and to compare the numerical results with the 1D analytical model, we believe the more complex basal boundary condition is unwarranted for these simulations. Figure 11a shows the model geometry. Figure 11b shows the system with a 200 m thick layer 2A included.

The lower temperature regions near the edges of the box correspond to the recharge zone proposed by Tolstoy et al. [2008]. This simulation assumes that the circulation pattern between approximately 9°48’N and 9°51’N consists of two separate cells as proposed by Tolstoy et al.
[2008] [see Figure 8]. This model thus simulates the southern group of vents between TWP and Bio 9 [Figures 7 and 8].

Although the plume structure and temperature is generally established after approximately 50 years, model simulations are run for 100 years to simulate the EPR 9°50’N vent temperature and salinity. The resulting temperature and velocity fields at 50 and 100 years are used to characterize anhydrite precipitation. Anhydrite precipitation is assumed to occur by precipitation of CaSO₄ from seawater in the temperature range between 150° and 250° C. We assume that the rate of anhydrite precipitation is controlled by the “gradient reaction” [e.g., Phillips, 1991] as fluid moves across the temperature gradient and the solubility of CaSO₄ decreases as temperature increases. We then calculate the rate of porosity and permeability reduction in a given cell as anhydrite precipitates. Consequently, the simulation results yield the locations of anhydrite precipitation, and enable one to estimate rates of change in porosity and permeability and potential sealing times of those zones at a two snapshots in time. The methodology is discussed in the next section.
4. Anhydrite Precipitation and Evolution of Porosity and Permeability

The change in porosity as a function of time is expressed through a simple mass balance expression relating the change in pore volume to the rate at which concentration of anhydrite in the fluid changes along the flow direction [Lowell et al., 1993]. Experiments have shown that solubility of anhydrite decreases as temperature increases and the main zone of precipitation occurs between ~150 °C and ~250 °C [Bischoff and Seyfried, 1978; Figure 12a].

Seawater contains approximately 10 mmol/kg Ca and 30 mmol/kg SO$_4$; so as precipitation occurs, a considerable amount of sulfate still remains when all the Ca is removed from seawater. As seawater reacts with basalt within the above-mentioned range of temperatures, Mg$^{2+}$ in seawater is removed and Ca$^{2+}$ is released to the solution [e.g., Bischoff and Dickson, 1975; Seyfried and Bischoff, 1981]. Consequently the amount of anhydrite precipitated may be greater than accounted for by the direct heating of seawater. Some sulfate may precipitate as MgSO$_4$.

Figure 11. Schematic representation of the central-heated model. a. Model without layer 2A; b. Model with layer 2A
[Bischoff and Seyfried, 1978], and some seawater sulfate is reduced to sulfide [e.g., Shanks et al., 1995]. Hence the amount of anhydrite that precipitates as seawater is heated and water-rock reactions occur is somewhat uncertain. Here we use the data from Bischoff and Seyfried [1978] for the precipitation of anhydrite from seawater as a function of temperature, recognizing that this may be an underestimate of the total amount of anhydrite precipitated during recharge and as heated seawater mixes with rising hydrothermal plumes.

Assuming that anhydrite precipitation occurs only as a result of heating seawater, the change in porosity as a function of time is expressed through a simple mass balance expression relating the change in pore volume to the rate at which concentration of anhydrite C in the fluid changes along the flow direction [Phillips, 1991]. This is given by,

$$\rho_{an} \frac{d(\phi/\phi_0)}{dt} = \rho_f \frac{\phi_0}{\phi_0} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla C(P,T)$$  \[6\]

where $\rho_{an}$ and $\rho_f$ are the densities of the precipitating mineral, anhydrite, and fluid respectively, $\frac{dC_{eq}}{dt}$ is the solubility of anhydrite as a function of temperature and $\phi_0$ is the initial porosity.

