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Abstract

CD4+ T cells mediate and orchestrate a tremendous panoply of lymphoid cell subsets in the human immune system. CD4+ T cells are able to differentiate into either effector pro-inflammatory or regulatory anti-inflammatory subsets depending on the cytokine milieu in their environment. This complex process is mediated through a variety of cytokines and soluble factors. Yet, the mechanisms of action underlying the process of differentiation and plasticity of this interesting immune subset are incompletely understood. To gain a better understanding of the CD4+ T cell differentiation and function, here we present an array of different strategies to model and validate CD4+ T cell differentiation and heterogeneity. The approaches presented here vary from ordinary-differential equation-based to agent-based simulations, from data-driven to theory-based approaches, and from intracellular mathematical to tissue-level or cellular modeling. The knowledge generated throughout this dissertation exemplifies how a combination of computational modeling with experimental immunology can efficiently advance the scene on CD4+ T cell differentiation. In this thesis I present i) an overview on CD4+ T cell differentiation and an introduction to which computational strategies have been adopted in the field to tackle with this problem, ii) ODE-based modeling and predictions on Th17 plasticity modulated by PPARγ, iii) ODE- and ABM-based cellular level modeling of immune responses towards Helicobacter pylori and the role of CD4+ T cell subsets on it, iv) Intracellular strategies to validate a potential therapeutic target within a CD4+ T cell to treat H. pylori infection, and finally v) data-driven strategies to model Th17 differentiation based on sequencing or microarray data to generate novel predictions on specific components. I present both mathematical and computational work as well as experimental work, in vitro and in vivo with animal models, to demonstrate how computational immunology and immunoinformatics can help, not only in understanding this complex process, but also in the development of immune therapeutics for infectious, allergic and immune-mediated diseases.
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Chapter 1

Introduction: Computational Modeling of Heterogeneity and Function of CD4+ T cells

Adria Carbo, Raquel Hontecillas, Tricity Andrew, Kristin Eden, and Josep Bassaganya-Riera.


1.1 Summary

The immune system is composed of many different cell types and hundreds of intersecting molecular pathways and signals. This large biological complexity requires coordination between distinct pro-inflammatory and regulatory cell subsets to respond to infection while maintaining tissue homeostasis. CD4+ T cells play a central role in orchestrating immune responses and in maintaining a balance between pro- and anti-inflammatory responses. This tight balance between regulatory and effector reactions depends on the ability of CD4+ T cells to modulate distinct pathways within large molecular networks, since dysregulated CD4+ T cell responses may result in chronic inflammatory and
autoimmune diseases. The CD4+ T cell differentiation process comprises an intricate interplay between cytokines, their receptors, adaptor molecules, signaling cascades and transcription factors that help delineate cell fate and function. Computational modeling can help to describe, simulate, analyze, and predict some of the behaviors in this complicated differentiation network. This first chapter provides a comprehensive overview of existing computational immunology methods as well as novel strategies used to model immune responses with a particular focus on CD4+ T cell differentiation.

1.2 Introduction

The human immune system consists of two main behavioral and functional waves: first, the innate immune response provides a first barrier against foreign elements and second, the adaptive immune system builds an effective and specific immune response to combat such elements. The principal function of the adaptive responses is not only the specific recognition to foreign antigens, but also the formation of immunologic memory, and the development of tolerance to self-antigens [1]. Originated in the bone marrow and matured in the thymus, CD4+ T cells are part of the specific adaptive immunity compartment. T cell selection in the thymus allows creating an array of T cell repertoire for antigen recognition, as well as allowing the selection process through MHC-II and the expression of surface markers, such as CD4 or CD8 [2]. Mature CD4+ T cells then translocate into the secondary lymphoid organs, such as the lymph nodes or the spleen, where they are involved in immune surveillance through interaction with MHC-II molecules expressed on the surface of antigen-presenting cells [3]. In this inductive site, naïve CD4+ T cells sample the tissue environment and depending on the cytokine milieu, they differentiate into functionally distinct regulatory and effector subsets.

The central dogma of CD4+ T cell differentiation has evolved over the past decades as new studies have unveiled differentiation pathways and novel mechanisms shaping the CD4+ T cell compartment. The Th1 versus Th2 conceptual framework that Mossman and Coffman provided [4] was largely expanded when novel discoveries on RORgt and IL-17A producing T cells defined the Th17 phenotype [5] and with the identification of
FOXP3 raised as a key transcription factor in charge of driving the regulatory response in CD4+ T cells [6, 7]. Recent in-depth characterization of CD4+ T cell lineages has resulted in the discovery of new phenotypes, positioning the CD4+ T cell population as one of the most heterogeneous immune cell subsets. Furthermore, the latest discoveries are pushing the understanding of CD4+ T cell differentiation from a 4-player game to a multi-pronged interplay of complex networks and common transcription factors and cytokines with highly plastic functionalities. As an example, the production of IL-9 by the transcription factor PU.1 leads to the establishment of the Th9 phenotype [8]. Furthermore, other phenotypes, such as Th17, are now under scrutiny since IL-17 and IL-22 are co-expressed in an IL-23 dependent manner [9, 10]. New studies are pointing out to the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) as the master transcription factor responsible for IL-22 secretion [11], leading to the designation of a new CD4+ T cell phenotype, Th22. Moreover, FOXP3-independent IL-10 upregulation has been implicated in the activation of the regulatory axis under the regulatory type 1 (Tr1) CD4+ T cells [12]. Lastly, follicular T helper cells (Tfh) have become an object of intense study since they have been described as a very plastic subset that could swift the CD4+ T cell balance. Tfh cells can leave the T cell areas and localize in the B cell follicle, a migration that is facilitated by their concurrent expression of the B cell zone homing chemokine receptor CXCR5 and downregulation of the T cell zone homing chemokine receptor CCR7 [13, 14]. Thus, this close proximity to B cells allows Tfh cells to support their activation, expansion and differentiation. To help promote this crosstalk with B cells, Tfh cells produce IL-21 via activation of the transcription factor BCL-6, thereby promoting a Th1/Th17 profile. Also, IL-2 is emerging as a trigger for Th1 differentiated cells to adopt a Tfh-like phenotype by down-regulating BLIMP1 and interacting with STAT proteins [15]. Since the BCL-6 pathway is linked to STAT factors induced by IL-6 that in turn promotes IL-21 and TNFa production, the study of the role of Tfh is important in the context of infectious, immune-mediated or chronic inflammatory diseases.

Computational modeling has become an indispensable tool to synthesize, organize, and integrate diverse data types and theoretical frameworks to help generate new knowledge and guide in vivo experimentation. This chapter highlights how computational modeling has helped advancing the understanding of signaling events controlling CD4+ T
1.3 Mathematical modeling and CD4+ T cell differentiation

Initial attempts to apply computational modeling approaches to study CD4+ T cell differentiation only focused on the Th1 and Th2 phenotypes. Indeed the well-established dichotomy between these two phenotypes is supported by extensive information on how T-bet (Th1) and GATA3 (Th2) interact. One of the first published studies extrapolated the Th1/Th2 experimental facts into systemic behavior during an immune response, indicating that suppression and domination of one phenotype over the other could dictate the final differentiation outcome [16]. In this study, the model encompassed not only Th1 and Th2, but also the effect of antigen presentation via APCs. This mathematical model illustrated how the final differentiation of Th1 or Th2 depends in both the competition for antigenic stimulation and the cytokine mediated cross suppression between phenotypes. Subsequent studies applied mathematical modeling to study the Th1 and Th2 phenotypes in the presence of other cytokines such as IL-10 or TGFβ [17], antigen availability and instructional intracellular feedbacks [18, 19], upregulation of the master transcription factors T-bet and GATA3 [20, 21] or in the context of cancer and rejection of melanomas [22]. These modeling efforts highlighted the differences between instructive and feedback mechanisms as well as activated pathways in both phenotypes. Other studies solely focused on a single phenotype, such as the work published by Schultz et al. [23] where the computational model revealed that Th1 differentiation is a two-step process in which the early Th1 cell-polarizing phase is followed by a later phase showing expression of T-bet. Hofer et al. [24] published a mathematical model showing that GATA-3 transcriptional activation creates a threshold for autoactivation, resulting in two GATA-3 expression states: one for basal expression and one of high expression sustained by its autoactivation.

As new data became available, the increasing complexity of the CD4+ T cell paradigm became evident and new computational approaches were developed to ascertain the
regulatory mechanisms controlling differentiation, plasticity and heterogeneity. Van den Ham et al. [25] developed an ODE-based model that describes important regulators and allows for stable switches between several different phenotypes. Other studies focused on the interaction of Th17 and iTreg since Betelli et al. [26] described the functional antagonism of Th17 and iTreg. For instance, Hong et al. [27] constructed a mathematical model of Th17/Treg differentiation that exhibited functionally distinct states, including a RORgt+ FOXP3+. While reductionist approaches have improved our ability to understand small components of the system, studying CD4+ T cell heterogeneity often requires implementing systems approaches and computational methods that can help deciphering complexity. Computational models of CD4+ T cell differentiation and heterogeneity are needed to accurately represent how CD4+ T cells are differentiated and accurately predict sensitivities to determine which pathways and molecules can be most critical to switch from one phenotype or another. A major challenge in systems-level models is the calibration process. Estimation of parameters of large-scale CD4+ T cell differentiation models have proven successful [28] by following a “divide-and-conquer approach”. This approach is highly useful when parameterizing large models with more than one parameter. First the parameter calibration is divided into smaller parameter estimations: one estimation per phenotype represented in the model. If necessary, other parameter estimations involving specific interactions, such as the Th1/Th2 or the Th17/Treg crosstalk, can be performed. Once parameters are located in a more targeted parameter space, a global parameter estimation is run with all the parameters in the model, allowing us to identify a good global parameter set. These approaches can be easily performed using modeling software such as COPASI [29].

The CD4+ T cell differentiation model described in [28] allows the user to have a global understanding with 4 CD4+ T cell phenotypes represented. The most recent systems biology markup language (SBML)-compliant network [30] provides a structured understanding on different pathways involved in CD4+ T cell differentiation (Figure 1.1). Another example would be the model by Mendoza et al. [31]. In this model, a continuous dynamical system, in the form of a set of coupled ordinary differential equations, was used. Such strategy was then applied to a regulatory network of 36 nodes, representing four CD4+ T cell phenotypes (Th1, Th2, Th17, and Treg). Although this model creates a framework for four phenotypes, the calibration of this larger network, however, was not
conducted with experimental data but with default parameters that enabled the differentiation of the 4 phenotypes, not taking in consideration if reactions occur in a rapid or slow fashion, for example.

![Diagram of CD4+ T cell differentiation pathways](image)

**Figure 1.1. Main intracellular differentiation pathways of a single CD4+ T cell.** Systems Biology Markup Language (SBML)-compliant network model of CD4+ T cell differentiation, including cytokines, receptors and intracellular signaling pathways controlling CD4+ T cell fate and function Figure 1.

Others have explored the contribution of different CD4+ T cell phenotypes to the modulation of immune responses towards *Helicobacter pylori* infection [32]. This study aimed to provide new mechanistic insights on the dynamics of mucosal Th1, Th17, and Treg cells by using both an ODE and agent based (ABM) cellular model of the mucosal immune responses during *H. pylori* infection. Alternatively, the logical model strategy has also been used to explore CD4+ T cell differentiation. Mendoza et al. applied [33, 34] either continuous or discrete dynamical systems, regulatory networks of Th1/Th2 or of a combination of different transcription factors adding Th17 and iTreg to represent different states. Even though network modeling has shown to be appropriate, as the production of high-dimensional experimental data is increasingly becoming available, other methods, such as ODE-based or agent-based modeling [29, 35, 36], could help understanding the mechanisms of CD4+ T cell differentiation at the systems level.
1.4 Diving into CD4+ T cell lineages: phenotype or function?

CD4+ T cells form a complex and highly specialized network, representing a major population implicated in mediating host protective and homeostatic responses. However, their excessive or uncontrolled accumulation can also represent a feature in different diseases such as Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD) [37], Alzheimer’s disease [38], multiple sclerosis [39], or allergic disease [40], among many others. Therefore, their function is closely guided by external signals that are captured from the environment. Also, CD4+ T cells orchestrate immune responses by modulating the function of other cell subsets, such as dendritic cells or macrophages, through secretion of an array of soluble factors, cytokines, and chemokines into the environment. The cytokine profile secreted by each CD4+ T cell will directly depend on which intracellular molecular pathways have been activated, which cytokines are released and how the priming of the single CD4+ T cell has occurred. As an example, IL-6 and TGFβ will activate the Th17 transcriptional machinery, composed by RORgt, RORa, and the phosphorylated form of STAT3. These molecules will activate the transcription of IL-21 and IL-17 and will direct the cell into a Th17 phenotype. However, when a CD4+ T cell is located in an environment rich in TGFb, lacking IL-6 or other pro-inflammatory cytokines, TGFb will promote FOXP3 and the phosphorylated STAT5, resulting in the secretion of IL-10 and TGFb that will activate the regulatory axis. This differentiation dichotomy also depends in part on the T-cell receptor (TCR) engagement and a co-stimulatory signal, frequently involving the CD28 receptor: two basic signals required for a full CD4+ differentiation process. Indeed, Miskov-Kizanov et al. showed how the duration of T cell stimulation through the TCR receptor is a critical determinant of cell fate and plasticity by constructing a logic circuit model of TCR signaling pathways in CD4+ T cells [41].
CD4+ T cells have a strong predisposition to certain programming and developmental programs enabled by the cytokine environment. However, in the context of disease, where plasticity between phenotypes appears to be the norm, rather than the exception, double positives, such as IFNg/IL17A often appear in pathological states such as in the context of murine colitis, where the accumulation of IL-17A+ IFNg+ seems to occur in an IL-23 dependent manner [42]. Moreover, several studies showed that IL-17A could potently induce type 2 diabetes [43-45] potentially by modulating the pathogenesis of insulin resistance induced by angiotensin II type 1 receptor [46] hence increasing the production of renal nitric oxide [47]. Th17 also showed a pleiotropic functionality, since intestine IL-17A+ IL-10+ T cells were found in the small intestine following treatment with anti-CD3 antibody, known to induce an immunosuppressive environment [48]. Furthermore, intestinal epithelial lesions were accentuated in IL-17A null mice [49]. These implications support a theory, whereby CD4+ T cells are not defined by its inflammatory status but by the functions they accomplish after being exposed to the cytokine milieu. The CD4+ T cell compartment has been demonstrated to be governed, not only by phenotype, but also by function, therefore forcing the distinction between a stable T cell lineage and a T cell differentiation state. Indeed, the ability of a CD4+ T cell to choose a predetermined differentiation program has been shown to be more complex than expected. This determination seems to now bow down to a more functional approach, where CD4+ T cells are not determined by phenotype, but by function, as needed. The traditional view on the CD4+ T cell dogma has now changed into a more comprehensive vision, where

![Figure 1.2. Heterogeneity of CD4+ T cell subsets: T helper type 1 (Th1), type 2 (Th2), type 17 (Th17), type 9 (Th9) and type 22 (Th22), Follicular T helper cells (Tfh), and induced regulatory T cells (iTreg) as well as type 1 regulatory T cells (Tr1).]
not only 2 or 4, but 8 known phenotypes are represented and new phenotypes or states are likely to emerge (Figure 1.2).

1.5 Deciphering CD4+ T cell plasticity by using computational modeling approaches

Transcription factors, T-cell receptor, chemokines, surface receptors, and cytokines determine how CD4+ T cells become activated, maintained and how they can mature into distinguishable featured profiles. However, an increasing understanding on how the mechanisms of differentiation work is revealing increased flexibility and plasticity between different CD4+ T cell phenotypes that allow functional heterogeneity. As discussed above, the functional plasticity between Th1 and Th17 cells resulting in IFNg+ IL-17A+ CD4+ T cells [50, 51] has already been investigated. Indeed, Th17 has been shown to be a very unstable phenotype [52]. Functionally, Th17 cells during mucosal inflammation seem significantly different than those Th17 cells involved in regulating homeostasis at the steady state. Whereas IL-17A single positive Th17 cells produce IL-22, which may provide a mechanisms through which Tregs cells reinforce the epithelial barrier [53], this same Th17 population can accumulate and produce additional mediators such as IFNg or GM-CSF during gut inflammatory disorders [42, 54, 55]. CD4+ T cell plasticity is not only initiated by a change within the intracellular compartment, but also by a change in the extracellular environment. Th1 cells have been demonstrated to acquire plasticity towards a follicular T helper (Tfh)-like phenotype when they encounter a cytokine milieu that is not rich in IL-2 [56, 57]. The regulatory phenotype iTreg has also been reported to adopt plasticity mechanisms. Several studies have identified, for example, a double RORgt+ FOXP3+ [58, 59] that can further differentiate into a pathogenic IL-17-expressing CD4+ T cell [60]. These examples illustrate the need for improving our mechanistic understanding at the systems level, where plasticity in the in vivo setting needs to be at focus.

Computational methods have also been applied to study the plasticity of CD4+ T cells. Magombedze et al. considered a population plasticity mechanism between Th1 and Th2
during Mycobacterium avium infection by using a reduced ODE-based model where the phenotype change of MAP-specific T cells occurred due to differences in the rates of differentiation, proliferation and death at the site of infection [61]. However, the cellular plasticity involving several intracellular pathways was not represented. In contrast, Pedicini et al. used computational models to analyze the cellular plasticity between Th1 and Th2 cells, extending the regular Tbet/GATA3 plasticity predictions to a broader panel of molecules, involving IRF4, STAT1 and STAT6, MAF, NFAT, and SOCS1 [62].

More comprehensive approaches have also been explored by using extended logical formalisms with Boolean variables to assess the effect of different cytokines in making a CD4+ T cell evolve towards a specific state [63]. As a general rule, validation studies are performed to endorse and corroborate the usefulness of computational models. Whereas computational models may be used for in silico experimentation, in vivo and in vitro validation needs to be performed in order to ensure its predictability and prove that the plasticity described in silico can be translated into an in vivo setting in those cases. To address plasticity in vivo, the modeling cycle needs to be completed; first, the model needs to be created based on either available data and/or theory-driven knowledge. Afterwards, calibration procedures need to ensure that a good parameter value set has been found and quality control needs to be run to check that the computational model fully represents our experimental data. Third, in silico experimentation, using loss-of-function, overexpression or sensitivity analysis strategies need to be performed. Finally, in vivo or in vitro validation studies will authenticate the computational model and serve as future calibration data for model refinement. These new approaches are helping immunologists to target novel experiments that will shed some light to the subjective issue of CD4+ T cell plasticity.

The computational CD4+ T cell differentiation landscape has generated several validated studies. We validated experimentally that activation of the transcription factor peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma (PPARg) favored the plasticity of Th17 cells towards iTreg cells, a key prediction of our CD4+ T cell model [28]. This model consisted of 60 differential equations, representing 52 reactions and 93 species, computing the differentiation of a CD4+ T cell into Th1, Th2, Th17, and Treg. The model included cytokines, nuclear receptors and transcription factors that defined fate and function of CD4+ T cells. The first set of computationally derived hypotheses were
centered around PPARγ and its modulatory role between Th17 and iTreg. Time course simulations illustrated how PPARγ can trigger plasticity in IL-17A+ producing Th17 cells, causing the system to become a iTreg CD4+ T cell. To validate this prediction, in vitro and in vivo experiments in the context of an IBD onset were designed with PPARγ null CD4+ T cells as well as with a treatment with pioglitazone, a PPARγ activator. The study presented in [41] also validated the interaction of FOXP3 and mTOR following TCR activation by purifying and activating DCs and CD4+ T cells and assessing the expression of different intracellular markers using cell staining and flow cytometry. Another example is the validation of the time-dependent, dual T-bet wave during Th1 differentiation validated using gene expression analysis in CD4+ T cells isolated from wild-type and IFNγ null mice [23].

1.6 Complementarity of theoretical and data-driven models

In computational immunology, often times, the available knowledge about a given set of biological events is used to construct a specific mathematical model. This theoretical approach is therefore directly correlated to the amount of information that is publicly available and the model created upon these pieces of data will only represent the processes delineated within. On the other hand, models can be constructed based solely on analyzing data itself. The increasing availability of high-dimensional data to quantify signaling and cellular responses, together with the novel sequencing technology advancements, is opening a new avenue to use these data-rich datasets to build computational models to help understanding CD4+ T cell differentiation responses. This systems-biology approach, however, can be a double-edged sword: generating high-throughput datasets are part of a big-data strategy, and sometimes, without the appropriate tools, can bring more confusion than understanding to the problem [64]. On the other side, this increased availability of data, if used correctly, can streamline the modeling approach, offering a tremendous amount of data for calibration purposes that could allow modelers to build fully calibrated, predictive and extremely comprehensive models that could help generate important hypotheses. These two opposed modeling views can actually be used as a complementary strategy. Theoretical models lack data
either for network architecture construction or for model calibration. Data-driven modeling, however, are sometimes confusing, and lack general rules to guide the user and make sense of such big pieces of data. Combining the organization-based approach from theory-driven models with the amount of data and novelty from the data-driven model, highly predictive, hybrid models can be ultimately constructed. In fact, substantial evidence has been shown to understand that the just and only use of data-driven models can represent a trap. The so called “Big Data Hubris” (the often implicit assumption that big data are a substitute, rather than a supplement to, traditional data collection and analysis) already triggered an overestimation of Google’s overestimation on flu prevalence in 2013 [65]. This is a clear example on how data-based and data-driven results were wrongly generated due to the lack of theory underlying unstructured data integration.

The long-standing traditional theory-driven approach has been proven to provide helpful insights on how CD4+ T cells function, where modeling strategies are based on prior biological understanding of the molecular mechanisms involved [16, 24, 27, 33, 66]. However, often times theory-driven modeling is intimately linked to reductionist approaches, since the availability of calibration data can become an issue if building comprehensive networks. Data-driven modeling emerges as a new and complementary approach for multivariate analysis and systems-level analyses. An example on how to use high-throughput data to construct a CD4+ T cell comprehensive network is the study published by Yosef et al., where they used transcriptional profiling with microarrays at high temporal resolution to build a Th17 induction system [67]. In this study, 1,291 genes were differentially identified and clustered into 20 groups, depending on their temporal profiles. Another advantage highlighted in this study is the use of modules to explain the processes controlling Th17 differentiation. Four regulatory modules were identified: the positive module that increased IL-17 levels, the negative module that downregulated IL-17, the signature of Th17 genes and signature of other CD4+ T cell subtypes. This work supported the finding of 3 novel key regulators of Th17 function: Mina, Fas, and Pou2af1. Another study where data-driven approaches were taken was the work performed by Ciofani et al., where they combined genome-wide transcription factor occupancy, expression profiling of transcription factor mutants, and transcriptional regulatory network [68]. Integration of several datasets allowed the inference of a Th17
network that highlighted some key regulators to Th17 plasticity, such as Fosl2. These two approaches have unveiled novel nodes by using a data-driven approach. However, both networks, which represent static pictures, lack dynamics running on the background. By adding dynamics to the system, a whole new dimension can be added. These data-rich models could be used to determine how the system evolves when a node is knocked-out, or how sensitive are reactions and fluxes to change by a special drug or modulator in a more mechanistic manner. A counterfactual example related to the CD4+ T cell differentiation process is the role of IL-17A in chronic inflammation during IBD. Although it has been reported increased expression of IL-17A during IBD [69] and both IL-17R-deficient mice in TNBS-induced colitis model [70] as well as IL-17A-deficient mice in a DSS-induced colitis model [71] were reported to worsen the clinical disease symptoms, some other opposing studies highlighted the protective role of IL-17A production in vivo [72]. In this case, where it is clear there are missing pieces in this puzzle, a combined strategy with both theory-driven and data-driven modeling could shed some light by looking at other players in these intricate and complex interactions.

Data-driven modeling nicely complements and synergizes with theory-driven due to the availability of data for calibration purposes, the potential of discovering novel regulators in the network that have never been described before, and the capability to comprehensively and mechanistically understand complex systems. At the same time, hypotheses extracted from modeling need to be validated to become accepted theories by the community. The combination of theory driven models with data-driven approaches is becoming a strong, useful tool to ensure that the basic knowledge is represented, but at the same time, that novelty and higher predictability is reached.

1.7 Deterministic versus stochastic approaches

In complex regulatory schemas, such as the CD4+ T cell differentiation network, gene expression is controlled by transcriptional signals that determine how rapid and how often a specific gene is transcribed. This transcription process, however, depends on
other signals and molecules, such as transcription factors and promoter signals that will trigger cell-to-cell variability. Often times, gene transcription is a result of a combination of other signaling cascades, therefore adding not only complexity and variability due to the differential activation of upstream molecules, but also a time delay while the signal molecule concentration either accumulates or decays.

In CD4+ T cell differentiation, variability is a key component of the process. In fact, not all the cells expressing RORgt exhibit IL-17A production even in the presence of the correct inductors TGFβ and IL-6 [58]. Furthermore, Guo et al. showed how IL-4 secreting and non-secreting cells from Th2 cultures have a similar probability of producing IL-4 upon subsequent stimulation, implying that there is stochastic element in IL-4 production by stimulated Th2 cells [73]. Even after assuming that most genes are expressed from both alleles when the transcription machinery is in place, some studies point out that some cytokine genes in T cells are often expressed in a monoallelic manner [74]. Alternatively, the transcription rates also vary if agonistic transcription factors are bound [75]. Given these set of premises, stochastic approaches that add this type of variability within the CD4+ T cell subset can be used to help explain biological variation. In this case, this variability offers a unique way to control regulation, by inducing stimuli but controlling the fraction of cells expressing a specific cytokine.

Deterministic models of CD4+ T cell differentiation are more prevalent than stochastic-based models. Of note, deterministic approaches have unveiled a large amount of findings that relate to single cell behavior. A fraction of these models have focused on the analysis of one phenotype only [23, 76], and other models have focused on more than one phenotype and the interactions between the resulting states [25, 27, 28, 76]. Mariani et al., in contrast, used a stochastic approach to show how an IL-4 stochastic mechanism acting at the chromatin level can be integrated with transcriptional regulation to quantitatively control cell-to-cell variability [77]. Furthermore, Santoni et al. used an agent-based model to assess Th1 versus Th2 fates in the context of hypersensitivity reactions [78]. Recently, Mei et al. assessed the role of the IL-6 receptor in controlling the balance between Th17 and iTreg using a novel, web-based stochastic modeling tool [79]. Other approaches have used the mathematical formulation of a cell population master equation (CPME) that describes population dynamics and takes into account the
major sources of heterogeneity, namely stochasticity in reaction, DNA-duplication, and division, using the Montecarlo algorithm [80]. Manninen et al. [81] developed several approaches to incorporate stochasticity into deterministic differential equation models, obtaining so-called Itô stochastic differential equations, and applied them to neuronal protein kinase C signal transduction pathway modeling. Even though traditional molecular biology research has tended to composite single cell deterministic models, diversification of T cell fate during CD4+ T cell differentiation implies that the fate of any individual cell may also be acquired stochastically. Therefore, stochastic simulations within the CD4+ T cell differentiation process could help to understand the tight regulation between phenotypes as well as help identify key nodes that, when acting at higher variability, can skew the output of differentiation into a specific differentiation program.

1.8 Application of multiscale modeling to study CD4+ T cell differentiation

CD4+ T cell differentiation is a process where a change in the intracellular compartment can tremendously impact the outcome of tissue pathology and clinical disease. Distinct intracellular processes dictate the secretion of chemokines, cytokines, and other soluble factors. These components can, at the same time, modulate other CD4+ T cell nearby by binding to specific receptors. This population effect can modulate other downstream immune subsets that can ultimately affect the formation of lesions at the tissue level. Thus, CD4+ T cell differentiation is not only an intracellular process: population and cellular organization are another major mechanism that may contribute to the change in the dominant phenotype of effector CD4+ T cells during chronic pathologies [82]. Indeed, the mucosal immune system includes hierarchical interactions between cells leading to emerging behaviors with dimensions ranging from nanometers to meters and time scales from nanoseconds to years. The spatiotemporal scales where CD4+ T cells participate can actually range from micro-seconds to months or years and to nanometers to centimeters or meters (Figure 1.3A).
Figure 1.3. Multiscale modeling of CD4+ T cell differentiation. The CD4+ T cell differentiation process comprises different scales (intracellular, gradient, cellular, and tissue-level scale) as well as different spatiotemporal parameters (milliseconds to hours and nanometers to centimeters).

Complex and dynamic information processing networks transfer information across scales in immunity encoding host responses and repair measures. The architecture of such multiscale network also needs to be completely embedded in a comprehensive, integrated system. Because of this flexibility in parameter calibration and sensitivity analyses, Ordinary or Stochastic Differential Equations (ODE or SDE) are ideal candidates to encapsulate and simulate intracellular events. In the multiscale setting, these ODE- or SDE-based models would reproduce intracellular CD4+ T cell activation with a release of cytokines and chemokines as a result of the process of differentiations. Partial Differential Equation (PDE) modeling would be a great way to simulate the diffusion reactions of such cytokines in the environment. Ultimately, an agent-based model, adding randomness to the biological system, which helps to better represent responses at the cellular level, would encompass and organize the ODE/SDE models with the PDE simulations by simulating CD4+ T cells as objects that can change its state depending on the cytokine milieu. As a result of these premises, multiscale models are positioned as a comprehensive tool to understand not only the intracellular events happening within the CD4+ T cell compartment at a single cell level, but also understanding the interactions and sensitivities, at the cellular, population and tissue levels, that contribute to disease chronicity, tolerance, or resolution (Figure 1.3B).

All together, ODE models can calculate the intracellular concentration of different species over time, PDE models could analyze the gradient concentration of cytokines...
and chemokines secreted by the ODE model, ABM based models could modulate the cell-cell interactions and spatial compartments could represent the tissue-level scale, including lesion formation. Current experimental techniques are limited in allowing immunologists to quantitatively manipulate immune responses to pathogens in a controlled manner in animal models and to trace events at the tissue level confidently back to specific cellular level interactions and molecular or signaling mechanisms. In a multiscale model, one can test whether mechanisms seen in the experimental context in vivo or in vitro are plausible explanations for phenomena observed at the clinical level. There have been several previous studies on multiscale modeling in the context of immunity: Sloot et al. [83] proposed a multi-scale modeling methodology in computational biomedicine and presented two cases studies. Krinner et al. [84] coupled an agent-based model of hematopoietic stem cells with an ODE model of granulopoiesis. Also, Klinke [66] published a multiscale model of dendritic cell education and trafficking in the lung. Some very recent multiscale approaches to study the CD4+ T cell population have been performed in the context of HIV infection [85] and also in the context of CD4+ T cell migration, signaling, and interaction with the APC compartment [86]. Furthermore, Dwivedi et al. recently developed a multiscale systems model of IL-6–mediated immune regulation in Crohn’s disease, by integrating intracellular signaling with organ-level dynamics of pharmacological markers underlying the disease [87]. Despite all these strategies and studies, there is no multiscale model that computes CD4+ T cell differentiation based on the availability of certain factors in the environment and considers more than one scale in the simulation.

Multiscale modeling may also help integrate immune processes and metabolic pathways to build systems-level immunometabolic frameworks. Indeed, T cell metabolism is highly dynamic and has a tremendous impact on the ability of T cells to grow, activate and differentiate [88]. Glucose metabolism is one of the pathways that has been target to explore immunometabolism. One example is the study from Maciver et al. where they found that activation of T cells causes a large increase in glucose transporter 1 (Glut1) expression and surface localization [89]. Furthermore, CD28 appeared to promote Akt-independent up-regulation of Glut1 and Akt-dependent Glut1 cell surface trafficking [90]. Multiscale modeling analyses could also help to differentiate which are the metabolic needs to promote specific developmental programs. In fact, effector and regulatory
phenotypes have distinct glycolytic and lipid oxidative metabolic programs [91]. Pearce et al. reviewed [92] how activated T cells have an anabolic metabolism, whereas non-proliferating T cells had an opposed catabolic metabolism. Furthermore, autophagy has been found to be essential for T cell survival and proliferation [93]. Later the same group described how the same process of autophagy may have a physiologically significant role in the clearance of mitochondria in T cells as part of normal T cell homeostasis [94], creating a clear link between immunometabolism and T cell function. By using a multiscale strategy, these metabolic programs could be integrated in differentiation simulations and more importantly, the processes could be manipulated to control anti- and pro-inflammatory development in the context of inflammatory diseases. Thus, modeling can be used to quantitatively study dynamic processes located at the interface of immunity and metabolism.

Of note, understanding the mechanisms of CD4+ T cell differentiation and plasticity across scales can lead to the identification of novel therapeutic targets for skewing effector cells into regulatory phenotypes that suppress inflammation. Therefore, multiscale modeling can, indeed, increase predictability and systems-wide mechanistic understanding as to how CD4+ T cells are activated, maintained, and transformed.

1.9 Conclusions

T cell immune responses are extremely heterogeneous and complex. This variability is not fully understood and there are still several questions in regards to CD4+ T cell plasticity and function. Indeed, the issue of what criteria to use to characterize distinct T cell subsets is becoming increasingly complicated. Moreover, the idea that CD4+ T cells are governed by function and not by phenotype is clearly emerging as more double positive and plastic behaviors are being unveiled. The possibility that every helper T cell process is a unique combination of molecules, however, cannot be discarded. This review highlighted how CD4+ T cells have a strong predisposition to certain developmental programs, but it also showed how, at certain times with certain environmental signals, this predisposition is skewed towards another program.
Computationally, the plural CD4+ T cell scenario is still a field of interest and active investigation. As new advancements in the understanding of immune responses continue to unfold, computational modeling approaches are likely to be required to comprehensively and systematically investigate mechanisms across spatiotemporal scales and to help integrate diverse data types.
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Systems modeling of molecular mechanisms controlling cytokine-driven CD4+ T cell differentiation and phenotype plasticity
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2.1 Summary

Differentiation of CD4+ T cells into effector or regulatory phenotypes is tightly controlled by the cytokine milieu, complex intracellular signaling networks and numerous transcriptional regulators. We combined experimental approaches and computational modeling to investigate the mechanisms controlling differentiation and plasticity of CD4+ T cells in the gut of mice. Our computational model encompasses the major intracellular pathways involved in CD4+ T cell
differentiation into T helper 1 (Th1), Th2, Th17 and induced regulatory T cells (iTreg). Our modeling efforts predicted a critical role for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) in modulating plasticity between Th17 and iTreg cells. PPARγ regulates differentiation, activation and cytokine production, thereby controlling the induction of effector and regulatory responses, and is a promising therapeutic target for dysregulated immune responses and inflammation. Our modeling efforts predict that following PPARγ activation, Th17 cells undergo phenotype switch and become iTreg cells. This prediction was validated by results of adoptive transfer studies showing an increase of colonic iTreg and a decrease of Th17 cells in the gut mucosa of mice with colitis following pharmacological activation of PPARγ. Deletion of PPARγ in CD4+ T cells impaired mucosal iTreg and enhanced colitogenic Th17 responses in mice with CD4+ T cell-induced colitis. Thus, for the first time we provide novel molecular evidence in vivo demonstrating that PPARγ in addition to regulating CD4+ T cell differentiation also plays a major role controlling Th17 and iTreg plasticity in the gut mucosa.

2.2 Introduction

The CD4+ T cell differentiation process activates the transcriptional and secretory cellular machinery that helps orchestrate immune modulation in infectious, allergic and immune-mediated diseases. Upon antigen presentation, naïve CD4+ T cells become activated and undergo a differentiation process controlled by the cytokine milieu in the tissue environment. For instance, interleukin-6 (IL-6) in combination with transforming growing factor β (TGF-β) trigger a naive CD4+ T cell to become a T helper 17 (Th17) cell [26, 95]. In contrast, TGF-β alone can activate regulatory pathways leading to differentiation of naive CD4+ T cells into an induced regulatory CD4+ T cell (iTreg) phenotype, which in turn tightly dampens effector and inflammatory responses.

CD4+ T cell differentiation was once viewed as a rigid process whereby a naive cell differentiated into terminal phenotypes. However, mounting evidence supports the tissue environment-dependent plasticity of CD4+ T cell subsets and suggests the emergence of new phenotypes [9, 96, 97]. At the molecular level, plasticity is achieved by a cytokine-driven reprogramming of signaling pathways and targeted activation of master regulator transcription factors which results in gene expression changes [98].
presenting cells (APCs) influence T cell differentiation through antigen presentation, co-stimulation and cytokine secretion [99]. The crosstalk between T cell phenotypes has been fully characterized in terms of classical Th1 versus Th2 differentiation [100-103]. Indeed, a logical network model of CD4+ T cell differentiation process centered around Th1 versus Th2 differentiation was published by Mendoza [33]. However, this logical model did not consider the Th17 or iTreg cell subsets. In the last decade, Th17 has emerged as an extremely plastic phenotype [50, 98, 104, 105] that can acquire regulatory functions following changes in the local cytokine milieu [58, 106-108]. Furthermore, human iTreg cells become interleukin-17 (IL-17)-producing Th17 cells [109], thereby supporting the concept that Th17 plasticity is a two-way process. However, the molecular mechanisms underlying these processes are incompletely understood.

Retinoic acid receptor-related orphan receptor gamma (RORγt) is a master regulator transcription factor required for Th17 differentiation [5, 110] and it has been proposed as a potential therapeutic target to suppress Th17 responses in autoimmune diseases [111, 112]. Similar to RORγt, the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) are ligand-activated transcription factors and members of the nuclear receptor superfamily. PPARγ is highly expressed in CD4+ T cells and it has been reported to modulate Th1 and natural Treg (nTreg) function [113-115], but limited information is available regarding its role in modulating the Th17 and iTreg phenotypes. The loss of PPARγ in CD4+ T cells enhanced antigen-specific proliferation and overproduction of interferon γ (IFN-γ) in response to IL-12 [116]. In addition, the deficiency of PPARγ in nTreg cells impairs their ability to prevent effector T cell-induced colitis following transfer of naïve CD4+ T cells into SCID recipients [116]. Furthermore, pharmacologic activation of PPARγ prevents removal of the silencing mediator for retinoid and thyroid hormone receptors’ co-repressor from the RORγt promoter in T cells, thus interfering with RORγt transcription [117]. While previous studies shed some light on the role of PPARγ in Th17 differentiation, this is the first study to investigate the role of PPARγ in controlling Th17 to iTreg cell plasticity in the gut mucosa.

Computational approaches have become a powerful tool that allows concurrent multiparametric analysis of dynamic biological processes and diseases. The emerging
use of systems modeling in combination with experimental immunology studies \textit{in vivo} can help integrate existing knowledge and provide novel insights on rising trends and behaviors in biological processes such as CD4+ T cell differentiation and function. Of note, bioengineering studies demonstrated the predictive value of a whole-cell computational model of the life cycle of \textit{Mycoplasma genitalium} \cite{118}. These multi-mode calibrated models demonstrate an emerging strategy to answer questions about fundamental cell-based processes \textit{in silico} and help focus experimental designs of animal pre-clinical and human clinical studies.

We combined computational modeling and mouse adoptive transfer studies to gain a better mechanistic understanding of the modulation of CD4+ T cell differentiation and plasticity at the intestinal mucosa of mice. Our sensitivity analyses highlighted the importance of PPARγ in the regulation of Th17 to iTreg plasticity. Indeed, \textit{in vivo} evidence demonstrates that PPARγ is required for the plasticity of Th17 promoting a functional shift towards an iTreg phenotype. More specifically, PPARγ activation is associated with upregulation of FOXP3 and suppression IL-17A and RORγt expression in colonic lamina propria CD4+ T cells. Conversely, the loss of PPARγ in T cells results in colonic immunopathology driven by Th17 cells in adoptive transfer studies.

\textit{2.3 Mathematical modeling of intracellular cytokine pathways controlling CD4+ T cell differentiation}

The population of CD4+ T cells is functionally and phenotypically heterogeneous consisting of at least four subsets involved in coordinating various aspects of adaptive immunity. Upon antigenic stimulation by antigen-presenting cells, naïve CD4+ T cells (Th0) expand and differentiate into at least three effector cell subsets referred to as Th1, Th2, Th17, and induced regulatory T (iTreg) cells (Figure 1.2). In addition to the four Th phenotypes studied and modeled in this project other CD4+ T cell phenotypes have been characterized, including transforming growing factor β (TGF-β)-producing CD4+ T cells (Th3) \cite{119}, IL-10-producing CD4+ T cells (Tr1) \cite{120}, IL-9-producing CD4+ T cells (Th9) \cite{121} and T follicular helper (Tfh) cells located in the follicular regions of lymph
nodes and spleen [122, 123]. Signaling pathways controlling fates on these phenotypes are closely connected to the four core subsets (Th1, Th2, Th17 and iTreg). Each of these phenotypes is characterized by distinct effector and regulatory functions, which are regulated by a signature pattern of cytokines and multiple transcription factors. The signaling pathways that lead to these four predominant fates are cross-regulated via feedback loops that facilitate a balanced immune response to pathogens or abnormal cells while avoiding chronic inflammation and autoimmunity. To orchestrate the immune response, CD4+ T cells secrete a series of specific cytokines and other soluble factors. Cytokines are small molecules secreted in response to external stimuli, which are key in cell-to-cell communication. Cytokine signaling is fast and canonical, consisting of 1) binding to cytokine cell surface receptor, 2) activation of receptor-associated kinase, 3) STAT phosphorylation and translocation into the nucleus and 4) activation of gene expression.

We present for the first time a mathematical and computational model built upon the current paradigms of molecular interactions that occur in CD4+ T cells. This model will help us to elucidate the regulatory mechanisms underlying CD4+ T cell differentiation, identify novel putative CD4+ T cell subsets, and study the dynamics of Th cell differentiation. Previous modeling efforts have also focused on the CD4+ T cell. For instance, Mendoza reported a logical network model for controlling the differentiation process in CD4+ T cells [33], however, that model was build upon the Th1 versus Th2 paradigm, without considering Th17 or iTreg subsets. Additional models of immunity are available for predicting the generation of memory cells [124, 125] and determining the role of IL-2 in the interplay between effector and regulatory phenotypes [126]. There is also a comprehensive review on differentiation of effector CD4+ T cell populations by Zhu and colleagues [127]. Recent publications also reported on modeling approaches for specific CD4+ T cell phenotypes, such as the regulation of Th1 by T-bet, IL-12 and interferon-γ (IFN-γ) [23] or the regulation of the crosstalk between Th17 and iTreg by quantifying the master regulators [27]. Other studies have focused on the interaction between more than two phenotypes using logical models [63]. However, our extended ODE-based model is the first to illustrate in a detailed and comprehensive manner the intracellular regulatory networks controlling fate determination for all four phenotypes in a deterministic way (i.e., Th1, Th2, Th17 and iTreg). Specifically, we have extended
previous models by adding some new detailed interactions for the Th1/Th2-related pathways, including new pathways controlling plasticity between Th17 and iTreg cells, as well as the crosstalk among these pathways. In addition, in contrast to previous studies and given the initial results of the sensitivity analysis, our structural network model includes the modulation of this process by the nuclear receptor peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ).

Three distinct signals regulate CD4+ T cell activation and differentiation: a signal from the T cell receptor (TCR) interacting with MHC, a co-stimulatory signal (i.e., CD28 interacting with B7.1 or B7.2 on antigen presenting cells), and a cytokine-driven signal. Other studies have focused on CD4+ T cell proliferation [128], TCR signaling [27] or co-stimulatory signals [129]. In this report, we assemble the knowledge about non-cognate interactions controlling the CD4+ T cell differentiation process (i.e., cytokine milieu, signaling pathways and transcription factors) available in the literature into a comprehensive network model. This is a first step toward a more comprehensive understanding of the dynamics of the CD4+ T cell differentiation process at the systems level. Thus, we are describing activation pathways by phenotype, as well as the inhibitory mechanisms that lead to the induction or suppression of a CD4+ T cell phenotype.

2.3.1 T helper 1 cells
A naïve T cell can differentiate into a Th1 phenotype through two major signaling pathways which have recently been shown to be interconnected and their expression is coordinated by antigen-induced signaling [23, 130, 131]. The first pathway involves antigen recognition through the T cell receptor (TCR) that activates the signaling pathway of IFN-γ, and the transcription factors signal transducer of activation of transcription (STAT)-1 and T-bet. IFN-γ binds to its receptor IFN-γR, on the T cell surface, and activates janus kinase-1 (JAK-1) and STAT-1 [132-134] which leads to the expression of T-bet in Th1 [135, 136]. Furthermore, T-bet can induce its own transcription [137] and is known to induce IFN-γ expression [138], thereby creating a positive feedback loop. Independent of IFN-γ a sustained expression of T-bet in human Th2 cells induces Th1 cytokines and represses Th2 cytokines [138, 139]. T-bet is also
capable of activating suppressor of cytokine signaling (SOCS)-1, which then blocks IL-4R signaling in response to IL-4 stimulation [140], therefore inhibiting the Th2 phenotype and favoring Th1 differentiation. In addition to T-bet, another strong activator of SOCS-1 is STAT-1 which also favors Th1 differentiation [141]. On the other hand, SOCS-1 can inhibit JAK-1 and block the activation of STAT-1 by IFN-γ in vivo [142, 143], thereby representing a negative feedback loop that could suppress Th1 differentiation.

The other major pathway for a naïve CD4+ T cell to differentiate into a Th1 phenotype involves the IL-12/STAT-4 axis [138, 144] by the activation of STAT-4 through the signaling of antigen-presenting cell (APC)-derived IL-12 [137, 145], where STAT-4 up-regulates IFN-γ expression [146]. Furthermore, STAT-4 is not only capable of inducing Th1 differentiation independently of T-bet, but it is also essential for Th1 differentiation in the absence of T-bet [144]. Indeed, Furuta et al. (2008) showed that Th1 differentiation was severely impaired in both T-bet−/− CD4+ T cells and STAT4−/− CD4+ T cells, which suggests that STAT-4 activates T-bet directly or indirectly [144].

Although IL-18 is not required for the development of Th1 cells, it is essential for the effective induction and activation of Th1 cells by IL-12 [147], as it synergizes with IL-12 in the induction of IFN-γ by activating STAT-4 and promoting IFN-γ activation [148]. IL-18 signals through the IL-1 receptor associated kinase (IRAK-1) to induce the accumulation of NF-κB [149] which then leads to the induction of IFN-γ [150]. Indeed, nuclear factor-kappaB (NF-κB) and STAT-4 synergize to induce IFN-γ [151, 152].

Next we describe the underlying mechanisms that inhibit naïve T cells from differentiating into a Th1 phenotype. There is evidence that STAT-6 inhibits the IL-12/STAT-4 pathway [153] and is required for the down-regulation of IL-18Rα [154]. The over-expression of STAT-3 reduces the expression of the trans-acting T cell-specific factor (GATA-3), a transcription factor involved in Th2 differentiation, and T-bet, and hence inhibits the differentiation into Th1 and Th2 [155]. The transcription factor forkhead box P3 (FOXP3), a marker for Treg cells, inhibits the production of IFN-γ by physically binding to and blocking NF-κB from inducing IFN-γ [156]. PPARγ ligands can directly decrease IFN-γ expression [157]. At the same time, however, the inactivation of STAT-3 by PPARγ [158] could activate IFN-γ expression as STAT-3 inhibits IFN-γ. In
macrophages, PPARγ down-regulates the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines by antagonizing the activities of transcription factors such as activator protein (AP)-1, STAT and NF-κB [159], and in epithelial cells it favors the nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of the activated p65 subunit of NF-κB [160]. It remains unknown whether these mechanisms observed in macrophages and epithelial cells play a role in CD4+ T cell differentiation.

2.3.2 T helper 2 cells

Naïve T helper cells will differentiate into the effector Th2 phenotype, characterized by the expression of IL-4, IL-5 and IL-13, through two apparently independent pathways, namely, the IL-4/STAT-6 and IL-2/STAT-5 axis [161]. GATA-3 is the common link between both pathways [161]. Binding of IL-4 to its receptor leads to the phosphorylation of STAT-6 which induces GATA-3 expression [162]. GATA-3 is known to activate IL-4 [163], which creates a positive feedback loop ensuring the stability of Th2 fate. Enhanced IL-2 signaling by binding to its receptor and inducing STAT-5 is an essential pathway for Th2 differentiation [164, 165]. Neutralization of IL-2 abolishes early IL-4 production without affecting early GATA-3 expression [166], which suggests alternative mechanisms for activating GATA-3. Experimental results in mice indicate that GATA-3 is capable of inducing its own expression [163]. Recently it has been reported that Notch directly regulates GATA-3 expression, and synergistically contributes to Th2 differentiation [167, 168]. These findings may explain Th2 differentiation in vivo without the stimulation by IL-4.

PPARγ expression in activated T cells is dependent on IL-4 [169], indicating a link with the Th2 fate. Direct physical interactions between PPARγ and NFAT can result in inhibition of IL-2 production by CD4+ T cells [170]. While IL-4 upregulates PPARγ expression, treatment of CD4+ T cells with PPARγ agonists (i.e., ciglitazone or 15dPGJ2) triggered the physical association between PPARγ and NFATc1, resulting in IL-4 promoter inhibition and decreased IL-4 production [171], suggesting the existence of a regulatory mechanism that prevents excessive differentiation towards the Th2 phenotype. Also, 13-hydroxyoctadecadienoic acid, an endogenously generated PPARγ agonist, down-regulated IL-2 production by human peripheral blood T lymphocytes by
reducing NFAT and NF-κB binding to the IL-2 promoter [172]. Moreover, IL-4 was shown to simultaneously increase the expression of PPARγ and 12-15-lipoxygenase, the enzyme involved in the generation of 13-hydroxyoctadecadienoic acid [173]. Thus, it has been proposed that IL-4 indirectly down-regulates IL-2 production by T cells through a PPARγ-dependent mechanism [172, 173].

The differentiation into Th2 could possibly be inhibited through a variety of mechanisms that have also been incorporated in our network model. For instance, the over-expression of SOCS-1 in Th2 cells represses STAT-6 activation and profoundly inhibits IL-4-induced proliferation [174] and SOCS-1 inhibits IL-4R from phosphorylating STAT-6[175, 176]. Furthermore, STAT-1 is required for the repression of IL-4-induced gene expression by IFN-γ [177]. Also, IFN-γ was shown to inhibit STAT-6 by suppressing its phosphorylation by IL-4R[178]. On the other hand, the iTreg cell-derived cytokine transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) inhibits GATA-3 expression at the transcriptional level, however, it does not interfere with IL-4 signaling [179]. FOXP3 interacts with NFAT, such that NFAT becomes unable to induce IL-4 expression [156], thereby rearing it unable to activate T cells in response to antigenic stimulation via the TCR [180].

2.3.3 T helper 17 cells
Th17 cells are characterized by their production of the cytokine IL-17. TGF-β, together with pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-6 or IL-21 and IL-23, orchestrate the differentiation of CD4+ T cells into the Th17 phenotype in a concentration-dependent manner [181, 182]. It has been demonstrated that TGF-β synergizes with IL-6 [183] or IL-21 [184, 185] to promote the expression of IL-17. This is achieved through stimulation of retinoid-related orphan receptor (ROR)γt by IL-6 through the transcription of STAT-3 [186, 187], which in turn induces expression of IL-17 [155, 188]. While RORγt is essential for the differentiation of naïve CD4+ T cells into Th17 effector cells, IL-23 is required for maintaining and stabilizing the Th17 phenotype[189], and it acts through the IL-23R [190].

The Th17 differentiation process is very similar in mice and humans [191, 192]. As in mice, TGF-β, IL-23 and pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-1β and IL-6) were all essential for
human Th17 differentiation [193]. In this regard, TGF-β along with IL-21 and IL-23 stimulate the expression of RORγt, which in turn induces expression of IL-17 [155, 170]. Th17 cells also secrete IL-21 [191]. IL-6, IL-21 but not TGF-β induced IL-23 receptor up-regulation in stimulated naïve CD4+ T cells [170].

The differentiation of Th17 cells is antagonized by transcription factors that control the differentiation of other lineages, such as T-bet (Th1), GATA-3 (Th2), and FOXP3 (Treg) [194]. T-bet inhibits IL-23 and hence is critical for the stability of the Th17 phenotype [52, 195]. GATA-3 acts as an inhibitor of Th17 [196], this could be mediated by the inhibition of STAT-4, a promoter of IL-17 expression. FOXP3 inhibits the RORγt-driven transcription of IL-17 by directly suppressing RORγt [182, 197]. Furthermore, the IL-2/STAT-5 axis constrains Th17 [192] in part through a FOXP3-dependent mechanism, since STAT-5 activates FOXP3 [198] as well as through the inhibition of the STAT-3/IL-21 pathway [199]. Double positive FOXP3 RORγt T-helper cells have been identified as an intermediary that displays suppressive function [108]. Of note, the equilibrium of this double positive balance coexist and it is tightly controlled, suggesting that a perturbed equilibrium coming from a change in cytokine milieu might lead to a skewed phenotype [59]. In line with this fact, IL-2 signaling via STAT-5 constrains Th17 generation [200] and IL-2 has been found to regulate the development of Th17 via FOXP3+ regulatory T cells [201].

Another known inhibitor of Th17 differentiation is PPARγ as its activation can inhibit STAT-3 and hence contribute to the down-regulation of IL-17 through the IL-6/STAT-3/RORγt/IL-17 axis [202, 203]. Although TGF-β alone is not capable of inducing IL-17 and hence producing Th17, it is necessary for differentiation into Th17 and its absence induced a shift from a Th17 profile to a Th1-like profile [191, 193]. Moreover, PPARγ is a key negative regulator of human and mouse Th17 differentiation since it reduced RORγt transcription on a single-cell level [204].

2.3.4 Regulatory CD4+ T cells

Induced or adaptive Treg (iTreg) cells can be generated from naïve CD4+ T cells by the stimulation of TCR and in the presence of TGF-β1 [205, 206] and the absence of IL-6
TGF-β induces the expression of FOXP3, which is the master regulator for Treg [206-208], and the IL-2/STAT-5 pathway is essential for the up-regulation of FOXP3 [209]. The participation of TGF-β in the differentiation of Th17 cells places the Th17 lineage in close relationship with CD4+CD25*FOXP3+ iTregs, as TGF-β also induces differentiation of naive T cells into FOXP3+ iTregs in the peripheral immune compartment [191]. The key difference that drives a TGF-β-stimulated CD4+ T cell towards Th17 or iTreg is the presence or absence of IL-6, respectively. Interestingly, iTreg cells can differentiate into pathogenic Th17 in the presence of IL-6 and/or IL-23 [210], indicating plasticity in lineage commitment.

STAT-1 is also critical for the induction of iTreg cells. STAT1-deficient mice developed a functional impairment of iTreg cells [211, 212]. Recently, it was shown that FOXP3 expression is boosted by IFN-γ through the activation of STAT-1 which then directly binds to the FOXP3 promoter [213].

PPARγ ligands enhance the differentiation of CD4+ T cells into iTreg cells [214, 215], although the underlying mechanisms are incompletely understood. Additionally, PPARγ ligands inhibit the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including IL-6 [159]. In turn, IL-6 inhibits the expression of FOXP3 and hence favors Th17 over the iTreg phenotype [207, 216]. Thus, in the presence of PPARγ activation there is less IL-6 and a suppressed IL-6 mediated inhibition of FOXP3 that will favor the iTreg phenotype and facilitate anti-inflammatory responses and prevention of autoimmune disease.

Differentiation of CD4+ T cells into iTreg is inhibited through multiple mechanisms, including negative regulation of FOXP3 expression via GATA-3 [217], IL-4-mediated inhibition of FOXP3 through STAT-6 [218], and inhibition of TGF-β-induced FOXP3 by IL-6 and IL-21 [184]. The latter mechanism of inhibition of iTreg differentiation appears to be mediated via STAT-3 activation, since IL-6 fails to inhibit FOXP3 in STAT-3-deficient mice [219].

Interestingly, IFN-γ-deficient mice had more FOXP3-positive cells than wild-type mice in all secondary lymphoid organs except the thymus [220]. However, T-bet- or IL-4Ra-deficient mice did not show a similar increase. In vitro differentiation studies showed that
conversion of naïve CD4+ T cells into FOXP3-positive iTreg cells by TGF-β was significantly inhibited by IFN-γ in a STAT-1-dependent manner. In an earlier study [221], autocrine IFN-γ production regulated TGF-β-driven FOXP3 expression in iTreg and suppressed the conversion of naïve CD4+ T cells into FOXP3+ iTreg cells. However, IFN-γ is critically required for the conversion of naïve T cells to iTregs in a mouse model of multiple sclerosis [212]. Furthermore, in human iTreg differentiation, a mechanism by which the STAT-1-activating cytokines IL-27 and IFN-γ amplify TGF-β-induced FOXP3 expression is revealed [213]. Finally, recent reports show that the transcription factors for Th1, Th2, and Th17 cells, T-bet, GATA-3, and RORγt, respectively, can also be co-expressed in some Treg cells [58, 98, 222], thereby indicating the existence of intermediate phenotypes. However, the molecular network leading to these intermediate phenotypes and their function remain largely unknown. The better understanding of the dynamics of iTreg differentiation is important for driving the informed development of possible Treg cell-based therapeutics against immune-mediated diseases.

2.3.5 Importance of PPARγ in CD4+ T cell differentiation

Inflammation is at the core of most human diseases, including chronic, infectious and immune-mediated. Activation of PPARγ, a widely expressed transcription factor, represents a conserved anti-inflammatory mechanism involved in the prevention of cancer [223, 224], diabetes [225-227], atherosclerosis [228], obesity [229], infectious [230-234] and immune-mediated diseases [116, 235-237]. Thus, modeling the mechanisms by which PPARγ regulates CD4+ T cell differentiation and function will facilitate a rational development of anti-inflammatory drugs and immunotherapeutics.