Equation [6] assumes that dispersion can be neglected relative to advection and anhydrite precipitation can be written as a “gradient reaction” where the rate of precipitation is governed by mineral solubility as the fluid moves along temperature and pressure gradients [Phillips, 1991]. As the pressure dependence of anhydrite solubility is much smaller than its dependence on temperature [Blounot and Dickson, 1969] the pressure term is neglected. We also neglect kinetic effects and assume that the rate of precipitation is controlled by the solubility as a function of temperature. Neglecting the pressure term and applying the chain rule, equation [6] becomes,

$$\frac{d(\phi/\phi_0)}{dt} = \frac{\rho_f}{\rho_{an}\phi_0} \frac{dC_{eq}}{dT} [u_x \frac{dT}{dx} + u_z \frac{dT}{dz}]$$  \[7\]
where $u_x$ and $u_z$ are the Darcian velocities in the x and z directions, respectively and $\frac{dT}{dx}$ and $\frac{dT}{dz}$ are the temperature gradients in the x and z directions, respectively.

Experimental results for the solubility of anhydrite in seawater at $P = 500$ bars is shown in Figure 12a. This shows data at $50^\circ$C increments between 150 and $350^\circ$C [Bischoff and Seyfried, 1978] and are fit by a smoothed curve [Lowell and Yao, 2002]. The smoothed derivative which is then derived from this data averaged over $5^\circ$C intervals between 150 and $350^\circ$C is shown in Figure 12b. It is observed from the figure that the highest rate of decrease in solubility occurs between 150 and $200^\circ$C, with the rate of solubility nearly vanishing above $250^\circ$C [Lowell and Yao, 2002].
To calculate the rate of porosity reduction resulting from anhydrite precipitation at a simulation time of 50 years and 100 years, we first identified the cells with temperatures ranging from 150-250°C. We then interpolated the values of $\frac{dC_{eq}}{dT}$ from Figure 12b. Using the temperature distribution from the FISHES simulation, temperature gradients, $\frac{dT}{dx}$ and $\frac{dT}{dz}$ were calculated along with the liquid velocities $u_x$ and $u_z$. Bulk density distribution from FISHES was taken for $\rho_f$, the density of the fluid. The density of anhydrite $\rho_{an}$ was taken as 3000 kg m$^{-3}$ [Robie et al., 1966] and $\phi_0$ was assumed to be 0.1. These values were then input into equation [7] to calculate $\frac{d(\phi/\phi_0)}{dt}$. The time $\tau$ taken to seal a given cell completely is then given by,
\[ \tau = \frac{1}{d(\varnothing/\varnothing_0)} \]

In addition we assume that porosity and permeability can be related through a cubic law [Bear, 1972],

\[ k = k_0[\varnothing/\varnothing_0]^3 \]

Equation [9] shows that if the porosity is just reduced by half, the reduction in permeability is almost one order of magnitude.

5. Results

5.1 Hydrothermal flow patterns and temperature evolution

The temperature distributions at 50 and 100 years for the central-heated model are shown in Figure 13c and d. The plume distribution is symmetric about the center of the system. The two plumes that reach the surface at around 400 m and 1100 m distance are stable plumes that started developing at about 10 years (see Figure 13a) and reached the surface at 18 years (see Figure 13b). They have maintained almost the same location for the rest of the simulation run time. At 50 years (see Figure 13c), the temperature of the plumes is \( \sim 338 \, ^\circ C \) and their salinity is \( \sim 3.27 \) wt\%. At 100 years, the vent temperature of the two plumes is \( \sim 305 \, ^\circ C \) and their salinity is \( \sim 3.24 \) wt\%. The cooling of the plumes during that time period results because the focused recharge that occurs along the sides of the adjacent plumes takes time to fully develop and eventually cools the plumes. The plume in the center develops at \( \sim 15 \) years, reaches to 400m below seafloor at 60 years and stays in the same place till 100 years.
The temperature distributions at 50 years for the central-heated model with layer 2A is shown in Figure 14d. The plume distribution is symmetric about the center of the system. The two plumes that reach the surface at around 400 m and 1100 m distance are stable plumes that started developing at about 8 years (see Figure 14a) and reached the surface at 16 years (see Figure 14b). They have maintained almost the same location for the rest of the simulation run time. At 25 years (see Figure 14c), the temperature of the plumes is ~240 °C and their salinity is ~3.17
wt%. At 50 years, the vent temperature of the two plumes is ~204 °C and their salinity is ~3.21 wt%. As in the simulation without layer 2A, cooling of the plumes during that time period occurs because the focused recharge near the sides of the plumes takes time to fully develop and eventually cool the plumes. Also note that the temperature in the vents for this model with layer 2A is much lower than in the model without layer 2A. This is because the high permeability layer 2A facilitates shallow recharge that mixes with the hot fluids and cools them considerably before they vent. The plume in the center develops at ~15 years, reaches to 500m below seafloor at 50 years.