At the cellular level, iTreg express 10-fold greater amounts of PPARγ than Th1 cells [238] and PPARγ is required for naturally occurring Treg-mediated protection from colitis [116]. Moreover, PPARγ has been identified as a key down-regulator of differentiation of CD4+ T cells into Th17 [117] a phenotype associated with inflammation. In macrophages, PPARγ favors a switch from a pro-inflammatory “classically activated” M1 to an M2 “alternatively activated” anti-inflammatory phenotype [239]. Since PPARγ is ubiquitously expressed in the gut, tracing clinical improvements from therapeutic interventions with thiazolidinediones (TZD) and other PPARγ ligands back to concrete
PPARγ-initiated immunological mechanisms has proven extremely challenging. PPARγ activity delineates the susceptibility to intestinal inflammation ranging from highly pro-inflammatory (low expression or activation) to anti-inflammatory (high expression or activation) states. We have developed a multiscale model of the intestine to understand how PPARγ modulates the immune response dynamics, gut pathology and anti-inflammatory responses [240]. The initial level of granularity was cellular (immune and epithelial cells), with multiple tissues and compartments such as lumen, colonic lamina propria (LP) and mesenteric lymph nodes (MLN) [240].

Here we present a higher resolution structural model network with molecular granularity that illustrates the principal pathways controlling the CD4+ T cell differentiation process towards Th1, Th2, Th17 and iTreg. An additional and novel feature of our model is that we describe the role of PPARγ as a central modulator of CD4+ T cell differentiation and function.

2.4 The Modeling Process

Generating a mathematical model usually is comprised of three steps: first, a translation from the literature into a structural network is needed. The architecture of the model has to be assembled based on literature fates. Secondly, data extracted from the literature and data generated by our laboratory is inserted in the model to adjust the dynamics of the model and ensure the correct trends and behaviors of different molecules in the model. This process is known as ‘parameter estimation’. Once the parameters are set, a quality control check is needed to guarantee that signaling pathways are being activated promptly at the correct time with the right signal. At this point, the model is ready to start running in silico experimentation and generating predictions with the right initializations. Ultimately, computational results will be generated, in vitro and in vivo validation studies are performed and the data generated in those studies is used to re-calibrate the model, using ‘parameter estimation’ again, thus closing and completing the modeling process. This iterative process is outlined in Figure 2.1.
2.4.1 Parameter estimation for dynamics adjustment

Once all the relationships between molecules were set, they were incorporated in the CellDesigner diagram representing a single CD4+ T cell (Figure 1). This diagram represents the cellular response of one CD4+ T cell activating and inhibiting reaction that take place in three different places: the extracellular environment, the cytoplasm and the nucleus space. Since CellDesigner [241], a software package that enables users to describe molecular interactions using a well-defined and consistent graphical notation, and our MIEP-developed modeling software, the COmplex PAthway SImulator (COPASI) [29] are Systems Biology Markup Language (SBML)-compliant an import was made into COPASI and the rate laws were adjusted to create the ordinary differential equations...
To model CD4+ T cell differentiation, hill function and mass action equations were used. While Hill Coefficient allowed us to quantify the effect of a ligand binding a macromolecule through cooperative binding, mass action laws can represent dynamic equilibriums for elementary reactions, considering products as a proportion of the participating molecules in the reaction.

The parameter estimation computational approach was used to determine the unknown constants driving the dynamics of the model. Briefly, we used the Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) [242] algorithm to obtain computational values for our model parameters in order to fit our experimental data (Addendum 2) to the model. PSO is a global search algorithm and thus depends only minimally on the initial guess of each parameter and therefore avoids the subjective estimation caused by initial guesses in local methods as Levenberg-Marquardt. PSO has been used in other publications for the same purpose [243].

Given the complexity of the model, the parameter estimation task was split into different sub-estimations that would run faster. Seven different parameter estimations were run successfully, including a parameter estimation for each phenotype (Th1, Th2, Th17 and iTreg), plus an extra one for PPARγ calibration, one for the Th1/Th2 crosstalk and a last one called ‘global parameter estimation’ that would include all these last six mentioned. Next, the ‘non-zero-gradient’ approach was performed. This step consists of assessing all the values with the gradients and check, value per value and parameter per parameter, which of those have the lowest or highest gradient. This approach can inform of which values have to be used for each phenotype and reaction. For instance, if we want to assess the parameter named re10.K1 and this reaction is involved in the Th1 phenotype we want to use the value that has the highest/lowest gradient in our results. In this case, it would coincide with the Th1 parameter estimation. An example is shown in Table S2. As an example, the parameter K1 in reaction number 10 has the lowest gradient in the results of the Th1/Th2 cross-talk parameter estimation. So when uploading these numbers to the model, re10.K1 will have a value of 64.1808, which is the one obtained from the task.
Table 2.1. Complete assessment of 7 parameter estimations performed by using COPASI’s Particle Swarm algorithm with 3000 iterations and a particle size of 50 for reactions number 10, 11, 13 and 14. This table was used to compare turnover values as well as optimal gradients to choose an effective combination of parameters.

The results on the parameter estimation using Particle Swarm shows a good fitting between the experimental data and the values computationally estimated by COPASI with reduced weighted error (Table 2.2 and Figure 2.2). These values are then implemented in the reactions and rate laws to adjust the dynamics of the model, based on the model assumptions considered for the CD4+ T cell model (Table 2.3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fitted specie</th>
<th>No. of Experimental Set</th>
<th>Experimental induced phenotype</th>
<th>Objective value</th>
<th>Statistical Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL23R</td>
<td>#1</td>
<td>iTreg</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Root Mean Square: 0.08165, Error Mean Square: -3.70 x 10^{-17}, Error Mean St. Deviation: 0.08165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOXP3</td>
<td>#2</td>
<td>iTreg</td>
<td>1.26 x 10^{-16}</td>
<td>1.12 x 10^{-6}, 1.12 x 10^{-9}, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL17_ratio</td>
<td>#4</td>
<td>Th17</td>
<td>142.396</td>
<td>5.9664, -2.9842, 5.16655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL17</td>
<td>#3</td>
<td>Th17</td>
<td>0.0620155</td>
<td>0.24902, 0.24902, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INFγ</td>
<td>#3</td>
<td>Th17</td>
<td>8.80e-7</td>
<td>0.0009380, -0.0009380, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOXP3_ratio</td>
<td>#4</td>
<td>Th17</td>
<td>1.25 x 10^{-9}</td>
<td>0.00055, 0.0004194, 0.0003701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL4</td>
<td>#5</td>
<td>Th2</td>
<td>1.53255</td>
<td>0.505396, 0.29067, 0.413444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INFγ</td>
<td>#6</td>
<td>Th2</td>
<td>7.41 x 10^{-17}</td>
<td>8.61 x 10^{-9}, -8.61 x 10^{-9}, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL2</td>
<td>#7</td>
<td>Th1</td>
<td>1.14711 x 10^{-7}</td>
<td>0.00023949, -0.0001798, 0.0001582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INFγ</td>
<td>#7</td>
<td>Th1</td>
<td>3.572</td>
<td>0.402944, 0.37702, 0.142195</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2: CD4+ T cell model fitting performed by using COPASI’s global parameter estimation. A species is fitted computationally using experimental data and simulation algorithms. The objective value is the value that COPASI targets based on the experimental data and the computational simulation.
Figure 2.2. Parameter estimation results for the Th17 phenotype. IL-17 and FOXP3 were fitted by COPASI using the ParticleSwarm algorithm. The fitted value (dark blue and pink dots) could reproduce the behavior of the measured value (red and light blue dots). The weighted error (green dots) is around 0, indicating that the fitting has been performed successfully.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Description of the modeling assumptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The volume of the T cell remains constant throughout simulations and inductions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cytokines are externalized as internally produced at different rates determined by parameter estimation and capable to induce and support differentiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Induction of CD4+ T cell phenotypes depends only on external cytokine availability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Levels under 0.1 mol/l in simulations are considered undetectable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>The expression of TCR is considered equal in the induction of the four phenotypes and so, its proliferation as well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>We assume a co-stimulatory signal through CD28-B7.1 or B7.2 together with the equal expression of TCR and the combination of cytokines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>The induction of phenotypes is only driven by the cytokine milieu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>α-IL4 and α-IFNγ are artificial blockers of Th2 and Th1 differentiation respectively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Time of differentiation between phenotypes is the same within subsets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Species are activated until saturation point in the reaction. At this time, the increase of the preceding species will not affect the concentration of the saturated one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>PPARγ knock-out creation is based on the idea of the ligand impairment to the molecule, thus abolishing the expression of L-PPARγ, which would elicit modulatory responses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>The binding of a protein to its receptor occurs at different rates depending on the receptor. These parameters were assessed with parameter estimation and calibration processes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.3. Table of assumptions for the representation of activation and inhibition pathways of the CD4+ T cell computational model. Modeling assumptions were made based
on the literature and on experimental observations to be able to properly modulate and calibrate the CD4+ T cell computational model.

Once this step is completed, quality control is performed. Using the proper initialization given by literature and represented in Table 2.4, the system is induced to the four phenotypes and checked to reproduce the correct up- and downregulation of specific molecules.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>External stimuli to induce</th>
<th>Upregulation</th>
<th>Downregulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Th1</td>
<td>eIFNγ eIL-12 eIL-18 αIL-4</td>
<td>IFNγ</td>
<td>IL-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>STAT1-P</td>
<td>GATA3-P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tbet-P</td>
<td>IL-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IL-12</td>
<td>aFOXP3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IL-18</td>
<td>STAT3-P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IRAK1-P</td>
<td>STAT6-P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IL-18</td>
<td>RORγt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th2</td>
<td>eIL-4 αIFNγ</td>
<td>IL-4</td>
<td>aFOXP3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GATA3-P</td>
<td>STAT3-P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>STAT6-P</td>
<td>STAT1-P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IFNγ</td>
<td>IFNγ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tbet-P</td>
<td>Tbet-P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IRAK1-P</td>
<td>IRAK1-P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IL-18</td>
<td>IL-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IL-12</td>
<td>RORγt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th17</td>
<td>eIL-6 eTGF-β</td>
<td>IL-17</td>
<td>aFOXP3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RORγt</td>
<td>STAT1-P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>STAT3-P</td>
<td>IFNγ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GATA3-P</td>
<td>Tbet-P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IL-4</td>
<td>STAT6-P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>STAT6-P</td>
<td>IL-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IL-12</td>
<td>IL-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iTreg</td>
<td>eTGF-β IL-2</td>
<td>aFOXP3</td>
<td>IL-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TGF-β</td>
<td>IFNγ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tbet-P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>STAT1-P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IL-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IL-18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.4. Comprehensive summary of stimuli input versus molecule expression output. The four CD4+ T cell phenotypes by a variety of external stimuli represented in the second
column. These external stimuli cause upregulation of molecules represented in the third column and downregulation of the molecules represented in the fourth column.

These four phenotype checks are the result of our CD4+ T cell modeling efforts after calibration and they provide evidence that our computational and mathematical model is capable of reproducing the behaviors of the four CD4+ T cell phenotypes in terms of cytokines, inductors and transcription. In addition, we demonstrate that the calibration process has been run successfully and the dynamics of the CD4+ T cell differentiation network model are adjusted to mimic immunological behaviors characteristic of each phenotype (Figure 2.3).

Figure 2.3. Induction of effector Th1, Th2, Th17, and iTreg phenotype differentiation in silico. The addition of increasing amounts of IL-12, IL-18 and IFN-γ (Th1), IL-4 (Th2), IL-6 and TGF-β (Th17) or TGF-β alone (iTreg) as external stimuli in the system resulted in increasing amounts of related molecules for each phenotype.

To partially summarize, the CD4+ T cell differentiation model consists of 60 ODEs, 52 reactions and 93 species. The mathematical model was engineered to ensure proper modulation of intracellular pathways and cell phenotypes via external cytokines.
representing the cytokine milieu. The Hill Function and mass action equations were used [244]. While the Hill Coefficient allowed us to quantify the effect of a ligand binding a macromolecule through cooperative binding, mass action laws can represent dynamic equilibriums for elementary reactions, considering products as a proportion of the participating molecules in the reaction. Experimental data (Addendum 1) was used to calibrate and adjust model parameters to ensure correct dynamics. Among the four possible phenotypes in this mathematical model, to induce Th17 differentiation from a naïve CD4+ T cell, external IL-6 and external TGF-β were added in combination and demonstrated upregulation of RORγt, IL-17 and STAT-3 (Figure 2.3) as followed by our table of initialization fates (Table 2.4). Sensitivity analyses identified PPARγ as an essential regulator of CD4+ T cell differentiation and plasticity (Figure 2.4).

Figure 2.4. Sensitivity analysis on PPARγ by the CD4+ T cell computational model. Sensitivity analysis was run with COPASI on our computational model using a delta factor of 0.0001 and a delta minimum of 1e-12. The subtask run for the analysis was a time-series with t=100h and correlation of all the variables of the model against activated PPARγ was assessed, showing high correlation with key transcription factors that determine phenotype differentiation on Th17 and iTreg.

2.5 PPARγ plays an essential role in modulating CD4+ T cell differentiation and plasticity in a dose-dependent manner
Based on the results of the sensitivity analysis we performed computer simulations aimed to further characterize the role of PPARγ on Th cell differentiation \textit{in silico}. Following induction of the computational model towards a Th17 phenotype by adding external TGF-β and external IL-6 \textit{in silico}, modeling efforts predicted that increasing concentrations of PPARγ in Th17 cells led to downregulation of RORγt and IL-17 and upregulation of FOXP3 (Figure 2.5A), thus, displaying a phenotype switch from Th17 to iTreg. To validate the results of our computational simulations, we first isolated and sorted naïve CD4+ T cells from spleens of wild-type and T cell-specific PPARγ null mice. Deletion of PPARγ via a transgenic expression of Cre under control of the \textit{CD4} promoter (PPARγ$^{fl/fl}$; CD4-Cre+) allowed us to use loss-of-function approaches to characterize the role of PPARγ in Th17 differentiation. Cells were polarized towards a Th17 phenotype with recombinant mouse IL-6 and TGF-β. IFNγ and IL-4 were eliminated to block Th1 and Th2 differentiation respectively with neutralizing antibodies. After 60 hours of culture, cells were treated with increasing amounts of pioglitazone (PIO), a synthetic PPARγ agonist of the thiazolidinedione (TZD) class of anti-diabetic drugs. Before starting pioglitazone treatment, at t=60h, IL-17 and RORγt expression were significantly upregulated in PPARγ null when compared to wild-type cells (Figure 2.5B). Following pioglitazone treatment for 24h., Th17 cells from wild-type mice showed increasing levels of FOXP3 and downregulation of RORγt and IL-17A with increased concentration of the exogenous PPARγ agonist in wild-type (Figure 2.5C), but this effect was not observed in PPARγ null Th17 cells (Figure 2.5D), suggesting the role of PPARγ in the modulation of these molecules. The same study was repeated three times with very similar trends on these behaviors (Figure 2.6). These results provide \textit{in vitro} evidence that PPARγ significantly dampens Th17 differentiation and slightly enhances FOXP3 expression. Interestingly, uncoupling between suppressed Th17 responses and enhanced iTreg cells suggests that a T cell-extrinsic mechanism (i.e., APC-derived signals) might be contributing to this Th17 plasticity \textit{in vivo}. 
Figure 2.5. Activation of PPARγ regulates differentiation of CD4+ T cells. (A) Computational simulation of the effect of in silico activation of PPARγ in a T helper (Th)17 cell on the levels of FOXP3, IL-17 and RORγt. (B) PPARγ inhibits Th17 differentiation. Naïve wild-type CD4+ T cells differentiated with IL-6 in combination with TGF-β in vitro for 60h express less RORγt and produce lower levels of IL-17A when compared to T cell-specific PPARγ null Th17 cells. (C) Increasing concentrations of pioglitazone (PIO), a full PPARγ agonist, upregulate FOXP3 in wild-type Th17 differentiated cells following 24h treatment and down-regulate RORγt and IL-17A in wild-type cells. (D) Increasing concentrations of PIO do not have an effect in PPARγ null Th17 cells. The double-positive region can be observed in the upper right part of the flow plots.

Figure 2.6. Effect of PPARγ on Th17 and iTreg markers in vitro. (A) Increasing concentrations of pioglitazone (PIO), a full PPARγ agonist, upregulate FOXP3 in wild-type Th17 differentiated
cells following 24h treatment and down-regulate RORγt and IL-17A in wild-type cells. (B) Increasing concentrations of PIO do not have an effect in PPARγ null Th17 cells. Data are represented as mean ± standard error. Points with an asterisk are significantly different when comparing different PIO treatments with to the non-treated group (P<0.05).

2.6 The lack of PPARγ in naïve CD4+ T cells impairs their ability to differentiate into iTreg cells in vivo

To determine whether the loss of T cell PPARγ favors Th17 and impairs iTreg cell differentiation and also to assess whether T cell-extrinsic mechanisms might be affecting iTreg upregulation we conducted computational simulations and in vivo studies of PPARγ deletion in T cells. Chronologically, a PPARγ-deficient naïve CD4+ T cell was created in silico by blocking PPARγ downstream signaling. The loss of PPARγ in silico caused upregulation of RORγt and IL-17 in Th17 cells (Figure 2.7B) and down-regulation of FOXP3 in iTreg cells (Figure 2.7D) compared to wild-type CD4+ T cells (Figure 3A and 2.7C). These results demonstrate that PPARγ exerts a regulatory role in CD4+ T cell differentiation from a naïve state to Th17 or iTreg cells. Next, to validate this computational prediction, we sorted CD4+CD25-CD45RBhigh naïve T cells from spleens of donor wild-type and T cell-specific PPARγ null mice and adoptively transferred 4 x 10⁵ viable cells to SCID recipients (Figure 2.8). Cells isolated from the colonic lamina propria (LP), spleen and mesenteric lymph nodes (MLN) of recipient mice were assayed for expression of FOXP3, RORγt and IL-17A by intracellular flow cytometry. The transfer of CD4+ T cells lacking PPARγ resulted in significantly greater accumulation of IL-17-producing Th17 cells and lower levels of FOXP3+ iTreg cells in spleen, MLN and colonic LP of recipient mice (Figure 2.7E and 2.7F and Figure 2.9).
Figure 2.7. Activation of PPARγ regulates differentiation of CD4+ T cells. (A) Computational simulation of the effect of in silico activation of PPARγ in a Th helper (Th17) cell on the levels of FOXP3, IL-17, and RORγt. (B) PPARγ inhibits Th17 differentiation. Naïve wild-type CD4+ T cells differentiated with IL-6 in combination with TGF-β in vitro for 60h express less RORγt and produce lower levels of IL-17A when compared to T cell-specific PPARγ null Th17 cells. (C) Increasing concentrations of pioglitazone (PIO), a full PPARγ agonist, upregulate FOXP3 in wild-type Th17 differentiated cells following 24h treatment and down-regulate RORγt and IL-17A in wild-type cells. (D) Increasing concentrations of PIO do not have an effect in PPARγ null Th17 cells. The double-positive region can be observed in the upper right part of the flow plots.
Figure 2.8. Experimental design to validate PPARγ knockout predictions by the CD4+ T cell computational model. Wild-type or PPARγ null splenocytes were isolated and CD4+ enriched to then sort naïve CD4+ T cells and transfer them into a SCID mouse to assess PPARγ-related patterns of differentiation.

Figure 2.9. Effect of PPARγ on Th17 and iTreg markers in vivo. (A) Treg cell accumulation in spleen, mesenteric lymph nodes (MLN) and lamina propria (LP) of SCID recipient mice. (B) Th17 cell accumulation in spleens of recipients of wild-type versus PPARγ null CD4+ T cells. Data are represented as mean ± standard error. Points with an asterisk are significantly different when comparing the PPARγ null group to the wild-type group (P<0.05).

Recipients of PPARγ null cells showed a significantly more severe and earlier onset of disease when compared to recipients of wild-type cells (Figure 2.10A). Histological examination demonstrated that colons recovered from recipients of PPARγ null CD4+ T cells had significantly greater lymphocytic infiltration and crypt hyperplasia than those recovered from recipients of wild-type CD4+ T cells (Figure 2.10B).
Figure 2.10. PPARγ suppresses Th17 cell differentiation and upregulates FOXP3 expression in vivo. (A-D) Computational simulation of the effect of PPARγ deficiency on differentiation from a naïve state into either Th17 or iTreg phenotypes. (E) Th17 cell accumulation in spleens of recipients of wild-type versus PPARγ null CD4+ T cells. (F) Treg cell accumulation in spleen, mesenteric lymph nodes (MLN) and lamina propria (LP) of SCID recipient mice.

2.7 Pharmacological activation of PPARγ favors a switch of Th17 cells towards an iTreg phenotype in vivo

To determine whether PPARγ activation played an essential role in converting fully differentiated Th17 cells into iTreg cells, the computational model was induced to Th17 with the addition of IL-6 and TGFβ and PPARγ was activated when the cell was a fully differentiated Th17. Results show that following induction of Th17 and subsequent PPARγ activation, IL-17, STAT-3 and RORγt were dramatically downregulated, whereas FOXP3 was upregulated, thereby demonstrating a phenotypic switch from a Th17 to an iTreg phenotype (Figure 2.11A). To ensure that parameter space scan and time-course were linked and the changes in PPARγ were being observed in a time-dependent
manner, a combination of both was run, reiterating the phenotype switch with increasing concentrations of PPARγ over time observing an upregulation of FOXP3 and a downregulation of IL-17, RORγt and STAT3-P (Figure 2.11B). To address this hypothesis, we sorted CD4+ CD25- CD45RB<sup>high</sup> naïve T cells from spleens of donor wild-type mice and transferred 4 x 10<sup>5</sup> viable cells to RAG2<sup>-/-</sup> recipients. When clinical signs of disease and colitis appeared, a subset of mice was sacrificed and spleen, MLN and colons were extracted to examine Th17 and Treg levels (baseline results). After verifying the presence of Th17 cells in colon, MLN and spleen, half of the mice were received a daily treatment of 70 mg/kg of pioglitazone given orally to activate PPARγ (Figure 2.11C). During the treatment period, mice treated with pioglitazone recovered weight (Figure 2.12) and their disease activity scores dropped significantly compared to mice treated with PBS (Figure 2.13). Histopathological examinations also showed that colons from recipient mice treated with pioglitazone had a significantly lower lymphocytic infiltration and crypt hyperplasia than those from non-treated recipients (Figure 2.14).
Figure 2.11. Validation of the modeling prediction regarding the role of PPARγ in regulating the plasticity between Th17 and iTreg. (A) Computer simulation illustrating a down-modulation of IL-17, STAT3, RORγt and upregulation of FOXP3 in a differentiated Th17 cell following PPARγ activation. (B) Combination of time-course and PPARγ concentration scan to assess changes of IL-17, STAT3, RORγt and FOXP3 over time. (C) Experimental design for the validation of the model prediction. (D-E) Accumulation of iTreg and Th17 cells in the mesenteric lymph nodes (MLN) and colonic lamina propria (LP) of recipient mice.
Figure 2.12. Improvement in DAI following oral treatment with pioglitazone (PIO) in RAG2-/- mice. RAG2-/- adoptive transfer recipient mice were treated with either PIO or PBS (control group) and given a composite score reflecting clinical signs of the disease (i.e. perianal soiling, rectal bleeding, diarrhea, and piloerection) for 14 days daily. Data are represented as mean ± standard error. Points with an asterisk are significantly different when comparing the PIO-treated group to the PBS-treated group (P<0.05).

Figure 2.13. Improvement in mouse body weight following oral treatment with pioglitazone in RAG2-/- mice. RAG2-/- adoptive transfer recipient mice were treated with either PIO or PBS (control group) for 14 days and the average daily loss in body weights throughout the 14 day treatment was calculated. Data are represented as mean ± standard error. Points with an asterisk are significantly different when compared to the PBS-treated group (P<0.05).
Untreated mice maintained a predominant Th17 response characterized by increased levels of CD4+ T cells expressing RORγt and IL-17A. In contrast, pioglitazone-treated mice not only recovered from colitis and its associated weight loss, but also showed a switch from a predominant Th17 into an iTreg phenotype characterized by increased expression of FOXP3 and decreased IL17-A and RORγt in CD4+ T cells of the colonic LP and MLN (Figure 2.11D and 2.11E and Figure 2.15). This data supports the in silico prediction that activation of PPARγ in Th17 cells favors differentiation into iTreg cells, which facilitates colonic tissue reconstitution and recovery from disease.
or PIO for 14 days and flow cytometry were assessed at day 0 (baseline) and at the end of the treatment. (A) Accumulation of iTreg and Th17 cells in the mesenteric lymph nodes (MLN) (B) Accumulation of iTreg and Th17 cells in the colonic lamina propria (LP) of recipient mice. Data are represented as mean ± standard error. Points with an asterisk are significantly different at a given time point \((P < 0.05)\).

### 2.8 Discussion and Conclusions

Computational models can help to synthesize and integrate existing knowledge and narrow the experimental design prior to costly \textit{in vivo} experimentation. To gain a more comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms controlling CD4+ T cell differentiation, we first compiled and integrated existing literature knowledge and data related to the cytokines and intracellular signaling pathways involved in the differentiation of a naïve CD4+ T cell into effector and regulatory cell subsets. To determine whether the model predictions regarding novel mechanisms of immunoregulation in Th17 and Treg cells were sensitive to the model parameters we performed a sensitivity analysis of the signaling pathways controlling Th17 and iTreg phenotypes. Our simulations reproduced known CD4+ T cell differentiation behaviors for Th1, Th2, Th17 and iTreg, and predicted novel mechanisms of T cell-mediated immunoregulation. By simulating the cytokine milieu that surrounds a CD4+ T cell \textit{in silico}, we dissected crucial signaling pathways and their transcriptional regulation programs involved in differentiation and plasticity of CD4+ T cells. While computational predictions carry certain uncertainty given by the topology of the network, computational modeling approaches applied to CD4+ T cell differentiation have proven useful in characterizing the importance of dual waves of expression of T-bet and sequentially acting positive feedback loops of TCR-IFNγ-STAT1-Tbet and IL-12-STAT4-Tbet signaling in Th1 differentiation [23]. A central question in T cell biology involves improving the understanding of instructive versus selective factors that regulate the differentiation process. Selective factors include competition for cytokines by competing clones of CD4+ T cells in an expanding population. For example, regulatory T cells are able to outcompete for IL-2 and deprive effector T cells of this survival signal [245]. While the computational model presented herein comprehensively addresses the instructive factors (i.e., the impact of cytokine combinations on T cell phenotypes), stochastic simulations and multiscale modeling are
needed to adequately model selective factors by linking molecular-level intracellular signaling sub-models and tissue-level cell-cell interaction models. Some studies have addressed selective factors by focusing on the crosstalk in molecular pathways in an expanding Th1 population using in vitro data [246] but only one phenotype has been computed and with a limited scope. The study presented here is the first to comprehensively investigate at the systems level the mechanisms controlling CD4+ T cell differentiation and plasticity between Th17 and iTreg cells, presenting a model that computes not only one but four of the CD4+ T cell phenotypes.

Several distinct signals regulate CD4+ T cell activation and differentiation: a signal from the T cell receptor (TCR) interacting with MHC, a co-stimulatory signal (i.e., CD28 interacting with B7.1 or B7.2 on antigen presenting cells), and a cytokine-driven signal. Other studies have focused on CD4+ T cell proliferation [128], TCR signaling [27] or co-stimulatory signals [129]. Our mathematical approach focuses on the non-cognate interactions (i.e., cytokine milieu) and instructive factors controlling CD4+ T cell differentiation. Future studies will leverage the modeling efforts described here to construct multi-scale hybrid models driven by high-performance computing strategies that integrate sub-models of intracellular signaling pathways such as the CD4+ T cell model and tissue-level models that can simulate cell-cell interactions. These integrative approaches will provide an avenue for incorporating stochasticity as well as the modulation of phenotype and function of immune cells at sites of inflammation or infection by selective and instructive factors.

Sensitivity analyses and computational simulations using the CD4+ T cell differentiation model predicted that the nuclear receptor PPARγ modulates the balance between Th17 and iTreg cells, by controlling both the initial differentiation from a naïve CD4+ T cell as well as plasticity between phenotypes. Activation of PPARγ in silico favored differentiation of iTreg and antagonized Th17 differentiation by down-modulating RORγt and IL-17. These findings are in line with previous reports demonstrating that the pharmacologic activation of PPARγ selectively controls Th17 differentiation in mice and humans by interfering with RORγt transcription [204]. Furthermore, ciglitazone, a PPARγ agonist, significantly enhanced generation of iTreg cells [114] and PPARγ induced potent and stable FOXP3 expression [115] resulting in the suppression of effector CD4+
T cell responses [116]. Our *in silico* results demonstrate that the upregulation of FOXP3 and downregulation of RORγt and IL-17 in CD4+ T cells is modulated by PPARγ and behaves in a dose-dependent manner. Indeed, our *in vitro* results support the dose-dependent effect in the suppression of Th17, although not accompanied by a similar increase in FOXP3+ iTreg cells. However, our *in vivo* findings further demonstrate that pioglitazone treatment favors a switch of fully differentiated Th17 cells into an iTreg phenotype by increasing activation of PPARγ. Thus, our plasticity modeling efforts are more predictive of *in vivo* than *in vitro* behaviors of CD4+ T cells, suggesting a missing component, possibly provided by APCs in the widely utilized *in vitro* system. For instance, all trans retinoic acid, which *in vivo* is produced by APC-derived, increased and maintained FOXP3 expression [247]. Conclusively, the mechanisms by which T cell extrinsic factors modulate CD4+ T cell plasticity are yet not fully understood. Here, however, we propose PPARγ as a novel candidate for such modulation.

The CD4+ T cell mathematical model predicted an upregulation of RORγt and IL-17 in Th17 cells lacking PPARγ when compared to the wild-type counterparts. In complete correspondence to this modeling prediction, our *in vitro* results show that following Th17 differentiation, CD4+ T cells lacking PPARγ exhibit a more dramatic upregulation of RORγt and IL-17A than wild-type cells. Moreover, we have also observed a marginal upregulation of FOXP3 in wild-type cells. The uncoupling between the dramatic downregulation of RORγt and the more limited upregulation of FOXP3 observed *in vitro* could be attributed to external factors that play an important role in this process, which are not fully mechanistically understood or not included in the *in vitro* system used (i.e., APCs). As opposed to the *in vitro* results, the *in vivo* findings in mice with CD4+ T cell-induced colitis were more consistent with the modeling predictions. Recent studies show that changes in the cytokine environment mediate the conversion of iTreg into Th17 cells [98]. Notably, different subsets of myeloid cells in humans can orchestrate the differentiation of naïve CD4+ T cells into either effector or regulatory phenotypes [99]. Myeloid APCs are essential for the induction of IL-17A+ FOXP3+ T cells from memory CCR6+ T cells or Treg cells [248]. However, the molecular mechanisms controlling CD4+ T cell plasticity remain largely unknown, including the essential versus dispensable regulators of these processes.
Herein, we combined computational and experimental approaches to investigate for the first time the role of PPARγ in the re-programming of fully differentiated Th17 cells into an iTreg phenotype in the gut mucosa. Of note, the presence of FOXP3 RORγt double-positive cells with suppressive actions on effector CD4+ T cell subsets has been associated with the plasticity of Th17 and iTreg [108]. TGF-β is a common inductor of Th17 and iTreg that can upregulate FOXP3, but in combination with IL-6, it upregulates IL-17 and dramatically downregulates FOXP3 expression [26]. Other cytokines, such as IL-23, modulate plasticity by restraining FOXP3+ Treg activity [105]. Clinically, inhibition of IL-17 promotes differentiation of stable iTreg cells in patients with autoimmune hepatitis [249]. However, IL-17+FOXP3+ cells were identified in inflamed intestinal mucosa of patients with Crohn’s disease (CD), but not in patients with ulcerative colitis (UC) [250], the two clinical manifestations of inflammatory bowel disease. Furthermore, in line with our sensitivity analysis and computer simulations, results of our adoptive transfer studies in mice indicate that activation of PPARγ by oral pioglitazone administration favors a switch from Th17 to iTreg in MLN and colonic LP of mice with CD4+ T cell-induced colitis, thereby demonstrating that PPARγ is implicated in the modulation of CD4+ T cell plasticity in vivo.

The loss of PPARγ favored Th17 differentiation and reduced the conversion of IL-17A-producing Th17 cells into CD4+FOXP3+ T cells in vivo. Adoptive transfer studies using T cell-specific PPARγ null naïve T cells demonstrate that PPARγ is needed for suppressing effector responses at sites of inflammation such as the colonic LP in a mouse model of chronic colitis. Interestingly, FOXP3 inhibits Th17 by antagonizing the function of the transcription factors RORγt and RORα [58, 98]. This suggests a potential interaction of RORγt with FOXP3 in larger transcriptional complexes, which could explain why RORγt is more rapidly down-regulated than FOXP3 is increased. More specifically, the decrease of RORγt could result from a synergism between the inhibition exerted by PPARγ and the parallel inhibition caused by FOXP3, which in turn is enhanced when PPARγ is activated. The observation that PPARγ may interact with FOXP3 and RORγt suggests a cross-talk between transcriptional programs of crucial importance to the regulation of immune responses and clinical outcomes during infectious and immune-mediated diseases.
In summary, we demonstrate for the first time that activation of PPARγ results in reprogramming of the CD4+ T cell molecular pathways that control the Th17 phenotype, leading to the induction of an iTreg phenotype. This phenotype switch is associated with protection from CD4+ T cell-induced colitis during adoptive transfer experiments in mice. Thus, the balance between Th17 and Treg cells helps delineate the outcome of immunological processes from effector inflammation to regulatory tolerance. Our modeling approaches allowed us to narrow the design of experiments and to better understand the molecular mechanisms of action controlling CD4+ differentiation. This new mechanistic knowledge is broadly applicable to the development of immune therapeutics for infectious, allergic and immune-mediated diseases. More specifically, we propose that PPARγ is a promising therapeutic target for chronic inflammatory and infectious diseases where Th17 cells contribute to the gut immunopathogenesis.

2.9 Materials and Methods

Ethics statement
All experimental protocols were approved by the Virginia Tech institutional animal care and use committee (IACUC) (Protocol Number: 10-087VBI) and met or exceeded guidelines of the National Institutes of Health Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare and Public Health Service policy. Animals were under strict monitoring throughout the duration of the project and all efforts were made to minimize unnecessary pain and distress. Mice were euthanized by carbon dioxide narcosis followed by secondary cervical dislocation.

Mathematical modeling
To facilitate a comprehensive representation of the dynamics associated with the major non-cognate pathways controlling CD4+ T cell differentiation and plasticity, we constructed an ordinary differential equation (ODE)-based computational model of the cytokines, receptors and transcription factors controlling CD4+ T cell differentiation and plasticity. The mathematical model was engineered to ensure proper modulation of intracellular pathways and cell phenotypes via external cytokines representing the
cytokine milieu. The mathematical model constructed was based on experimental findings and illustrates intracellular pathways controlling a naïve T cell differentiation into Th1, Th2, Th17 or iTreg phenotypes. The model comprises 60 differential equations representing 52 reactions and 93 species. The COmplex PAthway SImulator software [251] (COPASI; http://www.modelingimmunity.org/) was used for model development, sensitivity analysis, and calibration. Sensitivities of the steady-state fluxes of reactions were derived with respect to the reaction rates in the system. These sensitivities were normalized and represented flux control coefficients according to Metabolic Control Analysis (MCA) [252, 253]. In this case, sensitivities were performed with respect to PPARγ pathway-controlling parameters and levels of different species were assessed. The model was calibrated to experimental data, which varied external concentration of cytokines and resulted in different phenotypes described by varying levels of transcription factors and proteins. We used the ParticleSwarm algorithm implemented in COPASI to determine unknown model parameter values and fully calibrate the model. The resulting model adequately computes the differentiation of CD4+ T cells into the four phenotypes: Th1 with external IFNγ, IL-12, IL-18 and αIL-4 addition, Th2 with IL-4 and αIFNγ addition and iTreg with IL-2 and external TGFβ addition. Also, to induce Th17 differentiation from a naïve CD4+ T cell, external IL-6 and external TGF-β were added in combination and demonstrated upregulation of RORγt, IL-17 and STAT-3. In silico simulation consisted of time-courses or parameter scans. Also, the combination of both was performed. In this last case, each plotted line has an incremented concentration of the parameter being scanned. Thus, differential patterns of expression of molecules, either upregulated or downregulation, over time can be observed by looking at the arrows in each molecule. This model is available at www.modelingimmunity.org and extensive information of it has been uploaded.

**Mice**

B6.CB17-Prkdcsid/SzJ (SCID), B6.129P2(Cg)-Rorctm2Litt/J, C57BL/6J and B6(Cg)-Rag2tm1.1Cgn/J were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory and housed under specific pathogen-free conditions in ventilated racks. The mice were maintained in the animal facilities at Virginia Tech. All experimental protocols were approved by the institutional animal care and use committee at Virginia Tech and met or exceeded
guidelines of the National Institutes of Health Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare and Public Health Service policy.

**Cell isolation**

Spleens and mesenteric lymph nodes (MLN) were excised and crushed in 1xPBS/5% FBS using the frosted ends of two sterile microscope slides. Single cell suspensions were centrifuged at 300 × g for 10 min and washed once with 1xPBS. Red blood cells were removed by osmotic lysis prior to the washing step. All cell pellets were resuspended in FACS buffer (1xPBS supplemented with 5% FBS and 0.09% sodium azide) and subjected to flow cytometric analysis. Parallelly, colons were excised and lamina propria leukocytes (LPL) were isolated. Tissue pieces were washed in CMF (1x HBSS/10% FBS/25mM Hepes), and tissue was incubated twice with CMF/5 mM EDTA for 15 min at 37°C while stirring. After washing with 1xPBS, tissue was further digested in CMF supplemented with 300 U/ml type VIII collagenase and 50 U/ml DNAse I (both Sigma-Aldrich) for 1.5 hs at 37°C while stirring. After filtering the supernatants, cells were washed once in 1x PBS, pellets were resuspended in FACS buffer and subjected to flow cytometric analysis.

**Immunophenotyping and cytokine analysis by flow cytometry**

For fluorescent staining of immune cell subsets 4-6x10^5 cells were incubated for 20 min with fluorochrome-conjugated primary mouse specific antibodies: anti-CD3 PE-Cy5 clone 145-2C11 (eBioscience), anti-CD4 PE-Cy7 clone GK1.5 (eBioscience), anti-CD4 APC clone RM4-5 and anti-CD25 Biotin clone 7D4 (BD Biosciences). Cells were washed with FACS buffer (1xPBS supplemented with 5% FBS and 0.09% sodium azide). For intracellular staining of transcription factors and cytokines, cells were fixed and permeabilized using a commercial kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (eBioscience). Briefly, cells were fixed and permeabilized for 20 minutes, Fc receptors were blocked with mouse anti-CD16/CD32 FcBlock (BD Biosciences) and cells were stained with fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies towards anti-mouse, FOXP3 FITC clone FJK-16s, anti-mouse ROR gamma (t) PE, clone B2B and anti-mouse IL17-A APC, clone eBio17B7 (eBioscience). All samples were stored fixed at 4°C in the dark until acquisition on a LSR II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). A live cell gate (FSC-A, SSC-A) was applied to all samples followed by single cell gating (FSC-H, FSC-W) before cells
were analyzed for the expression of specific markers. Data analysis was performed with FACS Diva (BD Biosciences) and Flow Jo (Tree Star Inc.).

**Adoptive transfer studies in mice**

Six-week-old SCID and RAG2-/- mice were administered intraperitoneally (i.p.) $4 \times 10^5$ CD4+ CD45RB<sup>high</sup> CD25- from either CD4 null PPAR γ fl/fl or C57BL/6J (wild-type), or B6.129P2(Cg)-Rorctm2Litt/J mice. Mice were weighed on a weekly basis and clinical signs of disease were recorded daily for 14 wk. Mice that developed severe signs of wasting disease were sacrificed. Otherwise, mice were sacrificed 90 days after transfer.

**CD4+ T cell subset sorting**

Splenocytes obtained from CD4 null PPAR-γ fl/fl or C57BL/6J (wild-type) mice were enriched in CD4+ T cells by magnetic negative sorting using the I-Mag cell separation system (BD Pharmingen). Cells were incubated with a mixture of biotinylated Abs followed by a second incubation with streptavidin particles and exposed to a magnet to remove unwanted cells. The purity of the CD4+-enriched cell suspension was between 93 and 96%. CD4-enriched cells were used for adoptive transfer, or further purified by FACS. For FACS sorting, cells were labeled with CD45RB, CD4, and CD25 and separated into CD4+ CD45RB<sup>high</sup> CD25- cells (i.e., effector T cells) in a FACSAria cell sorter (BD Biosciences). The purity of the FACS-sorted CD4+ subsets was ≥98%.

**In vitro CD4+ T cell differentiation studies**

CD4+CD62L+ cells from either wild-type or T PPARγ null (CD4Cre+) mice were sorted using magnetic activated cell sorting (MACS, Miltenyi Biotec) and stimulated with plate bound anti-CD3 (5μg/ml, BD Biosciences) under Th17 conditions with 2.5 ng/ml hTGF-β1 (R&D Systems), 25 ng/ml IL-6 (Peprotech), 10 μg/ml anti-IL-4 (clone 11B11, R&D Systems), and 10 μg/ml anti-IFN-γ (clone XMG1.2, R&D Systems). 60 hours after activation, an aliquot was obtained to check purity and DMSO-diluted pioglitazone (PIO, Cayman Chemicals) was added to the media at 0, 0.1, 1, 10, 40 or 80 μM. Control (0 μM PIO) was treated with DMSO only. 24 hours after treatment Th17 cells were restimulated with PMA (50 ng/mL, Acros Organics) and ionomycin (500 ng/mL, Sigma) in the presence of BD GolgiStop (BD Biosciences) for 6 h, after which intracellular staining was performed. The experiment was repeated three times for consistency. Co-stimulation of
with CD28 has been described to downregulate Th17 development [129, 254]. We also performed optimization studies for Th17 differentiation using CD28 as a co-stimulatory signal and the addition of recombinant IL-23 in the cytokine cocktail, however, no differences were observed. Co-stimulation signaling optimization studies were run adding either 0 or 2.5µg/mL of αCD28 in the media. No differences were found. Thus, the data presented are with αCD3 stimulation only.

Histopathology
Colonic sections were fixed in 10% buffered neutral formalin, later embedded in paraffin and then sectioned (5µm) and stained with H&E stain for histological examination. Colons were graded with a compounded histological score including the extent of (1) leukocyte infiltration, (2) mucosal thickening and (3) epithelial cell erosion. The sections were graded with a score of 0–4 for each of the previous categories, and data were analyzed as a normalized compounded score.

Statistical analysis
Parametric data were analyzed using the ANOVA followed by Scheffe’s multiple comparison method. Nonparametric data were analyzed by using the Mann-Whitney’s U test followed by a Dunn’s multiple comparisons test. ANOVA was performed by using the general linear model procedure of SAS, release 6.0.3 (SAS Institute). Statistical significance was assessed at a P≤ 0.05.
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3.1 Summary

T helper (Th) cells play a major role in the immune response and pathology at the gastric mucosa during *Helicobacter pylori* infection. There is a limited mechanistic understanding regarding the contributions of CD4+ T cell subsets to gastritis development during *H. pylori* colonization. We used two computational approaches: ordinary differential equation (ODE)-based and agent-based modeling (ABM) to study the mechanisms underlying cellular immune responses to *H. pylori* and how CD4+ T cell subsets influenced initiation, progression and outcome of disease. To calibrate the model, *in vivo* experimentation was
performed by infecting C57BL/6 mice intragastrically with \textit{H. pylori} and assaying immune cell subsets in the stomach and gastric lymph nodes (GLN) on days 0, 7, 14, 30 and 60 post-infection. Our computational model reproduced the dynamics of effector and regulatory pathways in the gastric lamina propria (LP) \textit{in silico}. Simulation results show the induction of a Th17 response and a dominant Th1 response, together with a regulatory response characterized by high levels of mucosal Treg) cells. We also investigated the potential role of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor \(\gamma\) (PPAR\(\gamma\)) activation on the modulation of host responses to \textit{H. pylori} by using loss-of-function approaches. Specifically, \textit{in silico} results showed a predominance of Th1 and Th17 cells in the stomach of the cell-specific PPAR\(\gamma\) knockout system when compared to the wild-type simulation. Spatio-temporal, object-oriented ABM approaches suggested similar dynamics in induction of host responses showing analogous T cell distributions to ODE modeling and facilitated tracking lesion formation. In addition, sensitivity analysis predicted a crucial contribution of Th1 and Th17 effector responses as mediators of histopathological changes in the gastric mucosa during chronic stages of infection, which were experimentally validated in mice. These integrated immunoinformatics approaches characterized the induction of mucosal effector and regulatory pathways controlled by PPAR\(\gamma\) during \textit{H. pylori} infection affecting disease outcomes.

3.2 Introduction

\textit{Helicobacter pylori} is a Gram-negative, microaerophilic bacterium of the Epsilonproteobacteria that colonizes the stomach of nearly a half of the world's population. The presence of \textit{H. pylori} in the stomach has been associated with various gastric diseases: gastritis, peptic ulcer disease, gastric adenocarcinoma, and gastric mucosa-associated lymphoma [255]. CD4+ T helper cells (Th) are recognized as a key component of the adaptive immune response to extracellular bacteria and a dominant component of immune responses to \textit{H. pylori} [256-259]. However, the mechanisms by which CD4+ T cells control \textit{H. pylori} infection, disease and the associated gastric immunopathology are incompletely understood.

Th1 cells are induced by IL-18, IL-12 and IFN\(\gamma\) and express T-bet and STAT1 [135], which delineate their effector function. IFN\(\gamma\) secreted by Th1 cells activates effector
functions of macrophages and dendritic cells (DC) in the gastric LP. IL-17-producing Th17 cells promote effector and inflammatory responses that can aid in fighting infections but can also be implicated in tissue damage. Their induction is determined by the combination of IL-6 and TGF-β in the tissue environment, which activate STAT3 and RORγt, two transcription factors involved in Th17 differentiation [26]. IL-17-producing cells enhance epithelial and neutrophil-derived antimicrobial activity and bacterial clearance during early infection with enteroaggregative Escherichia coli (EAEC) [260]. Th17 cells can also produce IL-22, which alone or in combination with IL-17 induces the production of antimicrobial peptides involved in bacterial clearance [261]. In contrast to Th17 cells, regulatory T cells (Tregs) are the main anti-inflammatory CD4+ T cell phenotype and their primary role is to down-modulate effector or inflammatory responses, thus facilitating mucosal homeostasis [262].

The genetic makeup of the host and its interaction with *H. pylori* predispose to clinical outcomes during infection [263]. The nuclear receptor peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma, (PPARγ) is a crucial regulator of immune responses [116]. We recently demonstrated that gastric colonization with *H. pylori* ameliorates glucose homeostasis in mice through a PPARγ-dependent mechanism involving the modulation of macrophage and Treg cell infiltration into the abdominal white adipose tissue and neuroendocrine changes in the stomach [264]. Interestingly, two recent clinical studies suggest an association between PPARγ and *H. pylori*-related gastric carcinoma [265, 266]. Also, PPARγ is upregulated during *H. pylori* infection [267, 268]. Furthermore, disruption of the PPARγ pathway by microRNA-146b may be implicated in the regulation of Th17 responses and colitis in *Clostridium difficile*-infected mice [269], and PPARγ tightly controls the plasticity of Th17 cells towards an iTreg phenotype [28]. Despite these advances in understanding the role of PPARγ in mucosal immunoregulation, the mechanisms underlying the modulation of gastric mucosal effector and regulatory pathways during *H. pylori* infection are not completely understood.

Results of human studies support the theory that pathogenic subsets of T cells are instrumental in inducing *H. pylori*-associated gastritis and ulcers [256, 270]. More specifically, patients with peptic ulcer disease exhibit stronger Th1 and Th2 responses to *H. pylori* infection than asymptomatic carriers, whereas the latter exhibit a Treg-
predominant response during infection [256], suggesting that Treg cells might contribute to the persistence of *H. pylori* in the stomach as a harmless commensal organism. Indeed, IL-10-producing Treg cells were particularly abundant in the gastric mucosa of healthy carriers compared to peptic ulcer disease patients [256]. Thus, CD4+ T cells play a decisive role in initiating and shaping the progression of disease and pathological outcomes in *H. pylori* infected individuals.

Mathematical modeling provides novel means of synthesizing cellular, molecular and tissue-level data into a common systems-level framework. Herein, we used two complementary types of modeling to study the impact of *H. pylori* infection in effector and regulatory pathways at the gastric mucosa. In ODE-based modeling, the variables of the equations represent average concentrations of the various components of the mathematical model whereas ABM takes into consideration the rules and mechanisms of behavior of the individual components of the system and spatiotemporal distribution of agents within the system. In contrast to ODE models that have fully developed, mature and automated systems of parameter estimation, a key limitation of ABM is that sensitivity analysis and parameter estimation methods are immature. To investigate how the interplay between CD4+ T cells and other immune and epithelial cell subsets in the gastric mucosa contributes to driving gastric pathology, we formalized a computational model of *H. pylori* infection using ODE and ABM approaches sequentially. We show that *H. pylori* infection triggers a predominant infectious dose-dependent Th1 response that is paralleled by a concurrent Treg response at the gastric mucosa. We also provide evidence in support of a role for increased effector T cell responses and the loss of PPARγ as key contributors to gastric immunopathology during *Helicobacter* infection. Furthermore, our simulations predict that the main cause of gastric damage in the chronic phase of the infection is the pro-inflammatory and effector immune response driven predominantly by effector T cells.

### 3.3 Mathematical modeling of mucosal immune responses to *H. pylori* infection
Given the complexity, nonlinearity and abundance of feedback loops in mucosal immune responses to *H. pylori* and to facilitate a better understanding of the mechanisms underlying such immune responses at the systems level, we constructed a SBML network model depicting the major effector and regulatory pathways evoked during *H. pylori* infection [271] (Figure 3.1). The model is comprised of four distinct compartments: the lumen, epithelium, gastric LP, and gastric lymph nodes (GLN). The same network was used for ODE and ABM efforts. The ODE model is comprised of 24 species and 43 reactions in both gastric mucosa and GLN, and encompasses immune networks, which lead to 19 ordinary ODE (Addendum #).

![Network model of the mucosal immune responses during Helicobacter pylori infection](image)

**Figure 3.1. Network model of the mucosal immune responses during *Helicobacter pylori* infection.** Systems Biology Markup Language (SBML)-compliant network of the interactions between *H. pylori* and cells participating in the innate and adaptive immune response such as macrophages (M1 and M2), dendritic cells (tDC and eDC), epithelial cells (E) and CD4+ T cell subsets (Th1, Th17, iTreg) in the gastric lumen, the epithelium, lamina propria (LP) and the gastric lymph nodes (GLN).

In both ABM and ODE models, effector cell types such as M1 macrophages, Th1, Th17, and pro-inflammatory epithelial cells secrete cytokines and chemokines that i) recruit immune cells, ii) promote activation and differentiation to inflammatory phenotypes, and iii) secrete effector molecules that destroy bacteria and may cause tissue damage.
Regulatory hematopoietic cells such as M2 macrophages, tolerogenic DCs, and Treg cells act antagonistically to their inflammatory/effector counterparts through various contact- and cytokine-dependent mechanisms [272-274].

Immune cell populations are categorized by immunological state (resting, active inflammatory, regulatory), epithelial cells are sub-divided in healthy and damaged subtypes. All populations are further compartmentalized by location in one of four tissue sites (GLN, gastric LP, epithelium and lumen). Computational variables are the absolute number of cells in each compartment over time. Cell differentiation is represented as a flow from one cell-type to another, and migration as a flow from one location compartment to another. In the ABM, individual cells are represented as state-defined agents with concrete spatiotemporal features that follow the model paradigm, changing their state and triggering different reactions as time progresses. This set of agents encapsulates the behaviors of the various individuals that form the system and execution consists of emulating these behaviors after H. pylori infection.

Our ABM represents the migration of H. pylori from the mucous layer of the gastric lumen towards the epithelium and invasion of the LP. However, upon contact of the bacterium with a healthy epithelial cell, represented as E, bacterial infection is initiated and this epithelial cell starts secreting inflammatory mediators, represented as E_damaged in the network model, thus triggering an inflammatory response that affects macrophages and DCs locally in the LP, which can adopt effector (M1 and effector dendritic cell or eDC) or regulatory (M2 and tolerogenic dendritic cell or tDC) phenotypes. Tolerogenic bacteria (TolB) are also represented, highlighting how commensalism helps to maintain a regulatory phenotype at the gut mucosa.

The ODE model was calibrated using a Particle Swarm algorithm [275] implemented in COPASI [276] with in vivo flow cytometry data (Addendum X). Calibration datasets were obtained by intragastrically infecting C57BL/6 mice with H. pylori strain 26695 and assaying immune cell subsets (Table 3.1) in the stomach and gastric lymph nodes (GLN) on days 0, 7, 14, 30 and 60 post-infection.
Table 3.1. Immunophenotypic markers used in flow cytometry to characterize immune subsets. Different immune cell markers were used to characterize Th1, Th17, Treg, M1, M2 and effector and tolerogenic dendritic cells in both gastric lamina propria and gastric lymph nodes.

In the case of the ABM model, ODE-based model parameter values were used to provide initial values and to narrow the search for parameter values in the estimation. Since parameter estimation techniques in stochastic agent-based processes are not as mature as in ODE tools, starting the parameter value search near the ODE solution facilitates the subsequent trial-error experimentation to find the right parameter that will represent best the experimental data. For this reason, we use ODE-based parameters as a first step in the parameterization process in the ABM-based model. This parameter evolution from the initial ODE values to the final ABM parameter set is represented in Table 3.2.

Table 3.2. Evolution of parameter values used in the Agent-Based Model (ABM) and Ordinary Differential Equation (ODE)-based Helicobacter pylori infection models. Model parameters in the ODE model were obtained from experimental data in mice by running a Particle Swarm algorithm embedded in COPASI. These values were the starting point for optimization methods that would generate the parameter values for the ABM in ENISI. Given that most parameter optimization methods are localized, the starting point is important and can have a
significant impact on the chosen estimated parameter value. Thus, narrowing the search using
the parameters of the ODE model represents an efficient way to obtain values for ABM models.
Furthermore, the semantics of the ABM are slightly different from the ODE model, in part due to
inherent assumptions of the ODE model and also how the ENISI software is set up, making the
parameter sets different as well.

3.4 *H. pylori* modulates CD4+ T cell subsets in the GLN and gastric LP

Since CD4+ T cells play a crucial role in determining the outcome of disease during *H.
pylori* infection, we sought to determine the relative contributions of effector and
regulatory CD4+ T cell subsets in the gastric mucosa during infection. Our ODE
modeling approaches showed a distinct time-dependent behavior in Th1, Th17 and iTreg
cells represented in the mathematical model during *H. pylori* infection. Th17 cells slightly
increased at day 10 post-infection, but as time progressed, they arrived to a plateau at
lower levels than Th1 and iTreg cells. In contrast, iTreg cells increased, reaching a
stable steady state around day 35 that persisted until day 60 post-infection (Figure 3.2A).
Th1 cells chronically populated the gastric LP throughout the infection period, thereby
contributing to the overall inflammation of the gastric LP. T cell responses at the gut
mucosa were partially controlled by the balance between effector and tolerogenic DCs
(eDC and tDC respectively) and the equilibrium constants in our computational model
(Figure 3.2B). Flow cytometry analysis of tissues recovered from C57BL/6 wild-type mice
infected with *H. pylori* strain 26695 demonstrated that Treg cells were present in both
spleen (Figure 3.2C) and GLN (Figure 3.2D), and their numbers were increased starting
at day 7 post-infection, reaching a peak around day 30 and persisting throughout the
entire infection period. Moreover, we observed the presence of significantly increased
percentages of Th1 cells in spleens of *H. pylori*-infected mice on day 30 post-infection
(Figure 3.2E). Histopathological analysis of gastric specimens showed mild leukocytic
infiltration on the gastric LP (Figure 3.2F) and a slight but significant increase of gastric
mucosal hyperplasia (Figure 3.2G).
Figure 3.2. Effector and regulatory CD4+ T cell subsets modulate the immune responses during *Helicobacter pylori* infection. (A) *In silico* time-course experiment performed with a challenge of 5x10^7 colony forming units of initial *H. pylori* injected in the mathematical model, showing differences in numbers of gastric lamina propria (LP) CD4+ T cell subsets over time. (B) Equilibrium constant regulating CD4+ T cell gastric lymph nodes (GLN) differentiation in our computational model. (C, D) Flow cytometry analysis results showing differences in the percentages of regulatory T (Treg) cells in spleen and GLN. (E) Flow cytometric analysis showing differences in the expression of IFNγ+ Tbet+ CD4+ T (Th1) cells in the spleen at day 30 post-infection. (F, G) Histopathological analysis on the gastric mucosa showed lesions consistent with *H. pylori* infection. Mouse stomachs had increased leukocyte infiltration in the LP and gastric mucosal thickening due to epithelial cell proliferation.

Additionally, increasing infectious doses of *H. pylori* inoculation elicited a dose-response behavior for Th1 (Figure 3.3A) and Th17 cells in the gastric LP (Figure 3.3B). To validate this model prediction, we performed a dose-response study where mice were inoculated with 0, 10^8, 10^9 or 10^10 CFU/mL *H. pylori* strain 26695. *In vivo* results demonstrated that the expression of T-bet and RORγt, as well as the production of IFNγ, all within the CD4+ T cell compartment, is dependent on the initial inoculation dose of *H. pylori* (Figure 3.3C-3.3E).
Figure 3.3. Th1 and Th17 responses during *Helicobacter pylori* infection are dose-dependent. Computational simulations with the *H. pylori* model demonstrating a dose-response effect with the initial dose of *H. pylori* in (A) Th1 and (B) Th17. *In vivo* experimentation validating this prediction by observing increased levels of splenic (A) T-bet, (B) IFNγ and (C) RORγt with increasing concentrations in the initial dose of *H. pylori*.