![Temperature distribution plot for central-heated model with layer 2A at a. Time = 8 years, b. Time = 16 years, c. Time = 25 years, d. Time = 50 years](image)

**Figure 14.** Temperature distribution plot for central-heated model with layer 2A at a. Time = 8 years, b. Time = 16 years, c. Time = 25 years, d. Time = 50 years
5.2. Zones of Anhydrite Precipitation

The methodology to obtain sealing times and rate of change of porosity with time as explained in section 4 was implemented in the results from the two FISHES models at 50 and 100 years for the central-heated model and at 50 years for the central-heated model with layer 2A.

For the central-heated model, Figures 15 and 16 show that regions of anhydrite precipitation lie along the sides of the two symmetrical plumes and along the middle plume. The isotherms of temperature distribution of the system at 50 and 100 years are shown in Figure 15a and b. At 50 and 100 years, the areas with the fastest sealing times occur near the bottom of both major plumes (see a* in Figure 16 and 17). This is where the cold recharge water is in contact with the base of the plume. The temperature range at which precipitation occurs and the fastest sealing times (see equation 8) are given in Table 2. Sealing times along the plume sides in the upper 750 m range between 550-3000 years and 2000-5000 years at 50 and 100 years, respectively (see b* in Figures 16 and 17).

For the central-heated model with layer 2A at 50 years, Figure 18b shows that regions of anhydrite precipitation lie along the sides of the two symmetrical plumes and along the middle plume. The isotherms of temperature distribution of the system are shown in Figure 18a. As in the simulation without layer 2A, the areas with the fastest sealing times for a 100% reduction in porosity occur near the bottom of both major plumes (see a* in Figure 18b). The temperature range at which precipitation occurs and the fastest sealing times are given in Table 2. Sealing times along the plume sides in the upper 750 m (see b* in Figure 18b) range between 80-3000 years.
**Figure 15.** Temperature Distribution isotherms of centrally heated model at a. 50 years b. 100 years.

**Figure 16.** Plot of temperature distribution at 50 years of centrally heated model without layer 2A showing anhydrite precipitation zones in white. a* indicates the zone with fastest sealing times; b* indicates the zone with sealing times ranging from 550-3000 years.
Figure 17. Plot of temperature distribution at 100 years of centrally heated model without layer 2A showing anhydrite precipitation zones in white. a* indicates the zone with fastest sealing times; b* indicates the zone with sealing times ranging from 2000-5000 years.

Figure 18. a. Temperature Distribution isotherms at 50 years for a centrally heated model with layer 2A; b. Plot of temperature distribution at 50 years of centrally heated model with layer 2A showing anhydrite precipitation zones in white. a* indicates the zone with fastest sealing times; b* indicates the zone with sealing times ranging from 80-3000 years.
Table 2. Fastest sealing times and their temperature ranges for central-heated system (without and with layer 2A) at different snapshots in time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Time at Snapshot (years)</th>
<th>Temperature Range</th>
<th>Fastest Sealing Time for 50% Porosity Reduction</th>
<th>Fastest Sealing Time for 100% Porosity Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central-Heated without Layer 2A</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>~150-170 °C</td>
<td>~35-225 years</td>
<td>~70-450 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central-Heated without Layer 2A</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>~150-190 °C</td>
<td>~190-315 years</td>
<td>~380-625 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central-Heated with Layer 2A</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>~150-170 °C</td>
<td>~20-215 years</td>
<td>~55-430 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Discussion

6.1. Anhydrite Precipitation and Hydrothermal Recharge at EPR 9°50’N

The results in section 5 show the fastest sealing times occur near the bottom of the deep recharge zones at the sides of the central-heated region. This is where the 150 °C isotherm approximately lies at the base of the recharge zone. This result is similar to the single pass model calculations [e.g., Lowell et al., 2012], except that sealing occurs within ~1 year, which is much shorter than given by the numerical results. The main reason appears to be that in the 2-D model the temperature gradients are not nearly as steep as calculated in 1D model [Lowell et al., 2012]. This is partly a result of the 2D character of the flow, but it likely results in part from the resolution of the numerical simulation that is imposed by the assumed cell size of 25 m. To
address the issue of resolution, we ran simulations of the model shown in Figure 10a with the
cell sizes of 50 m, 75 m and 100 m. The results of these simulations are given in the Appendix A.

To highlight the impact of cell size, Figure 19 shows the fastest sealing times as a function of
cell size. The data fits a smooth curve. By extrapolating the curve to smaller cell sizes Figure 19
indicates that sealing would occur after approximately 80 years, if the cell size of the simulations
were reduced to 10 m.

![Central-Heated Model](image)

**Figure 19.** Plot of the fastest sealing time in years (log scale) as a function of grid size in
meters. Dotted lines show the extension of the plot to the x-axis. ~80 years is the fastest
sealing time when extrapolating the sealing time for 10 m grid size.

Figure 19 shows that even if the cell size were 10 m, the sealing time would be around 80
years, which is still approximately 2 orders of magnitude slower than estimated from the 1D
model of *Lowell et al.* [2012]. These results are based on the precipitation of anhydrite from
seawater and do not account for the calcium-magnesium exchange from the water-rock reaction,
which would increase the rate of porosity decrease by a factor of three. Moreover, a 50% reduction in porosity would decrease the permeability by approximately one order of magnitude (see equation 9), which would affect circulation pathways. Thus the fluid would tend to flow around areas where porosity and permeability were reduced. This would also result in cooler fluid entering the plume and possibly resulting in lower vent temperature and heat output. These results also assume that the porosity of the crust is 0.1 everywhere. If porosity of the lower crust were smaller, more rapid sealing would occur (see equations 7 and 8).

The numerical results suggest that on a time scale of a decade, anhydrite precipitation in the recharge zone may not significantly affect fluid flow and hydrothermal heat output, thus supporting the hypothesis of Tolstoy et al. [2008] that recharge to the EPR 9°50’ N hydrothermal system is focused near the 4th order axial discontinuity near 9°49’ N. A more detailed analysis of the effect of anhydrite precipitation on hydrothermal recharge requires a numerical simulation in which anhydrite precipitation, and its effects on porosity and permeability, are incorporated more directly. Simulations using a finer grid (e.g., 10 m and 5 m cells), especially near the base of the circulation model, that include water-rock reaction would provide better estimates of the effects of anhydrite precipitation on hydrothermal circulation at EPR 9°50’N.