3.5 Myeloid cell-specific PPARγ deletion modulates macrophage, dendritic cell, and T cell differentiation during *H. pylori* infection

PPARγ is recognized as an important immunoregulatory molecule in the gastrointestinal mucosa. To elucidate the role of PPARγ in both myeloid and T cell subsets during *H. pylori* infection, we created cell-specific knockout models. First, to simulate the myeloid-specific PPARγ knockout system we reduced the maximum rate of undifferentiated macrophage M0 transitioning to alternatively-activated M2 macrophages, reduced the maximum rate of M1 conversion to M2 macrophages [204, 277], and reduced the rate of iDC switching to tDC by cytokines [278]. In the case of the T cell-specific PPARγ knockout, we lowered the rate of naïve CD4+ T cells becoming iTreg [114], the maximum rate of Th17 differentiation to iTreg [204] and the rate of constitutive iTreg
stimulation [114, 279]. Simulation results showed a marked impact of the loss of PPARγ on myeloid cell populations following infection. Specifically, when compared to the wild type model, there was an increase in eDC and decreased tDC in both the gastric LP and the GLN (Figure 3.4A and 3.4B). Similarly, elevated M1 and reduced M2 macrophage numbers in the LP were observed (Figure 3.4C and 3.4D). Along with the elevated inflammatory response in the myeloid cell populations we found a decline in *H. pylori* in the gastric lumen indicating more efficient clearance (Figure 3.4E) and a slightly elevated epithelial cell death (Figure 3.4F) in the myeloid-specific PPARγ knockout model when compared to the wild-type system.

![Predicted dynamics of gastric mucosal cell subsets and luminal *Helicobacter pylori* counts following *in silico* infection of wild-type and myeloid-specific PPARγ−/−](image)

**Figure 3.4.** Predicted dynamics of gastric mucosal cell subsets and luminal *Helicobacter pylori* counts following *in silico* infection of wild-type and myeloid-specific PPARγ−/−
knockout models. Time-courses were run in silico with infections using $5 \times 10^7$ colony forming units (CFU) of *H. pylori* to determine myeloid subsets dynamics. The blue lines represent the wild-type model whereas violet lines represent the PPARγ knockout model in (A) gastric lamina propria (LP) effector dendritic cells, (B) LP tolerogenic dendritic cells, (C) LP M1 macrophages, (D) LP M2 macrophages, (E) *H. pylori* loads in the stomach lumen and (F) epithelial cell damage following infection with *H. pylori*.

The T cell-specific PPARγ knockout model showed elevated Th1 and Th17 (Figure 3.5A and 3.5B) when compared to the wild-type model, whereas iTreg cell levels in the LP were dramatically decreased (Figure 3.5C). Interestingly, no differences in the numbers of effector or tolerogenic DC were observed (Figure 3.5D and 3.5E). However, a lack of PPARγ in T cells had a mild effect on macrophage populations, increasing the expansion of M1 macrophages (Figure 3.5F) and decreasing the numbers in the M2 alternatively activated macrophage subset (Figure 3.5G).

Figure 3.5. *In silico* dynamics of gastric mucosal T cell subset in wild-type and T cell-specific PPARγ knockout mice following infection with *Helicobacter pylori*. Time-course experiments following infection with $5 \times 10^7$ colony-forming units (CFU) of *H. pylori* to determine dynamics on CD4+ T cell phenotypes. Blue lines represent wild type mice and violet lines represent T cell-specific PPARγ knockout mice. T helper (Th) 1 (A), Th17 (B), induced regulatory
T cell (iTreg) (C), effector dendritic cells (D), tolerogenic dendritic cells (E), M1 macrophages (F) and M2 macrophages (G) are illustrated.

3.6 Modeling stochasticity in cellular responses during *H. pylori* infection by using ENteric Immunity Simulator (ENISI)

To further characterize the immunological mechanisms underlying mucosal immune responses to *H. pylori* in a stochastic system, we used ABM based on parameter values derived from refinement on our initial ODE model parameters (Table 3.2). When probabilistic approaches are used, the complex immunological processes can be better represented. We adopted the ABM tool ENteric Immune Simulator (ENISI) developed by us and available at www.modelingimmunity.org [280]. To calibrate the ABM we used a set of parameters derived from our ODE-based modeling approaches as initial values before refinement. After implementing the model specification as well as the initial concentrations as previously described [281], we ran simulations up to 60 days post-infection and analyzed the changes in cell concentrations in both LP and GLN. Results expressed in heat map concentrations show a significant increase in the concentration of CD4+ T cells in both the gastric LP and the GLN (Figure 3.6).
Taking a closer look at CD4+ T cell subsets following infection in the wild-type model, we observed that in the GLN, Th1 cells peaked on day 30 post infection and remained at high levels with fairly constant values throughout the rest of the infection period (Figure 3.7A, 3.7G). Th17 responses were induced in the GLN and later detected in the LP, together with a Treg cell response that persisted over time in both gastric LP (Figure 3.7B, 3.7C) and GLN (Figure 3.7H, 3.7I).
Figure 3.7. ENISI output results and assessment of the role of PPARγ in both the myeloid and T cell subset modulated T cell responses after *Helicobacter pylori* infection in silico in the gastric LP and GLN. The *H. pylori* ABM was run as a time-course for 60 days. Model parameters were changed to simulate myeloid or T cell-specific PPARγ knockout systems as described in Table S2. Dynamical variation of Th1 (Figure 6A, 6G) as well as Th17 (Figure 6B, 6H) and regulatory T cells (Figure 6C, 6I) changing over time were plotted. A functional T-test was used with 95% confidence interval to create statistics assessing differences in the myeloid and T cell specific PPARγ knock-out for Th1 (Figure 6D, 6J), Th17 (Figure 6E, 6K) and Treg (Figure 6F, 6L). A threshold value representing the critical value of significance vertically divides the plot into two parts, showing significant differences above the threshold. Data were obtained in 15 runs of the simulation for each different genotype.
3.7 ABM highlights the immunoregulatory role of PPARγ in modulating host responses towards *H. pylori in silico*

In order to investigate the role of PPARγ in mucosal immune responses to *H. pylori in silico*, we engineered T cell-specific and myeloid cell specific PPARγ knockout models. Specifically, to create an in silico cell-specific knockout model, rates of regulatory phenotype differentiation were lowered and rates controlling effector response in both LP and GLN were increased. A side-by-side comparison on the parameter changes implemented in the T cell- and myeloid cell-specific PPARγ knockout systems can be found in Table S6. We used a functional T-test to visualize statistically significant differences over time when comparing wild-type and the knockout models. Our results showed a statistically significant expansion of Th1 in the T cell-specific PPARγ null system when compared to the wild-type starting around day 35 and increasing the difference throughout the infection up to day 60 in the gastric LP (Figure 3.7A and 3.7D). In the GLN, significant differences were first detected around day 50 and peaked throughout the rest of infection (Figure 3.7G and 3.7J). No statistically significant differences in Th1 cell numbers were found between the wild-type and the myeloid cell-specific PPARγ knockout system (Figure 3.7A, 3.7D, 3.7G and 3.7J). Regarding Th17 cells, these simulations depicted the immunoregulatory role of PPARγ in the myeloid subset since we observed significant differences in enhanced Th17 responses in the myeloid cell-specific PPARγ knockout model when compared to the wild-type. More specifically, there was a statistically significant effect starting at day 30 and remaining significant until day 60 in the gastric LP (3.7B and 3.7E) and the GLN (3.7H and 3.7K). Th17 cell numbers were also significantly higher in the T cell-specific PPARγ knockout model when compared to the wild-type model in both LP (Figure 3.7B and 3.7E) and the GLN (Figure 3.7H and 3.7K). T cell-specific PPARγ deficiency significantly impaired the expansion of the iTReg cell compartment starting at day 30 and showed an oscillatory behavior and significant differences until day 60 in the gastric LP (Figure 3.7C and 3.7F). In the GLN, the differences between the T cell specific PPARγ knockout and the wild-type were significantly noticeable during the whole period of infection (Figure 3.7I and 3.7L). The myeloid cell-specific PPARγ knockout showed similar differences. In the case of the GLN, the myeloid cell-specific PPARγ knockout model showed significant
differences when compared to the wild type up to day 30 post-infection (Figure 3.7I and 3.7L).

3.8 Gastric histopathological lesions are formed as a consequence of effector immune activation during the chronic phase of the *H. pylori* infection

Sensitivity analysis shows whether the model predictions are sensitive to model parameters and concentrations. It also helps to understand complex relationships between distinct model variables. We extended our modeling approaches to determine which are the main factors involved in gastric lesion development during *H. pylori* infection by using sensitivity analysis. Our sensitivity analysis results using ABM showed how at the early stage of infection (up to week 2 post-challenge), the epithelial cell damage is mainly caused by the bacterium (Figure 3.8A). Interestingly, as the infection progresses, we observed a trend towards Th1 and Th17 cells triggering epithelial cell damage starting 3 weeks post-infection. At the chronic phase of the infection (i.e., around 6-8 weeks post-infection), our results showed a dominant role of Th1 and Th17 effector cells in inducing epithelial cell damage (Figure 3.8A). *H. pylori* induced epithelial cell damage throughout the infection. However, at a later infection stage, the induction of damaged epithelial cells by the effector Th1 and Th17 phenotypes overshadowed the effect of *H. pylori* itself. Of note, sensitivity analysis performed in the deterministic model at day 60 post-infection also showed how Th1 and Th17 cells in both LP and GLN were contributing to the epithelial cell damage as well as M1 macrophage differentiation, whereas *H. pylori* had a more limited impact on the formation of such lesions (Figure 3.8B). This sensitivity analysis data suggested that the main contributors of histopathological damage in the gastric mucosa at a chronic stage of infection are Th1 and Th17 effector responses. Going one step further from the model prediction, we hypothesized that the effector T cell response and not the bacterium itself is the main cause of epithelial cell damage during the chronic phase of *H. pylori* infection.
Figure 3.8. Sensitivity analysis of factors involved in gastric inflammatory lesion formation following *Helicobacter pylori* infection. Healthy epithelial cells changing state into pro-inflammatory epithelial cells, thereby contributing to the formation of gastric lesions. (A) Differential time-dependent patterns of lesion formation in the early, meridian and chronic-late stage of infection. (B) ODE-based deterministic sensitivity analysis on pro-inflammatory epithelial cells, as variables, and its formation at day 60 post-infection using a delta factor of 0.001 with a delta minimum of $1 \times 10^{-12}$. (C) Flow cytometric analysis showing differences in the expression of CD4+ IL-17A+ cells in the gastric lamina propria after *H. pylori* infection. (D) Flow cytometric analysis showing differences in the expression of CD4+ IFNγ+ cells in the gastric lamina propria after *H. pylori* infection. (E) Cartoon model representation of the effect of DC activation, T cell expansion and macrophage differentiation on the formation of histopathological lesions in the gastric lamina propria (LP) during *H. pylori* infection.
To validate this hypothesis, C57BL/6 wild-type mice were infected with *H. pylori* strain PM-SS1 to characterize mucosal immune responses and to assess contributors to epithelial cell damage. In this study, a group of mice was treated with metronidazole, an antibiotic shown to effectively clear *H. pylori* from the stomach [282]. This experimental design (Figure 3.9) allows us to begin dissecting the effects of the dynamics of the host response versus the bacterium in the chronic phase of disease.

**Figure 3.9. Experimental design to validate model prediction on main inducers of histopathological changes during *Helicobacter pylori* infection.** Wild-type mice were infected with *H. pylori* strain PM-SS1 for 30 and 60 days to monitor cell infiltration and gastric histopathological changes. On day 30 post-infection, a group of mice were euthanized for baseline immunological measurements and the rest were divided into two groups: one treated with metronidazole and one treated with sterile PBS as a control. These groups were euthanized at day 60 post infection.

On day 30 post-infection, a group of mice were euthanized for baseline immunological measurements and the rest were divided into two groups: one treated with metronidazole and one treated with sterile PBS as a control. At day 60 post-infection the remaining mice were euthanized for histological and immunological analyses. Immunophenotyping results showed a pronounced increase of IL-17A- (Figure 3.8C) and IFNγ-producing cells (Figure 3.8D) in the gastric LP after 30 and 60 days post-infection. Metronidazole treatment did not affect effector cytokine expression. These results suggested that effector T cell responses are implicated in lesion development during infection as showed in a cartoon model representation, highlighting the involvement of DC, T cells and macrophages on the formation of gastric lesions in the LP is shown in Figure 3.8E. To determine the presence of gastric mucosal lesions we examined H&E-stained gastric samples. The results show an increased mucosal thickness and mild
infiltration of inflammatory cells, which were more accentuated on day 60 compared to day 30 post-challenge (Figure 3.10).

**Figure 3.10.** Histopathological assessment of the gastric mucosa of mice after *Helicobacter pylori* infection. Representative photomicrographs of stomachs from either non-infected or *H. pylori*-infected mice following administration of PBS or metronidazole treatment. Original magnification 40x.

At day 60, no differences were found between mice that received antimicrobial therapy to eradicate *H. pylori* and those that remained untreated. In both groups, we observed a significant increase in the thickness of the gastric mucosa characterized by the
elongation of the gastric pits and moderate depletion of chief cells at the base of glands (Figure 3.10).

### 3.9 Discussion and Conclusions

*Helicobacter pylori* infection is associated with an increased risk for developing gastric and duodenal ulcers, gastric mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma and gastric adenocarcinoma [283-285]. There is also increasing evidence of *H. pylori* providing protection against esophageal and cardial pathologies [286-289], childhood asthma [290-292], childhood allergies [291, 293], obesity and diabetes [264]. The immunological mechanisms underlying this protective effect of *H. pylori* acting as a commensal bacterium versus a pathogenic organism are incompletely understood.

Here, we combined computational modeling and animal experimentation approaches in an iterative cycle aimed at investigating immunological mechanisms underlying the modulation of mucosal immune responses to *H. pylori*. Overall, our modeling results demonstrate that CD4+ T cells are implicated in *H. pylori* clearance from the gastric LP. Previous studies have shown that *H. pylori*-specific CD4+ T cells preferentially home and accumulate in the infected stomach and L-selectin, C-C chemokine receptor type 4 (CCR4) and macrophages derived chemokines (MDC) play a critical role in Th cell recruitment and trafficking [270]. Indeed, our immunophenotyping results show an increased expression of CD4+ T cell specific IL-17A and IFNγ in the gastric LP following *H. pylori* infection.

Chronologically, we have demonstrated that *H. pylori* evokes a weaker Th17 response, followed by a dominant and more persistent Th1 response that is paralleled by an immunoregulatory CD4+ T cell response characterized by Treg cells slowly accumulating at the beginning of the infection, reaching the highest levels at 30 days post-infection that is sustained over time. Our *in vivo* data matches the simulation results in splenic and GLN Treg cells. Not surprisingly, Treg cell responses suppress inflammation and ulceration caused by the excessive host response to the bacterium [285, 294] and their
balance with other subsets is critical for preventing gastric and duodenal ulcers [295]. Treg cell numbers were significantly decreased in the GLN at day 60, suggesting a potential migration from inductive to effector mucosal sites. We have also observed a downregulation of Th17 responses \textit{in silico} after the first stage of infection, suggesting that effector Th1 cells might be implicated in the chronicity of infection and mucosal lesion development. The plasticity between Th17 and Treg cells, and the mechanisms controlling such phenotypic re-programming are under investigation. Interestingly, pro-inflammatory Th17 cells can acquire a regulatory phenotype with \textit{in vivo} immunosuppressive properties [48]. We recently characterized PPARγ as a key modulator of Th17 plasticity towards an iTreg phenotype by using a combination of systems modeling of CD4+ T cell signaling and \textit{in vivo} validation [279]. Gastric mucosal IL-17-producing cells can also contribute to development of gastric lesions [296, 297]. IL-17 also plays an important role in promoting B cell crosstalk and decreasing inflammation, therefore accentuating regulatory responses [298]. Hence, Th17 cells can exert dual functions as effectors of pathogenic tissue-damaging responses, but also as immunoregulatory responses driven by the secretion of IL-17 and IL-22 [299].

CD8+ T cells are crucial in \textit{H. pylori} infection in humans and pigs [300, 301]. However, our experimental data in mice did not show any differential behavior in CD8+ T cells. Therefore, given the focus of our experimental questions on CD4+ T cells and our initial CD8+ T cell data we decided not to include CD8+ T cells and focus modeling work in the potential involvement of Th1 and Th17 effector responses in the induction of gastric lesions during the chronic phase of \textit{H. pylori} infection. Of note, our sensitivity analysis highlighted the important role of Th1 and Th17 effector cells in the induction of gastric mucosal lesions in the chronic phase of the infection, even overshadowing the role of \textit{H. pylori} itself. Consistent with our computational simulations, our \textit{in vivo} studies validated the hypothesis that the role of \textit{H. pylori} in tissue damage during the chronic phase of the infection is dramatically reduced.

To study the mucosal immune responses to \textit{H. pylori} at the systems level locally in the gastric mucosa, we used ODE and ABM sequentially. First, our deterministic ODE model shed some new light on CD4+ T cell distribution after infection as well as the role of PPARγ during infection. Secondly, and because strategies for parameter estimation are
neither fully developed nor automated in ABM, the ODE model provided a set of parameter values that were after used as a starting point for our ABM modeling. Since the ABM model uses a probabilistic approach, in comparison to the constant-based ODE model, further refinement on the parameter values was needed and trial-error simulations were performed. Despite of this additional step, having an initial parameter range based on the ODE model has narrowed the range and improved efficiency in the parameter estimation process for ABM. Furthermore, ABM adds randomness to the biological systems, which can help to better represent complex cellular responses and to take into account the individual and emerging behaviors of cells as well as the role spatiotemporal features. Thus, stochastic models can provide novel insights into the effect of cognate and non-cognate interactions, representing entire systems with a greater granularity and capturing cell-cell interactions. By simulating individual behaviors of agents, ABM better represents cross-linked, complex and nonlinear processes with multiple feedback loops and, provides a more comprehensive and interactive modeling of mucosal immune responses to *H. pylori*. The ability of ABM to encompass multiple scales of biological processes and incorporate spatiotemporal considerations, coupled with an intuitive modeling paradigm, underscores the added value of this modeling framework in translational systems immunology and immunoinformatics research. Our combined modeling ODE and ABM approaches provided evidence suggesting that the cause for gastric lesions during the chronic stage of the infection were effector Th1 and Th17 cell subsets as well as inflammatory macrophages. Furthermore, both ODE and ABM based models could generate several predictions that were validated *in vivo*, such as detecting *H. pylori* dose-response dynamics in Th1 and Th17, showcasing the predictive power of the *H. pylori* model. Future studies will investigate the spatiotemporal progression of lesions in relation to immune cell trafficking in the tissue space.

Instructive and selective factors play important roles in shaping the immune responses in the gut. CD4+ T cell and macrophage differentiation highly depends on the cytokine environment, an instructive factor, and the competition of cells for phenotype-changing cytokines (i.e., selective factors). However, secretion of cytokines and chemokines into the tissue environment by cells depends upon intracellular signaling pathways. Multi-scale modeling approaches that combine intracellular signaling pathways and tissue level modeling of cell-cell, cell-bacteria, and cell-molecule interactions will be necessary
to fully represent the mechanisms underlying the actions of selective and instructive factors in mucosal immune responses.

PPARγ is a transcription that tightly controls many aspects of mucosal immune responses. For instance, PPARγ is a negative regulator of macrophage activation [159] and its inhibition contributes to systemic inflammation [302]. Myeloid cell-specific loss of PPARγ has been reported to enhance chemokine and adhesion molecule expression leading to improved recruitment of inflammatory Ly6C hi monocytes to sites of inflammation and infection [303]. Indeed, our results show that PPARγ modulated effector and regulatory responses also during H. pylori infection. With the ability to create computational models and extensive in silico knockout systems, where expression of the molecule of interest is ablated, we demonstrated a suppression of M2 macrophages and tolerogenic DC, and an increase of M1 macrophages and effector DC in our myeloid cell-specific PPARγ knockout model. A decline in H. pylori in the lumen was also observed in the knockout model, indicating more efficient bacterial clearance in the PPARγ knockout model. This coincides with elevated epithelial cell damage, indicating that H. pylori could be removed at the expense of elevated gastric lesions. Thus, our results demonstrate that PPARγ in the myeloid subset has a major role in modulating and controlling pro-inflammatory versus anti-inflammatory cell profile and consequently, a central role on bacterial clearance. These findings are in line with a recent report indicating that the lack of PPARγ in myeloid cells confers resistance to Listeria monocytogenes infection [303], suggesting that a regulatory network in myeloid cells that is governed by PPARγ restrains bacteriocidal activity and recruitment of inflammatory/effectector cell subsets to the mucosal sites.

In CD4+ T cells, PPARγ partially drives differentiation and plasticity between phenotypes [115, 204, 279, 304-306]. Our in silico knockout studies in CD4+ T cells highlight the anti-inflammatory properties of PPARγ by observing a suppression of Treg cell numbers and enhancement of effector phenotypes such as Th1 and Th17 in the knockout when compared to the wild-type models. Interestingly, our simulations with the myeloid cell-specific PPARγ knockout system promoted Th17 differentiation and suppressed Treg expansion. Considering that CD4+ T cells have a pivotal role and crosstalk with different...
subsets of DC, our findings are in line with other studies suggesting that DC subsets affect Th17 differentiation and plasticity in humans, where CD14+ HLA-DR-/low myeloid derived suppressor cells (MDSC) induced FOXP3+ regulatory T cells whereas CD14+ HLA-DR+ MDSCs promoted the generation of IL-17 secreting CD4+ T cells [99]. Furthermore, myeloid APCs are essential for the induction of IL-17A+ FOXP3+ T cells from memory CCR6+ T cells or Treg cells [248]. These results point out for the first time that PPARγ in myeloid cells plays a central role in Th17 differentiation. In addition, the deletion of PPARγ in T cells had a milder effect in the expression of differentiated macrophages, increasing the numbers of the M1 population and decreasing M2 macrophages. These findings are in line with the importance between innate and adaptive immunity and how CD4+ T cell-derived cytokines can affect the differentiation into pro- versus anti-inflammatory phenotypes. Therefore, CD4+ T cells are necessary and sufficient for gastritis induction in the H. pylori infection model. We also observed an oscillatory behavior in the wild type Th1 cell population as well as the knock-out models in the gastric LP using our ABM approach. This phenomena observed in the continuum of interest may be related to biological feedback loops in mucosal immune responses that contribute to maintain homeostasis and priming. One possible explanation could be the iterative process by which dendritic cells enter the GLN and expose the antigen to CD4+ T cells, incrementing its concentration as the subpopulation expands, and decreasing it when chemotactic strategies make CD4+ T cells to leave the lymphatic compartment. Of note, our modeling work highlights CD4+ T cell priming in the GLN. Some studies also point that CD4+ T cells are also likely primers with H. pylori antigens captured in the small intestines, where the coccoid form of H. pylori is taken up by DCs in the Peyer’s Patches [307]. Future studies using multi-scale modeling will elucidate the relationship between the intracellular differentiation pathways, the link to different subsets in the innate immune system and the potential relationships that can rise oscillatory trends in the system.

In summary, we combined computational modeling approaches and mouse challenge studies to investigate how CD4+ T cells and other immune cell subsets are distributed in the gut mucosa during H. pylori infection. Our model simulated T cell responses to H. pylori by using both platforms: ODE and ABM. Our modeling efforts predicted higher
levels of effector responses in both the LP and the GLN when deleting PPAR\(_\gamma\), thus highlighting the role of PPAR\(_\gamma\) activation as a potential mechanism for modulating CD4+ T cell responses during bacterial infection and positioning PPAR\(_\gamma\) as a candidate for immunotherapeutics development. Future studies will more fully realize the potential of multiscale modeling to understand mucosal immunity.

### 3.10 Materials and Methods

**Ethics statement**

All experimental protocols were approved by the Virginia Tech institutional animal care and use committee (IACUC) (Protocol Numbers: 10-087-VBI & 11-189-VBI) and met or exceeded guidelines of the National Institutes of Health Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare and Public Health Service policy. Animals were under strict monitoring throughout the duration of the project and all efforts were made to minimize unnecessary pain and distress. Mice were euthanized by carbon dioxide narcosis followed by secondary cervical dislocation.

**Computational modeling**

The computational model of the mucosal immune responses to *H. pylori* was developed in the following steps: first, the structure model as shown in Figure 3.1 was developed using CellDesigner, a widely used Systems Biology Markup Language (SBML)-compliant network structure model development tool. Immune responses to *H. pylori* represented in the model were based on a comprehensive and thorough literature review as well as time-course data generated by us. The inflammatory network portrayed is encoded as follows: Inflammation is initiated when *H. pylori* is inoculated in the gastric lumen. *H. pylori* lives in close proximity to the epithelial lining (i.e., floating on the mucus barrier) and can adhere directly to the host cell membrane and deliver toxins. The virulence factor CagA is injected directly into host cells by the bacteria through a type IV secretion system. CagA's ability to perturb cell polarity is important for the efficient survival and growth of *H. pylori* on the apical surface of the host cell, therefore, being able to replicate in the lumen [308]. Epithelial cells in contact with *H. pylori* initiate a pro-inflammatory
response characterized by production of chemokines, activation of DCs, macrophages and T cells [309]. *H. pylori* can also translocate and migrate into the gastric LP, thus attracting effector DCs [310], directing tolerogenic programming of DCs [311] and enhancing M1 polarization [312]. As expected, APCs engulfing *H. pylori* will display *H. pylori* antigenic determinants associated with MHC and will activate effector and regulatory CD4+ T cell differentiation. The regulation of DCs can restrict different phenotypes, such as Th1 [313]. The secretion of different factors such as IFNγ, IL-17 or IL-1β will activate macrophage differentiation [314] and these differentiated macrophages will help to clear *H. pylori* in the gastric LP. At the same time, *H. pylori*-infected macrophages can induce Th17 cell responses as a positive feedback loop [315]. During this literature search, a database used for model calibrations was also created. Secondly, we implemented the model in both COPASI and ENISI with dynamics of species and reactions defined. COPASI [29] is a widely used tool for ODE-based modeling; ENISI [280] a short name for Enteric Immunity Simulator, is an agent-based modeling tool, which has been developed by the Center for Modeling Immunity to Enteric Pathogens. The averaged-based ODE-based approach can provide mature and computationally efficient numerical algorithms especially for model calibration for modeling average behavior, while the agent-based approach can provide modeling capabilities of individual based behavior, stochasticity, and cell movements easily. The COPASI modeling tool can run in both local machines and condor clusters through an online job submission system. The agent-based modeling tool, ENISI, is high-performance computing (HPC)-based and it runs on our super computer system Shadowfax, a hybrid cluster with 912 processor cores, 5.4 TB of RAM, 40Gb/s InfiniBand network and 80TB parallel storage. An online job submission system of ENISI has been developed for submitting *in silico* experiments through a web interface. These two approaches complement each other. Third, the model was calibrated using the calibration database including time-course data and assuming some biological facts regarding the behavior of specific cell types in the system.

Sensitivity analysis and parameter estimation have been performed in COPASI using a Particle Swarm algorithm and in ENISI using statistical data mining and variance-based analysis techniques, such as local sensitivity analysis using partial factorial experiments.
and sparse designs. In parallel with the computational modeling effort, we identified key experiments in mice to validate model predictions. The iterative computer modeling and experimentation cycle has provided a more complete systems-level understanding of the cellular mechanisms underlying immune responses to *H. pylori*. Novel ideas and hypotheses can be easily generated and tested in silico with significant time and cost savings. Therefore, the model was able to predict trends in the behavior of distinct cell types and these computational predictions were validated with experimental data. The model developed in this study is published through the MIEP web portal at [www.modelingimmunity.org](http://www.modelingimmunity.org) and available at Biomodels.net (MODEL1307130000). More specifically, in the MIEP website, a tool called CellPublisher is used to publish the annotated model on the web portal that can allow users to navigate the network model in Google-map way and the annotations including the protein structure are presented as tags and 3-D animations.

**Mice and H. pylori challenge**

Eight to twelve week-old wild-type C57BL/6 mice were fasted for 8 hours and challenged via orogastric gavage with either PBS or 500 µL of 5x10^7, 10^8, 10^9 or 10^10 CFU/mL of *H. pylori* strains 26695, SS1 or PM-SS1, on days 0, 2 and 4. Urea was added to the drinking water at a concentration of 5% w/v to facilitate bacterial colonization. Mice were checked daily for signs of disease. At day 7, 14, 30 and 60 post infection mice were euthanized and spleen, gastric lymph nodes (GLN) as well as the stomach were excised for further analysis. Mice were housed under a 12:12 light-dark ratio at the animal facilities at Virginia Tech. All experimental procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Virginia Tech and met or exceeded the requirements of the Public Health Service/National Institutes of Health, the Animal Welfare Act and Public Health Service policy. Animal experimentation was performed under IACUC protocols 10-087 VBI and 11-189 VBI.

**H. pylori culture**

*H. pylori* strain 26695 (ATCC), SS1 and PM-SS1 were grown on plates prepared with Difco Columbia blood agar base (BD Biosciences) supplemented with 7% of horse blood (Lampire) and antibiotics at 37°C under microaerophilic conditions. To prepare whole cell (WC) bacterial antigens, bacteria were inactivated with 4% formaldehyde for 26 hours.
followed by two washing steps with PBS. Inactivated WC *H. pylori* antigen preparations were resuspended in PBS, quantified and stored at -20°C until further use. Bacterial inactivation was confirmed by culturing formaldehyde treated *H. pylori* for at least 4 days as described above.

**Preparation and processing of single cell suspensions**

Spleens and GLN were excised and crushed in PBS/5% FBS using the frosted ends of two sterile microscope slides and a syringe plunger, respectively. Single cell suspensions were centrifuged at 300 x g for 10 min and washed once with PBS. In case of splenocytes, red blood cells were removed by osmotic lysis prior to the washing step. For LPL isolation, stomachs were cut open and rinsed with PBS prior to 10 min treatment with 5% Acetylcysteine (Sigma) in HBSS with 2.5% Hepes and 10% FBS, at room temperature for 10min. After treatment, stomachs were digested with 300U/mL of collagenase (Sigma) and 50U/mL of DNAse (Sigma) for 90min at 37°C under constant agitation and the cell suspension was filtered with a 100µm strainer. Single cell suspensions from GLN and spleen were either freshly stained for flow cytometry or stimulated with 5 µg/mL of plate-bound anti-mouse CD3 (BD Biosciences) for 6 hours. To inhibit protein secretion from cells, GolgiStopTM (BD Biosciences) was added for the last 4 hours of incubation according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Lymphocytes from LPL extraction were enriched using a 44/67% Percoll gradient, washed in PBS and resuspended in FACS buffer. Flow cytometric analysis of *ex vivo*-stimulated cells was performed to assess phenotype and function different immune cell populations.

**Immunophenotyping and cytokine analysis by flow cytometry**

For fluorescent staining of immune cell subsets 4-6x10^5 cells were incubated for 20 min with fluorochrome-conjugated primary mouse specific antibodies: anti-CD3 PE-Cy5 clone 145-2C11 (eBioscience), anti-CD4 PE-Cy7 clone GK1.5 (eBioscience), anti-CD4 APC clone RM4-5, anti-CD45 APC-eFlour780 clone 30-F11 (eBioscience) and anti-CD25 Biotin clone 7D4 (BD Biosciences). Cells were washed with FACS buffer (PBS supplemented with 5% FBS and 0.09% sodium azide) and incubated for another 20 min with PE-Texas Red-conjugated streptavidin (BD Biosciences). For intracellular staining of transcription factors and cytokines, cells were fixed and permeabilized using a commercial kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (eBioscience). Briefly, cells
were fixed and permeabilized for 20 minutes, Fc receptors were blocked with mouse anti-CD16/CD32 FcBlock (BD Biosciences) and cells were stained with fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies towards anti-mouse/human Tbet PerCP-Cy5.5 clone 4-B10, antimouse, FOXP3 FITC clone FJK-16s, IL-17A APC clone eBio17B7 and IFN-γ PE-Cy7 clone XMG1.2 (eBioscience). All samples were stored fixed at 4°C in the dark until acquisition on a LSR II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). A live cell gate (FSC-A, SSC-A) was applied to all samples followed by single cell gating (FSC-H, FSC-W) before cells were analyzed for the expression of specific markers. Data analysis was performed with FACS Diva (BD Biosciences) and Flow Jo (Tree Star Inc.).

**Statistical analysis**

Parametric data were analyzed by using the ANOVA followed by Scheffe’s multiple comparison method. Nonparametric data were analyzed by using the Mann-Whitney’s U test followed by a Dunn’s multiple comparisons test. The ANOVA was performed by using the general linear model procedure of SAS, release 6.0.3 (SAS Institute). Statistical significance was assessed at a *p*-value ≤ 0.05. To assess the significance in the knock-out models when compared to the wild-type we used a functional T-test approach. The objective of the functional T-test is to evaluate whether two groups of functional curves are statistically different. Specifically, we defined $x_{1i}(t), i = 1, \ldots, n_1$ as the functional curves of the first group and $x_{2j}(t), j = 1, \ldots, n_2$ as the function curves for the second. To evaluate the difference between two groups of curves, we considered the absolute value of a t-statistic at each point:

$$T_{\text{stat}}(t) = \frac{|\bar{x}_1(t) - \bar{x}_2(t)|}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2(t)}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2(t)}{n_2}}}$$

where $\bar{x}_k(t) = \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} x_{ki}(t), k = 1,2$, and $s_k^2(t)$ is the sample variance of $x_{ki}(t)$ at the time point $t$. The values of $T_{\text{stat}}(t)$ can provide the relative difference of the two groups of curves. For the test statistic, we considered the maximum value of $T_{\text{stat}}(t)$. To find a critical value of this statistic, we use a permutation test by first performing a randomly shuffle of the labels of the curves and then recalculating the maximum of $T_{\text{stat}}(t)$ with the
new labels. Repeating this procedure many times can provide an empirical null distribution of $T_{stat}(t)$. Therefore, we can calculate the critical point as a reference for evaluating the values of observed $T_{stat}t$. The values over the calculated threshold will be viewed as statistically significant. To evaluate the effect of epithelial cell damage in the ABM model, preliminary analysis for model sensitivity was conducted. Specifically, we collected the values for number of cells that modulate epithelial cell damage from the ABM model output datasets and grouped them by genotypes. The maximum value of cell counts was used to make 10 cell count range uniformly. Such range was then used to construct heat maps showing the relative significance of different immune subsets over the epithelial cell damage.
Chapter 4

Systems modeling of the role of IL-21 in the maintenance of effector CD4+ T cell responses during chronic Helicobacter pylori infection


4.1 Summary

The development of gastritis during Helicobacter pylori infection is dependent on an activated adaptive immune response orchestrated by T helper (Th) cells. However, the relative contributions of Th1 and Th17 subsets to gastritis and control of infection are still under investigation. To investigate the role of IL-21 in the gastric mucosa during H. pylori infection, we combined CD4+ T cell differentiation mathematical modeling with in vivo mechanistic studies. We infected IL-21-deficient and wild-type mice with H. pylori strain SS1, and assessed colonization, gastric inflammation, cellular infiltration, and cytokine profiles. Chronically H. pylori-infected, IL-21-deficient mice had higher H. pylori colonization, significantly less gastritis, and reduced expression of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines compared to infected wild-type littermates. These in vivo data were used to calibrate an H. pylori-infection dependent, CD4+ T cell- specific
computational model, which then described the mechanism by which IL-21 activates the production of IFNγ and IL-17 during chronic *H. pylori* infection. The model predicted activated expression of Tbet and RORγt, the phosphorylation of STAT3 and STAT1, and suggested a potential role of IL-21 in the modulation of IL-10. Driven by our modeling-derived predictions, we found reduced levels of CD4+ splenocyte-specific *tbx21* and *rorc* expression, reduced phosphorylation of STAT1 and STAT3, and an increase in CD4+ T cell-specific IL-10 expression in *H. pylori*-infected, IL-21-deficient mice. Our results indicate that IL-21 regulates Th1 and Th17 effector responses during chronic *H. pylori* infection in a STAT1 and STAT3 dependent manner, therefore playing a major role controlling *H. pylori* infection and gastritis.

### 4.2 Introduction

*Helicobacter pylori* is a Gram-negative microaerophilic bacterium and a dominant member of the gastric microbiota harbored by approximately 50% of the world’s population. A hallmark of *H. pylori* infection is a gastric mucosal inflammatory response, termed superficial gastritis [316]. The presence of *H. pylori* increases the risk for development of duodenal ulcer disease, gastric ulcer disease, non-cardia gastric adenocarcinoma, and B-cell malignancies such as gastric mucosa associated lymphoid tumors (MALT lymphomas) and high-grade lymphomas [reviewed in [317, 318]]. Conversely, there is also increasing evidence that *H. pylori* colonization protects against esophageal and cardial pathologies [286-288, 319], childhood asthma [292, 320, 321] and childhood allergies [293, 321]. The gastritis associated with *H. pylori* infection reflects the recruitment and activation of immune cells representing both innate and adaptive immunity [[322] and reviewed in [323]]. Actual treatment for *H. pylori* involves an aggressive triple-antibiotic treatment that unbalances the gastric microbiota. Furthermore, recent studies suggest that *H. pylori* is finding strategies to by-pass the treatment by developing resistance to clarithromycin [324]. Other studies have pointed out that during chronic *H. pylori* infection, the exacerbated immune response in the gastric lamina propria is driving more epithelial cell damage than the bacterium itself [32]. Therefore, new strategies to treat chronic *H. pylori* infections are needed. *H. pylori* infection of humans and experimental infection of rodents typically results in a mixed T helper 1 (Th1)/T helper 17 (Th17)-mediated immune response [257, 322, 325-334]. The long-term chronic inflammatory response to *H. pylori* is believed to drive or initiate the pathways which lead to the adverse outcomes of colonization including chronic gastritis,
intestinal metaplasia, and gastric cancer. Our mouse model of *H. pylori* infection is set up to investigate this critical pathway during chronic infection focusing on the outcome of gastritis.

T cells play a decisive role in initiating and shaping pathological and protective responses in tissues. Classical examples of T cell-mediated diseases are inflammatory bowel disease, type 1 diabetes, psoriasis, rheumatoid arthritis and multiple sclerosis. Relevant to this study, IL-21 is a cytokine produced mostly by activated CD4+ T cells, especially Th17 cells, Tfh cells and NKT cells. IL-21 induces proliferation and increases cell survival and cytokine synthesis in many immune cells [reviewed in [335]]. *H. pylori* also upregulates IL-21 during infection, correlating the IL-21 expression with levels of gastritis in the mouse model [298]. Moreover, IL-21 was associated with *H. pylori* infection in a study of infected humans [336].

Immunoinformatic approaches cannot replace traditional experimentation, however, they can be used to synthesize, organize and integrate diverse datatypes and theoretical frameworks to help generate new knowledge and target *in vivo* experimentation. Indeed, computer simulations of immunological processes can predict novel experimental behaviors, correlations and interactions between components of a complex system such as the signaling pathways controlling differentiation and function of Th cells [260, 269]. A CD4+ T cell computational model was built, calibrated and validated to investigate interactions of external cytokines and transcription factors within a CD4+ T cell in the absence of infection [279]. Our initial CD4+ T cell modeling studies investigated the importance of the peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) in regulating the plasticity between Th17 and iTreg [32]. To not only observe intracellular events, but also to have a cellular understanding of the immune response towards *H. pylori*, we also used a published tissue-level model to study how CD4+ T cell subsets influenced initiation, progression and outcome of disease [32].

This study leverages our published CD4+ T cell model [279] and cellular *H. pylori* model [32] to establish a chronic *H. pylori*- specific CD4+ T cell differentiation model which allowed us to investigate the role of IL-21 in the maintenance of the T cell-mediated gastric mucosal responses to chronic *H. pylori* infection. Thus, we combined
computational modeling and mechanistic experimental studies in mice to dissect the effect of *H. pylori* infection on the intracellular pathways by which IL-21 modulates CD4+ T cell responses during chronic infection. Our *in silico* and *in vivo* data suggest that IL-21 is a key cytokine for maintenance of both the Th1 and Th17 response during *H. pylori* infection. Furthermore, we provide novel evidence that IL-21 is required for the development of gastritis and control of *H. pylori* bacterial burden, as well as for the modulation of T cell-derived IL-10 and phosphorylation of both STAT1 and STAT3. These data together represents key knowledge that could help in the development of novel IL-21-centered, immunotherapeutics for controlling infectious and immune-mediated diseases.

### 4.3 IL-21 deficiency leads to increased *H. pylori* colonization in the mouse model

The first step to evaluate the role of IL-21 during *H. pylori* infection *in vivo* was to determine any effects on *H. pylori* burden in the mouse stomach. IL-21/-/- mice and their wild-type littermates were infected with *H. pylori* SS1. At time points up to 3 months post-infection, mice were sacrificed and *H. pylori* colonization was measured by culture using serial dilution colony counting. At 1 month post-infection there was no significant difference in colonization of IL-21/-/- mice compared to wild-type mice (data not shown). However, at later time-points as chronicity develops, IL-21/-/- mice had significantly higher levels of *H. pylori* colonization compared to wild-type littermates on both the B6;129 background at 2 months (Figure 4.1A) and 3 months post-infection (Figure 4.1B).
Figure 4.1. IL-21 is required to control *H. pylori* infection in the mouse model. IL-21/- mice and wild-type littermates were infected with *H. pylori* strain SS1 for up to 3 months. Levels of colonisation were measured by plating serial dilutions of stomach homogenates. The number of colony forming units (CFU) was then calibrated to the weight of the tissue and log (CFU/gram) are presented on the graphs for 2 months post-infection (A) and 3 months post-infection (B). Error bar represents SEM. Results are representative of 3 independent experiments. (C) Steiner and H&E stains were performed in both *H. pylori* infected wild-type and IL-21/- gastric mucosa sections (sections are at 200x, 200x, 1000x and 1000x, respectively). Sections are representative of 10 wild-type and 10 IL-21/- mice at 3 months post infection.

We also observed this increase in bacterial burden in IL-21/- infected mice from the C57BL/6 background (Fig. 4.2A), suggesting that IL-21 modulates the immune response resulting in higher *H. pylori* clearance in the gastric mucosa of wild-type mice. In order to localize *H. pylori* presence in the gastric tissue, a modified Steiner stain was performed on sections from the gastric tissue at 3 months post infection.
Figure 4.2. IL-21 is required for control of bacterial burden, enhances gastritis, Th1 and Th17 cytokine production in C57BL/6 mice. (A) The number of colony forming units (CFU) was then calibrated to the weight of the tissue and CFU/gram are presented from mice harvested between 2 and 3 months post-infection. Levels of acute and chronic inflammation were scored on stomach tissue (in the corpus and antrum) harvested between 2 and 3 months post infection. (B) Total inflammation was scored on a scale of 0-12. Representative sections of the gastric mucosa are presented (200x, C). (D) Real time rtPCR was performed on stomach tissue of *H. pylori* infected mice. Relative units of IL-17A and IFNγ were measured. Relative units are normalized.
using the relative expression calibrated to uninfected wild-type with GAPDH as the endogenous control. Graphs are representative of 2 independent experiments. *p<0.05, **p<0.01.

When inflammation was present in the *H. pylori* infected wild-type mice (representative section, Figure 4.1C), bacteria localized to the mucus on the lumen side of the tissue and were rarely observed deeper in the tissue. Whereas, in the *H. pylori* infected IL-21-/-, where there was minimal inflammation as well as in areas of stomach in wild-type mice where inflammation was low, bacteria were present both in the mucus and in the glands, and also deeper in the tissue (Figure 4.1C).

### 4.4 IL-21 deficiency protects *H. pylori* infected mice from chronic gastritis

We next sought to evaluate the level of gastritis following *H. pylori* infection, and tissues were scored for inflammation. Scoring the tissue for acute and chronic inflammation in both the antrum and the corpus provided a quantitative method for assessing the presence of the neutrophils (acute inflammation) and lymphocytes (chronic inflammation). *H. pylori* infected IL-21-/- mice had significantly reduced inflammation compared to *H. pylori* infected wild-type mice on both B6;129 (Figure 4.3A) and C57BL/6 backgrounds (Figure 4.2B). Representative photomicrographs demonstrated the lack of inflammation present in the IL-21-/- mice compared to the wild-type littermates at 3 months post-infection on the B6;129 (Figure 4.3B) and C57BL/6 backgrounds (Figure 4.2C). These data suggest that IL-21 plays a role in controlling chronic *H. pylori* colonization and makes a significant contribution to the generation of chronic gastritis.
Figure 4.3. Inflammation is reduced in *H. pylori* infected IL-21-/- mice compared to *H. pylori* infected wild-type littermates. Levels of acute and chronic inflammation were scored on stomach tissue (in the corpus and antrum) at 3 months post infection. Total inflammation (A) was scored on a scale of 0-12 (Error bar represents upper and lower interquartile range). Representative sections of the gastric mucosa are presented from 3 months post infection (200x, B). Flow cytometric analysis was performed on dissociated stomach tissue at 3 months post infection (N=8 per genotype). Percentages of neutrophils (Gr1+CD11c+), macrophages (CD11b+Gr1-), CD4+CD3+ T cells, CD8+CD3+ T cells and B cells (B220+) were calculated in the live cell gate from the *H. pylori* infected mice. Error bar represents ± SEM. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001. Results are representative of 3 independent experiments.

To evaluate specifically which cell migration to the stomach is affected by the IL-21 deficiency, we immunophenotyped isolated gastric lamina propria cells by flow cytometry. The most striking finding was that IL-21 deficiency significantly affected the numbers of CD4+ T cells in the *H. pylori*-infected stomachs. In addition, reduced numbers of CD8+ T cells, B lymphocytes and neutrophils in the gastric mucosa of *H. pylori*-infected IL-21-/- mice compared to *H. pylori* -infected wild-type littermates were found (Figure 4.3C).
4.5 Chemokine and cytokine expression is abrogated in IL-21 deficient mice

To investigate how levels of chemokines and other inflammatory cytokines were affected by the IL-21 deficiency a multiplex protein assay was performed at 2 and 3 months post-infection. Our data demonstrate that many IFNγ-induced chemokines, including RANTES, IP-10, and MIP1β, were present at significantly lower levels in the *H. pylori-*infected IL-21/-/- mice when compared to *H. pylori* infected wild-type littermates (Figure 4.4A). Moreover, the IL-17 induced chemokine, KC (a mouse homologue of IL-8), was significantly lower in the *H. pylori*-infected IL-21/-/- mice compared to *H. pylori*-infected wild-type littermates (Figure 4.4B). Pro-inflammatory cytokines, TNFα and IL-1β, which can enhance inflammation or induce further effector T cell differentiation, were significantly lower in the *H. pylori*-infected IL-21/-/- mice compared to *H. pylori*-infected wild-type littermates both at the protein (Figure 4.4C) and RNA levels (Figure 4.4D).
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**Figure 4.4.** *H. pylori* infected IL-21/-/- mice express reduced levels of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines during chronic infection. Gastric protein levels were measured in the stomach tissue using a Milliplex assay at 2 and 3 months post infection. Protein levels are reported as pg of protein per µg of total tissue. (A) Levels of IFNγ induced chemokines (RANTES, IP-10, MIP1β), (B) IL-17A induced chemokine (KC), and proinflammatory cytokines IL-1β and TNFα are reduced in *H. pylori* – infected IL-21/-/- mice at the protein level (C) Real time rtPCR was performed on stomach tissue of *H.pylori* infected mice. Relative units of IL-1β and TNFα were measured. Relative units are normalized using the relative expression calibrated to uninfected wild-type with GAPDH as the endogenous control. Graphs are representative of 2 independent experiments. *p<0.05, **p<0.01. (D) Abundance of IL-12p40, G-CSF, GM-CSF and MCP-1 are not affected by IL-21 deficiency during *H. pylori* infection (data not shown). The results are representative of 2 independent experiments. *p≤0.05, **p≤0.01, *** p≤0.001.
4.6 IL-21 deficiency leads to abrogated Th17 and Th1 effector responses

IL-21 plays a role in the maintenance of the Th17 responses [337]. To investigate the role of IL-21 on production of mucosal T cell-derived cytokines in the context of *H. pylori* infection, real time rtPCR was performed on RNA isolated from the stomachs of *H. pylori*-infected IL-21−/− mice and their *H. pylori*-infected wild-type littermates. Expression of both IL-17 (Figure 4.5A) and IFNγ (Figure 4.5B) was significantly reduced in the *H. pylori*-infected IL-21−/− mice compared to *H. pylori*-infected wild-type littermates by 3 months post-infection. We found similar results with our C57BL/6 mice (Fig. 4.2D). These significant differences were confirmed by using a protein-based assay on stomach lysates (Figure 4.5C,D).

**Figure 4.5.** Th17 and Th1 responses are reduced in *H. pylori* infected IL-21−/− mice compared to *H. pylori* infected wild-type mice. Real time rtPCR was performed on stomach tissue of *H. pylori* infected mice. Relative units of IL-17A (A) and IFNγ (B) were measured at 3 months post-infection. Relative units are normalized using the relative expression calibrated to uninfected wild-type with GAPDH as the endogenous control. Graphs are representative of 3 independent experiments. Line represents average ± SEM. *p<0.05, **p<0.01. Gastric protein
levels were measured in the stomach tissue using a Milliplex assay at 2 and 3 months post infection. Protein levels are reported as pg of protein per µg of total tissue. A. IL-21/- mice express reduced protein levels of IL-17A (C) and IFNγ (D) during chronic infection. Graphs are representative of 2 independent experiments. Line represents average ± SEM. *p<0.05, **p<0.01.

4.7 IL-21 contributes to Th17 differentiation and modulates Th1 responses upon infection with *H. pylori*

CD4+ T cells responses are believed to drive chronic inflammation and contribute to long-term damage associated with adverse outcomes of chronic *H. pylori* infection. Based on our experimental data, IL-21 plays a role maintaining the activation of CD4+ T cells since *H. pylori* infected IL-21/- mice have significantly reduced inflammation in their gastric mucosa compared to wild-type littermates, with the most striking reduction in CD4+ T cells. To gain a more comprehensive mechanistic understanding of why the lack of IL-21 has such an impact on the development and maintenance of CD4+ T cells and their function in the gastric mucosa of *H. pylori*-infected mice, we used our cytokine data to leverage a computational and mathematical model that simulates CD4+ T cell differentiation. Specifically, the original CD4+ T cell differentiation model [28] was calibrated with real-time rtPCR data from wild-type or IL-21 deficient (IL-21/-) mice infected with *H. pylori* for 3 months (Figure 4.5) to represent the influence of the cytokine environment that a CD4+ T cell encounters during infection. The goal of the study presented here was to investigate the role of IL-21 in the development of the T cell mediated gastric mucosal responses to *H. pylori*. Thus, we combined computational modeling and mechanistic experimental studies in mice to dissect the effect of *H. pylori* infection on the pathways by which IL-21 modulates CD4+ T cell responses. This new mechanistic knowledge could help in the development of novel immunotherapeutic treatments capable of controlling autoimmune diseases such as Crohn’s disease, multiple sclerosis, type 1 diabetes and rheumatoid arthritis. Our *in silico* and *in vivo* data suggests that IL-21 is a key cytokine for maintenance of both the Th1 and Th17 response during *H. pylori* infection. Furthermore, the data indicate that IL-21 is therefore required for the development of gastritis and control of *H. pylori* bacterial burden.
As mentioned before, computational modeling and immunoinformatics have been proven to be a powerful tool to understand mechanisms of action underlying immune responses to different pathogens. In this chapter, we used an intracellular model that computes and simulates the process of CD4+ T cell differentiation and a published tissue level model that allow us to look at the contribution of different CD4+ T cell subsets from a cellular scale in the context of a chronic *Helicobacter pylori* infection [32]. These models represent two scenarios at different time and spatial scales. First, the CD4+ T cell model is centered in the intracellular compartment of a CD4+ T cell in the dynamics of its cytokines and transcription factors in the context of *H. pylori* infection. On the other hand, the tissue level model represents the main cell-cell interactions at the tissue level that are triggered after *H. pylori* infection. Future work is directed towards the development of a multiscale platform that can encompass both scales at the same time. Of note, the tissue level model was calibrated in a similar fashion to what is described in this supplementary text but in this case we used cellular data coming from our immunophenotyping studies from IL-21 deficient mice and wild-type littermates (Figure 4.5).

First, to facilitate a comprehensive representation of the dynamics associated with the pathways controlling CD4+ T cell differentiation in the context of *H. pylori*, we used an ordinary differential equation (ODE)-based computational model, which includes 93 species, 46 reactions and 60 ODEs driving activations and inhibition pathways. The equations of the CD4+ T cell differentiation model can be observed in Figure S7. IL-21 plays a semi-central role in our model. When IL-21 is available in the environment, our computational model will bind the external IL-21 to its membrane receptor, IL21R. This will initiate a series of cascade reactions involving the activation of STAT3 and RORγt. At the same time, the formation of the IL-21-IL-21R membrane complex will be inhibited by STAT5 and activated by IL17-IL-17R complex. STAT1 can be also activated after the IL-21-IL-21R complex is formed. Other signals in the computational model, such as STAT3 or BCL6 will activate the internal production of IL-21, which will be externalized to the extracellular space and it will be able to bind to the IL21R if it is available.

As described in [279], on the MIEP website (http://www.modelingimmunity.org/models/cd4-t-cell-model-archive/) and at http://www.ebi.ac.uk/biomodels-main/(MODEL1303020000), the intracellular CD4+ T cell differentiation model was properly
calibrated using experimental data and validated *in vivo* through a series of mechanistic mouse studies. In order to ensure proper calibration of the CD4+ T cell model and make it predictive to *H. pylori* data, a top-down approach was implemented (Figure 4.6).

**Figure 4.6. Calibration process using the CD4+ T cell computational model to adjust dynamics to *H. pylori* specific data.** A top-down approach was used to calibrate IL-21 specific pathways with *H. pylori* infection data. Briefly, the dynamics of the CD4+ T cell computational model were adjusted by using a calibration database with data obtained from published repositories or in-house generated data. After the parameter estimation process was completed and the model fitted the experimental data, computational simulations were performed. These first sets of simulations are published in [28]. *H. pylori*-specific data regarding the expression of IL-17 and IFNγ was used for further calibration of the model by running parameter estimation iteratively.

To calibrate IL-21-related pathways, *H. pylori*-specific experimental data from wild-type and IL-21 null mice was used and parameters were adjusted using a Particle Swarm algorithm run in the COmplex PAtthway SImlulator (COPASI) [29] to ensure accurate representation of experimental data. Since the dynamics would result very similar either
using our gene expression (Figure 4.5A) or the protein data (Figure 4.5B), we decided to use the real-time PCR data because the differences in IFNγ where greater. Indeed, calibration results showed appropriate fitting between experimental data and computational predictions (Figure 4.7).

![Figure 4.7. Computational fitting of computational model parameters from *H. pylori*-derived RT-PCR and protein data in COPASI. IFNγ (A) and IL-17 (B) were fitted by COPASI using the Particle Swarm algorithm (2000 iterations). The fitted value (dark blue dots) could reproduce the behavior of the measured value (red dots). The weighted error (green dots) is low, indicating that the fitting has been performed successfully. After completion, a new set of hypothesis regarding the role of IL-21 in Th1 and Th17 during *H. pylori* infection were generated and experimental animal studies were run in order to validate such computational predictions.

Other controls demonstrating a good fitting between the model and the experimental data were error mean of estimation results (Figure 4.8A), gradient analysis (Figure 4.8B), and fluxes performance (Figure 4.8C-4.8F).
Figure 4.8. Parameter estimation results and differential reaction on model fluxes after Th17 induction. (A) Numerical values on the parameter estimation results showing error means on fitting the experimental data into the computational model. (B) Gradient analysis on parameters related to IL-21 reactions. Fluxes were assessed in COPASI in (C, E) the wild-type model and (D, F) the IL-21/- model. Lines in (C) and (D) represent the reaction fluxes of all the reactions in the CD4+ T cell computational model. Fluxes in (E) and (F) have been specified in on the figure’s legend.
After completion of the calibration process, time-courses were performed with 600 intervals during 200 hours initializing the model with external IL-12 and IFN\(_\gamma\) to induce Th1, and with external IL-6 and TGF-\(\beta\) to induce Th17. iTreg was induced by initializing the model with TGF-\(\beta\) and IL-2. These initial concentrations reproduced the cytokine environment found in the gastric mucosa during the chronic phase of \(H. pylori\) infection and provided relevant scenarios to study the role of IL-21 in CD4+ T cell differentiation. Furthermore, parameter scans were run on IL-21 comparing key species in the model.

With the rising application of systems biology, sensitivity analysis methods have been widely applied to study the biological systems. Since cell behaviors are not only determined by the characteristics of individual biological components but also by the interactions of such components acting together as a system, we sought to determine which components of the model are either positively or negatively correlated to IL-21. Therefore, sensitivity analyses were run using the correlation analysis task embedded in COPASI using a delta factor of 0.001 and a delta minimum of \(10^{-12}\).

To evaluate the role of IL-21 in CD4+ T cell differentiation during \(H. pylori\) infection, we engineered an IL-21/-/- CD4+ T cell differentiation model in silico. To create the IL-21/-/- system, we knocked-out the ability of the model to produce internal IL-21 as well as to exert any effects that are triggered by the binding of IL-21 to its receptor. The reactions that were knocked out to create the in silico IL-21/-/- system are described in Table 4.1. As a quality control for the deletion of IL-21 in silico, reaction fluxes demonstrated complete inactivation of IL-21 in the knockout system (Fig. 4.8C-4.8F).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction name</th>
<th>Reaction Fundamentals</th>
<th>Type of dynamics</th>
<th>Knocked-out parameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>re22: IL21 internalization</td>
<td>eIL21 + IL21R = IL21-IL21R</td>
<td>Mass Action and Hill Function</td>
<td>VF = 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>re23: IL21 externalization</td>
<td>IL21 -&gt; eIL21</td>
<td>Mass Action</td>
<td>K1 = 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>re24: Internal production of IL21</td>
<td>pIL21 = IL21</td>
<td>Mass Action</td>
<td>K1 = 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4.1 Reactions involved in IL-21 activation.** Reactions involved in IL-21 activation were abrogated to simulate a cell specific IL-21 knock-out system.