6.2. Anhydrite Precipitation and Flow Focusing

Anhydrite precipitation along the sides of the plumes tends to maintain the focused high temperature venting and result in higher vent temperatures by reducing the influx of cold seawater into the ascending hot fluids. The reduced mixing would also lead to fluids venting with salinity more reflective of phase separation conditions at depth. The shallow mixing of seawater with the hot fluids in layer 2A could lead to anhydrite precipitation resulting in the formation of an impermeable barrier [Lowell et al., 2007; Wilcock, 1998]. This barrier would tend to allow
diffuse hydrothermal discharge to occur relatively near to high temperature vents. The timeframes for sealing estimated from the numerical simulations shown here are quite long, however, suggesting that this process is relatively inefficient when compared to the timescales of years or less as per two branch single-pass hydrothermal circulation model calculations by Lowell et al. [2003].

7. Conclusions and Recommendations for Future Work

We have constructed a 2D two-phase hydrothermal circulation model heated from below with parameters that approximate the hydrothermal system at EPR 9°50’ N. We used the results of this model to assess the role of anhydrite precipitation in reducing porosity and permeability of the system. We find that anhydrite precipitations likely occurs near the margins of ascending hydrothermal plumes where cooler single phase seawater mixes with the high temperature plume and near the base of the main recharge zone where downwelling fluid is heated to approximately 300 °C. We find that anhydrite precipitation occurs over a considerable amount of area in the system but the estimated sealing times range anywhere from 55-5000 years. The fastest sealing times range between 55-625 years near the base of the system, whereas longer sealing times occur where seawater mixes with high-temperature rising plumes.

The slow sealing times produced by the 2D numerical model, compared to the 1D analytical simulations support the hypothesis that hydrothermal recharge at EPR 9°50’ N may be focused near the 4th order axial discontinuity near 9°49’ N, at least on a decadal time scale, however, the numerical simulations presented here contain a number of simplifications that need to be addressed.

The calculations assume an initial porosity of 0.1 and the porosity at the base of the sheeted dikes may be considerably less than this. The calculations of sealing time are based on the model
structure captured as a snapshot at particular times, which in our cases are 50 and 100 years, when the system has reached a quasi-steady state. This is a preliminary step and a more advance approach would be one where the anhydrite precipitation is incorporated in the code with the porosity and permeability changes along with the precipitation are added as a feedback relative to the previous time step. Another step would be to model the system with a higher temperature at the base (500 °C). This could yield vent temperatures and salinities that are closer to observations at the EPR 9°50’N. Running all the above mentioned simulations and ones with higher basal temperature in smaller cell sizes (12.5 m and 10 m) would refine the calculations leading to more accurate sealing times. That would add more validation points to the plot shown in Figure 19.

Moreover, complete sealing is not required to affect the fluid circulation. Changing the permeability near the base of the cell by an order of magnitude may cause the flow to bypass these regions, thus limiting the impact of anhydrite precipitation on the overall circulation pattern. But this possibility needs further study. By incorporating a high permeability barrier in the fastest sealing zones to one could explore the effect of partial sealing on the evolution of the plume and its temperatures.

One of the main recommendations for future work stemming from this project is to incorporate the anhydrite precipitation in FISHES. This would require integrating temperature and time-dependent anhydrite precipitation into existing set of equations and relating that to permeability and porosity variation with time. This will ultimately provide a clearer picture of how the permeability of the system changes with time.
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Appendix A: Simulations of Middle Heated Model with Different Cell Sizes

To address the issue of the impact of resolution of the model on sealing times, we ran the model shown in Figure 11a with different cell sizes. The cell sizes are 50 m, 75 m and 100 m along with the 25 m shown in Figure 13.

Figure A.1, A.2 and A.3 shows the temperature distribution of the system at 100 years with 50 m, 75 m and 100 m cell sizes respectively. We can observe the coarseness in the resolution when compared to the temperature distribution at 100 years for a 25 m cell size simulation (see Figure 13d).

Figure A.1. Temperature distribution at 100 years for a 50 m cell size for a middle heated system
Figure A.2. Temperature distribution at 100 years for a 75 m cell size for a middle heated system
Figure A.3. Temperature distribution at 100 years for a 100 m cell size for a middle heated system