Modulation of transcription factors is critical for Th cell differentiation and cytokine production [reviewed in [338]]. To broadly investigate the contribution of specific molecules to IL-21, sensitivity analysis was run on internal CD4+ T cell specific IL-21.
Simulation results showed a positive correlation for Th17 related molecules, such as phosphorylated STAT3 (P-STAT3), IL-17 and RORγt (Figure 4.9A). T-bet, IFNγ and phosphorylated STAT1 (P-STAT1) were also found positively correlated with IL-21 (Figure 4.9A). Interestingly, FOXP3 and IL-10 results on sensitivity analysis showed a negative correlation to IL-21 (Figure 4.9A). In silico experimentation indicated that there is a dramatic down-regulation of RORγt, IL-17 and phosphorylation of STAT3 when IL-21 production is deleted during Th17 differentiation (Figure 4.9B). Our results also demonstrated a downregulation of IFNγ and T-bet in the IL-21-deficient model following Th1 differentiation when compared to the wild-type model (Figure 4.9C). Next, we sought to determine the effect of an in silico upregulation of IL-21 in Th17-differentiated CD4+ T cells and found that P-STAT3, IL-17 and RORγt were upregulated with increasing doses of IL-21 (Figure 4.9D). These effects were abrogated in the IL-21-deficient system (Figure 4.9E).

Of note, when evaluating Th1 and Th17 populations in our *H. pylori*...
tissue level model, we also found reduced numbers of Th1 and Th17 populations in the IL-21 deficient model when compared to the wild-type model during the chronic stage of infection (Figure 4.10). These in silico results provided evidence that IL-21 plays a key role in CD4+ T cell modulation during H. pylori-induced Th1/Th17 CD4+ T cell responses at chronic time points.

![Th1 and Th17 populations](image)

**Figure 4.10.** IL-21 is required for the upregulation of Th1 and Th17 responses and it modulates neutrophil recruitment in the gastric lamina propria during the chronic stages of H. pylori infection. (A) In silico time-course experiment performed with a challenge of 5×10⁷ colony forming units of initial H. pylori injected in the mathematical model, showing differences in (A) numbers of gastric lamina propria (LP) CD4+ T cell subsets and (B) numbers of gastric lamina propria (LP) neutrophils over time in both the wild-type and the IL-21 deficient model.

### 4.8 Experimental validation of model predictions: IL-21 modulates the expression of Th1 and Th17 transcription factors

Guided by the model predictions, we sought next to investigate if IL-21 affects gene expression of CD4+ T lymphocyte transcription factors tbx21 and rorc (which translate to Tbet and RORγt) in vivo. CD4+ T cells were isolated from spleens of H. pylori-infected IL-21/-/- mice and wild-type littermates at 3 months post-infection and real time rtPCR
was performed. As predicted, expression of *tbx21* and *rorc* was significantly reduced in the CD4+ cells from *H. pylori*–infected IL-21-/- mice when compared to *H. pylori*-infected wild-type littermates (Figure 4.11A).

Our computational model also predicted that IL-21 positively correlates with phosphorylation of STAT1 and STAT3. Indeed, the *in silico* IL-21-/- model showed a down-regulation of P-STAT3 in Th17 cells (Figure 4.9B) and P-STAT1 in Th1 cells (Figure 4.9C). To test this prediction *in vivo*, the level of P-STAT1 and P-STAT3 was measured in CD4+ splenocytes from *H. pylori*-infected IL-21-/- and *H. pylori*-infected wild-type mice at 3 months post-infection. The data indicate that while total phosphorylation of STAT1 and STAT3 is low when the cells are not restimulated *ex vivo*, the mean fluorescence intensity of the P-STAT1 and P-STAT3 staining is significantly lower in the CD4+ splenocytes from IL-21-/- mice compared to wild-type mice (Figure 4.11B).

Figure 4.11. Transcription factors associated with Th1 and Th17 are affected by IL-21 deficiency in the mouse model. (A) CD4 lymphocytes isolated from *H. pylori* infected IL-21-/-
express reduced levels of \( tbx21 \) and \( rorc \). Gene expression of transcription factors \( tbx21 \) and \( rorc \) were measured in CD4+ T cells isolated from the spleens of \( H. pylori \) infected IL-21-/- and wild-type mice. Relative units are normalized using the relative expression calibrated to expression in CD4+ splenocytes from uninfected mice with GAPDH as the endogenous control. (B) The levels of phospho-STAT1 and phospho-STAT3 in unstimulated cells from infected mice were measured by flow cytometry and the mean fluorescence intensity of the CD4+ splenocytes is reported. Line represents average ± SEM. \(*p<0.05, **p<0.01.\)

Figure 4.12. IL-21 modulates the expression of IL-10 during \( Helicobacter pylori \) infection. The CD4+ T cell model predicted (A) a higher production of IL-10 in IL-21-/- mice and (B) no differences in the expression of FOXP3 when comparing wild-type and IL-21-/- mice. To validate those predictions levels of mRNA in CD4+ T cells of spleens of \( H. pylori \) infected mice were assessed, specifically for (C) \( il10 \) transcripts and (D) \( foxp3 \) transcripts. Line represents average ± SEM.

4.9 Expression of markers of T cell regulation (Foxp3 and IL-10) in IL-21 deficient mice
Since we observed a negative correlation in IL-10 and FOXP3 in relation to IL-21 (Figure 4.9A), we investigated whether there was a change in IL-10 and FOXP3 expression in iTreg cells in the gastric mucosa since major expression of FOXP3 comes from regulatory T cells. To do so, we performed computational simulations in the CD4+ T cell differentiation model and we evaluated the level of IL-10 in Th17 and the level of FOXP3 in iTreg cells. We hypothesized that an increase in Treg cells may explain the decrease in gastritis found in IL-21/-/- mice. However, *in silico* results differentiating the mathematical model with TGFβ and IL-2 showed no difference between wild-type and IL-21/-/- mice in expression of FOXP3 within the Treg cell subset (Figure 4.12A). Furthermore, when induced with IL-6 and TGFβ together, our mathematical model predicted a dramatic upregulation of IL-10 in the IL-21-deficient system when compared to the wild-type system in Th17 cells (Figure 4.12B). To validate these predictions, we measured *foxp3* transcript levels in CD4+ T cells isolated from the spleens of *H. pylori*-infected IL-21/-/- mice and wild-type littermates at 3 months post-infection. Experimental results showed no significant difference in the CD4+ T lymphocytes, validating the model prediction and suggesting that the reduction in Th1 and Th17 effector responses is not mediated through an increase in iTreg cells (Figure 4.12C). We similarly measured IL-10 expression in CD4+ T lymphocytes isolated from the spleens of *H. pylori*-infected IL-21/-/- mice and wild-type mice. As the model predicted, IL-10 expression was significantly higher in CD4+ T lymphocytes from *H. pylori*-infected IL-21/-/- mice compared to *H. pylori* infected wild-type littermates (Figure 4.12D).

### 4.10 Discussion and Conclusions

Since the identification of Th17 cells almost a decade ago, we have gained a better understanding of how CD4+ T helper cells help control bacterial colonization beyond providing B cell help and activating macrophage function. Th17 cells play a central role in controlling many bacterial infections through activation of chemokine pathways and antimicrobial responses. They activate these pathways through production of IL-17A, IL-17F and IL-22. In addition, IL-21, which is produced by Th17 cells, plays a role in amplifying the Th17 cell effector response [339]. In this study, we find that IL-21 is not
only required for the maintenance of IL-17 production in the gastric mucosa following \textit{H. pylori} infection, but also that IL-21 plays an important role in the development and maintenance of the IFN\(\gamma\) response. As a result, a deficiency in IL-21 protected \textit{H. pylori} infected mice from chronic gastritis, at the expense of increased bacterial burden. Since IFNg and IL-17 can induce chemokine expression, the lack of Th1 and Th17 responses in the gastric mucosa of the \textit{H. pylori} infected IL-21-/- mice leads to reduced chemokine expression and fewer neutrophils. Since neutrophils are likely controlling the bacterial burden, IL-21-/- mice have more bacterial colonization and interestingly, Steiner stains indicated that the bacteria in the IL-21-/- mice are typically localized not only in the mucus layer but also deeper in the tissues (i.e. in glands, Figure 4.1C). Despite increased bacterial burden and deeper localization of \textit{H. pylori} in the tissue, in our mouse model the infected IL-21-/- mice do not show any signs of comfort or distress. In wild type mice where inflammation occurs in a localized manner, the \textit{H. pylori} localized to the mucus layer, but the bacteria are not observed in the gland. It is worth noting that there are areas in wild type mice where inflammation is low and in those areas \textit{H. pylori} can also be found deeper in the tissue.

Immunoinformatic approaches are rising as useful tools to provide insight on potential trends through integrating current datasets and knowledge, and detecting behavioral responses at the systems level. In this specific study, after observing how the bacterial burden is significantly higher in the stomachs of IL-21-/- mice (Figure 4.1), we found that these mice have in fact less leukocytic infiltration, including very few CD4+ T cells in the gastric lamina propria (Figure 4.3). One potential explanation is that IL-21 impairs the ability of CD4+ T cells to mount a proper inflammatory response and affects a specific T cell product that would maintain or promote CD4+ T cell recruitment in the gastric lamina propria. This would explain the lack of inflammation in the gastric lamina propria. The ability of the innate immune compartment to clear out the bacteria could then be less effective without the proper effector response driven by CD4+ T cells. As a matter of fact, since CD4+ T cells were our focus, we leveraged the existing CD4+ T cell differentiation model [28] by re-calibrating the model with \textit{H. pylori}-specific data to understand what intracellular events were occurring within the CD4+ T cell compartment to then target experimental studies with predicted hypotheses. Indeed, by using a modeling approach that simulates the time-period of CD4+ T cell differentiation and calibrating with chronic \textit{H. pylori} data, we could determine which are the pathways most affected by the
presence or lack of IL-21. Moreover, we could characterize crosstalk between pathways within the CD4+ T cell differentiation model at the chronic stages of *H. pylori* infection. Previous studies suggest that IL-21 is a key cytokine for Th17 cell maintenance. Indeed, our *in silico* results demonstrate that differences between the wild-type and the IL-21 knockout models are not noticeable at the first stages of differentiation. However, when Th17 is fully differentiated, IL-21 is required for the upregulation of IL-17, RORγt and phosphorylation of STAT3. In fact, results of the tissue level model show a clear difference in Th1 and Th17 populations when comparing the IL-21 deficient system with the wild-type system. Furthermore, our computational simulations show a dramatic downregulation of IL-17, RORγt and the phosphorylation of STAT3 in a fully differentiated Th17 cell. IL-21 also plays an important role in Th1 responses as illustrated by the lower amounts of P-STAT1, T-bet expression and IFNγ. This computational approach allowed us to target experimental studies and be able to confirm and validate all these findings in our *in vivo* model of *H. pylori* infection.

In this regard, IL-10 has long been known to play an important role in the regulation of Th1 cell responses to pathogens [340]. It has also been shown that Th1 effector cells are themselves co-producers of IL-10 and IFNγ, since they use IL-10 as a “self-regulation” mechanism [341, 342], and that TGFβ and IL-6 can induce a CD4+ T cell subset that co-produces IL-17 and IL-10 [95]. These co-producers are considered self-regulatory Th17 cells. Our modeling results predict that IL-21 might be involved in controlling the balance between regulatory and effector responses during *H. pylori* infection through an IL-10 mechanism. Indeed, the CD4+ T cell computational model predicted an upregulation of Th17-specific IL-10 in IL-21/- mice, supporting the notion that IL-21 modulates negatively the expression of IL-10 in Th17 cells. Taken together, these findings indicate that IL-21 has emerged as a central molecule in CD4+ T cell differentiation that promotes effector responses in Th1 and Th17 cells through P-STAT1 and P-STAT3, respectively, and also downregulates the gene expression of *il10* in Th17 cells (Figure 4.13A). In this study our modeling approaches were predictive of *in vivo* outcomes (Figure 4.13B).
While several studies have investigated the role of Th17 versus Th1 cells during control of *H. pylori* infection and the development of gastritis, there does not appear to be a clear indication that one Th subset plays a more important role than the other. Murine studies have shown that Th1 responses are associated with increased gastritis, since
IFNγ-/- mice have decreased levels of gastric inflammation [343]. These studies have also shown that an insufficient Th1 response is associated with increased bacterial colonization [343, 344]. However, there is also evidence that adoptive transfer into SCID mice of CD4+ T cells from T-bet-/- mice, which do not exhibit IFN-γ production and Th1 differentiation, still results in gastritis [345] leaving the door open for a role for Th17 cells or other effector immune cells subsets in gastritis. Some studies have suggested that in the absence of IL-17, there is a decrease in inflammation and an increase in Th1 effector responses, which then drives down bacterial infection. On the other hand, our previously published study suggests that in the absence of IL-17RA (and IL-17 signaling), the Th1 response is not affected and while there is a decrease in acute inflammation driven by neutrophils, the result of loss of IL-17 signaling lead to increased bacterial burden and increased chronic inflammation, especially B lymphocytes [298]. Increased bacterial burden and chronic inflammation were accompanied by an increase in IL-21 expression as well. We hypothesize that IL-21 may drive chronic inflammation in the IL-17RA-/- mice infected with *H. pylori*. Our studies in IL-21-/- mice infected with *H. pylori* presented here demonstrate that IL-21 is required for both Th1 and Th17 responses to be maintained during chronic infection.

A hypothesis for why both Th1 and Th17 responses are suppressed in IL-21-/- mice is that there may be an increase in Treg cells in the absence of IL-21 signaling. Whereas sensitivity analysis performed with our CD4+ T cell computational model indicated that FOXP3 could potentially be negatively correlated to IL-21, the additional time-course, loss-of-function, in silico experiments and our data suggest that the number of Tregs is not affected by the deficiency of IL-21. Indeed, the suppression of Th1 and Th17 responses is a more direct effect on the CD4+ T cells as a consequence of the IL-21 deficiency. Our data indicates that at 3 months post-infection there is no increase of Treg cells in the stomachs or in the spleens of the *H. pylori* infected IL-21-/- mice compared to wild-type littermates, but this is not surprising since *H. pylori* IL-21-/- mice have little inflammation in their stomachs and there is an overall decrease in T cells in the stomachs of the IL-21-/- mice. Even in the periphery (spleen) the levels of foxp3 are not significantly different in the *H. pylori*-infected IL-21-/- mice compared to the *H. pylori* infected wild-type littermates. IL-21 may still affect the efficiency of the Treg response during infection, but our data indicate that it does not affect levels of foxp3. But, we
cannot rule out the possibility that Tregs contribute to the higher levels of CD4+-derived IL-10 in the IL-21-/- mice.

IL-21 has been implicated in expanding both Th1 and Th17 cells in our study with *H. pylori*, but it is also a key modulator in intestinal CD4+ T cell populations [346]. These studies suggest IL-21 as a promising therapeutic target for treatment of T cell mediated diseases such as inflammatory bowel disease. IL-21 is upregulated in mouse models of IBD (TNBS-relapsing colitis and DSS-induced colitis) and during chronic bacterial infections like *H. pylori* infection (reviewed in [335]). In models of experimental colitis, IL-21-/- mice were largely protected against both dextran sulfate sodium induced colitis and trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid-relapsing colitis [347]. In these models, the IL-21-/- mice were unable to up-regulate Th17-associated molecules during gut inflammation [347]. Blockade of IL-21 with IL-21R.Fc inhibits disease progression in a lupus prone mouse model [348] and ameliorates disease in a mouse model of rheumatoid arthritis [349]. Recent findings in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) patients demonstrates that IL-21 expression correlates with alterations of T cell and B cell subsets, and suggests that targeting IL-21 could provide beneficial effects on both T cell and B cell alterations [350]. In our IL-21-/- model, B cell responses are also affected. We observed that *H. pylori* infected IL-21-/- mice lack an *H. pylori*-specific IgG1 or IgG2a antibody response (measured in the serum, Figure 4.14), but B cells have never been reported to play a pathogenic role during *H. pylori* gastritis. Of note, an anti-IL21 receptor monoclonal antibody is being tested in a phase I clinical trial [324] positioning IL-21 as a promising, host-targeted therapeutic, that could potentially substitute the current aggressive triple-antibiotic treatment in the context of *H. pylori* infection.
Figure 4.14 *H. pylori*-specific IgG1 and IgG2a is reduced in IL-21 deficient mice during *H. pylori* infection. Isotype antibodies specific for *H. pylori* (strain SS1) were quantitated by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Levels of *H. pylori*-specific IgG1 and IgG2a in the serum from SS1 infected mice were measured at 3 months post infection. 9-10 mice (independent serum samples) were used for each mouse group.

In summary, we find that IL-21 deficient mice are protected from *H. pylori*-induced gastritis similar to protection observed in models of chemically induced colitis. Protection from *H. pylori*-induced gastritis was associated with a marked decrease in IL-17 and IFNγ in infected IL-21-deficient mice compared to wild-type mice. Our combined approach utilizing mathematical modeling and *in vivo* *H. pylori* infections in the mouse model indicate that IL-21 has a role for sustaining both Th1 and Th17 effector cell responses through induction phosphorylation of STAT1 and STAT3 and induced expression of *tbx21* and *rorc*. These data suggest that chronic maintenance of the T cell-mediated inflammation during *H. pylori* infection requires IL-21. Hence, IL-21 may be an
ideal target for the development of immunotherapeutics, although caution should be employed when Th1 and Th17 responses are necessary for controlling more virulent infections.

4.11 Materials and Methods

Mice
Male and female interleukin-21+/− mice (B6;129S5-Il21<sup>tm1Lex</sup>Mmucd, stock number MMRRC:011723-UCD and backcrossed to C57BL/6, stock number MMRRC: 032800-UCD) were obtained from the NIH Consortium (UC Davis) for the establishment of a breeding colonies. Helicobacter-free IL-21−/− and IL-21+/+ (wild-type) male littermates, 8-10 weeks old, were used in all experiments. The IL-21−/+ breeding pairs tested negative for intestinal Helicobacter. Feces from sentinel mice housed in the same room consistently tested negative for pinworms, mouse parvovirus and several other murine pathogens. Mice were housed and maintained according to the Vanderbilt University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Protocol M/11/055).

Culture of H. pylori
A mouse-passaged derivative of <i>H. pylori</i> strain SS1 was used in these experiments. Bacteria were grown on trypticase soy agar (TSA) plates containing 5% sheep blood. Alternatively, bacteria were grown in Brucella broth containing 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 10 µg/ml vancomycin. Plate cultures were grown at 37°C in either room air supplemented with 5% CO₂, or under microaerobic conditions generated by a CampyPak Plus* Hydrogen + CO₂ with Integral Palladium Catalyst (BD). Liquid cultures for infection were grown under microaerobic conditions while shaking at 150 rpm.

Infection of mice with H. pylori
One day prior to infection of mice, <i>H. pylori</i> strain SS1 was inoculated into liquid medium and was cultured for 18 hours under microaerobic conditions as described above. Mice
were orogastrically inoculated with a suspension of $5 \times 10^8$ CFU *H. pylori* (in 0.5 ml of Brucella broth) twice over 5 days.

**Processing of mouse stomachs**

The stomach was processed as previously described [351]. In brief, after rinsing in PBS the stomach tissue was cut into longitudinal strips that were used for bacterial culture, RNA analysis, histology and/or protein quantification. For histological analyses, sections were stained with hemotoxylin and eosin to assess inflammation (scoring described below). Moreover, a Steiner stain was performed to localize the *H. pylori* within the gastric tissue as previously described [352].

**Mathematical modeling**

To assert the dynamics of IL-21-related pathways in CD4+ T cells, an ordinary differential equations (ODE)-based CD4+ T cell differentiation model was used in its wild-type and IL-21-/- set up. Briefly, the model was calibrated with *H. pylori*-specific experimental data and time courses were performed with a Th1, Th17 or iTreg specific initialization to assess the role of IL-21 in these phenotypes.

**Culture of *H. pylori* from mouse stomach**

Gastric tissue was homogenized using a Tissue Tearor (Biospec Products Inc.) in Brucella broth with 10% FBS. Serial dilutions of the homogenate were plated as previously described (34). After 5 to 7 days of culture under microaerobic conditions, *H. pylori* colonies were counted.

**Stomach Inflammation Scoring**

Acute and chronic inflammation in the gastric antrum and corpus were graded on a 0-3 scale [353-355]. Acute inflammation was graded by a blinded pathologist (MKW or MBP) based on density of neutrophils, and chronic inflammation was graded based on the density of lamina propria (LP) mononuclear cell infiltration independent of lymphoid follicles. Total gastric inflammation was calculated as a sum of acute and chronic inflammation in the corpus and the antrum allowing for quantification of total inflammation on a scale of 0-12.
**RNA extraction and real-time rtPCR**

Total RNA was isolated from the stomach using the TRIZOL isolation protocol (Invitrogen) with slight modifications as previously described (34). CD4+ T cell RNA was isolated using Qiagen’s RNeasy kit as directed by the manufacturer. RNA was reverse transcribed using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Life Technology). For real time reverse transcription PCR (rtPCR), we used the relative gene expression method (35). Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) served as the normalizer, and tissue from uninfected mouse stomachs (of same genotype) served as the calibrator sample as previously described (34).

**Flow cytometric analysis**

To analyze gastric cellular infiltrates, whole mouse glandular stomachs were harvested and processed (with dispase and collagenase) using the Gentle Dissociator (Miltenyi Biotec) as previously described (34). The gastric cells were stained with anti-CD4, anti-CD8, and anti-CD3, anti-Gr1, anti-CD11b and anti-B220 antibodies (BD Biosciences) as previously described (34). Samples were collected and analyzed on a BD LSR II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences).

**Analysis of cytokine and chemokine protein levels in gastric tissues**

Freshly excised glandular stomach tissues were rinsed in PBS and homogenized in Cell Lytic MT Mammalian Tissue Lysis/Extraction buffer (Sigma). Twenty-five cytokine analytes were measured in tissue lysates using the MILLIPLEX MAP Mouse Cytokine/Chemokine Magnetic Bead Panel kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Millipore). Standards were also prepared for all 25 cytokine analytes according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Protein concentrations were measured using the DC protein assay kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The concentration of each cytokine is presented as pg/µg tissue protein.

**CD4+ T cell isolations**

CD4+ T cells were isolated from the spleens of *H. pylori*-infected IL-21−/− mice and *H. pylori*-infected wild-type littermates between 2 and 3 months post infection. Spleens were harvested and after red blood cell lysis, the cells were magnetically labeled with
CD4 Microbeads (Clone L3T4, Miltenyi Biotec). CD4+ cells were positively selected using the positive selection sensitive program on the autoMACS machine (Miltenyi Biotec) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The resulting population was 92-96% CD4+ by flow cytometry analysis.

**STAT1 and STAT3 phosphorylation assays**

Levels of STAT phosphorylation were measured by flow cytometry. Briefly, one million splenocytes were either unstimulated or stimulated with 10 ng/mL recombinant IL-6 or recombinant IL-21 (Peprotech) for 15 minutes. After fixation with a final concentration of 1.5% paraformaldehyde, cells were permeabilized with cold methanol. Cells were stained with either phospho-STAT3 (tyr705, D3A7) antibody (Cell Signaling Technology) or phospho-STAT1 (tyr 701, D4A7) antibody for 45 minutes. After several washes, anti-rabbit IgG AlexaFluor 647 was added for 30 minutes. Cells were washed three times and analyzed by flow cytometry.

**Statistical analysis**

Four to seven mice per group per time point were used for all of the studies. Colonization, inflammation, luminex assays, cytokine real-time rtPCR were all performed as distinct experiments at least 3 times. To compare results obtained with different groups of mice, statistical analysis was performed using one-way Analysis of Variance, followed by a Student-Neuman-Keuls post-hoc test. For analyses of bacterial numbers and cell numbers, the data was normalized by log transformation prior to statistical analysis. For histology scores, the Mann-Whitney U-test was applied to compare results between wild-type and IL-21-/- mice.
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Modeling the dynamics of T helper 17 induction and differentiation

Adria Carbo, Josep Bassaganya-Riera, Tricity Andrew, Kristin Eden, Monica Viladomiu, Stefan Hoops, Raquel Hontecillas.


5.1 Summary

CD4+ T cells play important roles in orchestrating immune responses, maintaining homeostasis, and offering a functional control of immune responses when homeostasis is compromised. Depending on the cytokine milieu, a naïve CD4+ T cell differentiates into different phenotypes such as T helper (Th)1, Th2, Treg, or Th17. The latter, remains a controversial phenotype since it has been identified as a pro-inflammatory and tissue-damaging cell subset in the context of immune-mediated diseases, but it also has been demonstrated that it contributes to regulatory immune responses and pathogen clearance during infection. With the increased availability of high-throughput datasets, modeling that combines theoretical and data-driven approaches become powerful tools to build predictive network models. We used a microarray dataset generated by Yosef et al. to construct a dynamic model of Th17 differentiation. Our modeling pipeline pre-processed the gene expression data to average duplicates and tailor the dataset to 2,000+ genes of interest. By using the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) platform, we inferred a static network composed of 67 genes involved in induction, function, and
maintenance of Th17 differentiation. The dynamic network model was built from the IPA network by using CellDesigner and COPASI. In vitro time-course mRNA expression data was used to fully calibrate the modeling network using COPASI. Computational simulations highlighted the potential role of IL-24 in shaping effector, IL-17A producing responses, and local sensitivity analysis demonstrated that IL-24 is negatively correlated with FOXP3 expression. In addition, IL-24 was demonstrated to regulate the balance between FOXP3 and RORγt during Th17 differentiation. Moreover, NLRP3 was identified as another potential therapeutic target to treat Th17-driven pathologies, since the ablation of the NLRP3 gene resulted in a dramatic down-regulation of IL-17A production. Local sensitivity analyses on NLRP3 highlighted the positive correlation of NLRP3 with IP-10, GM-CSF, IFNγ, and IL-17A. Summarizing, we established a computational pipeline for constructing and calibrating models of Th17 differentiation based upon gene expression datasets. Our simulation results and sensitivity analyses of the model highlighted the role of IL-24, SGK1, and NLRP3 as key modulators of Th17 differentiation and opened a new avenue of strategies to use publicly available data to generate hypothesis pointing in the direction of immune marker discovery.

5.2 Introduction

The immune system is a highly specialized, hierarchical, and networked system with protective effects against pathogens, injury and disease. The CD4+ T cell subsets are functionally and phenotypically heterogeneous, consisting of distinct populations involved in coordinating various aspects of adaptive immunity. Upon antigen recognition and co-stimulatory signaling, naïve CD4+ T cells will differentiate into a specific phenotype depending on the cytokine milieu. The current understanding of CD4+ T cell differentiation comprises at least nice functionally and phenotypically distinct states: T helper type 1 and 2 (Th1 and Th2) [4], T helper type 9 (Th9) [8], T helper type 17 (Th17) [5], T helper type 22 (Th22) [11], Follicular T helper cells (Tfh) [122, 123], induced regulatory T cells (Treg) [6, 7], and type 1 regulatory cells (Tr1) [120]. In this panicle of CD4+ T cell subsets, the Th17 population is a key player in chronic inflammatory diseases such as Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD) [356], type 2 diabetes (T2D) [357], rheumatoid arthritis [358] or in the infectious disease setting such as during Helicobacter pylori [32] or Clostridium difficile infection [269] and enteroaggregative Escherichia coli [260, 359]. Even though Th17 cells have been fully characterized in the context of inflammatory pathologies, they remain elusive and controversial because of their
plasticity and their pleiotropic role in the immune response, functional plasticity and flexibility. For example, intestinal IL-17A+ IL-10+ Th17 cells are known to be immunosuppressive [48], however, in the onset of IBD, increased IL-17A expression has been reported [69] and Th17 cells expressing IFNγ or GM-CSF can accumulate during gut inflammatory disorders [42, 54, 55].

Theoretical models have been used to decipher the mechanisms of the Th17 population by focusing on the reciprocal regulation exerted by the transcription factors RORγt and FOXP3 [27, 34]. We published a computational and mathematical model of CD4+ T cell differentiation that predicted and validated the role of peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) in modulating the plasticity between Th17 and Treg [28]. Due to the increasing availability of high throughput data, combining theoretical and data-driven approaches becomes more feasible. Theory-driven modeling in the context of CD4+ T cell differentiation has been studied by using reductionist approaches, most often based on mutually exclusive concepts, such as Th1 versus Th2 phenotypes with limited consideration towards intermediate or compounded phenotypes. However, combining data-driven and theoretical approaches emerges as a new strategy for multivariate analysis and systems-level hypothesis generation. In this context, network inference is a key step in extracting the information from various datasets in a manner that combines data and theory. An example on how to use high-throughput data to construct a CD4+ T cell comprehensive network is the study published by Yosef et al., where they used transcriptional profiling with microarrays at high temporal resolution to build a Th17 induction system [67]. In this study, 1,291 genes were differentially identified and clustered into 20 groups, depending on their temporal profiles. Another advantage highlighted in this study is the use of modules to explain the processes controlling Th17 differentiation. Four regulatory modules were identified: the positive module that increased IL-17 levels, the negative module that downregulated IL-17, the signature of Th17 genes and signature of other CD4+ T cell subtypes. This work supported the finding of 3 novel key regulators of Th17 function: Mina, Fas, and Pou2af1.

Our current paper describes the creation of a computational model of Th17 induction and differentiation based on Yousef’s data [67] that incorporates both dynamics and
sensitivities across 18 time points. To build the dynamic model we analyzed the microarray data and inferred a computational modeling network using the Ingenuity Pathways (IPA) platform, we translated the inferred network into a Systems-Biology Markup Language (SBML)-compliant system, imported the network into COPASI, and calibrated the system with the original microarray datasets used to build the dynamic network model. Our in silico predictions about the function of NLRP3 and IL-24 during Th17 differentiation, revealed a modulatory role over IL-17A production. Furthermore, our local sensitivity analysis highlighted the correlations between FOXP3, CEBPB, FOSL1, IRF4, and PPARγ with IL-24, as well as the correlations of IP-10, GM-CSF, IFNγ, and IL-17A with NLRP3.

5.3 Integrated pipeline for data-driven modeling

To streamline the process of model construction from high-dimensional microarray data, we built a semi-automated analysis pipeline that provides a methodological approach for dynamic model construction. Our pipeline efficiently builds a computational model based on experimental data (Figure 5.1) generated in-house or downloaded from publicly available data repositories. A local instance of the Galaxy pipeline, a platform for sequencing analysis, allows us to obtain a list of genes reads which are subjected to trimming algorithms (see next section “Treatment of microarray data”) and used as input in the Ingenuity Pathways Analysis (IPA) platform for creating an initial network model by using IPA’s network inference algorithm. The resulting static network model was implemented into CellDesigner through a CellDesigner plugin (unpublished information) to become an SBML-compliant network model. Next, we imported the SBML-compliant network into the Complex Pathway Simulator (COPASI). COPASI allowed us to perform parameter estimation and local/global sensitivity analysis and obtain a fully calibrated dynamic model of the process of Th17 differentiation. Using time-course and sensitivity analyses, we were able to run in silico experimentation with the implemented Th17 differentiation model and come up with a series of predictions in regards to Interleukin-24 (IL-24), and the Noll-Like Receptor Protein 3 (NLRP3).
5.4 Microarray data analyses

The data used for this study was obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) NIH repository with the accession number GSE43955. This dataset contains a microarray expression-profiling array for Th17 differentiation, including a Th0 control. Briefly, Yosef et al. isolated naïve CD4+ T cells from wild-type mice and treated them with IL-6 and TGFβ1. Samples for mRNA analysis were collected at 18 different time points (0, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16, 20, 24, 30, 42, 48, 50, 52, 60, and 72 hours). The Th0 control consisted of time- and culture-matched wild-type naïve T cells maintained under Th0 conditions [67]. Furthermore, during microarray or other sequencing analysis strategies, the expression results are often annotated with more than one entry per gene. For this reason, the GEO dataset extracted from [67] contained gene reads that
had entries that were annotated with an unspecified gene name (eg. 1424888_at), and also had different entries for one same gene. At the same time, due to the nature of the experimental design, the data obtained had two different profiles: either non-differentiated or Th17-induced CD4+ T cells. For these variety of reasons, we created a list of genes that contained more than 2,000 genes related to CD4+ T cell differentiation, function, progression, and maintenance, metabolism, inflammatory genetic profiles, and a variety of arrays of transcription factors. We then used the trimming algorithm to trim the microarray gene list to only these 2,000+ genes. Furthermore, the algorithm averaged the value of these genes if it found more than one transcript for each one. Next, we calculated the fold change of each of the genes by dividing the Th17 sample by its corresponding Th0 control at each time point. Finally, in order to limit the value of expression and contain a more meaningful list, we calculated the log2 value of the fold-change of expression as it has been described in other publications [360].

5.5 Network Inference and Analysis

In order to deeply analyze the topologies of microarray gene expression data and infer a network we used the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) platform. IPA is a system that transforms a list of genes into a set of relevant networks based on extensive records maintained in the IPA Knowledge base. Furthermore, IPA uses powerful causal analytics that help to build a regulatory picture and a better understanding of the biology underlying a given gene expression dataset. More specifically, we used IPA so that its network inference algorithms could retrieve, not only the experimental validated interactions, but also predicted ones as well as networks that were optimized for triangular connections between genes. With this approach, IPA favors denser networks over more sparsely connected ones. Our treated and modified microarray data, based solely of the gene list and the log2 values, was uploaded into IPA as a list of target genes with expression values. Next, we computed a Core Analysis so IPA could retrieve all regulatory interactions without restricting the search. Moreover, by running a Core Analysis, we mapped the microarray data to IPA’s Knowledge Base (IPAKB), created molecular networks (algorithmically generated pathways), divided the data into different
diseases and biological functions, and determined different canonical pathways. Including both experimental and predicted connections, IPA provided a list of upstream activators, where the most up- and down-regulated genes could be observed and the information of such genes could be accessed. Based on the all the genes uploaded into IPA, we created a first inferred network (Figure 5.2A). The expression values of this first network were calculated based on single observations extracted from the microarray dataset. In some cases, time-points were repeated and/or different replicates were given. In those cases, we averaged the values so that the final expression value was representative of three replicates, when available. Given the amount of interactions generated, we selected 67 genes based on fold change expression over time that showed the core of Th17 differentiation (Figure 5.2B). This inferred Th17 interaction network represents the key and indispensable genes for a naïve CD4+ T cell to differentiate into Th17 when the cytokine milieu is rich in IL-6 and TGFβ1. Following modeling reduction we selected 32 genes and their interactions between them and imported them into CellDesigner (Figure 5.2C). To reduce the network by 35 genes, we located IL-6 and TGFβ1 in the IPA network and we built downstream pathways based on the centrality of RORγt and STAT3. Pathways resulting from these interactions were depicted in our SBML-based network in CellDesigner. This SBML-compliant model represents the activating and inhibitory reactions that take place in the cytoplasm and nucleus space and that will ultimately differentiate the cell into a Th17 cell.
Figure 5.2 Network inference and analysis prior to importation into an SBML-compliant environment. (A) The microarray data was used to generate a comprehensive gene regulatory interaction network in IPA. (B) Out of all the nodes in IPA, 67 genes (up-regulated or down-regulated) were selected based on differences in expression over the Th0 compartment. (C) SBML-compliant Cell designer network with a subset of genes in (B) to import into COPASI.
5.6 Model implementation

The transition from a static interaction diagram into a dynamic model of Th17 differentiation helps to not only understand the connections of the network but also observe how these connections changed mechanistically, in a dynamized manner, over time. Furthermore, the dynamic model allowed us to run in silico simulations and detect emerging cellular behaviors that could not be observed by just looking at the static diagram. Thus, adding dynamics to the process increased the predictability of the network. Indeed, other comprehensive models of CD4+ T cell differentiation have been constructed [28]. However, this would be the first model that uses data-driven approaches to construct its network and to calibrate the dynamic model. Using this rationale, we next sought to import the inferred network, built by IPA and translated in CellDesigner, into the COmplex PAthway SImulator (COPASI) [29]. Importing the network into COPASI also allowed us pick-and-choose different reactions and nodes for computational experimentation. After the importation, the name of the species and reactions were annotated, the model was adjusted into COPASI by creating Global Quantities and events, and the rate laws were adjusted to automatically create the ordinary differential equations.

5.7 Model calibration using microarray experimental data

The data used in this study performed by Yosef et al. in [67] consists of a time-course in vitro study where gene expression analysis was performed at 18 different time points (0, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16, 20, 24, 30, 42, 48, 50, 52, 60, and 72 hours). CD4+ T cell differentiation is a highly dynamic process that can vary in a time-window of 1h ranges. Often times, experimental strategies in CD4+ T cell differentiation assess the levels of cytokines and transcription factors once the cell is fully differentiated around 60h post induction. With this approach, we are missing the specific cell dynamics of the process, as well as the subtle details that may open new strategies for therapeutic development. In this case, the 18 time points offer a clear picture of the exact levels of expression of each gene at each time point. Therefore, this dataset represents a perfect
candidate to be used for calibration purposes. To accomplish this goal, we extracted the experimental data from the raw database for the 32 nodes that our computational model is composed of. Using the parameter estimation function in COPASI, we uploaded these 32 curves and used the Genetic Algorithm in combination with a Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) [242], both embedded in COPASI, to adjust the model parameters. PSO is a global search algorithm and thus depends only minimally on the initial guess of each parameter and therefore avoids the subjective estimation caused by initial guesses in local methods as Levenberg-Marquardt. PSO has been used in other publications for the same purpose [243]. In contrast, the genetic algorithm is an evolutionary algorithm that mimics the process of natural selection by mutating or altering different parts of the system.

The main feature of Th17 cells is their ability to secrete IL-17. This output of IL-17 production is induced by TGFβ1, together with pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-6 or IL-21 and IL-23, in a concentration-dependent manner [181, 182]. It has been demonstrated that TGFβ1 synergizes with IL-6 [183] or IL-21 [184, 185] to promote the expression of IL-17. This is achieved through the activation of retinoid-related orphan receptor (ROR)γt by IL-6 through the transcription of STAT-3 [186, 187], which in turn promotes the transcription of the IL-17 gene [155, 188]. The combination and iterations of the genetic and PSO algorithms resulted in the fitting of these key molecules expression data with the computational model. First, the phosphorylated form of STAT3 controls and plays a central role during Th17 differentiation and function [361]. Our computational model was able to fit the experimental data correctly (Figure 5.3A), which was characterized by an early rise and a constant degradation over time. Next, the related orphan nuclear receptor C gene (RORc) transcribes RORγt, which is central during Th17 differentiation. As our results show, our model was able to fit the bell-shaped expression profile that RORc possesses during differentiation (Figure 5.3B). As a result of Th17 differentiation, IL-21 and IL-17 are produced and secreted by the cell. Our computational model fits IL-17 (Figure 5.3C) and IL-21 (Figure 5.3D).
Figure 5.3 Computational fitting of Th17-related molecules. COPASI was used to run parameter estimation based on the microarray experimental data. Using a Genetic Algorithm and PSO, the model fitted (in blue) the experimental data (in black) of (A) phosphorylated STAT3, (B) RORc, (3) Interleukin 21, and (D) Interleukin 17.

Other fitted molecules of the Th17 model are also depicted in Figure 4. IL-10 has usually been considered an anti-inflammatory molecule. Th17 cells produce high levels of IL-10 under certain conditions. In this case, our computational model was able to reproduce the dynamics of this cytokine over time (Figure 5.4A). SGK1 and TIMP1 are two transcription factors that were selected due to their high expression during Th17 differentiation. Although their role during Th17 induction has not been fully elucidated, they remain key players for IL-17 production. Our computational model was able to fit SGK1 (Figure 5.4B) and TIMP1 (Figure 5.4C). Local sensitivity analysis on SGK1, or also known as salt-sensing glucocorticoid kinase 1, showed a strong correlation with RORc, IL-17A, and most notably, the IL-23R (Figure 5.5). Indeed, the relationship between SGK1 and the promotion of pathogenic Th17 cells has already been reported [362]. In this case, Wu et al. demonstrated that SGK1 is an essential node downstream
of the IL-23R pathway and is critical for regulating its expression by de-activating FOXO1. The last example of calibration is represented by FOXP3. FOXP3 inhibits the RORγt-driven transcription of IL-17 by directly suppressing RORγt [182, 197]. Furthermore, the IL-2/STAT-5 axis constrains Th17 [192] in part through a FOXP3-dependent mechanism, since STAT-5 activates FOXP3 [198], as well as through the inhibition of the STAT-3/IL-21 pathway [199]. Indeed, double positive FOXP3 RORγt T-helper cells have been identified as an intermediary that displays suppressive function [108] and are being investigated due to the plasticity of Th17. Our computational model also captures the relationship of FOXP3 and RORγt and it fits the expression data of FOXP3 (Figure 5.4D). These calibration results proved grounds to start *in silico* experimentation with our mathematical and computational model of Th17 differentiation.

![Figure 5.4 Computational fitting of Th17-related molecules (II).](image)

COPASI was used to run parameter estimation based on the microarray experimental data. Using a Genetic Algorithm and PSO, the model fitted (in blue) the experimental data (in black) of (A) Interleukin-10, (B) Glucocorticoid Kinase 1 (SGK1), (3) TIMP1, and (D) FOXP3.
Figure 5.5. Sensitivity analysis on salt-sensing GSK1 by the Th17 differentiation computational model. Sensitivity analysis was run with COPASI on our computational model using a delta factor of 0.0001 and a delta minimum of $1e^{-12}$. The subtask run for the analysis was a time-series with $t=100h$ and correlation of all the variables of the model against activated GSK1 was assessed, showing high correlation with key transcription factors that determine phenotype differentiation on Th17.

5.8  

**In silico experimentation and computational modeling predictions**

5.8.1  

IL-24 modulate the production of IL-17A via a FOXP3-dependent RORγt inhibition

One of the first targets for *in silico* experimentation was Interleukin-24 (IL-24), a member of the IL-10 family of cytokines. Upon binding to its receptors, IL-24 induces rapid activation of STAT1 and STAT3 transcription factors, both of which activate effector profiles in CD4+ T cell differentiation [363]. The role of IL-24 during Th17 differentiation, however, is not well understood and there are no publications explicitly stating how IL-24 fits in the complex Th17 differentiation story. The microarray analysis of the Th17
differentiation datasets used in this study indicates that IL-24 is highly expressed when a naïve CD4+ T cell is induced with TGFβ1 and IL-6. At the same time, our computational model was able to fit such dynamics observed in the experimental data (Figure 5.6A). In order to computationally shed some light on the role of IL-24 during Th17 differentiation, we performed local sensitivity analysis on the IL-24 node. Results showed how IL-24 negatively correlates with FOXP3 and positively correlates with Th17-related molecules such as STAT3, RORc, IL-17A and IL-21 (Figure 5.6B). At first glance, IL-24 seems to promote an effector response by downregulating FOXP3 and minimizing its inhibition towards RORγt. We next sought to determine what would occur if the expression of IL-24 was completely ablated in a Th17 cell. In order to accomplish this goal, we created an IL-24 null system, where the ability of IL-24 to exert its functions to other nodes was completely impaired. Results showed how the expression of FOXP3 in the IL-24 null when compared to the wild-type system remains unchanged during the first, approximately, 10 hours. However, after 10 hours, FOXP3 starts degrading over time. In contrast, in the IL-24 null system, FOXP3 reached a steady-state and it did not undergo degradation (Figure 5.6C). Since FOXP3 and RORγt are regulated with such tight balance, we next sought to determine the effect of this undegraded FOXP3 towards the expression of RORc. Interestingly, the IL-24 null system showed less expression of RORc when compared to the wild-type Th17 model (Figure 5.6D). As a result of this lower expression of RORc, it is not surprising that our results found lower levels of IL-17A production in the IL-24 null system than in the wild-type model (Figure 5.6E). These counterintuitive results generated in silico by our Th17 differentiation model in regards to the role of IL-24 during Th17 induction should be validated with specific in vitro and in vivo experimental studies. If these predictions were validated, IL-24 would arise as an immune-based, powerful, and potential therapeutic target to modulated inflammatory diseases characterized by a Th17 upregulation.
Figure 5.6: *In silico* experimentation: IL-24 modulates IL-17A production through a FOXP3-dependent mechanism. (A) IL-24 computational fitting of the microarray experimental data using Genetic Algorithm and PSO in COPASI. (B) Sensitivity analysis on Interleukin 24. Time-course analysis of (C) FOXP3, (D) RORc, and (E) IL-17A in either a wild-type model, or an IL-24 null Th17 differentiation model.

5.8.2 NLRP3-deficient Th17 cells have an impaired production of IL-17A

Th17 cells stimulate the tissue environment towards an effector profile and orchestrate the function of cell subsets that are part of the innate immune response, such as macrophages, neutrophils, or epithelial cells. Nod-like receptors (NLRs) are a subset of pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) and a key component of innate responses found in the cytosol that are essential for detecting invading pathogens and initiating immune responses. NLRs are molecular platforms activated upon cellular infection or stress that trigger the release of proinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1β or IL-6 [364]. Within the inflammasome-forming NLRs, NLRP3 has received particular attention since it interacts with the caspase recruitment domain (ASC) and the cytokine protease caspase-1, forming a cytoplasmic complex (NLRP3) [365]. Although it has been recently demonstrated that activation of NLRP3 in other cell subsets promotes Th17
differentiation in the T cell compartment in the context of allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation [366] and allergic lung inflammation [367], T cell intrinsic mechanisms by which NLRP3 modulates Th17 function have not been unexplored. In our study, NLRP3 appeared in our list of the genes upregulated by the induction of Th17 over time. In our inferred network, NLRP3 is linked to the activation of GM-CSF and IFNγ (Figure 5.2C), both responsible for the increase of the pathogenic activity of Th17 cells [368]. Our Th17 computational model was able to fit the experimental expression data of NLRP3 (Figure 5.7A). Indeed, our sensitivity analysis confirmed that NLRP3 is linked to the activation of the expression of genes that correlate with tissue-damaging inflammation in Th17 cells, since such as IFNγ, GM-CSF, IP-10, and IL-17A (Figure 5.7B). We next sought to determine the role of NLRP3 over the production of IL-17A. We created an in silico NLRP3 null Th17 model. In this system, NLRP3’s ability to upregulate its linked genes was completely ablated. Our results show how the lack of NLRP3 in a Th17 cells down-regulates IL-17A (Figure 5.7C). Given the amount of variability of NLRP3 expression in the first time-points of the Th17 induction process, we next sought to determine if a change in the variability of the expression of NLRP3 at the early stages of differentiation would affect the outcome of IL-17A production. To add stochasticity to the NLRP3 node, we used ENISI SDE, a novel web-based stochastic modeling tool [79]. Our results show how that the addition of 5% variability in the expression of the NLRP3 node slightly downregulated the IL-17A production in the Th17 cell (Figure 5.7D). For this reason, we hypothesized that if the variability was higher, the production of IL-17A would be highly affected. Indeed, our results show how when the expression of the NLRP3 node was set at a 30%, the production of IL-17A was dramatically affected (Figure 5.7E). These results highlight the role and T cell intrinsic relationship of NLRP3 and IL-17A. More importantly, NLRP3 could represent a potential therapeutic target to treat Th17-mediated inflammatory diseases. Indeed, NLRP3 is under investigation to evaluate if it can be a good target to treat type 2 diabetes [369, 370].
Figure 5.7: *In silico* experimentation: NLRP3 helps to modulate IL-17A production in Th17 cells. (A) NLRP3 computational fitting of the microarray experimental data using Genetic Algorithm and PSO in COPASI. (B) Sensitivity analysis on NLRP3. (C) Time-course analysis of IL-17A in either a wild-type model, or an NLRP3 null Th17 differentiation model. (D) Time course analysis of IL-17A and NLRP3 using a Stochastic Differential Equation Simulator with a variability on the NLRP node of 5%. (E) Time course analysis of IL-17A and NLRP3 using a Stochastic Differential Equation Simulator with a variability on the NLRP node of 30%.

5.9 Discussion and Conclusions

As comprehensive transcriptomic and proteomics time-course datasets are becoming increasingly available at lower cost, computational immunology has the potential to convert big data into mathematical problems by constructing predictive models, running hypothesis-generating computational simulations followed by targeted experimental validation. Mathematical modeling of immune responses offers efficient and cost-effective approaches to gain a deeper mechanistic systems-level understanding Th17 differentiation and plasticity, but also on how a Th17 cell can be pharmacologically manipulated to treat diseases. In this study, we presented a computational pipeline that
combines data-driven and theoretical approaches to study a use case on mechanisms of Th17 cell differentiation. Indeed, this paper explores a new potential use of microarray and RNA-seq data to produce predictive dynamic models. In this study, we have identified two genes that are intimately related to Th17 function and induction: IL-24 and NLRP3. Furthermore, the sensitivity analysis confirms the role of SGK1 in modulating Th17 responses via IL-23R [362]. We demonstrated how the computational ablation of both of these genes resulted in a down-regulation of IL-17A production. By using stochastic modeling systems, we also found that intrinsic noise in the NLRP3 gene dramatically affected the expression of IL-17A. Furthermore, we identified a molecular mechanism by which IL-24 exerts its pro-inflammatory effects. By performing sensitivity analysis we found a negative correlation of IL-24 with FOXP3. In the IL-24 null system, we detected that the ablation of IL-24 and the upregulation of FOXP3 *a posteriori*, triggers an unbalanced equilibrium between FOXP3 and RORγt, since both act in an antagonistic manner. More specifically, the subtle FOXP3 upregulation caused by IL-24 led to a downregulation of RORγt. Ultimately, IL-24 ablation down-regulates IL-17A via an upregulation of FOXP3 in meridian time-sections of Th17 induction. Obviously, any prediction generated by the Th17 differentiation model will need to be validated with specific *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments. The proposed computational modeling pipeline can generate meaningful and counterintuitive predictions by taking advantage of publicly available datasets and apply a computational modeling framework based on network inference and data-mining to create a fully calibrated computational model, in this case, of Th17 differentiation. Altogether, this work serves as a template on how to build computational models that combine data and theory with deterministic and stochastic features to generate new mechanistic knowledge related to immunological mechanisms and to identify novel therapeutic targets for infectious and immune-mediated diseases.

### 5.10 Materials and Methods

*Algorithms for data treatment*

In order to adjust and tailor the input data into Ingenuity Pathways Analysis (IPA) platform we created a python3-based script that trimmed and averaged gene reads. To
run, the script needs a file with a list of genes that the user wants to trim. The script runs as follows: first, the script opens all files and deletes any white spaces at the end of the line. The script then reads and stores the name of the first gene in the list and scans the input microarray dataset. Once found, it transfers the information in another file. If different entries are found, the script averages them and only annotates the averaged value. The script completes once all the genes in the list have been assessed and it creates an output file that will be used as input for IPA.

IPA Analysis

Ingenuity Pathways Analysis (IPA) (Ingenuity Systems, Redwood City, CA, USA) was used for the identification of key nodes in Th17 cells for network inference purposes. The microarray data was uploaded as log2 changes and a Core Analysis was run to map expression data to IPA’s Knowledge database. Upstream Activation analysis was performed and selected genes were moved to Pathway Analysis to create the topology interaction network. To specifically characterize the interactions, the IPA’s Knowledge database of each interaction was consulted to discern between activation and inhibition reactions.

Model parameter estimation

The parameter estimation task was run in COPASI using the time-course experimental microarray data provided in [67]. Data was uploaded into COPASI using IL-6 and TGFβ as independent initial concentrations. The rest of the nodes were set up as dependent nodes on transient concentration for mapping purposes. The Particle Algorithm with 2000 iterations was used first. Secondly, using Particle Swarm algorithm results as initial values, the Genetic Algorithm was run. Quality control was check on the results of parameter estimation by contra-posing the fitted curves to the experimental data (Figures 5.3 and 5.4).

Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivity analysis was run with COPASI on our computational model using a delta factor of 0.0001 and a delta minimum of 1e-12. The subtask run for the analysis was a time-series with t=100h and correlation of all the variables of the model against activated
GSK1, NLRP3, and IL-24 were assessed, showing high correlation with key transcription factors that determine phenotype differentiation on Th17.

**Creation of an IL-24 and NLRP3 knock-out systems**

In order to assess the modulation of NLRP3 and IL-24 over Th17 we created an IL-24 and a NLRP3 knock-out models. In order to do so, we selectively chose the mass action-based reactions that upregulate both nodes and set up their parameters to zero. Therefore, none of these two nodes were able to upregulate its product concentration. Quality control by time-course and scans were run to ensure complete ablation of either NLRP3 concentration in the NLRP3 null model, or IL-24 concentration in the IL-24 null model.
Chapter 6

Concluding Remarks

Immunity is a complex compartment of human function and development. Hundreds of different cell types and an enormous number of different subpopulations regulate the human immune system. In this regard, CD4+ T cells accomplish a key and vital function in immunity: orchestrate not only the adaptive immune response, but also provide effective guidance to innate immune cell subsets. As we have seen in this dissertation, CD4+ T cells differentiate into different subpopulations that are plastic between them at the same time. The process of CD4+ T cell differentiation is a complicated, interconnected, multiplayer, intricate system. The cytokines and transcription factors regulating this network are definitely under scrutiny since, as the field advances, more and more subpopulations are arising.

Given this complexity, computational immunology and mathematical modeling approaches open a great avenue to efficiently answer several questions and understand the system as a whole. Here I present several approaches that have elucidated some of these processes. Chapter 1 describes step-by-step how a comprehensive network of CD4+ T cell differentiation can be built. We published for the first time an ODE-based, systems level, comprehensive CD4+ T cell differentiation network that computed four different phenotypes (Th1, Th2, Th17, and iTreg) based on the cytokine environment concentration. On this regard, parameter estimation approaches were broken down into
different pieces using a divide-and-conquer approach. Using this strategy, we were able to calibrate the CD4+ T cell differentiation model and effectively predict that PPARγ modulates Th17 to Treg plasticity. Validation studies confirmed these novel predictions, adding an extra layer of great value to the mathematical model.

CD4+ T cells are also involved in the cellular scale, where they orchestrate other immune cell subsets. In the context of *H. pylori* infection, we also wanted to observe and evaluate the different contributions of specific subsets. For this purpose, we built a ODE-based, tissue-level model where CD4+ T cells were part of it. After calibration, our data demonstrated that upon infection, Th1 cells predominate over the rest of phenotypes, followed by an iTreg upregulation and a shy increase of Th17 cells over time. Having a tissue-cellular level model also allowed us to use other platforms, such as ENISI. Agent-based simulations confirmed our ODE-based results and allowed us to dig deeper on the role of these CD4+ T cells. We observed by running sensitivity analysis that the production of pro-inflammatory epithelial cell and therefore, the increase in epithelial erosion in the gastric epithelium is caused by *H. pylori* at early stages of infection. However, at meridian and chronic stages of infection, these histopathological features are not being caused by the bacterium anymore, but by the effector immune response triggered by the early infection. Clinically, these results are extremely relevant as chronic patients infected with *H. pylori* are given antibiotic treatment that targets the bacterium, and not the immune response. Our data is highlighting the need of more host-centered, immune-targeted approaches to treat the inflammatory cascade caused by the infection. In this regard, we used the CD4+ T cell differentiation model to target IL-21. Our combined in silico and in vivo results demonstrated that IL-21 could be a potential target to treat *H. pylori* infection. *In vivo* results are in line with in silico predictions, which demonstrate how a lack of IL-21 (or a block in the IL-21 cascade for clinical purposes) can actually prevent the upregulation of the effector immune response.

In this thesis dissertation I also present data-driven strategies to create computational models. One of the main challenges in building robust, comprehensive networks is the amount of data the user needs to calibrate such models. Based on the increasingly availability of sequencing datasets, together with the increased technology advancement to analyze these pieces of data, we developed a novel pipeline which allows a modeler
to extract public available data and turn it into a computational model as shown in Chapter 5. These more advanced strategies could potentially solve on the of biggest problems in mathematical modeling: the calibration step. At the same time, data-driven models, when backed up with theory, have a very high predictability. This power is demonstrated by the detection of nodes such as IL-24 and NLRP3 in the Th17 compartment. These nodes have not been described before.

There are current limitations on computational immunology at the moment. Here I present several strategies to model CD4+ T cell differentiation and function. However, there is room for improvement to link the intracellular compartment with cell-to-cell interactions. This is a research problem itself. Multiscale modeling is actually arising as a key tool to be able to connect these complicated networks. If this was the case, the research questions that one can ask to the model can be more inclusive. For example, one could think that a CD4+ T cell differentiates into Treg with only TGFβ. However, what would happen if a dendritic cell, next to the CD4+ T cell, is secreting IL-6? Much probably, if the environment is rich in not only TGFβ, but also IL-6, this cell would not become a Th17 cell, which has an opposite function to the TGFβ-only differentiated Treg. This problem, as one can see, includes different timescales and spatial compartments. As discussed in Chapter 5, multiscale modeling approaches are now being under investigation and the modeling field will probably advance on that direction.

To finally conclude, CD4+ T cells and its different phenotypes still have a lot to be known. Recent technologies such as single-cell sequencing, will allow to shed some light to the understanding of this interesting subset. In this thesis dissertation, I have shown how we can approach this problem through a combined mathematical, computational, and experimental approach to generate and validate very novel predictions on CD4+ T cell differentiation and function. The modeling approaches presented allowed us to narrow the design of experiments and to better understand the molecular mechanisms of action controlling CD4+ differentiation. These strategies for knowledge generation and discovery open a clinical angle for drug development and discovery. Indeed, this new mechanistic knowledge is broadly applicable to the contribution of the understanding of CD4+ T cell differentiation and heterogeneity, also yet to the development of immune therapeutics for infectious, allergic and immune-mediated diseases.
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